

# CRM With Salesforce Hubspot and Motion AI: Chatbot-enabled CRM – An Analysis of Automated Customer Relationship Management

OYINDAMOLA IWALEHIN<sup>1</sup>, DR. MATTHEW FISHER<sup>2</sup>

***Abstract- This research investigates the evolving landscape of customer relationship management (CRM) driven by artificial intelligence (AI), focusing specifically on HubSpot's acquisition of MotionAI. We examine the impacts, advantages, and drawbacks of integrating AI-powered chatbots into CRM environments, highlighting their role in automating customer interactions. Chatbots present significant benefits in scalability, efficiency, and task automation, particularly suited for high-volume customer inquiries. However, this shift risks compromising personalized service, essential for building trust and commitment. Through a multi-layered analysis, this study proposes a balanced CRM approach that strategically combines AI chatbots with human agents across the marketing and sales funnel (ToFu, MoFu, BoFu), tailored to each stage's needs. Our findings underscore the critical need for personalization in CRM systems and provide actionable insights for organizations considering chatbot integration to maintain customer satisfaction while achieving operational efficiency.***

## I. INTRODUCTION

The rise of artificial intelligence has dramatically transformed customer relationship management (CRM) practices across industries. Companies like HubSpot, a prominent CRM and inbound marketing software provider, are actively incorporating AI to refine customer interactions and streamline operations. In 2021, HubSpot acquired MotionAI, an AI-powered chatbot platform, marking a pivotal step in its evolution toward more automated customer service. This acquisition reflects an industry-wide trend as companies increasingly leverage AI to address high-volume inquiries and repetitive tasks, thus improving efficiency and reducing operational costs. However, the shift from human representatives to AI-

based chatbots raises important questions about the quality of customer relationships. While AI offers the potential to enhance response time and scale customer service, it lacks the empathy, adaptability, and nuanced understanding of human agents. A CRM system overly reliant on automation could sacrifice personalization, a key element in customer loyalty and retention. This analysis seeks to provide a comprehensive evaluation of the chatbot-enabled CRM model, considering HubSpot's AI adoption through MotionAI and its implications on customer relationships.

This study examines key questions around the optimal balance between automation and human interaction in CRM, the effectiveness of chatbots at different funnel stages, and the potential impact on customer behavior and profitability. By analyzing these factors, this paper aims to offer recommendations for companies like HubSpot, and others exploring AI in CRM, to maintain efficiency without compromising the trust and personal connection integral to long-term customer satisfaction.

Question 1: Should HubSpot replace its human chat representatives with chatbots? Why or why not?

While chatbots offer advantages for efficiency in handling repetitive tasks, a complete replacement of human representatives could undermine the quality of customer relationships. Research suggests that human interaction fosters deeper emotional connections and trust, which are integral to long-term customer loyalty (Buttle & Maklan, 2019). Additionally, AI lacks the nuanced understanding required in complex scenarios, limiting its effectiveness in customer relationship management (Kumar et al., 2019). Thus, a hybrid approach, where chatbots handle preliminary inquiries, leaving more complex issues to humans, could optimize resources while retaining customer satisfaction. Similar to banking, where personal

relationships are paramount, HubSpot could benefit from a CRM model that leverages both AI and human interactions, recognizing that automation alone may lead to hidden costs, such as reduced customer commitment.

Question 2: Which activities in HubSpot's marketing and selling process would you turn over from humans to bots? Why? In which phases of the funnel (ToFu, MoFu, BoFu) would bots excel (or struggle) compared to humans?

Chatbots are particularly effective in the ToFu phase, where customer inquiries are generally informational and transactional, involving low-complexity tasks such as answering FAQs or lead qualification (Kumar et al., 2019). In MoFu, bots can support personalized email marketing and targeted retargeting campaigns. However, during the BoFu phase, which involves conversion-focused interactions, the personalized guidance of a human representative can be invaluable in reinforcing customer trust, aligning with the "value-in-use" concept where customer value is derived from the quality of interaction (Buttle & Maklan, 2019). Therefore, chatbots are most suitable for high-volume, low-complexity tasks across ToFu and MoFu, but human agents should take precedence in BoFu, where relationship-building and decision-making play pivotal roles.

Question 3: How might customer behavior change if customers interact with bots versus humans? How might this behavioral change affect the type of relationship formed with the company, the trajectory of that relationship, and its inherent profitability?

Customer behavior may shift when interacting with bots, as bots offer instant responses and are accessible 24/7, which can lead to higher engagement (Kumar et al., 2019). However, when customers face complex issues, bots' lack of empathy and contextual understanding can lead to frustration, diminishing trust and satisfaction. This experience gap can reduce the perceived value of the interaction, thus impacting customer loyalty and profitability. According to Doering's (2023) study, personal relationships contribute to trust and can influence decision-making in high-stakes interactions. For HubSpot, blending bot convenience with human empathy could ensure a balanced relationship trajectory that maximizes both engagement and profitability by catering to customers'

practical needs while retaining relational warmth.

Question 4: As it develops best practices to share with its customers, what should HubSpot recommend regarding a) how "human" chatbots should be, b) whether and/or when to disclose to a customer that they are chatting with a bot, and c) whether the bot should always speak in the voice of the brand or adapt its style based on individual customer cues?

HubSpot should advocate a balanced approach to chatbot design (Avery & Steenburgh, 2018):

a) Human-Like Features: Chatbots should combine efficiency with empathy, managing simple queries in a conversational manner without misleading customers into believing they're human. This requires building conversational skills that make interactions smoother while avoiding an overly "human" tone that could lead to distrust. Striking this balance can improve engagement without crossing ethical lines.

b) Transparency in Bot Use: Transparency is key for maintaining trust. Customers should be unobtrusively informed when interacting with a bot to set realistic expectations and avoid potential disappointment if the bot cannot fulfill complex requests (Buttle & Maklan, 2019). This disclosure reinforces the company's integrity.

c) Brand Voice Adaptability: While bots should embody the brand's voice, they could benefit from a degree of adaptive flexibility to align with individual customer preferences. By tailoring responses to match customers' communication styles, bots can offer a more personalized experience, enhancing customer engagement (Kumar et al., 2019).

Question 5: Was HubSpot's acquisition of MotionAI a strategic move for the company? How might it affect its relationships with its own customers?

HubSpot's acquisition of MotionAI aligns with industry trends toward AI-driven CRM solutions that prioritize efficiency in customer engagement (Avery & Steenburgh, 2018). By integrating MotionAI's technology, HubSpot has positioned itself to automate essential customer service tasks, enhancing response times and potentially boosting customer satisfaction. However, for sustained success, HubSpot must balance this technological advancement with the "value-in-experience" that comes from human interactions. Similar to MicroBank's "relational lending" practices in banking (Class 4 reading), a

combined high-tech/high-touch model could allow HubSpot to retain customer loyalty by integrating bots and human representatives effectively. The key is to offer responsive service without sacrificing the personal connection that human agents bring, which is crucial for maintaining long-term customer relationships and loyalty.

Question 6: How would you assess the potential for chatbots in managing B2B and B2C customer relationships? Under which conditions do bots have the most and least potential?

Chatbots have significant potential in B2B and B2C contexts for tasks that are high-volume and low-complexity, such as initial lead qualification, basic support, and routine inquiries (Kumar et al., 2019). However, in B2B relationships, where trust and in-depth knowledge are paramount, the human touch is often necessary to handle complex negotiations or issue resolution. This aligns with the “value-for-effort” principle, where the customer's perceived benefit is balanced by the resources they invest in an interaction (Buttle & Maklan, 2019). For B2C applications with high customer turnover and lower commitment needs, chatbots can excel in providing scalable, efficient service. In contrast, in-depth B2B interactions involving long-term partnerships and strategic decisions are best managed by human representatives.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Avery, J., & Steenburgh, T. (2018). HubSpot and Motion AI: Chatbot-Enabled CRM, 518067-PDF-ENG. Harvard Business School.
- [2] Buttle, F., & Maklan, S. (2019). Customer Relationship Management: Concepts and Technologies (4th ed.). Routledge.
- [3] Kumar, V., Rajan, B., Venkatesan, R., & Lecinski, J. (2019). Understanding the role of artificial intelligence in personalized engagement marketing. *California Management Review*, 61(4), 135–155. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0008125619859317>
- [4] Doering, L. (2023). Personal Relationships in Digital Worlds. Class 4 Readings.