

Performance and Emission Characteristics of Biodiesel

DR. M. VARATHAVIJAYAN¹, P. VINOTHRAJA²

^{1,2}Department of Mechanical engineering, Latha Mathavan Engineering College/Anna University, India

Abstract- Rapid increase in the rate of fossil fuel depletion has raised concerns all over the world. People are starting to use alternative renewable fuels along with the fossil fuels. One of the largely used alternative fuels is the biodiesel, which can be used directly along with the diesel without making much modification to the engine. The commonly observed disadvantages while using biodiesel- diesel blends are increased emission. The aim of this work is to study the effect of adding nanoparticles in a biodiesel- diesel blend on the performance and emission attributes of a diesel engine. Biodiesel obtained from orange peel, corn, mustard, neem, mahua, cashew nut shell oil is used in this paper. It is observed that the fuel consumption and emissions such as NO, CO and smoke are decreased for these blends.

Index Terms- Emission, Biodiesel, Fuels, Additives, Diesel Engine

I. INTRODUCTION

The economy of any country is advanced by the operationalization of a better transportation system as the average consumption of energy by the transport sector increases 1.1% per year. The industrialization has led to an increase in energy consumption and demand. In the early stages to meet the energy demand crude oil was used as an alternative, and the world faced a shortage of crude oil from 1970. To resolve this issue, researchers and scientists around the world effectuated the research on developing alternative fuels. The population growth has led to the increased usage of automobiles resulting in the increase of harmful emission gases to the earth's atmosphere. Biodiesel combustion curtails the emission gases such as carbon monoxide, sulphur dioxide, unburned hydrocarbon, and nitrogen oxides, compared to conventional diesel fuel. Many researchers have reported that biodiesel and traditional diesel have indistinguishable physical and

chemical properties. The use of biodiesel had an increasing trend because of its compatibility with a diesel engine without any modifications. To study the Influence of water on orange peel oil and Corn oil biodiesel, Effect of oxygenated additive on mustard biodiesel, Effect of higher alcohol in Neembiodiesel, Role of nano additives (CNT & CeO₂) on Neem biodiesel, CeO₂ on Mahua oil and ZnO on Cashew nut shell oil Effect of preheating the Cashew nut shell oil biodiesel on Emission

II. VEGETABLE OIL BIODIESEL

Table 1: Fuel Samples

DIES EL				
BIO DIES EL	Orange Peel Oil Biodiesel	BD100	BD95W5	BD90W10
	Corn Oil Biodiesel	COBD	COBDE1	COBDE2
	Mustard Biodiesel	B100	BD90DTBP10	BD80DTBP20
	Neem Biodiesel	NBD	NBD + 50ppm CNT	NBD+100ppm CNT
		NBD	NBD+10nm CeO ₂	NBD+20nm CeO ₂
		NBD	NBD90A10	NBD80A20
	Mahua oil biodiesel	MOBD	MOBDCeO ₂ 100	MOBDCeO ₂ 2200
	Cashew	BD100	BD100T70	BD100T80, BD100T90

	w nut shell oil biodie sel			
		BD1 00	BD100ZnO 10 (10nm)	BD100ZnO 2 (20nm)

III. ORANGE PEEL OIL BIODIESEL

Materials and Methods of Test Fuel Preparation:

- Oil is extracted from orange peels by Steam distillation process
- Additives Water 5% , Biodiesel 95%, Water 10%, Biodiesel 90%, Neat Biodiesel 100% Diesel

Experimental Setup:

- Engine – Common rail, 1 cylinder and Direct Injection diesel engine (Power 4.2kw and 1300rpm)

Test Fuel Preparation:

- Orange peel oil – extraction by steam distillation process
- 1.2 kg of Orange peels are placed in the steam chamber and heated to 110°C
- Orange peel oil was separated from the mixture due to its density difference
- 1.2 kg of orange peel yielded 700 ml of oil
- 600 gm sample of oil in the reactor was heated to 75°C

Transesterification process:

- Molar ratio is 6:1 (methanol to oil)
- Catalysts 0.25% (wt/wt) to biodiesel
- Orange oil biodiesel is separated
- Properties of test fuels estimated according to ASTM standards

Results and Discussion:

- Carbon Monoxide Emission:
- CO emission (from BD100, BD95W5, BD90W10) is comparatively lower than that of diesel
- Availability of Oxygen in blends of biodiesel and water
- Accelerates oxidation reaction
- Reduces CO emission

- CO emission decreases with increase in water content for biodiesel
- CO emission – 8.8% lower for BD95W5 and 11.16% lower for BD90W10 as compared to BD100
- Low viscosity of BD95W5 of BD90W10 boosts evaporation and reduce CO emission

Unburned Hydrocarbon Emission:

- HC emission formed by
- excess assimilation of air and fuel
- Poor mixing of fuel injection at the end of combustion process
- Fuel impingement on the combustion chamber walls

HC emission for BD95W5, BD90W10 and BD100 is lower than that of diesel at all

- Brake power
- BD95W5, BD90W10 and BD100 contain lower carbon atom and enriched oxygen – promotes combustion and lower HC emission
- Addition of water (in BD95W5, BD90W10) has reduced the unburned hydrocarbon emission

Oxides of Nitrogen Emission:

- Depends on oxygen content and the mass of fuel burned
- NO_x emissions from BD95W5, BD90W10 and BD100 are higher than that of diesel
- Higher emission due to rich availability of oxygen in blends of biodiesel and water
- Inherent oxygen content in BD95W5, BD90W10 and BD100 accelerates the oxidation
- reaction and increase NO_x
- Emission for BD95W5, BD90W10 is inferior than that of BD100 at all conditions
- Water particle in BD95W5 and BD90W10 reduces the gas combustion temperature and
- lower NO_x emission

Smoke Opacity:

- Smoke emission is lower than that of diesel at all brake power
- BD95W5, BD90W10 and BD100 contains lower carbon atom and enriched oxygen

- (promotes the combustion and lower smoke emissions)
- Addition of water to bio diesel reduces smoke
- Water concentration increases evaporation and result in over smoke emission for BD95W5, BD90W10 and BD100

Conclusion:

- Neat fuel shall be used as a neat fuel in an unmodified diesel engine
- BD95W5 and BD90W10 exhibit lower HC and CO
- Maximum reduction of 8.8% of HC and 10.1% of CO obtained
- Presence of water
- Increases evaporation tendency
- Resulted in complete combustion
- Lesser HC and CO emission
- NO_x and smoke emission reduced largely for BD90W10
- Maximum reduction 12.4% of NO_x and 18.4 % of smoke emission for BD90W10 (as compared to BD100)
- Water particle helps to reduce the peak temperature inside the cylinder by absorbing the heat energy during the combustion

IV. CORN OIL BIODIESEL

Materials and Methods:

Test Fuel Preparation:

- Edible Corn Oil is converted to biodiesel by transesterification process

Additives -water Emulsion Preparation:

- Emulsion is prepared by changing the proportion of surfactants and water

Experimental Setup:

- Engine – Water cooled naturally aspirated stationary application diesel engine (Power 4.2kW)

Results and Discussion:

Brake Thermal Efficiency:

- BTE is lower than diesel owing to its lower heating value
- BTE (of COBD, COBDE1, COBDE2) is lower than diesel owing to its lower heating value
- BTE (of COBDE1, COBDE2) is higher than

COBD at all testing conditions. Water content in COBDE1 and COBDE2 conversion to superheated steam and produces more power (increases fuel efficiency at all engine loads)

- This is because of the heat sink effect of water present in the biodiesel
- Fuel with lower viscosity (COBDE1 and COBDE2) assist the combustion process as it combines the fuel with air and produces higher BTE.

Brake-Specific Fuel Consumption:

- BSFC reduces with BMEP for all tested fuel samples
- BSFC of diesel was lower than that of other test fuels (COBDE1, COBDE2)
- BSFC for COBDE1 and COBDE2 was lower than neat COBD.
- Primary reason for the behavior is due to water content in COBDE1 and COBDE2 which converts into super heated steam and produces more power, thus reducing the fuel consumption rate
- Fuel with lower viscosity (COBDE1 and COBDE2) assists the combustion process as it combines the fuel with air and produces lower BSFC

CO Emission:

- CO emissions are Comparatively lesser than that of diesel
- CO emissions are Comparatively lesser than that of diesel
- Abundant availability of inbuilt oxygen in COBD and water blends
- Inherent Oxygen content of COBD and water blends also accelerates the oxidation reaction and reduces the CO emission
- CO emission decreases with the increase in water content for corn biodiesel.
- CO emission for COBDE1 is 7.2% lower and for COBDE2 was 9.6% lower than that of COBD
- Low viscosity of COBD and water blends promotes evaporation process and decrease CO emission.
- Fuel with lower viscosity aids in better evaporation of fuel with air (results in improved combustion and lower CO emission)

Unburned HCEmission:

- HC emissions for neat COBD and its water blends are lower than that of diesel at BMEP
- Formation of unburned HC in a diesel engine is due to flammability region during the ignition delay period, poor mixing of fuel injection at the end of the combustion process and fuel impingement on the combustion chamber walls.
- HC emission for neat COBD and its water blends are lower than those of diesel at BMEP
- The inherent oxygen content of neat COBD and water blends promotes the combustion process and lower HC emissions
- The addition of water into COBD (COBDE1 and COBDE2) reduces the unburned HC emissions
- The presence of water particles in the biodiesel accelerates the heat sink which in turn lowers the HC emission during emulsified fuel operation
- Water in biodiesel increases the evaporation process and results in complete combustion and low HC emission

NOx Emissions:

- NOx emissions from COBD and its water blends are higher than that of diesel at all
- Conditions
- Smoke Opacity
- BSFC reduces with BMEP for all tested fuel samples.
- BSFC of diesel was lower than that of other test fuels (COBDE1, COBDE2)

Conclusion:

- Inclusion of water particles at different proportions to orange peel oil biodiesel and emission parameters is studied
- BTE of COBD is 25.1% COBDE1 is 26.4 % COBDE2 is 26.8 and diesel fuel is 29%
- BSFC of COBDE1 and COBDE2 is reduced with addition of water to the biodiesel
- COBDE1 and COBDE2 exhibit lower HC and CO emission (as compared to COBD)
- Maximum reduction - 7.2% of HC and 9.6% of CO emission
- Water increases evaporation tendency resulting in complete combustion
- NOx and smoke emission of the biofuel are

largely reduced for COBDE2 (as compared to COBD)

- Maximum reduction – 6.6 % of NOx and 4.2 % of smoke emission
- Water in biodiesel reduces the temperature of combustion and absorbs heat energy during combustion

V. MUSTARD BIODIESEL

Materials and Methods

Properties of test fuel:

- The properties of BD90DTBP10, BD80DTBP20, B100 and diesel are evaluated as per ASTM D6751.
- Addition of DTBP to biodiesel reduces viscosity by 13.2%
- Cetane index of biodiesel is higher than that of biofuels due to its shorter chain length
- Density of B100 is 5.7% higher than diesel due to its weight and molecular structure
- The calorific value of B100 is 9.5% lower than diesel

Experimental set-up (engine testing)

- A water-cooled and naturally aspirated stationary application diesel engine of rated power 4.2 kW was subjected to emission testing.
- Pollution from the exhaust tailpipe were measured using AVL di-gas gas analyzer and smoke was measured using AVL smoke meter in BSU
- Comparison of emission parameters was conducted using neat biodiesel (B100), BD90DTBP10 and BD80DTBP20 with the baseline operation of the engine i.e. with neat diesel.
- Overall uncertainty = $\sqrt{(\text{uncertainty of CO})^2 + (\text{uncertainty of NOx})^2 + (\text{uncertainty of HC})^2 + (\text{uncertainty of Smoke})^2 + (\text{uncertainty of BTE})^2 + (\text{uncertainty of BSFC})^2}$ = $\sqrt{(0.54)^2 + (0.61)^2 + (0.44)^2 + (0.58)^2 + (0.34)^2 + (0.55)^2}$

Results and Discussion:

- Carbon Monoxide emissions:
- BD90DTBP10, BD80DTBP20, B100 produces 4.14%, 6.26% and 3.55% respectively
- Lower CO emissions than the diesel
- The DTBP addition with B100 lowers the CO emission

- CO emission reduce linearly with increase in proportion of DTBP.
- The possible reason – lower chain of carbon atoms in its structure and improved ignition quality of DTBP in modified fuels.
- The oxygen content of BD90DTBP10, BD80DTBP20 resulted in the reduction of CO emissions
- The maximum CO emission for diesel was 3.0 g/kWh, 2.8 g/kWh for B100, 2.6 g/kWh for BD90DTBP10, 2.3 g/kWh for BD80DTBP20, at maximum BMEP (6bar)

Unburnt hydrocarbon emissions:

- HC emissions increase for all test fuels with BMEP
- HC emissions for biofuels are lower than diesel at all BMEP.
- The oxygen content of BD90DTBP10, BD80DTBP20, B100 leads to complete combustion, and hence BD90DTBP10, BD80DTBP20, B100 produces 6.19%, 8.97%, and 4.41 % lower emission than diesel
- The DTBP addition with B100 reduces the HC emission significantly.
- The maximum HC emission for diesel was 0.44 g/kWh, 0.38 g/kWh for B100, 0.44 g/kWh for BD90DTBP10, 0.44 g/kWh for BD80DTBP20 at maximum brake mean effective pressure (6 bar) .

Smoke emission:

- Smoke emissions increase with BMEP for all test fuels.
- Smoke emissions for BD90DTBP10, BD80DTBP20 and B100 are lower than the diesel
- at all BMEP
- BD90DTBP10, BD80DTBP20 and B100 produce 6.14%, 8.1%, and 5.41%
- lower smoke emission than the diesel (at peak load condition)
- The DTBP addition with B100 lowers the smoke emission
- Smoke emission reduce linearly with increase in proportion of DTBP
- BD90DTBP10, BD80DTBP20, produces prolonged flammability and increases the combustion rate and reduce the DTBP blends in B100 reduce the

viscosity and cause higher dissipation, rapid and richer fuel-air blending and lower smoke emission.

- The maximum smoke emission for diesel was 1.25 BSU, 1.1 BSU for B100,
- 0.9 BSU for BD90DTBP10, 0.8 BSU for BD80DTBP, at maximum brake mean effective pressure (6bar)

Brake thermal efficiency:

- BTE increase with BMEP for all test fuels.
- Biofuels have lower calorific values
- The calorific value of B100 is 9.5% lower than diesel.
- Hence more quantity of fuel is supplied to meet constant power output
- B100 produces 2.1% lower BTE than diesel fuel at peak load condition
- The DTBP addition with B100 further lowers BTE
- BTE reduce with increase in proportion of DTBP
- BD90DTBP10 and BD80DTBP20 produce 4.2 % and 4.8% lower BTE than the diesel fuel at peak load condition
- The requirements of BD90DTBP10 and BD80DTBP20 for delivering the same power as that of diesel would be higher thereby causing heat losses and paving way for lower efficiencies
- The maximum BTE for diesel was 28.8%, B100 is 26.8%, BD90DTBP10 is 24.6% and BD80DTBP20 is 24.1% at maximum BMEP (6bar)

Brake specific fuel consumption (BSFC):

- BSFC is a parameter, which defines fuel consumption and utilization per unit power and time
- BSFC for BD90DTBP10, BD80DTBP20 and B100 higher than diesel at all BMEP.
- BD90DTBP10, BD80DTBP20 and B100 produce 0.056 kg/kWh, 0.049 kg/kWh and 0.027 kg/kWh higher BSFC than diesel fuel at peak condition
- The DTBP addition with B100 increases the fuel consumption
- BTE and BSFC are inversely proportional
- The maximum BSFC for diesel was 0.254 kg/kWh, 0.281 kg/kWh for B100, 0.31 kg/kWh for BD90DTBP10 and 0.33 kg/kWh for BD80DTBP20 at maximum BMEP (6bar)

Nitrogen oxide emissions:

- Nox emissions for biofuels are higher than diesel at all BMEP
- The DTBP addition with B100 lowers the Nox emission
- Nox emission reduce linearly with increase in proportion of DTBP
- The maximum NOx emission for diesel was 13.1g/kWh, 14.9g/kWh for B100, 14.2g/kWh for BD90DTBP10, 13.4g/kWh for BD80DTBP20, at maximum mean effective pressure (6bar)

Conclusions:

- Fuel samples – BD100, BD90DTBP10, BD80DTBP20, and diesel
- DTBP – Oxygenated additive
- Emission characteristics of the test fuels analysed
- Production of Biodiesel – by Base – Catalysed transesterification process
- HC and CO emissions reduced with addition of DTBP as compared to BD100 (owing to enriched oxygen content)
- Reduction in emission For BD80DTBP20 – 5.2 % in HC and 7.4 % in CO
- Smoke opacity – reduced by 3.6% for BD80DTBP20 (due to enhanced spray characteristics of DTBP blends)
- NOx emission lowered by 6.86% for BD90DTBP10 and 11.2% for BD80DTBP20 than BD100. NOx emission for biodiesel is higher than diesel at all conditions
- BTE in Ascending order: Diesel > B100 > BD90DTBP10 > BD80DTBP20 (owing to lesser calorific value)
- Overall BSFC is: 1.63 kg/kWh (BD80DTBP20), 1.55 kg/kWh (BD90DTBP10), and 1.44g/kWh (B100) are inferior to 1.33g/kWh (Diesel) – owing to lesser calorific value

VI. NEEM BIODIESEL

Neem Biodiesel NBD Blending with Carbon Nanotubes CNT

- NBDCNT50 – CNT (alpha, 98+%) 50ppm
- NBDCNT100 – CNT (alpha, 98+%) 100ppm

Materials and Methods:

- Fuel Preparation – base catalysed transesterification

process

- CNT – procured from sigma-aldrich (99.4%)
- Particle size 50nm.
- Fuel containing CNT nanoparticle is also stirred using magnetic agitator for 60 min at a speed of 450 rpm
- Engine setup – Single cylinder and four-stroke diesel engine

Results and Discussion:

Nitrogen Oxide emission:

- The NBD and CNT blends exhibit more amount of Nox than diesel due to higher oxygen availability that resulted in high combustion temperature and higher Nox emissions

Hydrocarbon emission:

- The samples exhibit lower HC emissions than diesel at all loads

Carbon Monoxide Emission:

- CO emission characteristics of the diesel are higher than that of samples

Carbon dioxide emission:

- The amount of oxygen available in samples for combustion is adequate causing effective combustion and higher CO₂ emissions than diesel

Smoke opacity:

- Smoke emissions of diesel are higher than that of all samples

Conclusion:

- Engine – single cylinder type
- Fuel samples – NBD, NBDCNT50, NBDCNT100 and diesel
- CNT – metal based additive
- Emission characteristics of test fuels have been analysed by comparing with the neat baseline diesel fuel
- Production of biodiesel by base – catalysed transesterification technique
- Physiochemical properties of Biodiesel is par with ASTM standards
- NBD emits 4.8% higher NOx compared to diesel at peak load condition
- CNT nanoparticle inclusion at 100 ppm promotes 9.2% lower NOx compared to NBD
- Overall HC and CO emissions are 6.8% and 4.7% lower for NBD compared to diesel. CNT addition at 100 ppm further reduces the HC and CO

emission by 6.7% and 5.9% respectively, compared to NBD

- CO₂ emission in NBD is 6.6 % higher than diesel at peak condition. The CNT inclusion with NBD further increases the CO₂ emission due to complete combustion
- Smoke emission of NBD is 2.1% lower than diesel at peak condition.
- The CNT inclusion at different ppm further reduces its smoke emissions by 7.8% when
- compared to NBD
- No provisions were provided to remove the nanoparticle after the combustion from the exhaust system.

VII. MAHUA OIL BIODIESEL

Fuel Preparation:

- MOBD is derived by transesterification process
- Preparation of CeO₂ nanoparticles
- By adding 100 and 200 ppm of TiO₂ nanopowder to distilled water on volume basis Mixing CeO₂ nanoparticles with MOBD using magnetic stirrer for 60 min at a speed of 510 rpm in atmospheric conditions

Notation:

- MOBD CeO₂100 = MOBD + 100 ppm CeO₂
- MOBD CeO₂200 = MOBD + 200 ppm CeO₂

Results and Discussion:

NO_x Emission:

- Higher in MOBD and nanoparticle blends
- Lower in Diesel

Causes:

- Higher inbuilt oxygen in fuel
- High temperature during combustion

CeO₂ nanoparticle:

- Catalytic effect promotes combustion by reducing ignition delay period
- enhance the oxidation reaction during combustion
- Reduce NO_x emission

CO Emission:

- lower in MOBD and nanoparticle blends
- higher in Diesel

Causes

- Surplus O₂ present in MOBD, MOBD CeO₂100 and MOBD CeO₂200 take parting combustion
- MOBD CeO₂100 and MOBD CeO₂200 shows significant reduction in CO emission than neat biodiesel
- Improved rate of Oxygen by donating O₂
- CeO₂ nanoparticle
- enhance the oxidation reaction during combustion
- Reduce CO emission

Smoke Emission:

CeO₂ nanoparticle

- Reduce smoke emission

Causes:

- Higher inbuilt oxygen molecules in fuels
- Enhance the rate of evaporation of fuel with excess air
- Reduce activation temperature of carbon aids complete combustion Lower smoke emission

HCEmission:

- lower in MOBD and nanoparticle blends
- higher in Diesel
- Causes:
- Higher inbuilt oxygen
- Surplus O₂ present in MOBD, MOBD CeO₂100 and MOBD CeO₂200 take parting combustion

CeO₂ nanoparticle:

- Improve the rate of combustion by donating O₂ molecules
- Enhance the oxidation reaction during combustion
- Reduce CO emission

Conclusion:

Mahua Oil Biodiesel blended with CeO₂ nanoparticles (100 ppm, 200 ppm). Tested in diesel engine (1800 rpm constant speed) at varying loading conditions CeO₂ reduce (HC, CO, NO_x) Emissions significantly. Biodiesel with 200 ppm of CeO₂ achieved.

Significant reductions in all the emissions

Causes

- Catalytic effect

- Improved thermal conductivity
- Better oxidation capability of CeO_2 nanoparticles

VIII. CASHEW NUT SHELL OIL BIODIESEL

Properties of Biodiesel-Cashew Nut Shell oil CNSL:

- Reddish brown
- Viscous liquid
- Cashew nut shell constituents
- Epicarp
- Endocarp
- Mesocarp
- Natural resin which contains the oil
- Cashew nut-edible
- CNSL- oil between seed coat and the nut

Results and Discussion:

CO Emission:

- CO emission from biodiesels are lower than diesel at all loads
- Higher oxygen content endorse oxidation reaction and result in less CO
- CO emission from preheated biodiesels are lower than neat biodiesel at all loads
- Low viscosity of preheated biodiesel increases the atomisation process and lowers the ignition delay and CO emission
- Preheating improves spray characteristics and air fuel mixing resulting in low CO emission

HC Emission:

- HC emissions from biodiesels are lower than Diesel at all loads
- Higher Oxygen content in methyl ester promoting combustion and resulting in lesser HC emission
- HC emission preheated biodiesels is lower than BD100 at all loads
- Low viscosity increases atomisation process and lowers ignition delay and HC emission
- Increase in combustion characteristics achieved with increase in fuel inlet temperature.

NOx Emission:

- NOx emissions from biodiesels are higher than Diesel at all loads
- Higher Oxygen content in methyl ester promoting

combustion and resulting in higher NOx emission

- NOx emission preheated biodiesels is higher than BD100 at all loads
- Increase in combustion gas temperature with increase in fuel inlet temperature.

Smoke Intensity

- Exhaust smoke emission from biodiesels are lower than Diesel at all loads
- It increases with load for all fuels
- Due to lower availability of oxygen for diesel results in high smoke emission
- Smoke emission of preheated biodiesels is lesser than BD100
- Viscosity of preheated biodiesel is lesser than BD100
- Combustion is uniform causing lesser smoke emission

Conclusion:

- Suitability as a substitute for CI and Emission characteristics of Cashew Nut Shell Oil Biodiesel (BD100 and BD100T90)
- Reasons for adoption of Cashew Nut Shell Oil – favourable climatic conditions, availability of large uncultivated waste lands, properties closer to diesel, Non-toxic and free from sulphur
- HC and CO reduce than diesel at all loads by preheating the fuel
- samples at three different temperatures
- NOx emission are higher than diesel
- Preheating the biodiesel to various temperatures shows continuous increase in NOx emission than Cashew nut bio diesel at all loads
- The biodiesel shall be used in unmodified diesel engine. No major modifications are required.

Nitrogen emission:

- NOx emissions tested for all fuels NBD100, NBD CeO_2 10, NBD CeO_2 20, diesel.
- NOx emission for NBD100 are 3.3% (at 0.75 bar), 4.1% (at 1.5 bar), 4.8% (at 2.25 bar), 5.1% (at 3 bar) and 5.7% (at 3.75 bar).
- Abundance on oxygen increases the temperature and NOx emissions
- NOx emissions from NBD100 CeO_2 10, NBD100 CeO_2 are lower than NBD100 (but slightly higher than diesel at all BMEP)

- Inclusion of 10 nm and 20 nm particle size of CeO₂ nano additive, 2.7% and 3.6% lower NO_x emissions than NBD100
- CeO₂ nano-additive reduce the temperature of soot-oxidation and ensuing lower NO_x emission.
- NO_x emissions at 3.75 bar BMEP 12.8 g/kWh (for NBD100), 12.4 g/kWh (for diesel), 12.1 g/kWh (for NBDCeO₂10) and 10.5 g/kWh (for NBDCeO₂20).

CONCLUSION

Orange Peel oil

- Samples exhibit lower HC and CO emissions as compared to the BD100

Corn Oil

- COBDE1 and COBDE2 exhibit Lower HC and CO emission

Mustard oil

- HC and CO emissions reduced significantly with the addition of DTBP

Neem Bio Diesel with higher alcohol

- Smoke opacity decreased for all neem oil biodiesel/alcohol blends.
- NO_x emission decreased with an increase in alcohol content in the blends
- HC emission observed to be lower with two alcohol blends at all loads because of inherent lower energy content
- Neem oil biodiesel/alcohol blends ignite earlier than diesel fuel owing to their higher cetane number and result in lower HC emission

Neem Bio Diesel with CeO₂

- The CO and HC emissions are 4.3% and 4.7% lower for NBD100 than diesel at 3.5 bar BMEP.
- CeO₂ nano particle further reduces CO and HC emission 4.2% (for NBDCeO₂20) and 3.6% (for NBD100)
- The degree of NO_x emission in NBD100 is 5.6% higher at 3.5 bar BMEP. When compared to NBD100, tail pipe NO_x emission was found to be 2.7% and 3.6% lower when fueled with NBDCeO₂10 and NBDCeO₂20.
- When compared to Diesel, tailpipe smoke emission was found to be 1.7% lower when fueled with NBD100.

- CeO₂ nanoparticle further reduces the smoke emission by 1.6% and 1.8% respectively for NBDCeO₂10 and NBDCeO₂20 when compared to NBD100 owing to its improved catalytic activity

Neem Bio Diesel with CNT

- The NBD emits 4.8% higher No_x emission compared to diesel at peak load condition

Mahua Oil

- CeO₂ reduce Emissions significantly
- Biodiesel with 200 ppm of CeO₂ achieved Significant reductions in all the emissions

Cashew Nut Shell Oil

- Preheating with increasing temperatures continuously reduces HC and CO emission
- No_x emission are higher than diesel
- Preheating shows continues increase in No_x emission at all loads

REFERENCES

- [1] Ganesan S, Sivasubramanian R, Munuswamy Dinesh Babu, Arunkumar T, and Devarajan Yuvarajan Testing and Evaluation of Performance and Emissions Characteristics of Water Biodiesel Aspirated Research Engine, *JTAE*, 2018. doi:10.1520/JTE20180306
- [2] Ganesan S, Sivasubramanian Rathinam, JB Sajin, Subbiah Ganesan & Devarajan Yuvarajan (2019) Performance and emission study on the effect of foxy genated additive in neat biodiesel fuelled diesel engine, *Energy Sources, Part A: Recovery, Utilization, and Environmental Effects, IJGE*. 2019, 41:16, 2017-2027, doi: 10.1080/15567036.2018.1549148
- [3] Ganaram Ramakrishnan, Purushothaman Krishnan, Sivasubramanian Rathinam, Thiyaagu R & Yuvarajan Devarajan Role of nano-additive blended biodiesel on emission characteristics of the research diesel engine, *IJGE*. 2019, doi:10.1080/15435075.2019.1577742
- [4] Sivasubramanian R, Sajin J B & Parthasarathy C Emission characteristics study of compression ignition engine fuelled with biodiesel and Cerium oxide nanoparticle blends, *IJAE*, 2019 doi:10.1080/01430750.2019.1583132

- [5] Sivasubramanian R& Sajin J B Emission Study on the effect of preheating the neat biodiesel on research diesel engine, *IJAE* doi:10.1080/01430750.2019.1594379
- [6] SivasubramanianRathinam,SajinJB,GanesanSubbiah,AnwarRajeev,PrakashChristopherselvam Assessment of the emission characteristics of the diesel engine with nano-particle in neem biodiesel, Energy Sources, *IJME*. 2018
- [7] Rathinam S, Balan N,Subbaiah G,Sajinand J B, Devarajan Y Emissionstudyofadieselengine fuelled with higher alcohol- biodiesel blended fuels, *IJGE*. 2019; 256(50):102-5p.