On (N+K)- Power- D-Operator

WANJALA VICTOR¹, A.M. NYONGESA² ^{1, 2} Kibabii University, Bungoma-Kenya.

Abstract- In this paper, we introduce the class of (N+K)-power -D-operator acting on the Hilbertspace H over the complex plane. A bounded linear operator T is said to be an (N+K)-power -D-operatorif $T^{*2}(T^{D})^{2(n+k)}) = (T * (T^{D})^{n+k})^{2}$ for positive integers n and k and where T^{D} is the Drazin inverse of T. We investigate thebasic behavior of this class of operator.

Indexed Terms- Normal operators, D-Operator, Almost Class (Q), quasi -class (Q) operators, N quasi D-operator.

I. INTRODUCTION

H denotes the separable complex Hilbert space in this paper, while B(H) is the usual Banach algebra of all bounded linear operators on H. Let $T \in B(H)$, Drazin inverse of T is an operator $T^{D} \in B(H)$, such that $TT^{D} =$ T ^DT, T ^D= T ^DTT ^D and T ^{k+1}T ^D= T k provided it exists. An operator $T \in B(H)$ is said to be D-Operator if T ${}^{*2}(T^{D})^{2} = (T^{*}T^{D})^{2}$, this was covered by Abood and Kadhim in (1),N quasi D- Operator was covered by Wanjala Victor and A.M. Nyongesa in (6), a bounded linear operator T is said to be N Quasi D-operator ifT $(T *^{2}(T D)^{2}) = N (T * T D)^{2}T,M$ Quasi class (Q) if T (T $^{*2}T^{2}$) = M (T $^{*}T$)²T (5),class (Q) if T $^{*2}T^{2}$ = (T $^{*}T$)² (4), Quasiclass (Q) if T (T ${}^{*2}T^{2}$) = (T ${}^{*}T)^{2}T$, for a bounded linear operator N. Let $T = \xi + i\zeta$, with $\xi =$ $\operatorname{Re}(T) = \frac{T D + T *}{2}$ and $\zeta = \operatorname{Im}(T) = \frac{T D - T *}{2i}$. We shall simply denote U $^2 = (T * T ^D)^2$ and V $^2 = T *^2 (T ^D)^2$ where C and V are non-negative definite.

II. MAIN RESULTS

Definition 1. Let $T \in B(H)$ be Drazin invertible, an operator T is called (N+K)-power -D-operator if $T^{*2}(T^{D})^{2(n+k)} = (T^{*}(T^{D})^{n+k})^{2}$ for positive integers n and k.

Proposition 2. Let Tbe (n+k)-power D-operator, then the following holds;

i. $\lambda T \in (n+k)$ -D-operator for every scalar λ .

- S ∈ (n+k)-D-operator for every S ∈ B(H) that is unitarily equivalent to T.
- iii. The restriction/M of T to any closed subspace M of H which reduces T is in (n+k)-D-operator.
- iv. $(T^{D})^{n+k} \in (n+k)$ -D-operator.

Proof.

- i. The proof is trivial.
- ii. Since S is unitarily equivalent to T, there exists a unitary operator $U \in B(H)$ such that S=UTU *. Hence;

 $S^{*2n}(S^D)^{2(n+k)} = (UT * U *)^2 (U (T^D)^{n+k}U^*)^2$

$$\begin{split} &= (UT *U *) (UT *U *) (U (T ^{D})^{n+k}U *) (U (T ^{D})^{n+k}U *) \\ &= UT *T * (T ^{D})^{n+k} (T ^{D})^{n+k}U * \\ &= UT *^{2}(T ^{D})^{2(n+k)}U * \\ &= U (T * (T ^{D})^{n+k})^{2}U * \\ &= UT * (T ^{D})^{n+k}T * (T ^{D})^{n+k}U * \\ &= (UT *U *) (U (T ^{D})^{n+k}U *) (UT *U *) (U (T ^{D})^{n+k}U *) \\ &= S*(S^{D})^{n+k}S*(S^{D})^{n+k} \\ &= (S^{*}(S^{D})^{n+k})^{2}. \end{split}$$

Thus $S \in (n+k)$ -D-operator.

iii. $(T/M)^{*2}((T/M) D)^{2(n+k)}$

- $=(T/M)^{*}(T/M)^{*}((T/M)^{D})^{n+k}((T/M)^{D})^{n+k}$
- = $(T */M) (T */M) ((T ^D)^{n+k}/M) ((T ^D)^{n+k}/M)$
- $= (T *T */M) ((T ^{D})^{n+k} (T^{D})^{n+k}/M)^{2}$
- $= (T^{*2}/M) ((T^{D})^{2(n+k)}/M)$
- $= (T^{*2}(T^{D})^{2(n+k)})/M$
- $= (T * (T ^{D})^{n+k}T* (T ^{D})^{n+k})/M$
- $= ((T * (T ^{D})^{n+k})/M) ((T * (T ^{D})^{n+k})/M)$
- $= ((T */M) ((T ^D)^{n+k}/M) (T */M) ((T ^D)^{n+k}/M))$
- $= ((T */M) ((T ^{D})^{n+k/M})^{2}$
- $= ((T/M)^*((T/M)^D)^{n+k})^{2.}$

Hence T/M \in (n+k)-D-operator.

iv. Suppose $T \in (n+k)$ -D-operator, then; $T *^{2n} (T D)^{2(n+k)} = (T (T D)^{n+k})^2$, hence $T *T (T D)^{n+k} (T D)^{n+k} = T (T D)^{n+k}T^* (T D)^{n+k}$ taking adjoins on both sides $= ((T^{*})^{D})^{n+k} ((T^{*})^{D})^{n+k}TT = ((T^{*})^{D})^{n+k}T ((T^{*})^{D})^{n+k}T.$ Thus $(((T^{D})^{n+k})^{*})^{2}T^{2} = (((T^{D})^{n+k})^{*}T)^{2}.$

hence $(T^{D})^{n+k} \in (n+k)$ -D-operator.

Proposition 3. The set of all (n+k)-D-operator is a closed subset of B(H) on H.

Proof.

Let $\{T_q\}$ be a sequence of (n+k)-D-operator operators with $Tq \rightarrow T$. We have to show that $T_{n-1} \subset \{n+k\}$ D operator. Now $T_{n-1} \subset \{n+k\}$ D

 $\begin{array}{l} T\in (n+k)\text{-}D\text{-}operator. \ Now \ T_q \to T \ implies \ T_q^* \to T \\ ^*and \ (T_q^{\ D})^{n+k} \to \ (T^{\ D})^{n+k} \ Thus \ T_q^*(T_q^{\ D})^{n+k} \to T^{\ *} \ (T \\ ^D)^{n+k}gives \end{array}$

$$(T_q^*(T_q^D)^{n+k})^2 \rightarrow (T^*(T^D)^{n+k})^2 \dots (0.1)$$

Similarly,

 $T_q{}^{*2} \rightarrow T$ *2 and $(T_q{}^D)^{2(n+k)} \rightarrow (T{}^D)^{2(n+k)},$ thus

$$T_{q}^{*2}(T_{q}^{D})^{2(n+k)} \rightarrow T^{*2}(T^{D})^{2(n+k)}$$
 (0.2)

hence from (0.1) and (0.2) we have;

$$\begin{split} \left\| T^{*2}(T^{D})^{2(n+k)} - (T^{*}(T^{D})^{n+k})^{2} \right\| \\ &= \left\| T^{*2}(T^{D})^{2(n+k)} - T_{q}^{*2}(T_{q}^{D})^{2(n+k)} + T_{q}^{*2}(T_{q}^{D})^{2(n+k)} - (T^{*}(T^{D})^{n+k})^{2} \right\| \\ &\leq \left\| T^{*2}(T^{D})^{2(n+k)} - T_{q}^{*2}(T_{q}^{D})^{2(n+k)} \right\| + \left\| T_{q}^{*2}(T_{q}^{D})^{2(n+k)} - (T^{*}(T^{D})^{n+k})^{2} \right\| \\ &= \left\| T^{*2}(T^{D})^{n+k})^{2} \right\| \\ &= \left\| T^{*2}(T^{D})^{n+k})^{2} - (T^{*}(T^{D})^{n+k})^{2} \right\| \to 0 \text{ as } q \to \infty \text{ and thus} \\ T^{*2}(T^{D})^{2(n+k)} = (T^{*}(T^{D})^{n+k})^{2} \text{ hence } T \in (n+k)\text{-}D\text{-} \end{split}$$

T *²(T ^D)^{2(n+k)}= (T * (T ^D)^{n+k})² hence T \in (n+k)-D-operator.

Proposition 4. Let S, T ∈(n+k)-D-operator. If [S, T] = [S, T *] = 0, then TS ∈(n+k)-D-operator.

Proof [S, T] = [S, T *] = 0 implies;

 $[S, T] = [S^{D}, T] = [S^{*}, T^{D}] = 0$ with S, T $\in (n+k)$ -D-operatorwe have; $S^{*2}(S^{D})^{2(n+k)} = (S^{*}(S^{D})^{n+k})^{2}$ and

 $T^{*2}(T^{D})^{2(n+k)} = (T^{*}(T^{D})^{n+k})^{2}$, hence

$$\begin{split} (TS)^{*2}((TS)^{D})^{2(n+k)} &= (TS)^{*}(TS)^{*}((TS)^{D})^{n+k}((TS)^{D})^{n+k} \\ &= S^{*}T^{*}S^{*}T^{*}(T^{D})^{n+k}(S^{D})^{n+k}(T^{D})^{n+k}(S^{D})^{n+k} \\ &= S^{*}S^{*}(S^{D})^{n+k}(S^{D})^{n+k}T^{*}T^{*}(T^{D})^{n+k}(T^{D})^{n+k} \\ &= S^{*2}T^{*2}(S^{D})^{2(n+k)}(T^{D})^{2(n+k)} \\ &= S^{*}S^{*}T^{*}T^{*}(S^{D})^{n+k}(T^{D})^{n+k}(S^{D})^{n+k}(T^{D})^{n+k} \\ &= S^{*}T^{*}S^{*}T^{*}(S^{D})^{n+k}(T^{D})^{n+k}(S^{D})^{n+k}(T^{D})^{n+k} \\ &= ((TS)^{*}(TS)^{*}((TS)^{D})^{n+k})^{2}. \end{split}$$

Hence TS \in (n+k)-D-operator.

Proposition 5. Let S, T \in (n+k)-D-operator.If TS= ST=0, then S+T \in (n+k)-D-operator.

Proof.

S, T \in (n+k)-D-operator implies; S^{*2}(S^D)^{2(n+k)}= (S^{*}(S^D)^{n+k})² and T ^{*2}(T ^D)^{2(n+k)}= (T ^{*} (T ^D)^{n+k})². TS=ST=0 implies T ^{*}S^{*}= S^{*}T ^{*}which further implies ((S + T) ^D)^{n+k}= (SD)^{n+k}+ (T ^D)^{n+k}. Thus, = (S + T) ^{*2}((S + T) D)^{2(n+k)}= (S + T) ^{*} (S + T) ^{*} ((S + T) D)^{n+k} (S + T) D)^{n+k}

$$\begin{split} &= (S^{*+} T^{*}) (S^{*+} T^{*}) (S^{D} + T^{D})^{n+k} (S^{D} + T^{D})^{n+k} \\ &= (S^{*2} + T^{*2}) ((S^{D})^{2(n+k)} + (T^{D})^{2(n+k)}) \\ &= S^{*2}(S^{D})^{2(n+k)} + T^{*2}(T^{D})^{2(n+k)} \\ &= (S^{*}(S^{D})^{n+k})^{2} + (T^{*} (T^{D})^{n+k})^{2} \\ &= (S^{*}(S^{D})^{n+k} + T^{*} (T^{D})^{n+k}) (S^{*}(S^{D})^{n+k} + T^{*} (T^{D})^{n+k}) \\ &= (S^{*+} T^{*}) ((S^{D})^{n+k} + (T^{D})^{n+k}) (S^{*+} T^{*}) ((S^{D})^{n+k} + (T^{D})^{n+k}) \\ &= ((S + T)^{*} ((S + T)^{D})^{n+k})^{2}. \end{split}$$

Hence $S+T \in (n+k)$ -D-operator.

Theorem 6. Let $T_{\alpha 1}$, $T_{\alpha 2}$ $T_{\alpha q} \in (n+k)$ -D-operator. , then it follows that;

i. $T_{\alpha 1} \bigoplus T_{\alpha 2} \bigoplus \dots \bigoplus T_{\alpha q} \in (n+k)$ -D-operator.

ii. $T_{\alpha 1} \bigotimes T_{\alpha 2} \bigotimes \dots \bigotimes T_{\alpha q} \in (n+k)$ -D-operator.

$$\begin{split} & \text{Proof. (i)} . \ T_{\alpha j} \in (n\!+\!k) \text{-} \text{D-operator for all } \alpha j = 1, 2, \\ & \dots \dots \alpha q \text{ implies;} \\ & T_{\alpha j}{}^{*2} (T_{\alpha j}{}^D)^{2(n+k)} = (T_{\alpha j} * (T_{\alpha j}{}^D)^{n+k})^2 \text{ thus} \\ & (T_{\alpha 1} \bigoplus T_{\alpha 2} \bigoplus \dots \dots \bigoplus T_{\alpha j})^{*2} ((T_{\alpha 1} \bigoplus T_{\alpha 2} \bigoplus \dots \bigoplus T_{\alpha j}) \\ & D_{j}{}^{2(n+k)} \\ & = T_{\alpha 1}{}^{*2} (T_{\alpha 1}{}^D)^{2(n+k)} \bigoplus T_{\alpha 2}{}^{*2} (T_{\alpha 2}{}^D)^{2(n+k)} \bigoplus \dots \bigoplus T_{\alpha} \\ & j^{*2} (T_{\alpha j}{}^D)^{2(n+k)} \\ & = (T_{\alpha 1}{}^* (T_{\alpha 1}{}^D)^{n+k})^2 \bigoplus (T_{\alpha 2}{}^* (T_{\alpha 2}{}^D)^{n+k})^2 \bigoplus \dots \dots \bigoplus (T_{\alpha j}{}^* (T_{\alpha j}{}^n)^{n+k})^2 \\ \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} & {}_{j}^{D})^{n+k})^{2} \\ & = T_{\alpha 1}^{*} (T_{\alpha 1}{}^{D})^{n+k} T_{\alpha 1}^{*} (T_{\alpha 1}{}^{D})^{n+k} \bigoplus T_{\alpha 2}^{*} (T_{\alpha 2}{}^{D})^{n+k} T_{\alpha 2}^{*} \\ & (T_{\alpha 2}{}^{D})^{n+k} \bigoplus \dots \bigoplus T_{\alpha j}^{*} (T_{\alpha j}{}^{D})^{n+k} T_{\alpha j}^{*} (T_{\alpha j}{}^{D})^{n+k} \\ & = T_{\alpha 1}^{*} (T_{\alpha 1}{}^{D})^{n+k} \bigoplus T_{\alpha 2}^{*} (T_{\alpha 2}{}^{D})^{n+k} \bigoplus \dots \bigoplus T_{\alpha j}^{*} (T_{\alpha j}{}^{D})^{n+k} \\ & = ((T_{\alpha 1}^{*} \bigoplus T_{\alpha 2}^{*} \bigoplus \dots \bigoplus T_{\alpha j}^{*}) ((T_{\alpha 1}{}^{D})^{n+k} \bigoplus (T_{\alpha 2}{}^{D})^{n+k} \bigoplus \\ & \dots \dots \bigoplus (T_{\alpha j}{}^{D})^{n+k})) \\ & = ((T_{\alpha 1} \bigoplus T_{\alpha 2} \bigoplus \dots \bigoplus T_{\alpha j})^{*} ((T_{\alpha 1} \bigoplus T_{\alpha 2} \bigoplus \dots \bigoplus T_{\alpha j})^{D})^{n+k})^{2} \end{split}$$

iii. The proof for (ii) follows similarly.

REFERENCES

- Abood and Kadhim. Some properties of Doperator. Iraqi Journal of science, vol. 61(12), (2020), 3366-3371.
- [2] Campbell, S.R. and Meyer, C.D. 1991. Generalized inverses of linear transformations, pitman, New York.
- [3] Dana, M and Yousef, R., On the classes of Dnormal operators and D-quasi normal operators on Hilbert space, operators and matrices, vol.12 (2) (2018),465-487.
- [4] Jibril, A.A.S.., On Operators for which $T^{*2}(T)^2 = (T^{*}T)^2$, international mathematical forum, vol. 5(46) ,2255-2262.
- [5] V. Revathi and P. Maheswari Naik., A study on properties of M quasi- class (Q) operator, international Journal of advance research, ideas and innovations in technology, vol. 5 (2).
- [6] Wanjala Victor and A.M. Nyongesa., On N Quasi D-operator operators, international journal of mathematics and its applications, vol. 9(2) (2021), 245-248.