# A Systematic Analysis of Stock Prediction Models using Artificial Intelligence Approaches

R GAYATHRI<sup>1</sup>, DR S DEVI SUGANYA<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Research Scholar, Bharathiar University, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu, India.

<sup>2</sup> Assistant Professor, Department of Computer Science, Vellalar College for Women, Erode, Tamil Nadu, India.

Abstract- Stock market trading is an activity in which investors need fast and accurate information to make effective decisions to increase their profit. Since many stocks are traded on a stock exchange, numerous factors influence the decision-making process. Moreover, the behaviour of stock prices is uncertain and hard to predict because the stock market can easily fluctuates to different styles in overtime period. For these reasons, stock price prediction is an important process and a challenging one. This leads to the research of finding the most effective prediction model that generates the most accurate prediction with the lowest error percentage. Over the past decades, the Deep Learning (DL) algorithms has been developed to predict the stock market performances. DL models can assists the investors to predict the future movements in stock market, increases their profit rate, takes right decision with earlier time response, minimise their risk in both investment and management to achieve better performance in their securities investment. In this paper, the background of stock market detection techniques is studied to encourage further research in this field. First, the review is planned to investigate the various DL algorithms for stock market prediction system. Next, the merits and demerits of every framework are analyzed based on its performance. Finally, potential improvements are suggested to realize greater efficiency in predicting the stock market.

Indexed Terms- Stock Market Trading, Investors, Decision-Making Deep Learning, Accurate Prediction.

#### I. INTRODUCTION

An advancement in the fundamental aspects of information technology over the last few decades has altered the route of businesses. As one of the most captivating inventions, financial markets have a pointed effect on the nation's economy [1]. In recent days, stock trading has become a centre of attention in business lines, which can largely be attributed to technological advances. The stock market is the collection of markets where stocks and other securities are bought and sold by investors. Publicly traded companies offer shares of ownership to the public, and those shares can be bought and sold on the stock market [2]. Investors can make money by buying shares of a company at a low price and selling them at a higher price. The stock market is a key component of the global economy, providing businesses with funding for growth and expansion. It is also a popular way for individuals to invest and grow their wealth over time [3]. Each and every investor wants to predict the future value of stocks, so there is no shortage of stock market predictions by self-styled experts in the media and published by brokers or by any investment advisors [4].

A stock market prediction is an attempt to forecast the future value of an individual stock, a particular sector or the market, or the market as a whole [5]. Stock market prediction methods are divided into two main categories like technical and fundamental analysis. Technical analysis focuses on analyzing historical stock prices to predict future stock values (i.e. it focuses on the direction of prices). On the other hand, fundamental analysis relies mostly on analyzing unstructured textual information like financial news and earning reports [6]. The accurate prediction of share price movement will lead to gain significant profits for investor.

The stock market's movement is one of the most challenging issues to predict due to various factors like sentiments and expectations of traders, macroeconomic conditions, government policies, interest rates, economic growth and major events of listed companies. All of these characteristics have a significant impact on stock price predictions and makes it very difficult to predict stock prices accurately [8]. Also, stock investment is a major financial market activity, a lack of accurate knowledge and detailed information would lead to an inevitable loss of investment. The prediction of the stock market is a difficult task as market movements are always subject to uncertainties [9]. Statistical and econometric models are generally used in traditional stock price prediction but these methods cannot deal with the dynamic and complex environment of the stock market [10, 11].

In present times, the Artificial intelligence (AI) plays a significant role in the prediction of stock market prices [12]. Artificial intelligence has the potential to vastly improve stock market predictions by facilitating the rapid and precise study of massive data sets. Investors can improve their decision-making, lower their risk exposure, and boost their profits with the help of AI-powered tools [13]. AI is of two categories: Machine Learning (ML) and Deep Learning (DL). Both these ML and DL models can effectively use to evaluate the stock markets process, helps to figure out patterns of data, measure the investment risk, or predict the investment future. Some ML models like Support vector Machine (SVM), can accurately predict the future financial outcome for the stock market prediction [14]. However, there is a constraint to such algorithms, when an input data is presented to ML algorithms in a continuous range, the accuracy of the models decreases. Additionally, it takes longer time to train the larger datasets owing to time complexity [15]. The figure 1 depicts the structure of ML and DL model.



The Deep Learning (DL) based models have been greatly enhanced the prediction achievements in various domains such as business, trading, health, agriculture, and educational data as well [16]. DL algorithms are classified as Deep Neural Network (DNN), Convolutional Neural Network (CNN), Recurrent Neural Network (RNN), Long Short Term Memory (LSTM), Q-learning etc., DL attempts to model complex abstractions in data by using a multiple-level architecture most commonly of neural networks, and non-linear transformations in its algorithm [17]. In stock market prediction, DL models has rapidly emerged as a powerful tool in order to model and predict the volatile stock markets worldwide and helps to manage the investment efficiently [18]. DL models assists the investors make better decisions in both investment and management to achieve better performance of their securities investment. Also, it helps to make right decision within timely response on large data and also useful for analysing the direction of stock market indexes to predict the movement of the stock market price and increases the profit rate [19].

The main purpose of this paper is to provide a broad overview of the recent trends and advancements in DL-based stock prediction models for customer user preference analysis to improve the profit rate of the investor and managements in the field of trading. The major advantages and drawbacks of each algorithm are then discussed according to the assessment metrics. Finally, possible enhancements are highlighted to boost the accuracy of predicting the stock market prices. The rest of the sections are prepared as follows: Section II discusses various models designed to trace and predict the stock market. Section III provides the comparative analysis of those models. Section IV summarizes the entire study and suggests the upcoming scope.

#### II. SURVEY ON DEEP LEARNING BASED STOCK PREDICTION

Ji et al. [20] developed DL based stock price prediction method which integrates Doc2Vec, stacked autoencoder (SAE), wavelet transform and LSTM model. This model integrates traditional stock financial index variables and social media text features as inputs of the prediction model. Then, Doc2Vec was used to train financial social media documents to extract text feature vectors. Then, SAE was adopted to reduce the dimension of text vectors for eliminating the imbalance between text features and financial features. Moreover, haar wavelet transform was applied to transform the target stock price value and to remove the random noise in the stock price time series data. Finally, stock finance features and extracted text features were fed into LSTM for stock price prediction.

Hsu et al. [21] presented a Financial Graph Attention Networks called FinGAT for recommending the top-K profitable stocks using time series of stock prices and sector information. Initially, a hierarchical learning component was devised to learn short-term and long-term sequential patterns from stock time series. Then, a fully-connected graph between stocks and sectors were constructed along with graph attention networks to learn the latent interactions among them. After that, a multi-task objective was implemented to jointly recommend the profitable stocks and predict the return values to generate the rankings for top-K profitable stock stock recommendations.

Al-Shaibani et al. [22] devised a privacy-preserving framework for blockchain-based stock exchange platform. In this system, the privacy of investors' accounts National Identification Number (NIN) and balance was preserved by ensuring all accounts were k-anonymous achieved by applying repeated anonymity for both NIN and balance. New anonymous accounts were generated and balances were splitted and distributed among the new anonymous accounts to ensure least k accounts was formulated to have the same balance. This process was repeated every new trading session for long-term unlinkability. Then, blockchain ledger updates with new anonymous accounts which was authorized by approving the

entities. A non-interactive protocol were developed between the investors and the authorized entities to create anonymous accounts without any communication overhead. The model ensures the tractability and non- repudiation properties by relying on the authorized entity to update the ledger for trading transactions.

Solares et al. [23] constructed a novel method for modelling expert knowledge through fuzzy logic that allows the investor to discard undesirable stocks. In this model, a four-stage approach was developed to comprehensively address the main activities of building stock portfolios. Then, a fuzzy logic was presented to exploit expert knowledge and eliminate (undesirable) stocks where were not convenient for investment. The multi-criteria decision adding (MCDA) method was utilized to model the expert knowledge and analysis the performance of a given stock for efficient eliminating the undesirable stocks. Ansari et al. [24] developed a deep reinforcement learning (DRL)-based decision support system for automated stock market trading. Initially, the data from different stocks were collected. Then, Deep-Q Network (DQN) was used to observe the stock market situation which includes the historical trends of the stock prices. The future trends were monitored concurrently using forecasting network at each time step whose output was concatenated with past trends of stock prices. The RL agent maps the state to actionvalue pairs or takes trading decisions to fully observe the financial time series data. Moreover, Gated Recurrent Unit (GRU) agent was utilized which captures more informative and inherent aspects of time-series financial data for stock prediction.

Koo et al. [25] developed a hybrid prediction model by integrating Generalized Autoregressive Conditional Heteroscedasticity (GARCH) models with a distribution manipulation Strategy using LSTM Networks for Stock Market Volatility. In this model, a root-type functions was used to transform left-biased and pointed distribution of original volatility to a volume-upped (VU) distribution shifted to the right for the filtering tasks. Then, LSTM was employed to improve the prediction performance in the right domain region of label probability density by making the prediction distribution comparable to the label distribution for stock market volatility. Kim et al. [26] Suggested a portfolio management framework called ASA for Autonomous Stock selection and Allocation. In this model, the simple graph- and hypergraph-based ranking models were hybridized to select the most profitable stocks for relational modelling. Then, the classification and regression models were integrated to determine the investment ratio for stock allocation. In addition, ASA extracts features using hierarchical clustering and feature selection models and captures temporal information by LSTM, Bi-directional LSTM (Bi-LSTM), and the Hawkes attention mechanism for financial and economic data ton predict the stock prices.

Zhang et al. [27] presented a novel dynamic parameter optimization algorithm using reinforcement learning (RL) model for stock prediction and trading. In this method, an abundant feature set was designed to train the price trend model. Then, a rolling model was used to generate an adaptive trading framework for stock price trend prediction. An Inverse RL algorithm was devised for parameter learning of reward function and constraint item of stock

T + 1 rules was considered in the operation of reward function. Finally, a reward-enhanced upper confidence bound (UCB) selection algorithm was used to automatically optimize the parameters of the trading logic in real-time trading. Moreover, high-frequency trading framework was deployed into a production level system and greatly increase the stock profit rate. Wei et al. [28] developed an adversarial game neural network (AGNN) model using LSTM and attention mechanism for stock ranking prediction. In this model, the attention LSTM (ATT- LSTM) was used to extract the time series features to maintain the real ranking relationship by directly fitting the attention mechanism. Then, different trading tasks were integrated to construct a mean square-weighted ranking error (MS-WRSE) loss function for ranking the stock values and to optimize the network. The AGNN model was used to eliminate the influence of market-style factors using the mutual game between the main neural network and the auxiliary neural network for on stock ranking predictions.

Rekha et al. [29] developed a cooperative DL model for stock market prediction using deep auto encoder and sentiment analysis. In this method, the auto encoder was used to denoise the historical stock data, and the denoised data were transferred into the DL model along with news sentiments. The stock data was concatenated with the sentiment score which would be fed into the LSTM/GRU model for output prediction of stock prices.

Srivinay et al. [30] suggested a hybrid stock price prediction model based on prediction rule ensembles (PRE) and deep DNN model. Initially, stock technical indicators were considered to identify the uptrend in stock prices. The moving average technical indicators was utilized to identify the trend in the stock and helps to eliminate the random behavior of the stock price. Then, PRE technique were used to select the rules with the lowest root mean square error (RMSE) score. The three-layer DNN was considered for stock prediction. Huang et al. [31] constructed a Multilevel Graph Attention Model (ML-GAT) for stock prediction. In this model, LSTM and Bidirectional Encoder Representations from Transformers (BERT) module was applied to learn the feature representation of data and embedded them into the stock graph to predict the trends of related stocks. Then, ML-GAT was used to selectively filter the different types of information to form an aggregated graph through multiple layers of attention mechanisms at different levels to learn the feature representation of nodes to formulate the accurate predictions. This model effectively leverages the stock network graph topological information and market characteristics to facilitate the stock prediction tasks

Cui et al. [32] presented a multi-scale CNN (MS-CNN) model for stock data to efficiently extract the stock trend features and make better decisions to analyse the stock market This model was divided into two stages In the first stage, data from the raw daily prices like open price, highest price, lowest price, close price, and trading volume are processed by deep CNN (DCNN). In the second stage, the DRL algorithm was executed in which the agent observes the state, then executes the action resulting from its policy and receives corresponding rewards. Finally the agent would generate the optimal trading strategy by interacting with the environment in the DRL framework. Mu et al. [33] constructed a Multi-Source data with Sparrow Search Algorithm and LSTM (MS-SSA-LSTM) for the prediction of stock prices. Initially, an east Money forum posts information was crawled to establish the unique sentiment dictionary and compute the sentiment index. Then, the SSA was used to optimize the LSTM hyperparameters which objectively determines the model parameter settings and improves the prediction effect. Finally, the sentiment index and fundamental trading data were integrated, and LSTM was used to forecast stock prices in the future actions.

Lee et al. [34] presented an effective exploitation of macroeconomic indicators for stock direction classification using the multimodal fusion transformer. In this method, the multimodal early fusion method was utilized to learn the intermodality correlation of features. In this early fusion method, all the modalities were processed through the scaled dotproduct attention together to learn the relationship between data in the early fusion method. The in- depth analysis was conducted to identify the proportion of the stocks numbers, and how well the stocks in each sector perform in prediction tasks. Also, this analysis utilizes the fusion strategy which indicated that an early fusion strategy provides the best prediction performance than the late fusion strategy in group of stocks prediction performances.

Xu et al. [35] presented an enhancement economic system based-graph neural network in stock classification. In this model, the graph convolutional semi-supervised model (PA-GCN) was developed by combining graph attention mechanism and Elu activation function. PA-GCN effectively compensates the poor learning before the data enters the convolutional layer and can more effectively complete the classification by calculating the weights for the input data in advance. Then, the Dropout layer was added at the end of the model to prevent the model from overfitting issues during the training time for stock classification.

Choi et al. [36] developed a hybrid information mixing module for stock movement prediction. In this model, time-series and semantic features were extracted by embedding the two data types using the GRU and BERT methods. A mixed feature was created containing multimodal information combining the two features, reflecting the unique characteristics of each data type. The hybrid information mixing module consists of feature and interaction mixing multilayer perceptron (MLP) was developed to predict stock price movement by capturing market signals that affect stock price fluctuations. The mixed feature takes the hybrid information mixing module as input and it was double-learned for each row and column to predict stock price movement.

Xu et al. [37] developed a graph convolutional neural networks (GCNN) model for financial stock classification and financial market development. In this model, an information of all GEMstock was identified using crawler technology to understand the trade management. A multi-source heterogeneous graph which composed of stock nodes and related word nodes was utilized to extract an important information and external node extension information by enabling the stocks categorization using GCNN. This model employs two layers of convolutional layers and activation functions to effectively categorize stocks and expand stock features to classify GEM stock categories.

Zhang et al. [38] developed a stock price prediction model using CNN-BiLSTM-Attention Model to enhance the accuracy of predicting stock prices and indices. First, CNN was used to extract the nonlinear local features of stock data. Then, BiLSTM was used to remove the bidirectional time series features of the sequence data. Finally, the attention mechanism was used reduce the impact of redundant information by assigning greater weights to more important feature components through the automatic fitting of weight assignments to the information features extracted by the BiLSTM layer to improve the stock price prediction accuracy.

#### III. COMPARTIVE ANALYSIS

In this part, a comparative study is presented in Table 1 according to the benefits and drawbacks for stock market prediction using different DL methods which are briefly studied in above section are listed below.

Ref No	Methods	Advantages	Disadvantages	Performance
[20]	Doc2Vec, SAE, Haar wavelet Transform and LSTM.	This model utilizes investor sentiments to improve the to improve prediction performance greatly with lower computational complexity	This model was less representative as it predicts single stock price from single social media platforms which were not possible for larger companies	MAE = 0.019, RMSE = 0.110, $R^2 = 0.957$
[21]	FinGAT, hierarchical learning component and fully- connected graph	This model effectively generate promising recommendation Performances without using sector information.	It does not provide any constructive structure to analyse stocks/sectors, which was severely influenced by one another in data training	Mean Reciprocal Rank (MRR) = 0.89 Accuracy = 74.37%; Precision = 67.21%
[22]	Anonymity, blockchain ledger and -interactive protocol	This model results in high level of anonymity with acceptable transactions execution time overhead	When the number of the newly generated anonymous accounts was high, the execution would be increased	Average K- anonymity = 358,439; Total execution time = 3.073.3s; Total difference time = 43.4%

Table 1. Comparison of various DL	methods for stock market prediction
-----------------------------------	-------------------------------------

				Tradict and the second
				I otal number of
				transactions =
				1,075,317;
[23]	Fuzzy Logic and	This model reduces the	Lower results on	Standard deviation =
	MCDA	computational complexity	larger datasets	3.54%
	-	in the search		Discarding stocks
		process and likely		with fuzzy logic -
		process and likely		with $102Zy 10gic =$
		improves the final stock		86.14%
		portfolio		
		performance		
[24]	NON INC	This model was tested	The collected	Shame natio 0.550:
				Number $ran = 0.559$
[24]	nd GPU	with different stock	information was not valued	Snarpe ratio = $0.559$ ; Sortino Patio = $0.607$
[24]	ind GRU	with different stock	information was not valued	Sortino Ratio = $0.539$ ;
[24]	ind GRU	with different stock market which provides	information was not valued properly	Sortino Ratio = 0.607
[24]	ind GRU	with different stock market which provides better profit values while	information was not valued properly	Sortino Ratio = 0.607
[24]	ind GRU	with different stock market which provides better profit values while trading	information was not valued properly	Sortino Ratio = 0.559;
[24]	ind GRU	with different stock market which provides better profit values while trading	information was not valued properly	Sortino Ratio = 0.559;
[24]	ind GRU	with different stock market which provides better profit values while trading	information was not valued properly	Sortino Ratio = 0.607
[24]	ind GRU	with different stock market which provides better profit values while trading	information was not valued properly	Sortino Ratio = 0.607
[24]	ind GRU	with different stock market which provides better profit values while trading	information was not valued properly	Sortino Ratio = 0.607
[24]	ind GRU	with different stock market which provides better profit values while trading	information was not valued properly	Sortino Ratio = 0.559;
[24]	ind GRU	with different stock market which provides better profit values while trading	information was not valued properly	Sortino Ratio = 0.559;
[24]	ind GRU	with different stock market which provides better profit values while trading	information was not valued properly	Sortino Ratio = 0.559; Sortino Ratio = 0.607
[24]	GARCH and LSTM	with different stock market which provides better profit values while trading This approach tries to	This model results in	Sortino Ratio = 0.559; Sortino Ratio = 0.607
[24]	GARCH and LSTM	This approach tries to reduce predicting errors	This model results in overfitting issues.	Sortino Ratio = 0.559; Sortino Ratio = 0.607 Confidence Interval = 0.125; correlation co-
[24]	GARCH and LSTM	This approach tries to reduce predicting errors by adjusting for earlier	This model results in overfitting issues.	Sortino Ratio = 0.559; Sortino Ratio = 0.607 Confidence Interval = 0.125; correlation co- efficient for $\alpha$ =
[24]	GARCH and LSTM	This approach tries to reduce predicting errors by adjusting for earlier forecasting failures and	This model results in overfitting issues.	Sortino Ratio = 0.559; Sortino Ratio = 0.607 Confidence Interval = 0.125; correlation co- efficient for $\alpha =$ 0.2 = 128.7
[24]	GARCH and LSTM	This approach tries to reduce predicting errors by adjusting for earlier forecasting failures and improving the standard of	This model results in overfitting issues.	Sortino Ratio = 0.559; Sortino Ratio = 0.607 Confidence Interval = 0.125; correlation co- efficient for $\alpha =$ 0.2 = 128.7
[24]	GARCH and LSTM	This approach tries to reduce predicting errors by adjusting for earlier forecasting forecasts	This model results in overfitting issues.	Sortino Ratio = 0.559; Sortino Ratio = 0.607 Confidence Interval = 0.125; correlation co- efficient for $\alpha =$ 0.2 = 128.7
[24]	GARCH and LSTM	This approach tries to reduce predicting errors by adjusting for earlier forecasting failures and improving the standard of ongoing forecasts.	This model results in overfitting issues.	Sortino Ratio = 0.559; Sortino Ratio = 0.607 Confidence Interval = 0.125; correlation co- efficient for $\alpha =$ 0.2 = 128.7
[24]	GARCH and LSTM	This approach tries to reduce predicting errors by adjusting for earlier forecasting failures and improving the standard of ongoing forecasts.	This model results in overfitting issues.	Sortino Ratio = 0.539; Sortino Ratio = 0.607 Confidence Interval = 0.125; correlation co- efficient for $\alpha =$ 0.2 = 128.7
[24]	GARCH and LSTM	This approach tries to reduce predicting errors by adjusting for earlier forecasting failures and improving the standard of ongoing forecasts.	This model results in overfitting issues.	Sortino Ratio = 0.539; Sortino Ratio = 0.607 Confidence Interval = 0.125; correlation co- efficient for $\alpha =$ 0.2 = 128.7
[24]	GARCH and LSTM	This approach tries to reduce predicting errors by adjusting for earlier forecasting failures and improving the standard of ongoing forecasts.	This model results in overfitting issues.	Sortino Ratio = 0.539; Sortino Ratio = 0.607 Confidence Interval = 0.125; correlation co- efficient for $\alpha$ = 0.2 = 128.7
[24]	GARCH and LSTM	This approach tries to reduce predicting errors by adjusting for earlier forecasting failures and improving the standard of ongoing forecasts.	This model results in overfitting issues.	Sortino Ratio = 0.539; Sortino Ratio = 0.607 Confidence Interval = 0.125; correlation co- efficient for $\alpha =$ 0.2 = 128.7

[26]	Simple gra	ph, This model was robust to	This model requires	Average return rate =
	hypergraph- ba	sed noisy training data and	large amount of data to	5.4%; Sharpe ratio =
	ranking mod	els, takes less effort to prepare	etrain the model	0.61;
	LSTM, Bi- LSTM	the data		Maximum
				drawdown = $0.09$ ;
	Hawkes attention			
	nechanism			
[27]	nverse RL algorit	nm, This method was efficient	t This model resulted	Average commission
_	rolling model, U	CB to utilize in high	with more overfitting issues	rate
	selection algorit	hm frequency trading system	and additional data were	= 13131;
	and high-freque	ncy with real time trading	required to trade in the	Average profit rate =
				000.45
	trading	applications	secondary markets	23347
	trading ramework	applications	secondary markets	23347
	trading ramework	applications	secondary markets	23347
	trading ramework	applications	secondary markets	23347
	trading ramework	applications	secondary markets	23347
	trading ramework	applications	secondary markets	23347
[28]	trading ramework	applications	secondary markets	23347
[28]	trading ramework AGNN, LST MS-	'M, This model enhances the	secondary markets This model	23347 Mean Average Error Value = 1.6
[28]	trading ramework AGNN, LST MS- WRSE and A	applications         `M, This model enhances the ranking relationship         T- between stocks, which	secondary markets This model necessary rely on human prior knowledge to identify	23347 Mean Average Error Value = 1.6
[28]	trading ramework AGNN, LST MS- WRSE and A' LSTM	This model enhances the ranking relationship T-between stocks, which was more beneficial than	secondary markets This model necessary rely on human prior knowledge to identify the clear potential factors as	Mean Average Error Value = 1.6
[28]	trading ramework AGNN, LST MS- WRSE and A <sup>*</sup> LSTM	<ul> <li>applications</li> <li>`M, This model enhances the ranking relationship</li> <li>CT- between stocks, which was more beneficial than general stock price</li> </ul>	This model necessary rely on human prior knowledge to identify the clear potential factors as network input.	23347 Mean Average Error Value = 1.6
[28]	trading ramework AGNN, LST MS- WRSE and A' LSTM	аррисаtions <sup>•</sup> M, This model enhances the ranking relationship ГТ- between stocks, which was more beneficial than general stock price prediction tasks	Secondary markets This model necessary rely on human prior knowledge to identify the clear potential factors as network input.	23347 Mean Average Error Value = 1.6
[28]	trading ramework AGNN, LST MS- WRSE and A' LSTM	<ul> <li>applications</li> <li>CM, This model enhances the ranking relationship</li> <li>ΓT- between stocks, which was more beneficial than general stock price prediction tasks</li> </ul>	This model necessary rely on human prior knowledge to identify the clear potential factors as network input.	Mean Average Error Value = 1.6
[28]	trading ramework AGNN, LST MS- WRSE and A' LSTM	applications "M, This model enhances the ranking relationship FT- between stocks, which was more beneficial than general stock price prediction tasks	This model necessary rely on human prior knowledge to identify the clear potential factors as network input.	23347 Mean Average Error Value = 1.6
[28]	trading ramework AGNN, LST MS- WRSE and A' LSTM	<ul> <li>applications</li> <li>M, This model enhances the ranking relationship</li> <li>ΓT- between stocks, which was more beneficial than general stock price prediction tasks</li> </ul>	This model necessary rely on human prior knowledge to identify the clear potential factors as network input.	23347 Mean Average Error Value = 1.6
[28]	trading ramework AGNN, LST MS- WRSE and A LSTM	<sup>c</sup> M, This model enhances the ranking relationship FT- between stocks, which was more beneficial than general stock price prediction tasks	This model necessary rely on human prior knowledge to identify the clear potential factors as network input.	23347 Mean Average Error Value = 1.6

[29]	DL model,	This model effectively	The better structure	RMSE = 0.95
_	Auto-encoder,	minimizes the effect	could be illustrated for	MAE = 0.6470
	LSTM/GRU	of noise in the data to	representing the	MAPE = 1.09
		complement the	relationship between the	R2 = 0.9850
		prediction capability of	stock price and news	
		the	headlines	
		DL model		
[30]	PRE and DNN	DNN	This model	RMSE = 7.20
		hyperparameters were	considered limited	MAE = 8.55
		well and it	technical indicators	
		was more robust to	leads to instability in	
		noises	the performance	
		101303	the performance	
[31]	LSTM, BERT	This model's stock	High computational	Accuracy = 95%
	ind ML-GAT	connections were	cost	F1-Score = 95%
		consistent towards real-		
		time		
		interactions		

[32]	MS-CNN,	This model provides good	It selects over-	Profit = 6,98,228.67
	DCNN and DRL	trading strategy for long-	estimated value in some	Sharp ratio $= 1.678;$
		term profits.	cases leading to longer	Annualized Ratio =
			training time.	82.95;
[33]	SSA and LSTM	The model automatically	This model needs to	Root Mean Square
		outputs a stock price trend	concentrate more on	Error = 0.123;
		chart and forecasts the	sentiment analysis to	Mean Absolute Error
		stock price for the	analyse more emotional	= 0.091 Coefficient of
		following day.	indicators for stock price	Determination
			forecast	(R2) = 0.956
[34]	Multimodal Early	The convergence rate of	This model	Classification
[3]]	Fusion Method And	this model was efficient.	performed with limited	accuracy of early
	In-Depth Analysis		number of datasets and	fusion method = $1.42$
			results in time complexity.	and late fusion =
				1.52
			1	
[35]	PA-GCN	This model's	This model exhibited	Classification
[35]	PA-GCN, graph attention	This model's generalizability rate is	This model exhibited	Classification accuracy on Cora
[35]	PA-GCN, graph attention mechanism	This model's generalizability rate is quite high.	This model exhibited high level of temporal and spatial	Classification accuracy on Cora dataset =
[35]	PA-GCN, graph attention mechanism and Elu	This model's generalizability rate is quite high.	This model exhibited high level of temporal and spatial complexity.	Classification accuracy on Cora dataset = 81.69%;
[35]	PA-GCN, graph attention mechanism ind Elu	This model's generalizability rate is quite high.	This model exhibited high level of temporal and spatial complexity.	Classification accuracy on Cora dataset = 81.69%;
[35]	PA-GCN, graph attention mechanism ind Elu	This model's generalizability rate is quite high.	This model exhibited high level of temporal and spatial complexity.	Classification accuracy on Cora dataset = 81.69%;
[35]	PA-GCN, graph attention mechanism ind Elu	This model's generalizability rate is quite high.	This model exhibited high level of temporal and spatial complexity.	Classification accuracy on Cora dataset = 81.69%;
[35]	PA-GCN, graph attention mechanism and Elu	This model's generalizability rate is quite high.	This model exhibited high level of temporal and spatial complexity.	Classification accuracy on Cora dataset = 81.69%;
[35]	PA-GCN, graph attention mechanism ind Elu	This model's generalizability rate is quite high.	This model exhibited high level of temporal and spatial complexity.	Classification accuracy on Cora dataset = 81.69%;
[35]	PA-GCN, graph attention mechanism ind Elu	This model's generalizability rate is quite high.	This model exhibited high level of temporal and spatial complexity.	Classification accuracy on Cora dataset = 81.69%;

	ctivation function			Classification
				accuracy on Stock
				nodes =
				81.2%
[36]	GRU. BERT	This model effectively	This model	Accuracy = 69.20%:
[20]	nd MLP	cantures the	necessitates additional data	MCC = 0.43
		captures the	to an along the influence of	$\mathbf{W}\mathbf{C}\mathbf{C} = 0.43,$
		correlation of dynamic	to analyse the influence of	and F1 score
		markets status	Variables that affects	= 76.175
			stock market	
			volatility	
[37]	GCNN and crawler	This model have better	This model results in	Accuracy = 83.04%;
	technology	decision interpretation	ineffective performances on	F1-Score = 83.03%
		with easy training	larger dataset	
		process	-	
		Freedow		
[38]	- NN	This model determines	This model results in	MAPE = 1.13
[30]	DI STM and	the contain decreas of	high time and anone	$\mathbf{DMSE} = 7.12$
	pilo i Ni, and	the certain degree of	ingit time and space	RMSE = 7.15
	Attention Mechanism	generalizability prediction	complexity	R2 = 0.987
		in stock		
		price prediction		

From the above table, the article [20-38] is studied and it is concluded that the article [31] yields better prediction result for stock market prediction. In article [31], the data financial markets, news, and corporate relations of stock were incorporated into graph neural attention network-based model by utilizing the feature extraction module in order to compensate the lack of prior knowledge of existing stock forecasting methods. ML-GAT effectively filters the different data types to construct an aggregated graph through multiple layers of attention mechanisms at different levels to learn the feature representation of nodes to enhance the prediction performance. In addition, BERT was applied to the financial field to learn the feature representation of collected data and embedded them into the stock graph and explore the node representation by BERT which was more beneficial for stock price prediction.

#### CONCLUSION

Stock is a financial product characterized by high risk, high return and flexible trading, which is favoured by many investors. Investors can get abundant returns by accurately estimating stock price trends. Since many stocks are traded on a stock exchange, numerous factors influence the decision-making process. Moreover, the behaviour of stock prices is uncertain and hard to predict. For these reasons, stock price prediction is an important tasks and determine the accurate prediction with the lowest error percentage on stock prices. DL models are current popular methods utilized for stock price prediction and helps the investors to make better decision in stock market forecasting. This article conducted a comprehensive review of different DL methods for stock market prediction according to their strengths and weaknesses and prediction efficiencies. Thus, this review can help researchers to select the most efficient and reliable predictive methods to enhance the accuracy in stock prediction. So, future research will focus on reducing the time series analysis-based stock market prediction systems using large number of data.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Srinivasan, P., & Prakasam, K. (2014). Stock market development and economic growth in India: An empirical analysis. Munich Personal RePEc Archive, 1.
- [2] Alzazah, F. S., & Cheng, X. (2020). Recent advances in stock market prediction using text mining: A survey. E-Business-Higher Education and Intelligence Applications.
- [3] Gupta, A., & Dhingra, B. (2012, March). Stock market prediction using hidden markov models.

In 2012 Students Conference on Engineering and Systems (pp. 1-4). IEEE.

- [4] Zhang, C., Sjarif, N. N., & Ibrahim, R. B. (2022). Decision Fusion for Stock Market Prediction: A Systematic Review. IEEE Access.
- [5] Gandhmal, D. P., & Kumar, K. (2019). Systematic analysis and review of stock market prediction techniques. Computer Science Review, 34, 100190.
- [6] Drakopoulou, V. (2016). A review of fundamental and technical stock analysis techniques. Journal of Stock & Forex Trading, 5.
- [7] Spahija, D., & Xhaferi, S. (2019). Fundamental and technical analysis of the stock price. International Scientific Journal Monte, 1(1), 27-36.
- [8] Hsu, M. W., Lessmann, S., Sung, M. C., Ma, T., & Johnson, J. E. (2016). Bridging the divide in financial market forecasting: machine learners vs. financial economists. Expert systems with Applications, 61, 215-234.
- [9] Islam, M. R., & Nguyen, N. (2020). Comparison of financial models for stock price prediction. Journal of Risk and Financial Management, 13(8), 181.
- [10] Hamed, I. M., Hussein, A. S., & Tolba, M. F. (2012). An intelligent model for stock market prediction. International Journal of Computational Intelligence Systems, 5(4), 639-652.
- [11] Cavalcante, R. C., Brasileiro, R. C., Souza, V. L., Nobrega, J. P., & Oliveira, A. L. (2016). Computational intelligence and financial markets: A survey and future directions. Expert Systems with Applications, 55, 194-211.
- [12] Ferreira, F. G., Gandomi, A. H., & Cardoso, R. T. (2021). Artificial intelligence applied to stock market trading: a review. IEEE Access, 9, 30898-30917.
- [13] Ray, R., Khandelwal, P., & Baranidharan, B. (2018, December). A survey on stock market prediction using artificial intelligence techniques. In 2018 International Conference on Smart Systems and Inventive Technology (ICSSIT) (pp. 594-598). IEEE.
- [14] Obthong, M., Tantisantiwong, N.,

Jeamwatthanachai, W., & Wills, G. (2020). A survey on machine learning for stock price prediction: Algorithms and techniques.

- [15] Parmar, I., Agarwal, N., Saxena, S., Arora, R., Gupta, S., Dhiman, H., & Chouhan, L. (2018, December). Stock market prediction using machine learning. In 2018 first international conference on secure cyber computing and communication (ICSCCC) (pp. 574-576). IEEE.
- [16] Kraus, M., Feuerriegel, S., & Oztekin, A. (2020). Deep learning in business analytics and operations research: Models, applications and managerial implications. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 281(3), 628-641.
- [17] Liu, W., Wang, Z., Liu, X., Zeng, N., Liu, Y., & Alsaadi, F. E. (2017). A survey of deep neural network architectures and their applications. Neurocomputing, 234, 11-26.
- [18] Li, A. W., & Bastos, G. S. (2020). Stock market forecasting using deep learning and technical analysis: a systematic review. IEEE access, 8, 185232-185242.
- [19] Mehtab, S., & Sen, J. (2022). Stock Price Prediction using Machine Learning and Deep Learning Algorithms and Models. Machine Learning in the Analysis and Forecasting of Financial Time Series, 235-303.
- [20] Ji, X., Wang, J., & Yan, Z. (2021). A stock price prediction method based on deep learning technology. International Journal of Crowd Science, 5(1), 55-72.
- [21] Hsu, Y. L., Tsai, Y. C., & Li, C. T. (2021). FinGAT: Financial Graph Attention Networks for Recommending Top-\$ K \$ K Profitable Stocks. IEEE Transactions on Knowledge and Data Engineering, 35(1), 469-481.
- [22] Al-Shaibani, H., Lasla, N., Abdallah, M., & Bakiras, S. (2021). Privacy-Preserving Framework for Blockchain-Based Stock Exchange Platform. IEEE Access, 10, 1202-1215.
- [23] Solares, E., Salas, F. G., De-Leon-Gomez, V., & Díaz, R. (2022). A Comprehensive Soft Computing-Based Approach to Portfolio Management by Discarding Undesirable Stocks. IEEE Access, 10, 40467-40481.

- [24] Ansari, Y., Yasmin, S., Naz, S., Zaffar, H., Ali, Z., Moon, J., & Rho, S. (2022). A Deep Reinforcement Learning-Based Decision Support System for Automated Stock Market Trading. IEEE Access, 10, 127469-127501.
- [25] Koo, E., & Kim, G. (2022). A hybrid prediction model integrating garch models with a distribution manipulation strategy based on lstm networks for stock market volatility. IEEE Access, 10, 34743-34754.
- [26] Kim, J. S., Kim, S. H., & Lee, K. H. (2022). Portfolio Management Framework for Autonomous Stock Selection and Allocation. IEEE Access.
- [27] Zhang, W., Yin, T., Zhao, Y., Han, B., & Liu, H. (2022). Reinforcement Learning for Stock Prediction and High-Frequency Trading with T+ 1 Rules. IEEE Access.
- [28] Wei, S., Wang, S., Sun, S., & Xu, Y. (2022). Stock Ranking Prediction Based on an Adversarial Game Neural Network. IEEE Access, 10, 65028-65036.
- [29] Rekha, K. S., & Sabu, M. K. (2022). A cooperative deep learning model for stock market prediction using deep autoencoder and sentiment analysis. PeerJ Computer Science, 8, e1158.
- [30] Srivinay, Manujakshi, B. C., Kabadi, M. G., & Naik, N. (2022). A hybrid stock price prediction model based on pre and deep neural network. Data, 7(5), 51.
- [31] Huang, K., Li, X., Liu, F., Yang, X., & Yu, W. (2022). ML-GAT: A Multilevel Graph Attention Model for Stock Prediction. IEEE Access, 10, 86408-86422.
- [32] Cui, K., Hao, R., Huang, Y., Li, J., & Song, Y. (2023). A Novel Convolutional Neural Networks for Stock Trading Based on DDQN Algorithm. IEEE Access, 11, 32308- 32318.
- [33] Mu, G., Gao, N., Wang, Y., & Dai, L. (2023). A Stock Price Prediction Model Based on Investor Sentiment and Optimized Deep Learning. IEEE Access.
- [34] Lee, T. W., Teisseyre, P., & Lee, J. (2023).Effective Exploitation of Macroeconomic Indicators for Stock Direction Classification

Using the Multimodal Fusion Transformer. IEEE Access, 11, 10275-10287.

- [35] Xu, Y., & Zhang, Y. (2023). Enhancement Economic System Based-Graph Neural Network in Stock Classification. IEEE Access, 11, 17956-17967.
- [36] Choi, J., Yoo, S., Zhou, X., & Kim, Y. (2023). Hybrid Information Mixing Module for Stock Movement Prediction. IEEE Access, 11, 28781-28790.
- [37] Xu, H., Zhang, Y., & Xu, Y. (2023). Promoting Financial Market Development- Financial Stock Classification Using Graph Convolutional Neural Networks. IEEE Access.
- [38] Zhang, J., Ye, L., & Lai, Y. (2023). Stock Price Prediction Using CNN-BiLSTM- Attention Model. Mathematics, 11(9), 1985.