

On $(N+K, M)$ - D-Operator Operators

WANJALA VICTOR¹, DR. ANDANJE MULAMBULA², DR. VINCENT MARANI³

^{1, 2, 3} Department of Mathematics Kibabii University, Kenya.

Abstract- In this paper, we generalize the class of D-Operator by extending this study to n-D-Operator and investigate the basic properties of this class. We also show that this class is closed under strong operator topology.

Indexed Terms- D-Operator, Class (Q), Almost Class (Q), (α, β) -Class (Q), Normal operators, n-Normal, n-D-Operator operators.

I. INTRODUCTION

Throughout this paper, H is a separable complex Hilbert space, $B(H)$ is the Banach algebra of all bounded linear operators. n -normal if $T^* T^n = T^n T^*$, $T \in B(H)$ is normal if $T^* T = T T^*$, quasinormal if $T (T^* T) = (T^* T) T$. D-Operator if $T^{*2} (T^D)^2 = (T^* T^D)^2$ (1), class (Q) if $T^{*2} T^2 = (T^* T)^2$ (5), n -power class (Q) if $T^{*2} (T^n)^2 = (T^* T^n)^2$ (6), n -D-Operator if $T^{*2} (T^D)^{2n} = (T^* (T^D)^n)^2$, for any positive integer n . We note that n -D-Operator is D-Operator when $n=1$.

II. MAIN RESULTS

- Definition 1. Let $T \in B(H)$ be Drazin invertible. Then an operator T is called $(n+k, m)$ -power- D-Operator, denoted by, $[n+k, mD]$, if $T^{*2m} (T^D)^{2(n+k)} = (T^{*m} (T^D)^{n+k})^2$, for any positive integers n and m .
- Proposition 2. Let $T \in [n+k, mD]$, then the following holds;
 - i. $\lambda T \in [n+k, mD]$ for every scalar λ .
 - ii. $S \in [n+k, mD]$ for every $S \in B(H)$ that is unitarily equivalent to T .
 - iii. The restriction/ M of T to any closed subspace M of H which reduces T is in $[n+k, mD]$.
 - iv. $(T^D)^{n+k} \in [n+kD]$.

Proof.

- i. The proof is trivial.

- ii. Since S is unitarily equivalent to T , there exists a unitary operator $U \in B(H)$ such that $S=UTU^*$. Hence;

$$\begin{aligned} S^{*2m} (S^D)^{2(n+k)} &= (UT^{*m} U^*)^2 (U (T^D)^{n+k} U^*)^2 \\ &= (UT^{*m} U^*) (UT^{*m} U^*) (U (T^D)^{n+k} U^*) (U (T^D)^{n+k} U^*) \\ &= UT^{*m} T^{*m} (T^D)^{n+k} (T^D)^{n+k} U^* \\ &= UT^{*2m} (T^D)^{2(n+k)} U^* \\ &= U (T^{*m} (T^D)^{n+k})^2 U^* \\ &= UT^{*m} (T^D)^{n+k} T^{*m} (T^D)^{n+k} U^* \\ &= (UT^{*m} U^*) (U (T^D)^{n+k} U^*) (UT^{*m} U^*) (U (T^D)^{n+k} U^*) \\ &= S^{*m} (S^D)^{n+k} S^{*m} (S^D)^{n+k} \\ &= (S^{*m} (S^D)^n)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $S \in [n+k, mD]$.

- iii. $(T/M)^{*2m} ((T/M)^D)^{2(n+k)} = (T/M)^{*m} (T/M)^m ((T/M)^D)^{n+k} ((T/M)^D)^{n+k}$

$$\begin{aligned} &= (T^{*m}/M) (T^{*m}/M) ((T^D)^{n+k}/M) ((T^D)^{n+k}/M) \\ &= (T^{*m} T^{*m}/M) ((T^D)^{n+k} (T^D)^{n+k}/M)^2 \\ &= (T^{*2m}/M) ((T^D)^{2(n+k)}/M) \\ &= (T^{*2m} (T^D)^{2(n+k)})/M \\ &= (T^{*m} (T^D)^{n+k} T^{*m} (T^D)^{n+k})/M \\ &= ((T^{*m} (T^D)^{n+k})/M) ((T^{*m} (T^D)^{n+k})/M) \\ &= ((T^{*m}/M) ((T^D)^{n+k}/M) (T^{*m}/M) ((T^D)^{n+k}/M)) \\ &= ((T^{*m}/M) ((T^D)^{n+k}/M))^2 \\ &= ((T/M)^{*m} ((T/M)^D)^{n+k})^2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $T/M \in [n+k, mD]$.

- iv. Suppose $T \in [n+k, mD]$, then;

$$T^{*2n} (T^D)^{2(n+k)} = (T^* (T^D)^{n+k})^2$$
, hence

$$T^{*m} T^{*m} (T^D)^{n+k} (T^D)^{n+k} = T^{*m} (T^D)^{n+k} T^{*m} (T^D)^{n+k}$$
 taking adjoints on both sides

$$= ((T^*)^D)^{n+k} ((T^*)^D)^{n+k} T^m T^m = ((T^*)^D)^{n+k} T^m ((T^*)^D)^{n+k} T^m.$$

Thus $((T^D)^{n+k})^2 T^{*2m} = (((T^D)^{n+k})^*) T^{2m}$, hence $(T^D)^{n+k} \in [n+k, mD]$.

- Proposition 3. The set of all $(n+k, m)$ -D-Operators is a closed subset of $B(H)$ on H .
Proof.

Let $\{T_q\}$ be a sequence of $[n+k, mD]$ operators with $T_q \rightarrow T$. We have to show that $T \in [n+k, mD]$. Now $T_q \rightarrow T$ implies $T_q^{*m} \rightarrow T^{*m}$ and $(T_q^D)^{n+k} \rightarrow (T^D)^{n+k}$. Thus $T_q^{*m}(T_q^D)^{n+k} \rightarrow T^{*m}(T^D)^{n+k}$ gives

$$(T_q^{*m}(T_q^D)^{n+k})^2 \rightarrow (T^{*m}(T^D)^{n+k})^2 \dots\dots\dots (0.1)$$

Similarly,

$$T_q^{*2m} \rightarrow T^{*2m} \text{ and } (T_q^D)^{2(n+k)} \rightarrow (T^D)^{2(n+k)}, \text{ thus}$$

$$T_q^{*2m}(T_q^D)^{2(n+k)} \rightarrow T^{*2m}(T^D)^{2(n+k)} \dots\dots\dots (0.2)$$

3

hence from (0.1) and (0.2) we have;

$$\begin{aligned} & \| T^{*2m}(T^D)^{2(n+k)} - (T^{*m}(T^D)^{n+k})^2 \| \\ &= \| T^{*2m}(T^D)^{2(n+k)} - T_q^{*2m}(T_q^D)^{2(n+k)} + T_q^{*2m}(T_q^D)^{2(n+k)} - (T^{*m}(T^D)^{n+k})^2 \| \\ &\leq \| T^{*2m}(T^D)^{2(n+k)} - T_q^{*2m}(T_q^D)^{2(n+k)} \| + \| T_q^{*2m}(T_q^D)^{2(n+k)} - (T^{*m}(T^D)^{n+k})^2 \| \\ &= \| T^{*2m}(T^D)^{2(n+k)} - T_q^{*2m}(T_q^D)^{2(n+k)} \| + \| T_q^{*2m}((T_q^D)^{n+k})^2 - (T^{*m}(T^D)^{n+k})^2 \| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } q \rightarrow \infty \text{ and thus} \end{aligned}$$

$$T^{*2m}(T^D)^{2(n+k)} = (T^{*m}(T^D)^{n+k})^2 \text{ hence } T \in [n+k, mD].$$

- Proposition 4. Let $S, T \in [n+k, mD]$. If $[S, T] = [S, T^*] = 0$, then $TS \in [n+k, mD]$.

Proof

$$[S, T] = [S, T^*] = 0 \text{ implies;}$$

$[S, T] = [S^D, T] = [S^*, T^D] = 0$ with $S, T \in [n+k, mD]$ we have; $S^{*2m}(S^D)^{2(n+k)} = (S^{*m}(S^D)^{n+k})^2$ and $T^{*2m}(T^D)^{2(n+k)} = (T^{*m}(T^D)^{n+k})^2$, hence

$$\begin{aligned} (TS)^{*2m}((TS)^D)^{2(n+k)} &= (TS)^{*m}(TS)^{*m}(TS)^D(TS)^D \\ &= S^{*m}T^{*m}S^{*m}T^{*m}(T^D)^{n+k}(S^D)^{n+k}(T^D)^{n+k}(S^D)^{n+k} \\ &= S^{*m}S^{*m}(S^D)^{n+k}(S^D)^{n+k}T^{*m}T^{*m}(T^D)^{n+k}(T^D)^{n+k} \\ &= S^{*2m}T^{*2m}(S^D)^{2(n+k)}(T^D)^{2(n+k)} \\ &= S^{*m}S^{*m}T^{*m}T^{*m}(S^D)^{n+k}(T^D)^{n+k}(S^D)^{n+k}(T^D)^{n+k} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= S^{*m}T^{*m}S^{*m}T^{*m}(S^D)^{n+k}(T^D)^{n+k}(S^D)^{n+k}(T^D)^{n+k} \\ &= (TS)^{*m}(TS)^{*m}((TS)^D)^{n+k}((TS)^D)^{n+k} \end{aligned}$$

Hence $TS \in [n+k, mD]$.

- Proposition 5. Let $S, T \in [n+k, mD]$. If $TS = ST = 0$, then $S+T \in [n+k, mD]$.

Proof.

$$S, T \in [n+k, mD] \text{ implies; } S^{*2m}(S^D)^{2(n+k)} = (S^{*m}(S^D)^{n+k})^2 \text{ and}$$

$$T^{*2m}(T^D)^{2(n+k)} = (T^{*m}(T^D)^{n+k})^2.$$

$TS = ST = 0$ implies $T^*S^* = S^*T^*$ which further implies $((S+T)^D)^{n+k} = (S^D+T^D)^{n+k}(T^D)^{n+k}$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} &= (S+T)^{*2}((S+T)^D)^{2(n+k)} = (S+T)^*(S+T)^*((S+T)^D)^{n+k}((S+T)^D)^{n+k} \\ &= (S^{*m}+T^{*m})(S^{*m}+T^{*m})(S^D+T^D)^{n+k}(S^D+T^D)^{n+k} \\ &= (S^{*2m}+T^{*2m})((S^D)^{2(n+k)}+(T^D)^{2(n+k)}) \\ &= S^{*2m}(S^D)^{2(n+k)}+T^{*2m}(T^D)^{2(n+k)} \\ &= (S^{*m}(S^D)^{n+k})^2+(T^{*m}(T^D)^{n+k})^2 \\ &= (S^{*m}(S^D)^{n+k}+T^{*m}(T^D)^{n+k})(S^{*m}(S^D)^{n+k}+T^{*m}(T^D)^{n+k}) \\ &= (S^{*m}+T^{*m})((S^D)^{n+k}+(T^D)^{n+k})(S^{*m}+T^{*m})((S^D)^{n+k}+(T^D)^{n+k}) \\ &= ((S+T)^{*m}((S+T)^D)^{n+k})^2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $S+T \in [n+k, mD]$.

- Theorem 6. Let $T_{a1}, T_{a2}, \dots, T_{aq} \in [n+k, mD]$, then it follows that;
 - $T_{a1} \oplus T_{a2} \oplus \dots \oplus T_{aq} \in [n+k, mD]$.
 - $T_{a1} \otimes T_{a2} \otimes \dots \otimes T_{aq} \in [n+k, mD]$.

Proof.

$T_{aj} \in [n+k, mD]$ for all $aj = 1, 2, \dots, aq$ implies;

$$T_{aj}^{*2m}(T_{aj}^D)^{2(n+k)} = (T_{aj}^{*m}(T_{aj}^D)^{n+k})^2$$

thus

$$\begin{aligned} & (T_{a1} \oplus T_{a2} \oplus \dots \oplus T_{aj})^{*2m} ((T_{a1} \oplus T_{a2} \oplus \dots \oplus T_{aj})^D)^{2(n+k)} \\ &= T_{a1}^{*2m}(T_{a1}^D)^{2(n+k)} \oplus T_{a2}^{*2m}(T_{a2}^D)^{2(n+k)} \oplus \dots \oplus T_{aj}^{*2m}(T_{aj}^D)^{2(n+k)} \\ &= (T_{a1}^{*m}(T_{a1}^D)^{n+k})^2 \oplus (T_{a2}^{*m}(T_{a2}^D)^{n+k})^2 \oplus \dots \oplus (T_{aj}^{*m}(T_{aj}^D)^{n+k})^2 \\ &= T_{a1}^{*m}(T_{a1}^D)^{n+k} T_{a1}^{*m}(T_{a1}^D)^{n+k} \oplus T_{a2}^{*m}(T_{a2}^D)^{n+k} T_{a2}^{*m}(T_{a2}^D)^{n+k} \oplus \dots \oplus T_{aj}^{*m}(T_{aj}^D)^{n+k} T_{aj}^{*m}(T_{aj}^D)^{n+k} \\ &= T_{a1}^{*m}(T_{a1}^D)^{n+k} \oplus T_{a2}^{*m}(T_{a2}^D)^{n+k} \oplus \dots \oplus T_{aj}^{*m}(T_{aj}^D)^{n+k} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= ((T_{\alpha_1}^{*m} \oplus T_{\alpha_2}^{*m} \oplus \dots \oplus T_{\alpha_j}^{*m}) \\
 &((T_{\alpha_1}^D)^{n+k} \oplus (T_{\alpha_2}^D)^{n+k} \oplus \dots \oplus (T_{\alpha_j}^D)^{n+k})) \\
 &= ((T_{\alpha_1} \oplus T_{\alpha_2} \oplus \dots \oplus T_{\alpha_j})^{*m} ((T_{\alpha_1} \oplus T_{\alpha_2} \oplus \dots \oplus T_{\alpha_j}) \\
 &^D)^{n+k})^2
 \end{aligned}$$

iii. The proof for (ii) follows similarly.

REFERENCES

- [1] Abood and Kadhim. Some properties of D-operator. Iraqi Journal of science, vol. 61(12), (2020), 3366-3371.
- [2] Ben-Israel, A. and Greville, T.N, Generalized inverses: Theory and applications, sec. ed; springer vralg, New York.
- [3] Campbell, S.R. and Meyer, C.D. 1991. Generalized inverses of linear transformations, pitman, New York.
- [4] Dana, M and Yousef, R., On the classes of D-normal operators and D-quasi normal operators on Hilbert space, operators and matrices, vol.12 (2) (2018),465-487.
- [5] Jibril, A.A.S., On Operators for which $T^{*2}(T)^2 = (T * T)^2$, international mathematical forum, vol. 5(46) ,2255-2262.
- [6] S. Panayappan, N. Sivamani., On n-power class(Q) operators, Int. Journal of Math. Analysis, Vol .6 (31) (2012), 1513-1518.