

Improving Case Tracking and Coordination Across Agencies Using Data Integration

OLUWAFUNMILAYO SOMOYE¹, VALENTINA IJEOMA AKPA²

^{1,2}Northeastern University

Abstract- Effective case tracking and coordination across social service agencies are essential for delivering comprehensive support to immigrant populations. Immigrants often navigate complex systems to access critical services such as legal aid, healthcare, housing assistance, and social welfare. These services are typically managed by different agencies, each with its own data systems, policies, and communication protocols (Oliver et al., 2012). The fragmented nature of these systems poses significant challenges to seamless service delivery, often resulting in duplicated efforts, gaps in care, and delayed access to essential resources. For vulnerable immigrant populations, especially those seeking asylum or facing deportation, inefficiencies in case tracking can have severe consequences on their well-being and legal outcomes. Therefore, fostering collaboration among agencies through effective case tracking mechanisms is vital to ensuring equitable and timely service delivery (Biswas et al., 2012). Data integration offers a transformative approach to improving inter-agency collaboration by consolidating information from disparate systems into a unified framework. Through data integration, agencies can access shared databases, automate information exchanges, and coordinate service delivery more efficiently.

efforts, gaps in care, and delayed access to essential resources. For vulnerable immigrant populations, especially those seeking asylum or facing deportation, inefficiencies in case tracking can have severe consequences on their well-being and legal outcomes. Therefore, fostering collaboration among agencies through effective case tracking mechanisms is vital to ensuring equitable and timely service delivery (Biswas et al., 2012). Data integration offers a transformative approach to improving inter-agency collaboration by consolidating information from disparate systems into a unified framework. Through data integration, agencies can access shared databases, automate information exchanges, and coordinate service delivery more efficiently.

This interconnected approach not only enhances the accuracy of client information but also facilitates more holistic care by providing a comprehensive view of client needs across agencies. Moreover, data integration can help minimize administrative burdens, reduce redundancies, and improve decision-making processes, ultimately enhancing the overall quality of services delivered to immigrant populations (Tenopir et al., 2020). The objective of this paper is to explore how data integration can improve case tracking and coordination across social service agencies serving immigrant populations. The paper will review existing approaches to data integration, assess the benefits and challenges associated with these systems, and provide best practices for optimizing cross-agency collaboration. By highlighting the potential of data integration in streamlining case management, this paper aims to contribute to the ongoing efforts to enhance service delivery for immigrant communities.

I. INTRODUCTION

Effective case tracking and coordination across social service agencies are essential for delivering comprehensive support to immigrant populations. Immigrants often navigate complex systems to access critical services such as legal aid, healthcare, housing assistance, and social welfare. These services are typically managed by different agencies, each with its own data systems, policies, and communication protocols (Oliver et al., 2012). The fragmented nature of these systems poses significant challenges to seamless service delivery, often resulting in duplicated

II. DATA INTEGRATION APPROACHES

Several data integration methods can be employed to improve case tracking and coordination across social service agencies. Each approach has its own strengths and limitations, and selecting the appropriate method

depends on the specific needs and technological infrastructure of the agencies involved. Centralized data systems consolidate all client information into a single, unified database accessible to all participating agencies. This approach simplifies data management, enhances data consistency, and facilitates comprehensive case tracking. However, centralized systems can be expensive to implement, require significant organizational change, and may raise concerns about data privacy and security. The Homeless Management Information System (HMIS) in the United States integrates data from multiple service providers to track and coordinate services for homeless individuals, demonstrating the benefits of this approach (Scarso et al., 2023).

Federated data systems maintain separate databases across agencies but enable information sharing through common data standards and protocols. This approach preserves agency autonomy while promoting collaboration. However, it may result in slower data access and require complex interoperability frameworks. The Integrated Justice Information Systems (IJIS) initiative allows various law enforcement agencies to share information without merging their databases, illustrating the potential of this method. Data warehousing involves aggregating data from multiple sources into a central repository for analysis and reporting (Muenzen et al., 2022). This method supports historical data analysis and decision-making but may not be suitable for real-time information sharing. The New York City Integrated Data System (IDS) combines data from education, health, and social services to improve policy analysis and service delivery. Application programming interfaces (APIs) enable real-time data sharing between different systems without the need for full data consolidation. This approach is highly flexible, cost-effective, and scalable. However, API implementation requires standardized data formats and robust security measures. The UK's Universal Credit System uses APIs to integrate information from various government departments to streamline benefit processing (Oliver et al., 2012).

III. BENEFITS AND CHALLENGES

Data integration offers numerous benefits that enhance the efficiency and effectiveness of case tracking and

coordination across agencies. Improved case coordination ensures that agencies can track and manage client interactions more effectively, reducing service duplication and facilitating timely interventions. Real-time information sharing allows agencies to make informed decisions quickly, enhancing responsiveness to client needs. By reducing redundancy in service provision, agencies can allocate resources more effectively and minimize operational inefficiencies. Enhanced decision-making, supported by comprehensive and accurate data, enables policymakers and service providers to develop evidence-based strategies for better service delivery (Williford et al., 2003).

Despite these advantages, several challenges hinder the successful implementation of data integration systems. Data privacy and security concerns are paramount, as agencies must ensure compliance with regulations such as the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) and the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA). Interoperability issues arise when agencies use different data formats and systems, complicating data exchange efforts. Organizational resistance to change can impede the adoption of integrated data systems, particularly when staff members are accustomed to existing workflows. Funding and resource limitations further constrain efforts to implement and maintain data integration solutions, particularly for smaller agencies with limited technological capabilities (Byrd et al., 2020).

IV. RECOMMENDATIONS

To optimize data integration efforts, agencies should adopt best practices that promote seamless coordination and data security. Engaging stakeholders, including government agencies, non-profits, and technology providers, ensures that integration efforts align with the needs of all involved parties. Implementing standardized data formats facilitates interoperability and streamlines information sharing. Utilizing privacy-preserving technologies, such as encryption and anonymization, mitigates security risks and enhances client data protection. Cross-agency training is essential to familiarize staff with new systems and encourage buy-in from all stakeholders. Additionally, agencies should establish governance

frameworks to oversee data-sharing agreements, monitor compliance, and address ethical considerations. For agencies seeking to improve coordination through data integration, actionable recommendations include investing in scalable technological solutions, fostering inter-agency collaboration through policy alignment, and securing sustainable funding sources to support long-term implementation. Piloting integration projects in smaller regions or departments before full-scale implementation can help agencies identify challenges and refine strategies before broader adoption.

CONCLUSION

Data integration has the potential to transform case tracking and coordination across social service agencies, particularly in the context of immigration-related services. By implementing centralized, federated, and API-driven data systems, agencies can enhance efficiency, reduce service duplication, and improve decision-making. However, challenges such as data privacy concerns, interoperability issues, and organizational resistance must be addressed to maximize the benefits of integration. Through best practices such as stakeholder engagement, standardized data formats, and cross-agency training, agencies can overcome barriers and implement successful integration strategies. Future research should explore the long-term impacts of data integration on service delivery outcomes and develop policy frameworks that support sustainable collaboration among agencies.

REFERENCES

- [1] Biswas, K., Carty, C., Horney, R., Nasrin, D., Farag, T. H., Kotloff, K. L., & Levine, M. M. (2012). Data Management and Other Logistical Challenges for the GEMS: The Data Coordinating Center Perspective. *Clinical Infectious Diseases*, 55(suppl_4), S254–S261. <https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/cis755>
- [2] Byrd, J. B., Greene, A. C., Prasad, D. V., Jiang, X., & Greene, C. S. (2020). Responsible, practical genomic data sharing that accelerates research. *Nature Reviews Genetics*, 21(10), 615–629. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41576-020-0257-5>
- [3] Muenzen, K. D., Amendola, L. M., Kauffman, T. L., Mittendorf, K. F., Bensen, J. T., Chen, F., Green, R., Powell, B. C., Kvale, M., Angelo, F., Farnan, L., Fullerton, S. M., Robinson, J. O., Li, T., Murali, P., Lawlor, J. M. J., Ou, J., Hindorff, L. A., Jarvik, G. P., & Crosslin, D. R. (2022). Lessons learned and recommendations for data coordination in collaborative research: The CSER consortium experience. *Human Genetics and Genomics Advances*, 3(3), 100120. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.xhgg.2022.100120>
- [4] Oliver, J. M., Slashinski, M. J., Wang, T., Kelly, P. A., Hilsenbeck, S. G., & McGuire, A. L. (2012). Balancing the Risks and Benefits of Genomic Data Sharing: Genome Research Participants' Perspectives. *Public Health Genomics*, 15(2), 106–114. <https://doi.org/10.1159/000334718>
- [5] Scarso, S., Marchetti, G., Russo, M. L., D'Angelo, F., Tosti, M. E., Bellini, A., De Marchi, C., Ferrari, C., Gatta, A., Caminada, S., Papaevgeniou, N., Dalma, N., Karnaki, P., Marceca, M., & Declich, S. (2023). Access to Vaccination for Newly Arrived Migrants: Developing a General Conceptual Framework for Understanding How to Improve Vaccination Coverage in European Countries. *International Journal of Public Health*, 68, 1605580. <https://doi.org/10.3389/ijph.2023.1605580>
- [6] Tenopir, C., Rice, N. M., Allard, S., Baird, L., Borycz, J., Christian, L., Grant, B., Olendorf, R., & Sandusky, R. J. (2020). Data sharing, management, use, and reuse: Practices and perceptions of scientists worldwide. *PLOS ONE*, 15(3), e0229003. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0229003>
- [7] Williford, W. O., Collins, J. F., Horney, A., Kirk, G., McSherry, F., Spence, E., Stinnett, S., Howell, C. L., Garg, R., Egan, D., Yusuf, S., & On Behalf Of The Dig Investigators. (2003). The role of the data coordinating center in the DIG trial. *Controlled Clinical Trials*, 24(6), S277–S288. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0197-2456\(03\)00103-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0197-2456(03)00103-X)