The Benefits of Mindfulness Meditation for Stress Reduction

PROF. DR. PARIN SOMANI
Director, Department of Skill Development, London Organisation of Skills Development Ltd, London, United Kingdom

Abstract-Over the course of the last several decades, there has been a meteoric rise in the amount of interest shown in the study of mindfulness both as a psychological construct and as a method of therapeutic intervention. This article provides an overview of the empirical research on the impact that mindfulness practises have on psychological health. We begin with a discussion of the construct of mindfulness, the differences between Buddhist and Western psychological conceptualizations of mindfulness, and how mindfulness has been integrated into Western medicine and psychology. Next, we review three areas of empirical research: cross-sectional, correlational research on the associations between mindfulness and various indicators of psychological health; intervention research on the effects of mindfulness-oriented interventions; and so on. Our research has led us to the conclusion that practising mindfulness can have a number of beneficial benefits on a person's psyche, the most notable of which are improvements in behavioural regulation, reduced psychiatric symptoms, and greater subjective well-being. This review comes to a close with a discussion on the underlying processes of change brought about by mindfulness therapies, as well as some suggestions for the next steps in study..

Indexed Terms- Psychological, Mindfulness, Well-Being

I. INTRODUCTION

It is becoming increasingly common procedure to use psychological therapies that are based on mindfulness skills for the treatment of a wide variety of mental disorders. This type of treatment is becoming increasingly standard technique [1]. Training oneself in mindfulness entails paying undivided attention in the here and now to sensations and ideas, both internal and external, without attaching any significance or meaning to those experiences and thoughts. When practised in the correct manner, mindfulness has the potential to assist improvement in areas of cognition related with openness to experience, objectivity, and meta-cognitive. The practising of mindfulness has been linked to an extensive list of health advantages, all of which have been demonstrated to be attributable to the practise of mindfulness.[2] According to the research that is now available, mindfulness training may be effective in the management of pain management programmes, the treatment of depression, and the reduction of somatic disorders, to name just a few of these potential applications. Despite the growing popularity of mindfulness training in the field of psychotherapy, there has been a very limited amount of research conducted on the topic. The purpose of this study was to disentangle the effects of mindfulness meditation on stress-related symptoms, depression-related symptoms, and anxiousness-related symptoms.

- *Mindfulness Training and Depression*

It has been established that engaging in regular meditation practises can be beneficial in the treatment of depression. In order to accomplish this goal, ruminative tendencies are suppressed while improvements are made to attentional control. "Ruminating" refers to the mental processes that individuals go through, such as how they react to the ideas that they have in their minds. On the other hand, "what's in people's heads" may be viewed of as dysfunctional attitudes and negative self-beliefs. Because it is a process that "recycles" the unpleasant mental content, ruminating is associated with an increased risk of a depressive episode and a more severe manifestation of the condition in those who are genetically prone to developing depression.

People who suffer from depression may find relief through meditation in two different ways: first, by increasing awareness of the here and now, depressed clients learn to redirect their focus from ruminating on negative self-referential information to attending to and processing all relevant information; and second, by allowing themselves to feel whatever emotions arise, meditators learn to minimise the impact that negative emotions have on maintaining maladaptive thought patterns. A new clinical trial demonstrates that mindfulness-based cognitive therapy is an effective treatment for decreasing symptoms of depression and avoiding the return of the condition[3]. Even while MBCT was not as effective for everyone who was depressed, the great majority of persons who had previously struggled with depression showed considerable and long-lasting improvement after participating in the programme. On the other hand, those who have struggled with depression more than twice are more likely to be resistive to the full advantages of treatment since depression is frequently triggered by unfavourable living situations. Instruction in Meditation

- *Mindfulness Training and Anxiety*

The practise of meditation is increasingly being included into the treatment of a wide variety of anxiety issues. The attentional and arousal theories of anxiety are the primary drivers of the logic behind utilising meditation as a treatment for anxiety. (Bogels & Mansell, 2004; Hunt, Keogh, & French, 2006) Research has shown that anxious people are more prone to perceive a danger in circumstances and texts that are ambiguous. People who suffer from anxiety sometimes have a tendency to see ambiguous information as dangerous.[4] People who suffer from severe anxiety disorders typically have continually elevated levels of arousal, which increases the likelihood that these individuals would incorrectly interpret ambiguous environmental information as being hazardous. People who suffer from anxiety and take part in intervention programmes that are based on meditation are taught to 'observe' their thoughts and bodily sensations without passing judgement on them, in a manner very similar to those who go through therapy for depression. An objective acknowledgment and investigation of one's physiological issues is the initial step, and it is also the phase that is the most important. In contrast to the focus that is placed in cognitive behavioural therapy (CBT) on the evaluation of physiological symptoms, cognitive therapy instructs patients to view their own thoughts and behaviours as recurring patterns.

- *Mindfulness Training and Stress Reduction*

Training in mindfulness is most commonly thought of in relation to alleviating stress as a kind of therapeutic intervention. Irritability, excessive concern, and over-arousal are just a few of the many different physiological and cognitive states that fall under the umbrella term of stress.[5]

Occupations, illnesses with a grave prognosis, or the development of psychological problems can all be factors in the development of chronic stress. Despite the fact that they have only been around for a short while, Mindfulness-Based Stress Reduction (MBSR) courses have already demonstrated that they are beneficial in a variety of settings. This is evidenced by the positive findings of a number of research studies that have been conducted on the advantages of these courses. Mindfulness-based stress reduction, often known as MBSR, was at first developed as a method of treating persistent pain in the medical field. The training is delivered to the participants in small groups, which typically range in size from 20 to 30 individuals each. The typical length of a session is between two and two and a half hours, and it consists of active meditation skill training together with discussions on stress reactions, directions for between-session exercises, and other topics. The duration of the training typically ranges from eight to ten weeks.[6] Participants in the Mindfulness-Based Stress Reduction (MBSR) course are given instruction in both conventional mindfulness practises as well as an exercise that lasts for 45 minutes and is dubbed "body scan." The participants are instructed to concentrate on and pay great attention to the feelings that they feel in different areas of their bodies. The 'body scan' may have the same outward look as the progressive muscle relaxation method (PMRT), but it does not need the conscious control of muscles. Instead, the focus of attention is placed on the sensations that arise as a result of the natural functions of the body.

II. OBJECTIVE OF THE STUDY

1. To study on Mindfulness Training and Stress Reduction
2. To study on Mindfulness Training and Depression

The rationale that underpins the 'body scan' technique is based on the idea that people who have high levels of arousal and stress are more likely to experience physiological symptoms (such as muscle tension), and that paying attention to these symptoms while maintaining a nonjudgmental frame of mind will reduce these physical responses. This is the reasoning that underpins the technique. When it comes to alleviating stress, meditating acts in a way that is cognitively analogous to the treatment of mental health illnesses such as anxiety and depression. The focus of attention is then brought back to the here and now when judgemental concepts, such as those about the reason of stress, are merely noticed for as long as they continue to exist, after which it is brought back to the present moment. Individuals who meditate on a regular basis experience cognitive shifts as a result of this exercise and grow in their capacity for self-management adaptations as a direct result of these shifts. The consequences of engaging in this technique are multifaceted. Because mindfulness training increases the awareness of all emotional and cognitive processes as they occur in the present moment, individuals are able to recognise the warning signals of stress building up because they are able to perceive them. As soon as a person has gained the skills of' metacognitive awareness,' formerly difficult safety behaviours and cognitions become more controlled, allowing them to exercise more control over them.[7]

III. METHODS

Not only the processes that were to be followed, but also the prerequisites for eligibility, could be found in the protocol.

The objective is to train oneself to become aware of each fleeting moment without attaching any value or importance to it. Instruction in many conventional meditation techniques will be provided. putting a focus on the need of regular and consistent practise. Instead of receiving education on an individual basis, group treatments were provided. Students were obliged to sit in meditation for around two and a half hours each week during the duration of each class, which may last anywhere from six to twelve weeks. There were quantitative assessments of the outcomes that could be obtained. The dimensions of a person's mental health can be a consequence, or the dimensions of their physical health might be a result. Both are possible results. The outcome was evaluated using scales that had been standardised and independently validated by a third party. Impact sizes were able to be calculated thanks to the fact that information on each trial was freely available.[8]

In randomised controlled trials, active techniques of controlling for the nonspecific effects of the mindfulness group (such as social support, demand characteristics, and expectation effects) were chosen over passive ones (such as a wait-list). Active approaches included things like social support, demand characteristics, and expectancy effects.[9]

Data were submitted and evaluated following the intervention; however, this assessment did not always include data on any later incidents.

- *Study coding*

The second author, LN, was in charge of coding all of the papers that were included in the analysis because they met the aforementioned inclusion criteria. The studies were analysed and coded in accordance with the following criteria: study design (controlled study, observational study, follow-up data), group allocation (randomization, quasi experimental), type of control (waiting list, no treatment, treatment as usual, active control), study population (patients, nonpatients, students, inmates, etc.), patients' diagnoses, and outcome measures. After that, the original author (PG) reviewed all of the code for accuracy a second time.[10]

- *Data selection and extraction*

Our purpose in doing this meta-analysis was to investigate the ways in which the practise of mindfulness meditation influenced a variety of health indicators. When we discussed what it means to be healthy, we took into account both one's physical and mental well-being. Everything that might possibly have been assessed as an outcome was either accounted for within the category of "physical health"

or "mental health," or else it was simply not measured at all. In order to collect all of the data for this study, standardised and validated scales were utilised. Some examples of these scales include the Global Severity Inventory of Symptom Check List—R, the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale, the Beck Depression Inventory, the Profile of Mood States, the McGill-Melzack Pain-Rating Scale, the Short Form 36 Health Survey, and the Medical Symptom Checklist. A full list of these scales is available upon request. Measurements of egocentrism, spiritual experience, empathy, neuropsychological function, social support, and the application of a conservative approach were not included in the study because of the unknown or unconventional character of these factors.[11]

Measures of a person's "mental health" have traditionally included things like the individual's state of mind and their symptomatology; their degree of depression, anxiety, and sleep; their psychological components of quality of life; and their emotional experience of pain. The ideas of "medical symptoms," "physical pain," "physical impairment," and "the physical component of quality of life questionnaires" are all examples of concepts that fall within the "physical health" umbrella.

Throughout their conversations, LN, PG, and HW (the last author) were able to come to a consensus about the inclusion and distribution of outcome measures. This consensus served as the basis for all choices. Data pertaining to each of the measures that were utilised in the study were extracted and entered into a spreadsheet created in Excel.

Since a large number of studies did not collect follow-up data and the amount of time that passed between post-intervention evaluations ranged widely, we decided to focus our attention on examining the short-term impacts of mindfulness training rather than its long-term advantages.[12] It was essential to carry out these steps due to the delicate character of the research. Our findings simply reflect the presence or lack of acute reactions; they do not take into account the long-term repercussions.

- *Effect size calculation*

To determine the amount of the Cohen's d effect, we divided the mean difference by the pooled standard deviation of the data. We used two different kinds of mean differences: (i) the difference between the treatment group and the control group (which is a difference between the groups), and (ii) the difference between the posttreatment and the pretreatment group (which is a difference within the groups). Because there were a very limited number of controlled studies that fit the requirements, and numerous quite thoroughly done uncontrolled observational studies that did conform to the criteria, we decided to include the latter, within-group analysis. In addition, we thought that it could be instructive to compare the effect sizes of other types of controlled research, including observation studies, randomised controlled trials, and quasi-experimental controlled trials.[13]

In the first scenario, (i), posttreatment data are often included into the calculation under the assumption that there was no significant change in the baseline conditions between the groups before the intervention. We generated two effect sizes since it was not possible to constantly maintain this assumption for our data set. One effect size was based on the pretreatment values (baseline difference), while the other effect size was based on the posttreatment values. When the effect size for the postintervention values was subtracted from the effect size for the baseline difference, the result was the final effect size that was entered into the meta-analysis. For the purpose of computing the (ii) pre–post effect sizes, it is necessary to have a correlation between the preintervention measures and the postintervention ones[14]. Because the study reports did not provide information that would allow us to derive this correlation, we made a best guess and inserted it into the calculation as r =.7. All effect sizes were adjusted to account for the potential bias introduced by having a small sample size using a straightforward calculation that Hedges gave.

- *Data aggregation*

After that, we calculated the means of each impact size to produce two separate effect sizes: one for mental health and one for physical health. Both of these effect sizes were then merged into the original research. If there was a difference in the sample size from one scale of the research to another, we weighted it for N. The effect sizes produced in this method were then aggregated across investigations by computing a weighted mean, where the inverse of the estimated

standard deviation for each research acted as a weight [8]. This allowed the effect sizes to be compared more accurately. The standard error of the total mean effect size was used as the basis for calculating confidence intervals (CI), which were based on those results.

- *Overall and sensitivity analyses*

Two independent meta-analyses were carried out, and their findings were subsequently combined. In the first analysis, data from all controlled studies were taken into consideration, and the size of the impact was determined by comparing the two different groups. In the second analysis, researchers looked at randomised controlled trials (which concentrated largely on the benefits of the mindfulness intervention) as well as observational studies (which did not include a control or comparison group). In order to reach a conclusion, we pooled together all of the effect estimates that were derived from studies that compared pre-training and post-training measurements for groups that had undergone mindfulness training. In their own research, we computed the average impact sizes for both mental and physical health. In order to get the data set available for sensitivity analyses for several groups, it was partitioned, and then separate analyses were performed on each subset of the data.[15]

IV. RESULTS

We were able to retrieve 64 research, but only 20 reports, with a total of 1605 participants, satisfied the inclusion requirements (these reports are marked in the References section with an asterisk and in the Further Readings section; please note that several studies were given in more than one publication). In the appendix, you will find a list of all of the studies that were obtained.

A. Most of the excluded studies did not operationalize

with wi standing for the cumulative significance of each individual's study. The calculation of two-tailed P values involved the application of the formula z = d/SEd to determine a z score, which was then utilised in the computation of the value. By generating a formula that produces a Q value, we were able to conduct an analysis on the homogeneity of treatment effects across all of the trials. This formula is v2 distributed with df = k 1, where k is the number of papers that were considered for inclusion in the test. Either they did not cultivate mindfulness in the specified manner, or they did not offer sufficient statistical information for an effect size estimate. Either way, the results of the study cannot be trusted.

Patients suffering from fibromyalgia, mixed cancer diagnoses, coronary artery diseases, depression, chronic pain, anxiety, obesity and binge eating disorder, as well as psychiatric patients, participated in research that studied the effects of mindfulness training on medical patients.[16] The other three findings were based on nonclinical samples of individuals who desired to improve their capacity to cope with stress. Two of the findings were based on populations of inmates, while the other two findings were based on populations of persons who were incarcerated.

Table 1 Detailed description of the controlled studies that were incorporated into the meta-analysis.

Sample	Diagnosis	Design	Control group	N	Nt	Nc	MH	PH	dMH	dPH
pat.	Chronic pain	QE	attention placebo	22	15	7	4	2	0.53	0.75
pris.	–	RCT	Jacobson relaxation	31	15	16	3	–	0.30	–
pris.	–	RCT	WL	97	49	48	4	–	0.49	–
stud.	–	QE	seminar	277	125	152	2	–	0.54	–
pat.	Fibromyalgia	RCT	WL	55–65	22–27	33–39	4	2	0.67	0.25
stud.	–	RCT	WL	73	36	37	4	–	0.62	–
pat.	Cancer	RCT	WL	90	53	37	2	–	0.54	–
pat.	Fibromyalgia	QE	social supp.,	38	25	13	4	1	0.52	0.30
			relaxation and							
			exercise group							
pat.	Coronary	RCT	stress management	21	11	10	8	1	0.46	0.29
	artery dis.		training							

vol.	–	RCT	educational material given	47–57	28–32	19–26	2	1	0.56	1.01
				771	388	385	37	7		

Pub. status, publication status (dissertation; u, unpublished; abstract; p, published), sample (pat., patients; pris., prisoners; stud.; students; vol., volunteers), design (RCT, randomised controlled trial; QE, quasi experimental design); control group (WL, waiting list control); N, total number of subjects in this study; Nt, number of subjects in the treatment group; Nc, number of subjects in the control group; MH, number of mental Only participants who finished the study are included in these numbers.[17]
There are several distinct scales contained within the N, Nt, and Nc ranges.

- *Controlled studies*

Thirteen of the studies that had the potential to be included conducted some kind of comparison group analysis. Because of the constraints described below, we were unable to include the results of three more research in our analysis. One piece of research compared the efficacy of psychoeducational courses to that of the practise of mindfulness meditation. Psychoeducational programmes have a strong reputation for being successful. Even while this wasn't a "control" strategy in the way that we understood that term, it was nonetheless a comparative research. On the other hand, the findings of this research can be located under the section entitled "Observational Studies" farther down the page. Two additional studies only offered follow-up information; however, neither of these studies disclosed posttreatment assessments within the time range appropriate for our analysis, and as a consequence, they were also discarded (these last two reflect distinct outcomes from the same study). Two more studies only provided follow-up information. Randomization was carried out in an appropriate manner in seven of the other ten research, and a quasi-experimental methodology was utilised in three of the studies. In each of the five investigations, patients were invited to take part as volunteers, and the researchers used a wide range of control methods (see Table 1).

The outcomes of randomised controlled trials that are important to mental health are summarised in Table 2, which includes the mean effect size, the 95% confidence interval, and the P value. In the data set that is being reported on here, there are a total of 771 individuals; 388 of those people have participated in mindfulness training.[18] The outcomes of the subsamples have also been included in the table that can be seen below. These outcomes were achieved by further subdividing the dataset based on the subject population (patients versus nonpatients) and group allocation (randomization versus qua- experimental control). Only five of the controlled trials really measured anything related to the participants' physical health as an outcome.

The factors that were discovered to be connected to mental health were shown to be quite consistent across the board (v2 = 0.89, df = 9, P =.999). The effect size, which was evaluated as d = 0.54 with a 95% confidence interval ranging from 0.39 to 0.68 and a two-tailed P value of.0001, was medium-strong and statistically significant.

Table 2n, brand newAcross all randomised controlled trials, the mean effect size, d, the 95% confidence intervals, and the two-tailed P values for the difference between the mindfulness meditation group and the control group on mental and physical health markers were determined.Choosing three at random to discuss

	k	N	d	95%-CI	P
Mental health variables All studies	10	771	0.54	0.39 – 0.68	<.0001
Patients	5	236	0.56	0.29 – 0.83	<.0001
Nonpatients	5	535	0.53	0.36 – 0.70	<.0001
Randomized	7	434	0.54	0.35 – 0.74	<.0001
Quasiexperimental	3	337	0.54	0.32 – 0.76	<.0001
Physical health variables All studies (4 patients and	5	203	0.53	0.23 – 0.81	<.0004

Only for mental health measures are subgroups of research with patients, nonpatients, randomised design, and quasi-experimental design indicated. Due to the extremely low number of studies that included factors related to physical health, it was not possible to accurately calculate distinct CIs.

Table 3 presents the effects of mindfulness training based on a pre–post comparison for mental and physical health variables (k, number of studies; N, number of subjects; d, mean effect size; P value, two-tailed). There were no significant differences found between the subgroups for the variables subject population or group allocation.

Variables	k	N	d	95%-CI	P
Mental health	18	894	0.50	0.43 – 0.56	P < .0001
Physical health	9	566	0.42	0.34 – 0.50	P < .0001

Only five of the controlled studies produced any data that could even distantly be regarded to be on topic with the discipline of physical health. Only five of the controlled studies produced any data. The findings cover a total population of 203 people, out of which 122 were given instructions on how to participate in mindfulness practises. This particular data set was shown to be homogenous by utilising a sample size that was somewhat reduced from the original (v2 = 4.97, df = 4, P =.29). Table 2 has a summarised version of the findings that you are welcome to peruse at your leisure. This was done for your convenience.[19] This effect size is comparable to that of the mental health factors, and the estimated average impact size was d = 0.53 (95% confidence interval [CI]: 0.23–0.81, P =.0004].

- Investigations that are based on actual observations

The findings of comparisons made between pre-intervention statuses and post-intervention statuses for both sets of outcome measures (physical and mental health) are provided in Table 3. These comparisons were done for the purpose of determining whether state was better, pre-intervention or post-intervention. The comparisons in this study were made between the two periods in time that came before and after the intervention. In addition to the experiences of 894 people who had participated in mindfulness training, the collection of data included information that was acquired from a total of 18 distinct pieces of study. In total, only nine studies with a combined total of 566 individuals were conducted to explore different aspects of physical health. The outcomes of the controlled trials gave effect sizes that were reasonably comparable to the mean effect sizes obtained in the study that was conducted without any controls being used. In terms of mental health, these mean effect sizes were d = 0.50 (95%-CI 0.43 – 0.56, P.0001), while in terms of physical health, these mean effect sizes were d = 0.42 (95%-CI 0.34 – 0.50, P.0001). The findings of the controlled studies produced effect sizes that were relatively equivalent to the mean effect sizes seen in the studies that were not controlled.[20] The homogeneity test was failed by the other set (mental health v2 = 51.92, df = 17, P.0001); the set with characteristics of physical health was the only one that was confirmed to be homogenous (v2 = 11.45, df = 8, P =.18). However, the homogeneity of the data was only found in the set that comprised variables linked to physical health. Both of the impact sizes are significant.

Therefore, in order to determine if the subject population functioned as a moderator of the impact, we carried out a sensitivity analysis in order to analyse its potential role. To determine whether or not this was the case was the primary focus of our investigation. According to the findings, each of the subgroups, patients and others, possessed a considerable level of heterogeneity (patients, v2 = 33.29, df = 12, P.001; nonpatients, v2 = 15.84, df = 4, P =.003). Patients had a much greater amount of variation compared to other individuals. When compared to other persons, patients had considerably higher levels of heterogeneity in their data. Therefore, extreme caution is essential for determining the importance of this mean impact magnitude.

- *Mindfulness-Based Stress Reduction (MBSR)*

The findings of this study suggest that Mindfulness-Based Stress Reduction (MBSR), more often referred to as "mindfulness meditation," has the potential to be an effective treatment for a wide variety of persistent diseases and issues. Mindfulness practise has the potential to improve not just the general parts of coping with anguish and impairment in day-to-day life, but also the more exceptional elements of coping

with extreme cases of disorder or stress. This is demonstrated by the consistent and often considerable level of effect sizes across a wide range of sample types. Mindfulness training may also be helpful in managing with anguish and incapacity in circumstances that are more severe, such as major disorders or intense stress. This study adds support to the theory that training in mindfulness may enhance these general features of coping with adversity and disability. In point of fact, this discovery lends credence to the hypothesis. Recent study that was only very recently made accessible provides evidence for the efficacy of mindfulness-based therapy. This research was published not too long ago. In this examination, new inclusion criteria were applied, in addition to a technique that was only marginally different from the one that had been used in the previous study. In every one of these investigations, there was evidence of benefits across a broad spectrum of standardised mental health indicators. The psychological components of quality of life scores, depression, anxiety, coping style, and other emotional aspects of disability were among those that improved as a result of these interventions. In a similar vein, identical improvements were also reported for the health indicators of physical well-being, such as medical symptoms, sensory discomfort, physical impairment, and ratings of functional quality-of-life.[21] These findings are consistent with the findings described in the previous paragraph. Despite the fact that physically oriented metrics were explored in the trials as a whole less often than other types of measures, researchers were nevertheless able to detect the benefits associated with these sorts of measurements.

The advantages of mindfulness training have also been attested to by the findings of other high-quality research that was carried out by researchers who did not adhere to our conditions regarding the amount of time involved, the dependent measures, or the control techniques. Although these studies did not meet our requirement regarding timing, they did meet all of our other criteria. In no way were our criteria for timing, dependent measurements, or control techniques implemented in any manner in these trials. For instance, the one-year recurrence rates of major depressive episodes were shown to be cut in half when conventional treatment was supplemented by a mindfulness programme in a recent randomised research trial of depressives who were in remission. The study was conducted on individuals who had previously been diagnosed with depression and were currently in a state of remission. The participants in the research were people who had been given a diagnosis of depression in the past but who were now considered to be functioning normally. People who had a history of undergoing therapy for depression were recruited to take part in the study as participants. People who suffered from anxiety and mood disorders and had participated in mindfulness training showed significant pre-to-postintervention improvements in their mental health outcomes, with an effect size of 0.70, according to yet another study that looked into the topic. These findings were discovered among individuals who had previously participated in mindfulness training.[22]

In our meta-analysis, we found that the consistency of effect sizes across different types of research (such as controlled vs observational) and within the controlled-study analysis (active control versus wait list) does give some support for the intervention's specificity. This support comes from the fact that these effect sizes were measured using the same criteria in both types of research. For instance, controlled trials and observational studies were able to offer information on effect sizes that were equivalent to one another. The fact that the results of both controlled experiments and observational research came to the same findings allowed us to provide evidence that this is the case. The six controlled studies (see Table 1) that made use of various types of active control intervention in order to take into consideration the effects of therapy that were either general or nonspecific are of particular significance to this issue. These experiments were conducted in order to determine whether or not the effects of therapy were beneficial. These tests were carried out in order to take into account the effects of treatment that were not unique to any one patient in particular.[24] These trials suggest that the mean impact size of the intervention is around 0.49, which is not too far off from and not considerably different from the mean effect size found in the four wait-list groups (d=0.58), which did not have control of the majority of the intervention's nonspecific effects.[23] There is not a large amount of distinction between the two. However, one must approach such findings with

extreme caution due to the small number of overall research and, in particular, randomised trials, the range of different types of sample diagnoses, and the inclusion of unpublished studies. All of these factors combine to make it difficult to draw definitive conclusions from the available evidence. It is tough to make claims that are conclusive due to all of these different elements. Due to the presence of all of these problems, increased attention is necessary.[25]

CONCLUSION

The current research has a number of methodological flaws that need to be solved before it can be considered complete. Our internal and external validity is affected negatively as a result of several factors, including the absence of a control group design, statistical regression, and extraneous variables. The size of the results implies that mindfulness training has a good influence on the emotional states of depression, anxiety, and stress, and that it merits a place in therapeutic considerations. Despite the fact that these constraints may restrict the amount to which we can generalise from the current findings, the data imply that mindfulness training has a positive effect. As a result, the findings presented here should be seen as an indicator of how mindfulness training could contribute to the treatment of emotional disorders, and it is recommended that any future studies including community samples take into account the limitations discussed in this article..

REFERENCES

[1] Alberts H, Thewissen R. The effect of a brief mindfulness intervention on memory for positively and negatively valenced stimuli. Mindfulness in press.

[2] Allen NB, Blashki G, Gullone E. Mindfulness-based psychotherapies: A review of conceptual foundations, empirical evidence and practical considerations. Australian and New Zealand Journal of Psychiatry. 2006;40:285–294.

[3] Altmaier E, Maloney R. An initial evaluation of a mindful parenting program. Journal of Clinical Psychology. 2007;63:1231–1238.

[4] Anand BK, Chhina GS, Singh B. Some aspects of electroencephalographic studies in yogis. Electroencephalography and Clinical Neurophysiology. 1961;13:452–456.

[5] Anderson ND, Lau MA, Segal ZV, Bishop SR. Mindfulness-based stress reduction and attentional control. Clinical Psychology and Psychotherapy. 2007;14:449–463.

[6] Arch JJ, Craske MG. Mechanisms of mindfulness: Emotion regulation following a focused breathing induction. Behaviour Research and Therapy. 2006;44:1849–1858.

[7] Astin JA. Stress reduction through mindfulness meditation: Effects on psychological symptomatology, sense of control, and spiritual experiences. Psychotherapy and Psychosomatics. 1997;66:97–106.

[8] Bach P, Hayes SC. The use of acceptance and commitment therapy to prevent the rehospitalization of psychotic patients: A randomized controlled trial. Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology. 2002;70:1129–1139.

[9] Baer RA. Mindfulness training as a clinical intervention: A conceptual and empirical review. Clinical Psychology: Science and Practice. 2003;10:125–143.

[10] Baer RA, Fischer S, Huss DB. Mindfulness and acceptance in the treatment of disordered eating. Journal of Rational-Emotive & Cognitive-Behavior Therapy. 2005;23:281–300.

[11] Baer RA, Sauer S. Mindfulness and cognitive behavioral therapy: A commentary on Harrington and Pickles. Journal of Cognitive Psychotherapy: An International Quarterly. 2009;23:324–332.

[12] Baer RA, Smith GT, Allen KB. Assessment of mindfulness by self-report: The Kentucky Inventory of Mindfulness Skills. Assessment. 2004;11:191–206.

[13] Baer RA, Smith GT, Hopkins J, Krietemeyer J, Toney L. Using self-report assessment methods to explore facets of mindfulness. Assessment. 2006;13:27–45

[14] Bagchi BK, Wenger MA. Electrophysiological correlates of some yogi

exercises. Electroencephalography and Clinical Neurophysiology. 1957;(Suppl. 7):132–149.

[15] Barnhofer T, Crane C, Didonna F. Mindfulness-based cognitive therapy for depression and suicidality. In: Didonna F, editor. Clinical handbook of mindfulness. New York, NY: Springer; 2009. pp. 221–243

[16] Barnhofer T, Crane C, Hargus E, Amarasinghe M, Winder R, Williams JMG. Mindfulness-based cognitive therapy as a treatment for chronic depression: A preliminary study. Behaviour Research and Therapy. 2009;47:366–373.

[17] Benson H, Rosner BA, Marzetta BR, Klemchuk HM. Decreased blood pressure in pharmacologically treated hypertensive patients who regularly elicited the relaxation response. Lancet. 1974:289–291.

[18] Biegel GM, Brown KW, Shapiro SL, Schubert CM. Mindfulness-based stress reduction for the treatment of adolescent psychiatric outpatients: A randomized clinical trial. Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology. 2009;77:855–866.

[19] Bishop SR, Lau M, Shapiro S, Carlson L, Anderson ND, Carmody J, Devins G. Mindfulness: A proposed operational definition. Clinical Psychology: Science and Practice. 2004;11:230–241.

[20] Dr Michelle Nemec, "REBOOT, REBOUND AND RECOIL - THE ROLE OF RESILIENCE FOR INDIVIDUALS AND ORGANISTIONS IN THE COVID-19 PANDEMIC", Global Research Journal-3, London Organisation Skill Development Ltd, Vol. 1, issue 3, pp. 22-27. https://globalresearchjournal.info/https-globalresearchjournal-info-publications/

[21] Prof. Baliram N. Gaikwad, "Education During Covid- 19 Pandemic and the Compounding Challenges in India", Global Research Journal-3, London Organisation Skill Development Ltd, Vol. 1, issue 3, pp. 28-30. https://globalresearchjournal.info/https-globalresearchjournal-info-publications/

[22] Aditi B. Gaikwad, "COMPREHENDING THE LINGUISTIC AND PSYCHOLOGICAL IMPACT OF COVID-19", Global Research Journal-3, London Organisation Skill Development Ltd, Vol. 1, issue 3, pp. 31-33. https://globalresearchjournal.info/https-globalresearchjournal-info-publications/

[23] Dr. Monica Sharma, "EFFECTS OF THE COVID-19 PANDEMIC ON INNER PEACE AND HAPPINESS", Global Research Journal-3, London Organisation Skill Development Ltd, Vol. 1, issue 3, pp. 34-38. https://globalresearchjournal.info/https-globalresearchjournal-info-publications/

[24] Yesican Pinki, "EFFECT OF JOB LOSS ON THE MENTAL HEALTH OF EMPLOYEES DURING COVID-19", Global Research Journal-3, London Organisation Skill Development Ltd, Vol. 1, issue 3, pp. 12-15. https://globalresearchjournal.info/https-globalresearchjournal-info-publications/

[25] Barsha Mohini Das, "IMPACT OF COVID PANDEMIC ON THE LIFE OF ARTISTS", Global Research Journal-3, London Organisation Skill Development Ltd, Vol. 1, issue 3, pp. 16-21. https://globalresearchjournal.info/https-globalresearchjournal-info-publications/