Traffic Volume Count and Analysis for Madiwal Junction, Bidar

PROF. BASWAKUMAR BIRADAR

Department of Civil Engineering, Guru Nanak Dev Engineering College, Bidar

Abstract -- In this today's world, every person is willing to have their own vehicle. Their willingness led to the gradual growth in the number of vehicles. As per the considerations for the movement of the vehicles, lots of roadways have been constructed with several reinforcement parameters. The place where two or more road network meets, there is a construction of different types of intersections, rotaries/roundabouts. Rotary junction has efficiency to manage the various traffic congestion. Evaluation of rotary junction capacity is very important. As the evaluation of rotary junction is directly related with several traffic and motorist's parameters such as level of service, travel time, delays, accidents, operation costs, environmental factors, etc. So, there should be proper attention for the evaluation and improvements in the rotary junctions. As at the rotary junction, lots of traffic are diverted to different routes as per the travel of motorists. There should be decrease in the congestion, improvement of rotary junctions. Improvement of rotary junction justification have been considering by evaluation of the rotary junction. Traffic volume study is the major study for the evaluation of the traffic condition of the rotary junction. To analyse the traffic volume, traffic survey has been considering in the peak hours on the rotary junction.

Indexed Terms: Traffic Rotaries, PCU (Passenger Car Unit), Traffic Studies, Traffic Volume

I. INTRODUCTION

A. Traffic Volume Study is the number of vehicles crossing a section of road per unit time at any selected period. It is used as a quantity measure of flow. The commonly units are vehicles/day or vehicles/hour. It is used in planning, traffic operation and control of existing facilities and also for planning the new facilities. It is used in the analysis of traffic patterns and trends.Rotary intersections or roundabout are special form of at-grade intersections laid out for the movement of traffic in one direction around a central traffic island. Essentially all the major conflicts at an intersection namely the collision between through and right-turn movements are converted into milder conflicts namely merging and

diverging. The vehicles entering the rotary are gently forced to move in a clockwise direction in orderly fashion. They then weave out of the rotary to the desired direction. The traffic operations at a rotary are three; diverging, merging and weaving. All the other conflicts are converted into these three less severe conflicts.

- Diverging: It is a traffic operation when the vehicles moving in one direction is separated into different streams according to their destinations.
- Merging: Merging is the opposite of diverging.
 Merging is referred to as the process of joining
 the traffic coming from different approaches
 and going to a common destination into a
 single stream.
- 3. Weaving: Weaving is the combined movement of both merging and diverging movements in the same direction.

B. Design elements:

The design elements include design speed, radius at entry, exit and the central island, weaving length and width, entry and exit widths. In addition, the capacity of the rotary can also be determined by using some empirical formula

1. Design speed:

All the vehicles are required to reduce their speed at a rotary. Therefore, the design speed of a rotary will be much lower than the roads leading to it. Although it is possible to design roundabout without much speed reduction, the geometry may lead to very large size incurring huge cost of construction. The normal practice is to keep the design speed as 30 and 40 kmph for urban and rural areas respectively.

© JUN 2019 | IRE Journals | Volume 2 Issue 12 | ISSN: 2456-8880

2. Entry, exit and island radius:

The radius at the entry depends on various factors like design speed, super-elevation, and coefficient of friction. The entry to the rotary is not straight, but a small curvature is introduced. This will force the driver to reduce the speed. The entry radius of about 20 and 25 meters is ideal for an urban and rural design respectively.

The exit radius should be higher than the entry radius and the radius of the rotary island so that the vehicles will discharge from the rotary at a higher rate. A general practice is to keep the exit radius as 1.5 to 2 times the entry radius. However, if pedestrian movement is higher at the exit approach, then the exit radius could be set as same as that of the entry radius.

The radius of the central island is governed by the design speed, and the radius of the entry curve. The radius of the central island, in practice, is given a slightly higher radius so that the movement of the traffic already in the rotary will have priority. The radius of the central island which is about 1.3 times that of the entry curve is adequate for all practical purposes.

3. Width of the rotary:

The entry width and exit width of the rotary is governed by the traffic entering and leaving the intersection and the width of the approaching road. The width of the carriageway at entry and exit will be lower than the width of the carriageway at the approaches to enable reduction of speed. IRC suggests that a two-lane road of 7 m width should be kept as 7 m for urban roads and 6.5 m for rural roads. Further, a three-lane road of 10.5 m is to be reduced to 7 m and 7.5 m respectively for urban and rural roads.

The width of the weaving section should be higher than the width at entry and exit. Normally this will be one lane more than the average entry and exit width. Thus, weaving width is given as,

$$W = \left(\frac{e1 + e2}{2}\right) + 3.5$$

Where e_1 is the width of the carriageway at the entry and e_2 is the carriageway width at exit.

Weaving length determines how smoothly the traffic can merge and diverge. It is decided based on many factors such as weaving width, proportion of weaving traffic to the non-weaving traffic etc. This can be best achieved by making the ratio of weaving length to the weaving width very high. A ratio of 4 is the minimum value suggested by IRC. Very large weaving length is also dangerous, as it may encourage over-speeding.

4. Capacity:

The capacity of rotary is determined by the capacity of each weaving section. Transportation road research lab (TRL) proposed the following empirical formula to find the capacity of the weaving section.

$$Q_w = \frac{280w[1 + \frac{e}{w}][1 - \frac{p}{3}]}{1 + \frac{w}{I}}$$

Where e is the average entry and exit width, i.e., $\frac{(e_1+e_2)}{2}$, w is the weaving width, l is the length of weaving, and p is the proportion of weaving traffic to the non-weaving traffic. Figure 40:3 shows four types of movements at a weaving section, a and d are the non-weaving traffic and b and c are the weaving traffic. Therefore,

$$p = \frac{b+c}{a+b+c+d}$$

II. METHODOLOGY

The methodology of the project includes analysis of rotary junction traffic at peak hours, problem definition and goals related to selected rotary, data collection through count of volume capacity and traffic survey and data analysis of the different rotaries.




Fig. 1: Approach and Methodology

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

• Traffic volume count for the Madiwal Junction:

Table 1: Traffic volume Count (No. of vehicles hourly) at Madiwal circle

| NO. OF VEHICLES HOURS OF DAY | Monday | Tuesday | Wednesday | Thursday | Friday | Saturday | Sunday |
|------------------------------|--------|---------|-----------|----------|--------|----------|--------|
| 07:00 AM – 08:00 AM | 3262 | 3318 | 3249 | 2998 | 2899 | 2965 | 2865 |
| 08:00 AM – 09:00 AM | 3588 | 3946 | 3961 | 3918 | 3918 | 3614 | 3200 |
| 09:00 AM – 10:00 AM | 3801 | 4905 | 3280 | 3767 | 3568 | 3400 | 3220 |
| 10:00 AM – 11:00 AM | 2891 | 4328 | 3122 | 3072 | 3078 | 1938 | 2865 |
| 11:00 AM – 12:00 PM | 2913 | 4896 | 3249 | 2998 | 2997 | 1938 | 3220 |
| 12:00 PM - 01:00 PM | 3311 | 3052 | 3500 | 1965 | 1932 | 3006 | 3006 |
| 01:00 PM – 02:00 PM | 3123 | 3911 | 3678 | 1996 | 1932 | 2865 | 2895 |
| 02:00 PM - 03:00 PM | 1503 | 3226 | 2000 | 2022 | 2899 | 2785 | 3221 |
| 03:00 PM - 04:00 PM | 3339 | 3068 | 2850 | 2212 | 3568 | 3400 | 3229 |
| 04:00 PM - 05:00 PM | 3339 | 4004 | 4619 | 3008 | 3078 | 3078 | 3265 |
| 05:00 PM – 06:00 PM | 3722 | 4145 | 4009 | 3145 | 3378 | 3615 | 3375 |
| 06:00 PM - 07:00 PM | 3113 | 4617 | 3760 | 3219 | 3615 | 3692 | 3575 |
| 07:00 PM – 08:00 PM | 3006 | 4123 | 3008 | 2163 | 3153 | 4000 | 3576 |
| 08:00 PM - 09:00 PM | 1500 | 4001 | 2000 | 2554 | 3078 | 3099 | 3575 |
| Total | 42414 | 55536 | 45493 | 39037 | 43093 | 43395 | 45087 |

© JUN 2019 | IRE Journals | Volume 2 Issue 12 | ISSN: 2456-8880

Table 2: Traffic volume Count (Types of vehicles per week) at Madiwal circle

| TYPES OF VEHICLES PER WEEK | | | |
|----------------------------|--------|--|--|
| Truck | 1572 | | |
| Bus | 9348 | | |
| Car | 60466 | | |
| Auto Rickshaw | 64728 | | |
| Motor Cycle | 177820 | | |
| Bicycle | 121 | | |
| | 314055 | | |




Fig. 2: Total volume count per day at Madiwal circle

Table 3: PCU Value/Week of vehicles at Madiwal circle

| Type of vehicle/week | PCU value/week | | |
|----------------------|----------------|--|--|
| Truck | 5502 | | |
| Bus | 32718 | | |
| Car | 60466 | | |
| Auto Rickshaw | 51782.4 | | |
| Motor Cycle | 88910 | | |
| Bicycle | 24.2 | | |
| | 239402.6 | | |




Fig. 3: The traffic in terms of PCUs from each leg is depicted at Madiwal circle

Table 4: Proportion of weaving Traffic at each leg at Madiwal circle

| p | ES | SW | WN | NE |
|---|------|-----|------|------|
| a | 0 | 870 | 120 | 0 |
| b | 0 | 120 | 1170 | 718 |
| С | 1740 | 148 | 120 | 0 |
| d | 148 | 0 | 0 | 1170 |

IV. CONCLUSION

The study of Traffic volume count and analysis for Madiwala junction, Bidar conclude that

- 1. Motorcycles, cars, buses and trucks occupied 57%, 20%, 3% and 0.5% respectively per week
- 2. Very small percentage of congestion is occurring and need to provide separate auto stand.
- 3. The Percentage of public transport is less and need to be strengthened.

REFERENCES

- [1] IRC 65:1976, "Recommended Practice for Traffic Rotaries", Indian Road Congress (IRC), New Delhi.
- [2] IRC 93:1985, "Guidelines on Design and Installation of Road Traffic Signals".
- [3] IRC 106:1990, "Guidelines for Capacity of Urban Roads".

© JUN 2019 | IRE Journals | Volume 2 Issue 12 | ISSN: 2456-8880

- [4] "Traffic Engineering and Transport Planning" by Kadiyali L R.
- [5] "Highway Engineering" by S. K. Khanna and C. E. G. Justo.
- [6] International Journal of Research in Science & Engineering Volume: 3 Issue: 2 March-April 2017.
- [7] International Journal for Scientific Research & Development Volume: 3 Issue: 06 2015.
- [8] International Journal of Science Technology & Engineering Volume: 3 Issue: 08 March-April 2017.
- [9] IOP Conf. Series: 14th International Conference on E-Society, E-Education and E-Technology (ICSET)-2017.
- [10] International Journal of Engineering & Technology Volume: 5 Issue: 06 Dec-2015.