© OCT 2023 | IRE Journals | Volume 7 Issue 4 | ISSN: 2456-8880

Transferability of Skills Across Domains

PROF. DR. PARIN SOMANI
Director, Department of Skill Development, London Organisation of Skills Development Ltd, London, United Kingdom

Abstract- ***The primary contention of this piece of writing is that we are able to tackle any problem and effectively reason in any field given that we have the capability of critical thinking and that this skill may be handed on from one individual to another. Even more frequently than they debate over the notion of critical thinking, professionals keep debating whether or not transferability is as important as critical thinking is. The author provides a survey of the most important ongoing debates on this topic, and there is a philosophical schism between the formalism (universalism) represented by Ennis (along with Siegel, Paul, and Norris), and the anti-formalism represented by McPeck (who follows in the footsteps of Toulmin and Wittgenstein). When the author takes into account the specific conditions that are faced by philosophers, she arrives at the conclusion that the presumption that critical thinking is built on universal principles that are capable of being transported from one area of study to another is incorrect. Instead, she contends that there are only a limited number of transferrable principles, and that it is necessary to verify these concepts through experimental research.***

Indexed Terms- ***Critical Thinking, Anti-Formalism***

I. INTRODUCTION

The most important point that is being made in this piece of writing is that so long as we are able to keep our critical thinking skills and teach them to subsequent generations, we will be able to find solutions to any problem and effectively reason in any field. This issue arises almost as frequently as the one concerning whether or not critical thinking is more essential than transferability, which is an ongoing topic of discussion among professionals. When considering the use of talents in a variety of settings, the term "transferable abilities" and the formalism (universalism) that has been promoted by Ennis (along with Siegel, Paul, and Norris), are often favoured. These two schools of thought are separated by a gap in their intellectual understanding that cannot be crossed. Abilities like as leadership, working well with others, and communicating well with others are all examples of this. Teacher education classes are designed to assist aspiring educators in recognising and learning classroom management strategies that can be applied in a variety of settings. This is the primary goal of these programmes. Not only are engineers supposed to pass on a lot of information that they believe will be valuable in the future, but they are also required to teach problem-solving abilities that will assist students in preparing for a wide variety of scenarios that engineers themselves cannot anticipate. on the education of medical professionals, corporate executives, architects, and law enforcement officials, training on how to interact with people in a way that is sensitive to and receptive to the diverse needs and experiences they bring with them is becoming an increasingly significant component. The phrase of generic skills or competences is frequently used to refer to talents of a higher order, which are typically those that require a significant amount of cognitive load. These higher-level capabilities are believed to be the foundation of a wide competency that may be applied in a variety of contexts and careers. Only two instances of persons who have recommended gathering and releasing such skill lists are the Secretary of State for Education and Science (1989) and the CBI's Vocational Task Force Interim Report (1989). Both of these individuals published their ideas in 1989. However, keep in mind that it may be difficult to establish a clear border between, for example, "transferable" and "generic." According to a pamphlet published by the now-defunct Training Agency (now known as the Agency for Enterprise in Higher Education), transferable talents are "the generic capabilities that allow people to succeed in a wide range of different tasks and jobs" (Training Agency, 1990, page 5). This definition was found in the pamphlet.

Having Capabilities That Can Be Transferred To Others

The collection of abilities that may be utilised in a variety of settings is referred to as "transferable talents" [5], and the word is used to characterise those sets of skills. These abilities include, but are not limited to, oral and writing communication, social interaction, problem solving, competency with technology, and autonomous decision making. Also included in this category is the ability to make independent decisions. Skills that are readily transferable from one field to another, such as good communication, teamwork, and public speaking, are regularly cited as necessary credentials by hiring managers [6]. [Citation needed] good communication, teamwork, and public speaking are all examples of these skills. This is as a result of the significance that the corporate world places on possessing talents such as these. Research on the atmosphere requires a broad variety of scientific competence, such as chemistry, physics, meteorology, mathematics, biology, agriculture and forest sciences, technology, and the geosciences. It also asks for the teaching and learning of interdisciplinary abilities as well as skills that can be transferred from one context to another. One example of a talent that may be applied in a number of contexts and can be considered interdisciplinary is the capability to combine and apply discoveries from a number of subfields of research. If you want to have a successful career in the field of climate and global change research, you absolutely have to make the transition away from foundational education that is related to a particular subject and towards multidisciplinarity. Schools of medicine, nursing, and dentistry all utilise the pedagogical tactics of learning via problem-solving and working together in multidisciplinary groups [8,9]. Studies have shown that collaboration is essential for specialised professions [10], and studies have also shown that the informal social activities that students participate in are significant for breaking down barriers across varied fields of study [11]. Both of these findings have been supported by research. It has been demonstrated that improving one's academic performance in laboratory classes for engineers by engaging in hands-on work with actual data in a real-world environment [12]. Recently, it has come to be recognised that interdisciplinary collaboration in environmental education at the undergraduate level provides excellent preparation for the professional sector [13]. In the classroom, problem-based learning has also been utilised to great advantage to instruct students on geographical research methodologies [14]. This article investigates the idea of a research-oriented intensive course, which incorporates the following components in one cohesive whole: (1) the development of skills that are marketable through participation in hands-on research work under the supervision of instructors and teaching assistants; and (2) the science accelerator workshop, which provides instructors and teaching assistants with the opportunity to investigate novel scientific concepts in an engaging environment. During the course of the research-based intensive sessions, students and teachers collaborate to develop original knowledge, and everyone involved benefits from the interchange of ideas and information that takes place during this process. An someone who is working towards a postdoctoral degree in meteorology, for instance, may have less knowledge of photosynthesis than someone who is working towards a master's degree in forest ecology. Students who are at various stages of their educational and professional paths may also have the opportunity to learn from one another and share what they have learned. The outcomes of some of the research that was carried out as part of research-oriented intensive courses have been published in scholarly journals. For example, a class in 1996 talked about the production and proliferation of ultrafine aerosol particles in a boreal forest [15], and the journal publication that went along with that class has been mentioned 393 times. In this section, we will concentrate on the educational component of the curriculum. This is the first research that we are aware of that investigates how students at the university level learn the general abilities that are necessary for success in the atmospheric sciences. To the best of our knowledge. Our premise is that students will develop transferable skills that they need as experts while working together on real scientific issues and data with the help of more experienced classmates, professors, and assistants in the context of a multidisciplinary and multicultural research-oriented intensive course. This is based on the idea that students will learn transferable skills that they need as experts while working on real scientific issues and data. Students can improve these skills by working together to solve real-world scientific problems and analyse real-world scientific data.

II. OBJECTIVE OF THE STUDY

1) To Study on Transferability of Skills across Domains.

2) To Study on Domains of knowledge and abilities that span disciplines

To begin, it is essential to recognise that the search for curricular components that have broad application or a high potential for mobility is not a novel endeavour by any stretch of the imagination. Since ancient times, people have debated whether or not it is advantageous to study the classics since it provides a sort of mental exercise that can be used to a broad range of situations that are not related to the classroom setting. According to the legend, the abilities that allowed the British to triumph at Waterloo and successfully administer the Empire were polished (and consequently passed on) on the playing fields at Eton. Even if they were not regarded as such at the time, the abilities that were necessary for the success of the British Empire cannot be overlooked. According to a recent report published by the Council for Vocational Qualifications (NCVQ), for instance, "Historians are valued as potential employees for a variety of diverse reasons, including the breadth of vision and perspective brought about by studying in depth our civilization and culture, and the'set' of enabling skills developed within the rigours of the discipline, including the ability to analyse problems, sift information, weigh evidence, evaluate solutions, and to co-operate with others." Another example of The following illustration may be seen in the paper. These talents are honed to an extraordinarily high degree by professionals such as historians, and it is generally believed that these skills may be applied to domains other than history (Jessup 1990, page i). Of course, it is an entirely other question as to whether or not these anticipations are validated by real experience; nonetheless, if believing in them maintains the viability of the academic study of history, philosophy, or literature at universities, then perhaps this is not a negative thing.[15]

It is possible to make the case that one of the most important factors to take into account when designing a curriculum is the extent to which previously acquired information may be effectively utilised to instruct, enlighten, and applied to the broadest possible range of settings. It is not that different from selecting a course of study based on its fundamentality or generalizability of knowledge to choose a course of study based on its potential for transdisciplinary use or social application. The author provides a survey of the most important current debates on this topic, including a comparison of the anti-formalism represented by McPeck (who follows in the footsteps of Toulmin and Wittgenstein) with the fundamentalism and generalism advocated by Bailey in relation to a liberal education. In other words, the author compares the anti-formalism represented by McPeck with the fundamentalism and generalism advocated by Bailey. When the author takes into account the specific challenges faced by philosophers, she arrives at the conclusion that it is incorrect to presume that critical thinking is founded on universal principles that are transferable from one field of research to another. Instead, she contends that there are only a select few universal truths and that further research in this area is necessary in order to validate these concepts.

"A liberal education should provide students with the information and ideas that are as fundamental as possible in order to have a generality of application that is more rather than less freeing. The word "fundamental" is being used in this context in the sense of a required basis, and it is being contrasted with some specific pieces of information that could be beneficial in and of themselves, but upon which nothing or very little can be constructed.." (Bailey 1984, p22)

Bailey continues by providing an illustration of what he may mean by knowledge, which he characterises as being "more fundamental, underlies more possibilities of application, and is therefore more generalisable and more liberating" (Ibid., page 22, my emphasis).

Regardless of whether or not proponents of cross-curricular, core, transferable, or generic abilities have got their specific selections right, the principle of selection does not appear very different from the one that Bailey is proposing inside the context of a philosophy of a liberal education. [Cross-curricular], "core," "transferable," and "generic" talents all fall under this category.

The reason for this resemblance is a highly practical one (albeit the similarity does not extend all the way through the argument), and the similarity does not extend all the way through the argument. The amount of information that might be included in a curriculum is virtually limitless. The demands that our kids will have in the future are extremely varied,

and they are about to enter a time of such fast and even "discontinuous" (Handy 1989) development that it is difficult to forecast what those needs will be. These kinds of analyses are what drove the CBI, for instance, to argue about the necessity of "a skills revolution" (CBI 1989). [16] When it comes to the selection of curriculum, what we are in need of is a concept that will enable us to determine which information and capabilities will have the most potential for longevity, the highest capacity for either generation or regeneration, the widest range of applications, and the greatest sustaining power. One approach to convey such a principle is through generalizability, and another is through fundamentality: The terminology of transferability, core, generic, and cross-curricular talents all serve a function that is similar.[17]

- *Domains of knowledge and abilities that span disciplines*

However, there are a number of challenges that are unavoidable under these circumstances. Knowledge is the foundation for the theory, the theory is the foundation for the knowledge, and the theory is the foundation for the theory. How can we identify the limits that separate "one field" from "another area of knowledge," and what exactly are those boundaries? Hirst gives such a theory of domains (Hirst 1965) or 'forms of knowledge,' but it has been weakened in so many different ways that it is difficult to take it as a realistic description of water-tight compartments. Of reality, Hirst presents such a theory of domains (Hirst 1965). It is difficult to view such portrayals as anything other than a classification when viewed through the prism of knowledge's historical categorization. In order to accomplish certain objectives, the foundation of this organisation is a subset of the countless distinct disparities that may be made between the many different kinds of information. [18]In any event, it is hard to consider such representations as anything other than a categorization. This is because such representations are only classifying things.

When we take into consideration the historical example that Barrow provided, there are a variety of diverse ways in which the logical consistency and integrity of what he offered might be called into question.[19]

The essential tenet of this theory is that the boundaries that demarcate different cognitive domains can be constructed and rewritten depending on the requirements of the specific circumstance. We may separate and subdivide any one categorization into smaller and smaller bits, and we will still discover that they have an infinite amount of richness going all the way to the horizon. Those who are working for a PhD degree frequently engage in this behaviour. Alternately, we may construct categories that are both more all-encompassing and more reductionist until, for example, we reach the realisation that there is simply science.

This ultimate conclusion is not one that I have arrived at on my own; rather, I believe that it is more important to recognise a range of conceptual and logical contrasts between various types of information and the various demands that various fields of knowledge place on our capabilities and our level of comprehension. On the other hand, it is something that needs to be acknowledged that such differences are much messier and more complex than any current theory of domains portrays; an empirical mapping of the social system of knowledge will display an infinite number of interconnections in a way that no current theory of domains portrays; and these provide a wide variety of channels for the development of inter-domainal (also known as cross-curricular) understanding and skill.

It's possible that the second argument against the scepticism that Hirst, McPeck, and Barrow have voiced will render this first one superfluous.

However, the pursuit of cross-disciplinary talents does not mean that individuals are born with natural intellectual endowments that may be employed in the absence of domain-specific information. [20] This is because the presence of such talents is not anticipated in the process of seeking for these skills, which is the reason for this result. However, many other iterations of the argument begin with the premise that the process of finding a solution to a technical challenge is conceptually analogous to finding a solution to a mathematical problem. See the article written by Wolf (1991) for further information on the steps that were taken by the NCVQ and the SEAC. In addition to this, it does not presume that solving a problem in mathematics and solving a problem in technology are, in any important manner, equivalent to one another. It is likely that the statement is nothing more than the

idea that creating something in one area can contribute to, but not be sufficient for, its growth in other areas; that there is something in common across techniques to problem-solving in technology, mathematics, and other subject areas. It's also possible that the argument is that problem-solving processes in wildly different domains like technology, mathematics, and the humanities all share basic characteristics. This interpretation of the claim might potentially be the claim itself, in addition to being a conceivable reading of the claim.[21]

scientists and others with inquisitive minds have began to guess and research the nature of this omnipresent substance or chemicals based on the basis of past contacts. about the basis of earlier interactions. It's possible that it has anything to do with how specific cognitive or heuristic talents are developed and refined. It is quite possible that it has something to do with developing one's confidence in themselves, being open to connecting with other people, or having the capability to make use of a network of professional contacts. Because they are compatible with believability, each of these choices may be considered a feasible possibility. Importantly, if the answer to the question is even a little bit hazily phrased in terms like these, then we can start to identify qualities, attributes, and even skills that are not domain-specific, despite the fact that they must be exercised in the cognitive context of one or more such domains and on the basis of knowledge and understanding that is appropriate to that domain. This is an important point to keep in mind because it allows us to begin identifying qualities, attributes, and even skills that are not domain-specific. This is crucial because it prepares the way for the discovery of features, abilities, and skills that are applicable throughout a population. This makes it much easier to start spotting generalizable features, abilities, and talents in people, which is a really useful skill to have. This opens the door to a wide variety of fascinating new possibilities.

Alverno College in Milwaukee, which is generally regarded as one of the most well-known instances of the teaching of core or cross-curricular talents in higher education (see Fennell, 1990), employs a method that adequately embodies this principle and serves as an example of a technique that one may discover at Alverno College. The way that Alverno College teaches fundamental or transdisciplinary abilities is frequently cited as an example for other educational institutions to take as a point of reference. Although the school has identified, taught, and assessed students on eight unique 'abilities,' these 'abilities' are presented and taught inside the substantive framework of the students' regular subject study, and are not treated as anything additional by the institution. In addition, in order to conduct an appropriate evaluation, the abilities in question need to be demonstrated in a wide variety of specific subject matter areas. Similar research conducted on the evaluation of "broad work-related skills" came to the conclusion that improving the reliability and validity of the test may be accomplished by integrating both general measures of cognitive capacity and explicit content loading (Wolf 1990; Wolf and Silver 1990). This outcome agrees with those of the previous investigations.

The North Carolina Vocational Qualifications (NCVQ) were designed with the intention of delivering a system that "can also be stated independently of any particular subject, discipline, or occupation." This was the primary objective of the NCVQ. The objective of the NCVQ is to give students with learning outcomes that "can also be stated independently of any particular subject, discipline, or occupation." This is defined as the organization's declared mission. This discovery goes against the overall goal that was set. Therefore, it could be argued that the most effective methods for teaching and assessing fundamental or generic skills will not aim to separate them from the cognitive settings in which they are used, but instead will focus on highlighting what is shared among the abilities that are necessary (1990, page 5 of Jessup). If this is the case, then it is possible that the most effective methods for teaching and assessing fundamental or generic skills will not aim to separate them from the cognitive settings in which they are used.

Capabilities that may be applied in a diverse array of personal and professional contexts[22]

There is a widespread agreement among experts that those who have completed their education at a college or university are better equipped to handle the duties of their jobs and families. Students gain information and talents while they are attending school, but they quickly realise that they are not enough and that something is missing from their

lives that stops them from properly putting what they have learned into performance (Klemp 1977). After complete their formal education, graduates frequently arrive at this realisation on their own. This epiphany typically takes place at some point in time after a fresh college graduate embarks on their working life.

The discovery made by Klemp is an illustration of one of two goals that may be summed up by the phrase "transfer," which encompasses both of these goals. It is only half of the fight to be able to apply what one has learned in the classroom, the seminar hall, or the laboratory; the other half is to ensure that the abilities one has obtained can be transferred from one circumstance to another in the actual context in which they will be applied. It is critical to have faith that one's education or training will be useful in another setting in order to realise any of these goals successfully.[23]

The discussion on the feasibility of various forms of transfer is analogous to the discussion regarding the transferability of talents between different cognitive domains and the relevance of such skills in a number of different settings. In each of these debates, the most important question that has to be answered is whether or not a certain set of talents can be transferred to different kinds of mental labour. For instance, Wolf (1991) argued that there is a causal relationship between the two, which is similar to the focus that Barrow placed on the influence that one's upbringing had on their intrinsic skills. When Barrow stated, "there is a correlation between the two," he was not fooling about with his statement.

" Core abilities... are by definition inseparable from the situations in which they are formed and demonstrated, and... they only make sense (or rather, the same sense) to those who have the same recognition and comprehension of those circumstances. cited in Wolf (1991, page 194)

We do not have a classification method that is easy, even if it is tough, for social circumstances, although we do have some very common and clear types of categorization accessible for cognitive domains. It is possible that we ought to inquire as to what differentiates one social context from another to the extent that it poses a barrier to the transfer of skills, such that the fact that individuals have demonstrated that they are able to apply something they learned in one context to another would be evidence that they have mastered a skill that is transferable. When attempting to transfer talents from one social context to another, it is vital to think about the features that distinguish one social setting from the others. To reiterate, we need a theory of domains, namely a theory of social domains, in order to make the concept of transferable talents understandable, let alone practicable. namely, we require a theory of social domains..[24]

CONCLUSION

The learning outcomes of the courses were constructively aligned with the teaching methods, which included group work, data analysis of real scientific questions and real scientific data, a few expert lectures, discussions with experts, and peer support, as well as an evaluation of the course that was based on the oral presentations and written reports given by the groups. According to the results of the survey that was carried out at the end of the course, the students felt that they had gained abilities that were transferrable during the course and that they loved the atmosphere that was given by a multidisciplinary intense course. Both before and after taking the course, participants ranked data-analysis abilities as the most essential among the other transferrable skills that were discussed. The students' expectations for gaining proficiency in data analysis throughout the course were the greatest, and they came away from the experience with the impression that this was the skillset they improved upon the most. However, the students believed that other transferable abilities, such as writing reports and articles, oral presentation skills, learning and teaching skills, as well as project and time management skills, were also quite essential; however, the evaluated learning of these other skills was not as significant as the assessed acquisition of the more significant skills.

REFERENCES

[1] Wiek, A.; Withycombe, L.; Redman, C.L. Key competencies in sustainability: A reference framework for academic program development. Sustain. Sci. 2011, 6, 203–218.

[2] Van der Leeuw, S.; Wiek, A.; Harlow, J.; Buizer, J. How much time do we have? Urgency and rhetoric in sustainability science. Sustain. Sci. 2012, 7, 115–120.

[3] Kulmala, M.K.; Lappalainen, H.; Petäjä, T.; Kerminen, V.-M.; Viisanen, Y.; Matvienko, G.; Melnikov, V.; Baklanov, A.; Bondur, V.; Kasimov, N.; et al. Pan-Eurasian EXperiment (PEEX) Program: Grand Challenges in the Arctic-Boreal Context. Geogr. Environ. Sustain. 2016, 9, 5–18.

[4] Mauser, W.; Klepper, G.; Rice, M.; Schmalzbauer, B.S.; Hackmann, H.; Leemans, R.; Moore, H. Transdisciplinary global change research: the co-creation of knowledge for sustainability. Curr. Opin. Environ. Sustain. 2013, 5, 420–431.

[5] Kemp, I.J.; Seagraves, L. Transferable skills—can higher education deliver? Stud. High. Educ. 1995, 20, 315–328. 6. Bennett, R. Employers' demands for personal transferable skills in graduates: A content analysis of 1000 job advertisements and an associated empirical study. J. Vocat. Educ. Train. 2002, 54, 457–476. 7. Nordic Climate Change Research. NordForsk Policy Briefs 2009-8; Mandag Morgen: Oslo, Norway, 2009. 8. Barrows, H.S. Problem-based learning in medicine and beyond: A brief overview. New Dir. Teach. Learn. 1996, 68, 3–12.

[6] 9. Wood, D.F. ABC of learning and teaching in medicine: Problem based learning. BMJ 2003, 326, 328.

[7] 10. Hall, P.; Weaver, L. Interdisciplinary education and teamwork: a long and winding road. Med. Educ. 2001, 35, 867–875.

[8] 11. Reeves, S. Community-based interprofessional education for medical, nursing and dental students. Health Soc. Care Community 2000, 8, 269–276.

[9] 12. Corter, J.E.; Esche, S.K.; Chassapis, C.; Ma, J.; Nickerson, J.V. Process and learning outcomes from remotely-operated, simulated, and hands-on student laboratories. Comput. Educ. 2011, 57, 2054–2067.

[10] 13. Meehan, B.; Thomas, I. Teamwork: Education for entrants to the environment professions. Environ. Educ. Res. 2006, 12, 609–623.

[11] 14. Spronken-Smith, R. Implementing a problem-based learning approach for teaching research methods in geography. J. Geogr. High. Educ. 2005, 29, 203–221.

[12] 15. Mäkelä, J.M.; Aalto, P.; Jokinen, V.; Pohja, T.; Nissinen, A.; Palmroth, S.; Markkanen, T.; Seitsonen, K.; Lihavainen, H.; Kulmala, M. Observations of ultrafine aerosol particle formation and growth in boreal forest. Geophys. Res. Lett. 1997, 24, 1219–1222.

[13] 16. Lauri, A.; Riuttanen, L.; Sihvonen, P.; Westerberg, S.; Hari, P.; Ruuskanen, T.M.; Kulmala, M. The atmospheric science paradigm and horizontal learning: experiences from short research-intensive courses. Rep. Ser. Aerosol Sci. 2016, 180, 275.

[14] 17. Biggs, J. Enhancing teaching through constructive alignment. High. Educ. 1996, 32, 347–504.

[15] 18. Kolb, D.A. Experiental Learning: Experience as a Source of Learning and Development; Prentice-Hall: Engelwood Cliffs, NJ, USA, 1984.

[16] 19. Paramonov, M.; Lauri, A.; Sylvius, V.; Kulmala, M. Approaches for Multidisciplinary Research-Oriented Studies by Means of Joint Programmes: Cases, Experiences and Successes. Proceedings of Symposium on Integrating Research, Education, and Problem Solving (IREPS), Orlando, FL, USA, 2011; pp. 231–235.

[17] 20. Hari, P.; Kulmala, M. Stations for Measuring Ecosystem—Atmosphere Relations (SMEAR II). Boreal Environ. Res. 2005, 10, 315–322.

[18] Dr. Lokesh Tiwari, "The Choruses of Indianness Flowing in The Expressions of The Dance", Global Research Journal-2, London Organisation Skill Development Ltd, Vol. 1, issue 2, pp. 27-33. https://globalresearchjournal.info/https-globalresearchjournal-info-publications/

[19] Henry C. Chung, Sally P. Waterworth , Ina Shaw, Chris J. McManus, Brandon S. Shaw, "Genetics and exercise health in strength training: A brief overview and commentary", Global Research Journal-2, London Organisation Skill Development Ltd, Vol. 1, issue 2, pp. 34-42. https://globalresearchjournal.info/https-globalresearchjournal-info-publications/

[20] Prof. Sheelu Singh Bhatia & Mr. Subir Mandal, "Importance of Eective Communication Skills during Campus Interview", Global Research Journal-2, London Organisation Skill

Development Ltd, Vol. 1, issue 2, pp. 43-49. https://globalresearchjournal.info/https-globalresearchjournal-info-publications/

[21] Dr. Goldi Puri, "The Impact of tourist adoption and acceptance of smart phone applications in hospitality and tourism industry", Global Research Journal-2, London Organisation Skill Development Ltd, Vol. 1, issue 2, pp. 50-59. https://globalresearchjournal.info/https-globalresearchjournal-info-publications/

[22] Dr. Vijayta Taneja, "Community Radio in India: A Model of Participatory Communication in India", Global Research Journal-2, London Organisation Skill Development Ltd, Vol. 1, issue 2, pp. 60-66. https://globalresearchjournal.info/https-globalresearchjournal-info-publications/

[23] Dr. Abhijeet Ingle, Mr. Apury Shahi, "Dissonance and Harmony: An Ecocritical Reading of Margaret Atwood's Oryx and Crake and Gary Paulsen's Hatchet", Global Research Journal-2, London Organisation Skill Development Ltd, Vol. 1, issue 2, pp. 67-72. https://globalresearchjournal.info/https-globalresearchjournal-info-publications/

[24] Prof. Dr. Parin Somani, "Facilitating Cyber Security in Contemporary Life", Global Research Journal-2, London Organisation Skill Development Ltd, Vol. 1, issue 2, pp. 73-79. https://globalresearchjournal.info/https-globalresearchjournal-info-publications/