

Actuarial Implications of Data-Driven ESG Risk Assessment

MUNASHE NAPHTALI MUPA¹, SYLVESTER TAFIRENYIKA², MELODY RUDAVIRO NYAJEKA³, TAMUKA MAVENGE MOYO⁴, ELIEL KUNDAI ZHUWANKINYU⁵

^{1, 2, 4}Hult International Business School

³Columbia Business School

⁵Illinois Institute of Technology

Abstract- This paper discusses the actuarial consequences of big data ESG risk rating and details how big data has revolutionized the profession. With more firms recognizing the importance of ESG factors, actuaries are well-suited to measure and mitigate these multifaceted issues. Integrating data and analytic techniques improves the reliability of assessed risks, helping actuaries become key advisors for future decisions. These transformations require changes in actuarial education that would prepare learners for such tasks based on data analysis and ESG metrics. Additionally, the recruitment of actuaries in consulting positions should also increase, given that firms are likely to seek advice on how to integrate ESG factors into their risk management models. In conclusion, the adoption of scientific approaches to ESG risk assessment will not only add more value to the profession while expanding its focus on actuarial utility but also promote more sustainable business practices across the corporate sector, thus proving the importance of actuarial professionals in interpreting the modern risk environment (Vasenin, 2022).

I. INTRODUCTION

As of late, Environmental, Social, and Governance or ESG factors have emerged as significant elements of business models and risk management, especially in the finance and insurance industries (Davenport & Ronanki, 2018). ESG stands for Environmental, Social, and Governance, which are the three main criteria used to measure the sustainability and social responsibility of organizations or securities. The social aspect includes social responsibility, effect on environment, and measures taken towards climate change and sustainable environment. The social dimension evaluates the manner in which an

organization treats its employees, suppliers, customers and other stakeholders, and how it handles issues relating to diversity, labor practices, and human rights among others (Oquendo-Torres, & Segovia-Vargas, 2024). Governance is associated with the leadership framework, business ethics, and the ability to report essential actions and decisions. With such matters being debated, many different stakeholders, including businesses, investors, and regulators, are increasingly focusing on how firms navigate ESG risks and factors, as they have realized their profound roles in shaping sustainable growth and value (Chui et al., 2016)

It is essential to understand the ESG's role in present-day risk management to appreciate its significance in today's world. In the past, risk models have addressed mainly the balance sheet, and its external factors like interest rates, market risks, and credit risks. However, the integration of environmental, social, and governance concerns into the assessment of risks is gradually shifting to a crucial component (Huang & Rust, 2021). These are the external risks that have potential positive or negative impacts on the economic performance of a business entity including impact of climate change, natural disasters, changes in regulations (Badmus, 2024). Similarly, social loss entails damage to the company's reputation and loss of profit from human rights violation or poor labor practices. Political risks, including corruption or the lack of good corporate governance practices, poses a threat to investor confidence and sustainability. When ESG is not included in risk evaluation, then there is a possibility of getting wrong estimates, wrong risk pricing, and exposure to new risks that were not originally included (Bessen, 2019).

As risk management specialists, actuaries are central to the process of integrating ESG factors into risk

models. Specifically, while actuaries have been primarily involved in analyzing insurance, pensions, and financial risks, they are now expected to widen their frameworks to incorporate ESG factors (Land, 2022). They are using high-level quantitative tools such as predictive modeling and machine learning on how ESG factors could potentially affect long-term risk situations. It involves evaluating the risks and rewards, or loss and damage, that ESG factors can create on property insurance rates due to climate change, credit risks on corporate bonds due to governance issues, and value alterations due to social issues in determining the worth of the business (Kahn, 1990). In this context, it is vital to incorporate ESG into actuarial work, as it will enhance the models' results and enable organizations to make more effective decisions.

These approaches are also transforming the actuarial processes of risk assessment and decision making. Past approaches employed routine actuarial methods based on data input and analytical measures that were predominantly demographic or financial. That being said, modern resources such as big data, satellite imagery, social networks, and environmental metrics enable actuaries to use real-time data to consider ESG risks more accurately (Smiles & Purcell, 2023). It is crucial for actuaries to use advanced tools such as machine learning and artificial intelligence to analyze large data sets and accurately predict future risks. These work in tandem with the actuarial models to provide a more detailed view of the ESG factors and, being derived from data, add more information on the risk and return profiles.

Consequently, the importance of the assessment of ESG risks in modern financial and insurance industries cannot be overemphasized. As regulators step up enforcement, stakeholders become more sensitive to social issues, and investors demand more accountability to address social issues, organizations face a new environment. Curiously, actuaries are playing a proactive role in this transition by advising organizations on ESG risks and ensuring that their risk management frameworks reflect sustainable development objectives (Gatti & Chiarella, 2020). The implementation of ESG principles in actuarial practice does not only improve the evaluation of risks but also makes a positive impact towards the fight for

sustainable, ethical and sustainable business in the global economy.

The Role of Actuaries in Risk Assessment

Traditionally, actuaries' contributions have focused on the assessment of risks by employing mathematical, statistical, and financial theory frameworks to measure the prospect of future occurrence and its monetary repercussions. For instance, actuaries have been important in fields like insurance, pension, and investments, where their major role has been to quantify and manage risk related to uncertainty, including mortality, morbidity, and property perils (Threlfall et al., 2020). Thus, with the help of data and sophisticated techniques, actuaries work on various pricing schedules, establishing premiums, setting up reserves, and managing long-term risks and obligations, thus keeping businesses viable and financially healthy.

Conventional Actuarial Processes

Historically, actuarial practices have largely relied on recorded history and statistical techniques to predict future risks. For instance, in fields such as life insurance, actuaries employ demographic information to estimate life spans and mortality statistics to assist the insurance companies in setting premiums (Shapiro, 2007). In the same category of property and casualty insurance, actuaries use statistical data to ascertain probabilities and losses from claims arising from natural disasters or accidents.

Actuarial models have consequently followed several long-standing maxims that include the laws of large numbers, and reliance on the past events to predict the occurrence of similar events in the future (Pranugrahaning et al., 2023). These models are generally based on measurable and tangible risk factors, including physical well-being, illness or accidents, or business metrics such as revenues. The profession of actuaries has also set out clear and efficient techniques for estimating risks as well as the capital required to underwrite potential future losses so that insurance companies stay financially sound and can fulfill their commitments to policyholders.

Transformation to Implement ESG Risk Assessment

With the current upsurge of concern over ESG factors, actuaries are being asked to expand their traditional

approaches to risk assessment beyond financial concerns. Regular financial audits are important in enhancing internal controls but there needs to be better methodologies generated to face ESG threats (Adebiyi, Nwokedi, et al., 2025). While ESG risks are difficult to define and quantify, it is clear that ESG threats may significantly affect the performance of enterprises. Climate change and natural disasters pose risks with direct effects on the build and the value of the insurance products (Condon, 2023). Political risks like strikes or boycotts, pose threats to operational reputation and can result in legal or financial repercussions. Governing risks encompass instances of corporate fraud or failure to adhere to regulatory requirements, which negatively affect shareholder wealth and investor trust.

To address these emergent threats, the actuaries are shifting their approaches to integrate ESG factors into their risk frameworks (Bickel, 2023). For instance, in the insurance industry, the underwriting models of property insurance are now including the increased occurrence and intensity of storms because of climate change. In the same way, in investment risk models, the actuaries are trying to assess how the company's corporate governance or labor issues are likely to influence the company's stock price or sustainability of steady earnings.

However, this transition is not without its challenges. The risks are sometimes vague because they can be associated with company actions, regulatory shifts, or environmental trends that are not necessarily measurable or predictable. ESG risks differ from conventional risks as they involve several factors that cannot be foreseen and predicted, unlike traditional risks that are estimated based on characteristics like probability and historical data (Roettgering, 2023). Therefore, actuaries need to employ new approaches and instruments to evaluate such risks, such as statistical models that would take into consideration the inherent uncertainties and interconnectedness of ESG factors.

The Transformation of the Profession of Actuaries in the Present Age

They continue that with the growth of big data and analytics, the role of actuaries is changing at a fast pace. Today, actuaries are improving their risk models

with diverse data from different sources, such as satellite imagery, social media sentiment analysis, and financial statements. This has facilitated ESG factors integration into risk assessment models, helping actuaries to make better decisions.

For instance, satellite imagery and geographic information can yield information about the risky areas concerning the environment, which includes floods, deforestation, or changes in land use. One form of data generated about a firm is through social media, which can help the firm gauge public opinion on its social responsibility practices, especially when such opinions are negative and could potentially harm the firm's reputation (Chime, 2024). Although it is difficult to estimate governance related risk, financial and corporate governance data show that it is possible to categorize certain companies as being at higher risk for governance problems, which in turn may indicate weak management or inadequate adherence to code. When integrated with big data and used together with Artificial Intelligence and Machine Learning models, these data sources provide actuaries with a foundation for constructing more comprehensive and accurate models that reflect ESG risks.

In an environment with increased reliance on data analytics, actuaries need to be fluent in raw data and use complex statistical analysis techniques to analyze relationships between data sets. This calls for skills in data analytics and manipulations, Machine learning, and Artificial intelligence. Actuaries are now expected to be not only knowledgeable about traditional risk management approaches, but also capable of leveraging big data tools to support ESG risk analysis. Issues Related to the Integration of ESG Factors in Actuarial Calculations

While data-driven solutions provide possible ways to solve the problem, integrating ESG factors into actuarial models is characterized by certain difficulties. One of the major challenges that require immediate attention is a vast lack of standard and quality information on ESG factors. ESG data, in contrast, may be more ambiguous and diverse than data that measure business risk – this means that they can be inconsistent and dissimilar across different organizations and industries. For example, companies may be using different metrics or what may be

considered as appropriate standards in the measurement of its impact on the environment making it very hard for actuaries to determine the liability on environmental risks (Watson, 2022).

Furthermore, ESG risks can be harder to measure in comparison to other risks since they are broader and complex. For instance, the quality of a company's governance systems and structures and its overall profitability or reputation can be affected by other non-financial characteristics such as brand recognition, consumer confidence and legal reforms. It must be noted that actuaries are not completely devoid of using quantitative assessments and expert judgment, which adds an element of bias to the risk models (Caterpillar, 2023).

Another source of tension is the ambiguity about ESG risks themselves. It is also crucial to note that ESG risks are qualitatively different from conventional risks, as they are much less predictable due to their nature and the fact that they tend to be associated with long-term trends (Raval, 2023). For example, the effects of climate change on business operations may be difficult to identify, and accrual financial effects may only emerge over several decades or even centuries, which limits the ability of actuaries to analyze the risks within a short time frame.

Nevertheless, the inclusion of ESG factors into actuarial models is gradually emerging as a crucial issue. Actuaries need to persevere in applying innovative approaches and solutions in assessing risks within this new paradigm given that ESG risks represent a growing challenge (Brynjolfsson & McAfee, 2014). In this way, they will be able to consider the challenges of the contemporary world and contribute to restoring the financial stability and profitability of firms and investors.

Understanding ESG Factors in Actuarial Risk Models Integrating ESG factors in actuarial risk models involves an understanding of how these aspects affect financial and business sustainability. The three categories of ESG components, Environmental, Social, and Governance each impacts risk in its own way and actuaries have to incorporate these into models that they are used to (Gatti & Chiarella, 2020). In the sections below, we first discuss how these

factors are reflected in actuarial models, and second, present specific instances of how they play out in insurance and financial markets.

Environmental Factors: Economies, Climate Change, Natural Disasters, Regulatory Changes Environmental risks are the ESG factors that may be considered more easily incorporated into the actuarial models as they are typically associated with physical and financial risks that can be easily quantified. Important considerations for developing models are the climate change, changes in the frequency and intensity of natural disasters, and changes in the environmental legislation (Latz, 1989).

Climate Change: Climate change is becoming a more recognized variable in actuarial work as it influences temperature levels, sea levels, and increased risk of severe weather for sectors such as property and casualty insurance. For instance, some regions could experience more severe and frequent events such as wildfires or flooding due to climate change, which may require higher insurance premiums for properties (Digby, 2024). To anticipate these alterations, actuaries factor climate risks into estimating these shifts through models that dissect weather patterns and simulate various outcomes to estimate possible claims.

Natural Disasters: Another example of an environmental risk that has immediate impact on insurance premiums and risk assessment is natural disasters including hurricanes, floods, and earthquakes (Stewardship, 2020). Using factors such as geography, climate, and historical records of disastrous events, actuaries are able to determine the likelihood of these occurrences and to quantify the possible losses. For instance, an insurance company that provides policies in hurricane-related areas must set reasonable prices that include the risks that climate change and frequent natural disasters pose.

Regulatory Changes: Currently, the governments of the developed and developing nations are coming up with environmental policies to address climate change impacts. Such regulations can impact businesses across production sectors, such as emission standards or reporting practices (Lacity & Willcocks, 2016). It requires actuaries to evaluate possible consequences associated with such regulatory changes and their impact on the financial performance, business

processes, and liabilities (Kuhn, 2022). Noncompliance risks fines, disrupted operations or negative brand impacts which could influence an insurer's technical balance sheet.

Social Factors: Out of all the areas such as Diversity and Human Rights, Labor Relations, and Community Impact,

The legal considerations refer to how a firm complies with and adheres to the legal requirements regarding its operations while social factors concern how a business treats its internal and external stakeholders. These factors affect business risk through reputational losses, legal expenses, and operational losses.

Diversity: If a company lacks a strategy for promoting diversity and inclusion, they could experience negative effects from society, regulators, and lower efficiency. In considering such risks, actuaries incorporate data such as employee attrition rates, output, and perceptions. Specifically, organisations that lack or have deleterious diversity policies and procedures may incur elevated costs in recruitment, staff turnover, and litigation in the long run, increasing organisational volatility and risk (Balantrapu, 2020). There are steps that organisations can take to meet the objectives of information transparency, this might involve explaining where the data is coming from as well as the process for generating adaptive policies and decisions (Zhuwankinyu et al., 2025). For example, an organization that has been blamed for racial discrimination in courts may be at higher risk of facing liabilities thus altering the price of liability insurance.

Human Rights and Labor Relations: Emerging issues like human rights abuses or labor standards, including forced labor, unsafe working conditions, or employment of children IF violated, might result in reputational losses or legal consequences. Actuaries also estimate the potential risks that can be incurred as a result of violations of these stipulations, such as monetary penalties, legal proceedings, and supply chain interruptions. Business interruption risk has been considered in insurance models especially in sectors such as manufacturing or retail due to labor strikes or human rights abuse in supply chain.

Community Impact: Some of the risks that the company is exposed to for lack of appropriate positive interactions with the local communities include protest and demonstrations by the community, boycott by the consumers, and fines from the regulatory authorities. For instance, a corporate body that pollutes the environment or that operates contrary to labor laws of the country may face fines and reputational loss (Arntz et al., 2016). When assessing social risks, actuaries incorporate such factors into risk models to determine the prevalence and possible expenses of reputation damage driven by the community on stock prices, product demand, and customer loyalty.

Governance Factors: Corporate ethics are the moral standards set by a company, Board of directors is the executive governing body of a corporation, Business transparency is the degree of disclosure of an organization's activities to external parties (Buczak & Guven, 2015).

This area of fundamentals concerns the management of a firm and the ethical principles upheld to govern its operations and disclosures. Robust governance frameworks mitigate legal, financial, and reputational risks while ineffective frameworks open up many possibilities for liabilities.

Corporate Ethics: The case of ethical failures involve fraud, corruption, or mismanagement could lead to financial and legal reprisals and reputational losses. As for the second aspect, governance risk factors are defined as the effects of scandals on such aspects as the company's solvency (Babu, 2024). For instance, legal costs in liabilities, fines, penalties as well as lost market capitalization have an impact on the cost of liability insurance and expected claims. Businesses that have been involved in antisocial behaviours such as bribery or fraud may be perceived as credit risky not only by insurers but also by investors.

Board Composition: The board of directors should have an optimal mix of specialists and independent directors to ensure that the latter effectively monitor the company's operations. Lastly, actuaries evaluate the probability of what is wrong with board governance; for instance, conflicts of interest, lack of responsibility, or decision-making incompetence. Such firms can experience higher operational risks,

regulatory pressures, and shareholders' activism due to a poor board setup (Horn & Jackson, 2021). Insurance models that assess the governance risk may incorporate the stability of leadership as a factor that determines the overall financial health of the company during financial shocks.

Business Transparency: Clear disclosure of financial information as well as other practices of the business should form the basis of good governance. Information on corporate transparency such as audit reports and disclosure Activities are pertinent in analyzing risk by Actuaries (Hsieh, 2019). Business entities that do not embrace the culture of transparency may suffer increased legal and financial risks due to increased scrutiny by stakeholders such as investors, customers, and regulators. This could result in increased claims in insurance due to litigation or more scrutiny, and that is what actuaries eschew when setting premium rates.

How These Factors Impact Insurance and Financial Markets: Examples

The integration of ESG factors into actuarial risk models is not just theoretical — there are clear examples where these factors have already had a tangible impact on insurance and financial markets:

Insurance Pricing for Climate Change: For areas that are susceptible to natural calamities, there have been changes in policy pricing to accommodate climate change risk. For example, insurers in the U.S. have started to increase the rates that they charge homeowners in flood-prone areas and coastal areas due to climate change which causes more hurricanes and floods. It includes data collected from the surroundings and through statistical methods to forecast the possibility and cost that will occur in the future.

Reputation-Driven Stock Price Volatility: MNCs tainted by governance failures including the well-publicized VW emission control system scandal suffered severe losses in their stock values. Governance failures are seen by actuaries when evaluating investment risks as an indication of possible financial instability. Some of the potential benefits for firms include: Shareholders may consider companies with better governance mechanisms to be

less risky, which could mean more stable stock returns and lower costs of insurance to investors.

Human Rights Violations and Supply Chain Risk: Many companies become entangled in labor violations or human rights risks in the supply chain, which leads to financial losses and reputational damage. For instance, firms like Nike that pinned reputations for labor issues in overseas production houses lost stock value and reputation (Davenport, 2018). These social risks are incorporated into models used by actuaries, which analyze the potential costs of such violations and factor them into insurance premiums.

Data-Driven ESG Risk Assessment Models

Industry-driven ESG risk assessment models are transforming how actuaries and other finance specialists approach and quantify risks tied to environment, social, and governance issues. These models employ huge datasets collected from various sources and apply, for instance, predictive analytics, machine learning, and AI to enhance the accuracy and speed of risk analysis. The table below provides a general insight into the type of data sources employed, application of advanced technologies, and how the actuaries' work with these methods in ESG risk analysis.

An overview of the various data sources that are utilized in the ESG risk models

At the core of any ESG risk model, the data upon which they depend are derived from various sources. These sources range from traditional corporate disclosures to more innovative real-time data streams: **Satellite Data:** As far as other environmental hazards are concerned, satellite imagery is quite instrumental in the acquisition of information on factors such as fires, floods or the depletion of forests. For instance, satellites can capture current environmental shifts including the thawing of ice caps or the reduction in forest cover that majorly influence the operations of sectors like agriculture, real estate, and insurers (Gorbatov & Lane, 2021). Satellite images also help actuaries to calculate the risks of natural disasters and make the necessary changes to their models.

Social Media and Sentiment Analysis: While social factors include opinions of the public and the consumers; these can be monitored through the social

sites including the twitter, face book and linked in. Using AI, sentiment analysis tools are used to analyze large volumes of text data to track changes in public sentiment concerning matters like labor rights, diversity, or human rights abuses. This assists actuaries in estimating the potential reputational exposures a company might endure, recalibrating their models according to the social risk that can emerge from shifts in societal sentiment.

Financial Reports and Regulatory Filings: The basic ESG measures from annual gross, Md&As, or public filings like the 10-K in the US and other documents like a CSR report are still relevant for the assessment of ESG governance. These documents contain information about a company's financial status, its disclosure policies, (Kossek et al., 2021). These reports enable actuaries to have a benchmark of the existing risks concerning governance and financial stability to be used in modeling future risks.

The Role of Big Data and Artificial Intelligence in Measuring ESG Risks

With big data and AI, the predictive capability of the ESG risk models can be improved significantly. Big data is another concept, which can be defined as the vast and different types of data that can be collected by an organization from various sources giving better insight of risk factors (Lambert, 2023). At the same time, with the help of AI and machine learning, it is possible to analyze this data and find patterns that may remain unnoticed in a traditional approach.

Big Data Integration: It enables actuaries to consider variables other than the traditional parameters, such as microeconomic indicators, weather information, and consumer behaviors, among others. That way, actuaries are able to create more comprehensive models regarding the ESG-risk concerns such as alterations in the market preferences given the environmental causes or changes in insurance claims after catastrophes (Templin, 2011). In comparison to conventional data analysis, big data analyzes millions of sources and offers real-time processing, which constitutes a more effective approach to risk prediction.

Artificial Intelligence and Machine Learning: Artificial intelligence and machine learning are

capable of identifying intricate patterns in vast amounts of data. These technologies can delve deeply and find patterns of ESG factors influencing financial parameters such as how a firm's environmental standards impact its earnings or how social issues (like employee unrest) impact share prices. For example, there is an option of training machine learning models to estimate the probability of reputational loss arising from aspects like environmental disasters or failures in corporate governance, which enables actuaries to adjust the risk premia.

How Actuaries Apply Predictive Analytics, Machine Learning, and AI for Analyzing Data-Driven Risks

Today, actuaries have adopted sophisticated analytical tools like predictive analytics, machine learning, and AI in ESG risk models. The lack of training and expertise in these areas would likely present a significant barrier to the effective adoption of these technologies (Lawrence & Mupa, 2024). Actuarial science involves analyzing past performance to estimate probable loss occurrences in the future; thus, actuaries can avoid or control such events. For instance, the underwriting models can predict the climatic change that will inform property insurance premiums by assessing future weather patterns, rising seas, disasters, among others. Satellite-based AI solutions track oil spills or deforestation taking place around energy projects for ESG reports in real time, all this shows how AI can be leveraged (Adebiyi, Lawrence, et al., 2025).

Machine Learning Models: Risk models can be refined over time through the incorporation of machine learning algorithms, which are capable of discovering new relationships between data as more information accumulates. This makes it possible for the actuaries to update their models in real-time, based on the obtained data. For example, if there is a leadership change for a specific firm (for instance, change of board of directors), the machine learning algorithms can re-evaluate the new change and predict the likelihood of great loss or change in shareholder value or public image.

AI for Enhanced Risk Assessment: They assist actuaries in the analysis of structured data (financial statements, satellite imagery), as well as unstructured data (social media posts, articles). Text mining

techniques in NLP assist AI systems in drawing conclusions from large volumes of text data like the overall approval of organizations' ethical standards or tracking the number of environmental law breaches (Rousseau et al., 2021). This results in more refined risk assessments where ESG factors are incorporated into conventional actuarial analyses.

Case Studies of Companies Incorporating ESG Factors through Data Analytics

Munich Re (Reinsurance Company): Global reinsurer Munich Re, for instance, applies big data and predictive analytics to simulate climate impacts. The company is able to combine factors such as satellite imagery, weather information, and geographical mapping data into the company's actuarial models to determine the likelihood and concentration of natural disasters in particular areas. With the help of AI-based predictive models, the company was able to estimate more accurately the frequency and severity of weather-related claims for property and casualty insurance and thus setting better premiums.

BlackRock (Investment Management): A new example from the investment management industry emerges from BlackRock, a global firm that has integrated ESG factors into risk models through data analysis and machine learning. By integrating information from financial statements, legal documents, and social media pages, it evaluates the sustainability of firms in its portfolio (Eccles & Klimenko, 2019). This financial demand can be problematic, especially for smaller producers who do not have access to large amounts of capital (Adebiyi, Adeoti, et al., 2025). The models developed by BlackRock assist in determining the prospective losses of investments due to the long-term effects of various ESG risks on returns, allowing the firm to handle ESG-linked risks better.

AXA (Insurance Company): For instance, AXA, a leading global insurance firm, has been using data methods to factor climate risk into insurance premiums. The company relies on satellite imagery, geographic information, and climate data to evaluate the likelihood of natural disasters in the regions. With the help of machine learning, AXA improved its underwriting management, which in turn enabled the company to price insurance policies more accurately

and effectively taking into consideration the given climate change consequences.

Actuarial Implications of ESG Risk Models

The inclusion of ESG factors into actuarial models involves major changes to the existing risk assessment paradigms. Since these concerns represent non-financial risks in business environments, actuaries need to make adjustments to their mathematical models and capture the ramifications of environmental concerns and shifts in social and governance factors. This involves using information on climate change, regulatory shifts, human rights, and corporate governance to get a comprehensive picture of risk.

Pricing, underwriting, and claim areas are some of the most significant effects of ESG on actuarial work. For example, insurers may require revising the rates depending on the specific risks resulting from climate change, including floods or wildfires. Social changes such as shift in public attitude or a strike is also likely to influence the occurrence and cost of claims which makes it necessary for underwriters to adjust their risk assumptions (Schein, 2010). These factors have to be reflected in the financial models in order to make the pricing accurately reflect the actual risk exposure.

Moreover, ESG risks can severely affect long-term liabilities, reserves, and solvency of businesses and organizations. For instance, climate change may lead to higher occurrence of natural catastrophes, which could raise the sustainability of liabilities in property and casualty insurance. Due to the risks that lie with ESG factors, actuaries need to revise their reserve models so that the companies remain adequately capitalized and ready for fluctuating risks.

The ethical dilemma that actuaries confront is how to objectively and openly address ESG factors in their recommendations. The so-called 'investment equivalent risks' must be adequately captured by their models without any distortion, especially where ESG risks stem from governance or social issues. With increasing importance placed on ESG factors, actuaries face the challenge of maximising profitability while being mindful of social and environmental implications and maintaining high ethical calibre while mitigating these exposures.

Challenges in Integrating ESG into Actuarial Models
The main issues that arise when incorporating ESG factors into actuarial calculations include data quality and availability as well as the comparability of ESG data and information. One issue with ESG data is that it is frequently missing or inconclusive, with firms sharing disparate metrics and employing diverse methodologies to crunch the numbers, which makes it tricky for actuaries to assimilate this data. It could also be attributed to the absence of consistent standards in ESG reporting that makes integration of such factors in risk models even harder.

Also, to some extent, quantifiable factors of ESG must be complemented with the non-numerical information which also play a role in the assessment of risks, e.g. business ethics or social engagements of corporations. Another weakness of data-driven models is that they are limited by the examples they can identify and therefore cannot take into account broader social or cultural issues that may affect risk such as changing public perception or cultural shift in the society.

One difficulty relates to the explicit management of bias in the approach of data-driven decision making. Undesirable decision biases and prejudices may be embodied in machine learning algorithms and AI tools, which, in turn, can distort risk assessment based on existing bias inherent in society and/or a company. Due to the central role played by these models in decision making, actuaries need to exercise caution and ensure that these models are indeed neutral.

The Future of ESG Risk Assessment in Actuarial Science

With the rising focus on environmental, social, and governance (ESG) factors, actuarial science is growing even further. ESG regulation and reporting are moving up the priority list, raising the demand for actuaries proficient in quantitative measurement of ESG risks (Boffo & Patalano, 2020). This shift in regulation creates further opportunities for actuaries in ESG advisory; actuaries can now consult organizations and help them incorporate ESG into risk management frameworks.

In terms of actuarial education, the future appears to be shaped by specialized training in ESG, which means that actuaries will have to learn how to work

with sustainability factors and social impact assessments (Camacho, 2024). It means that actuaries will need to master new tools for analyzing various data connected to ESG risks and opportunities.

Furthermore, the following points indicate that data analytics approaches will also define actuarial practice in the coming years: The application of analytics and machine learning algorithms to ESG risk scores will strengthen the acumen of the actuaries and help them make better recommendations. In this way, big data helps actuaries in regards to predicting potential ESG-related impacts on financial performance and makes them key actors in the shifts in risk management and corporate sustainability.

CONCLUSION

The incorporation of data analytics techniques into ESG risk management has profound implications for the actuarial profession. Through the use of superior analytical techniques, actuaries can better address and measure ESG risk factors in various situations and boost decision-making processes. Not only does this change enhance the quality of risk evaluation but also allows actuaries to participate in the provision of crucial guidance on the sustainability of investment and business plans. Additionally, given the heightened emphasis on sustainability across organizations, actuaries with strong skills in data analytics and ESG integration will be in high demand. Thus, it is crucial to emphasize the specifics of and constant learning within the profession to underline the actuaries' role and relevance to risk management frameworks amid such changes. Such changes must be adopted to allow actuaries to foster positive impacts towards sustainable practices to increase their importance and advocacy in a constantly shifting economic world. Therefore, it can be safely stated that actuarial science's future depends on the effective adoption of data-driven ESG frameworks.

REFERENCES

- [1] Adebisi, O., Adeoti, M., & Mupa, M. N. (2025). The use of employee ownership structures as strategies for the resilience of smaller entities in the US. 14, 1002–1018. <https://doi.org/10.30574/ijrsra.2025.14.1.0039>

- [2] Adebisi, O., Nwokedi, O., & Mupa, M. N. (2025). An Analysis of Financial Strategies, and Internal Controls for the Sustainability of SMME'S in the United States. 8, 340–356.
- [3] Adebisi, O., Lawrence, S., Adeoti, M., Nwokedi, O., & Mupa, M. N. (2025). Unlocking the potential: Sustainability finance as the catalyst for ESG innovations in Nigeria. 25, 16161628. <https://doi.org/10.30574/wjarr.2025.25.1.0108>
- [4] Arntz, M., Gregory, T., & Zierahn, U. (2016). The Automation Risk for Employment in OECD Nations: A Comparative Study. OECD Social, Employment and Migration Working Papers, No. 189.
- [5] Babu, C. S. (2024). Adaptive AI for Dynamic Cybersecurity Systems: Enhancing Protection in a Rapidly Evolving Digital Landscape. In Principles and Applications of Adaptive Artificial Intelligence (pp. 52–72). IGI Global. <https://www.igi-global.com/chapter/adaptive-ai-for-dynamic-cybersecurity-systems/337688>.
- [6] Badmus, O. A. (2024). Regulatory Compliance and Risk Management in Pension Fund Business Development. https://www.allmultidisciplinaryjournal.com/uploads/archives/20241022192141_E-24-158.1.pdf.
- [7] Balantrapu, S. S. (2020). AI-Driven Cybersecurity Solutions: Case Studies and Applications. International Journal of Creative Research In Computer Technology and Design,
- [8] Banala, S. (2024). The Future of IT Operations: Harnessing Cloud Automation for Enhanced Efficiency and The Role of Generative AI Operational Excellence. International Journal of Machine Learning and Artificial Intelligence, 5(5), 1–15.
- [9] Bessen, J. E. (2019). Ai And Employment: The Influence of Demand. NBER Working Paper No. 24235.
- [10] Bickel, F. S. (2023). Bridging the Earth to the Sky: The Historical Precedence and Flaws of Stakeholder Capitalism (Master's thesis, Georgetown University). <https://search.proquest.com/openview/ed743e28ae7f0391329bc82a87d2b2f5/1?pq-origsite=gscholar&cbl=18750&diss=y>.
- [11] BM Corporation. (2023). IBM's Skill Development Initiatives for The Future Workforce. IBM Skills.
- [12] Boffo, R., & Patalano, R. (2020). ESG Investing: Practices, Progress.
- [13] Brynjolfsson, E., & McAfee, A. (2014). The Second Machine Age: Work, Progress, And Prosperity in An Era of Exceptional Technologies. W. W. Norton & Company.
- [14] Buczak, A. L., & Guven, E. (2015). A survey of data mining and machine learning methods for cyber security intrusion detection. IEEE Communications surveys & tutorials, 18(2), 1153-1176. <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/abstract/document/7307098/>.
- [15] Camacho, N. G. (2024). The Role of AI in Cybersecurity: Addressing Threats in the Digital Age. Journal of Artificial Intelligence General Science (JAIGS) ISSN: 3006-4023, 3(1), 143-154. <https://ojs.boulibrary.com/index.php/JAIGS/article/view/75>.
- [16] Caterpillar Inc. (2023). Caterpillar's Dedication to Workforce Development. Caterpillar Careers.
- [17] Chime, C. (2024). Navigating the Complexities of ESG Integration by Companies in the United States. Available at SSRN 4830133. https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=4830133.
- [18] Chui, M., Manyika, J., & Miremadi, M. (2016). Areas Where Machines May Supplant Humans—And Areas Where They Cannot (As of Yet). Mckinsey Quarterly.
- [19] Condon, M. (2023). Climate services: The business of physical risk. Ariz. St. LJ, 55, 147. https://heinonline.org/hol-cgi-bin/get_pdf.cgi?handle=hein.journals/arzjl55§ion=8.
- [20] Crocicchia, L. (2021). Impact of ESG criteria on Investment Management Corporations decisions making (Doctoral dissertation, Politecnico di Torino). <https://webthesis.biblio.polito.it/21374/>.
- [21] Davenport, T. H. (2018). The Ai Advantage: How To Leverage the Artificial Intelligence Revolution. Mit Press.

- [23] Davenport, T. H., & Ronanki, R. (2018). Artificial Intelligence for The Real World. *Harvard Business Review*.
- [24] Digby, M. (2024). The evolving relationship between asset servicers and FinTechs: Unlocking efficiency and collaboration. *Journal of Securities Operations & Custody*, 16(3), 263-275.
<https://www.ingentaconnect.com/contentone/hsoc/jsoc/2024/00000016/00000003/art00006>.
- [25] Eccles, R. G., & Klimenko, S. (2019). The investor revolution. *Harvard Business Review*, 97(3), 106-116. http://mithconference.com/wp-content/uploads/2019/10/MSIS_Rome-8th-Oct_Eccles_20191010.pdf.
- [26] Gatti, S., & Chiarella, C. (2020). The future of infrastructure investing: Challenges and opportunities for investors and asset managers. *Disruption in the infrastructure sector: Challenges and opportunities for developers, investors and asset managers*, 169-207. https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-3-030-44667-3_6.
- [27] Gatti, S., & Chiarella, C. (2020). The Future of Infrastructure Investing 6. *Disruption in the Infrastructure Sector*, 169. <https://link.springer.com/content/pdf/10.1007/978-3-030-44667-3.pdf#page=182>.
- [28] Gorbatov, S., & Lane, A. (2021). Is Human Resources Overlooking the Essence of Performance Feedback? *Harvard Business Review*.
- [29] Harvard Business Review. <https://hbr.org/2021/09/The-Future-Of-Flexibility-At-Work>
- [30] Holle, F., Kockrow, M., & Schnitger, A. (2021). Tax and Transparency: Reporting in Accordance with the Global Reporting Initiative. *Intertax*, 49(8/9). <https://kluwerlawonline.com/journalarticle/Intertax/49.8/TAXI2021069>.
- [31] Horn, B. H., & Jackson, J. (2021). A Paradox No More: Investing in Automation and People. *Mit Sloan Management Review*. <https://sloanreview.mit.edu/article/a-paradox-no-more-investing-in-automation-and-people/>
- [32] Hsieh, T. (2019). Delivering Happiness: A Path to Profits, Passion, And Purpose. *Business Plus*. <https://ijmlai.in/index.php/ijmlai/article/view/42>.
- [33] Huang, M. H., & Rust, R. T. (2021). Artificial Intelligence in Service. *Journal Of Service Research*.
- [34] Kahn, W. A. (1990). Psychological Conditions for Personal Involvement and Disengagement in The Workplace. *Academy Of Management Journal*.
- [35] Kossek, E. E., Gettings, P., & Misra, K. (2021). The Future of Flexibility at Work.
- [36] Kuhn, B. M. (2022). Sustainable finance in Germany: mapping discourses, stakeholders, and policy initiatives. *Journal of Sustainable Finance & Investment*, 12(2), 497-524. <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/20430795.2020.1783151>.
- [37] Lacity, M. C., & Willcocks, L. P. (2016). *Robotic Process Automation and Cognitive Automation: The Next Phase*. Sb Publishing.
- [38] Lambert, F. (2023). Tesla's Automation Approach and Employee Training Programs. *Electrek*.
- [39] Land, C. C. (2022). The Environment and Real Estate: How Boston Developers Are Responding to an Evolving Landscape (Doctoral dissertation, Massachusetts Institute of Technology). <https://dspace.mit.edu/handle/1721.1/147427>.
- [40] Latz, R. S. (1989). 1988 Letourneau award: No-fault liability and medical malpractice: A viability analysis. *Journal of Legal Medicine*, 10(3), 479-525. <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/pdf/10.1080/01947648909513582>.
- [41] Lawrence, S., & Mupa, M. N. (2024). Supply Chain Tools and Its Central Importance to Distribution Chain Efficiency in West Africa: Nigeria and Ghana as Case Studies. 8, 286–307.
- [42] Oquendo-Torres, F. A., & Segovia-Vargas, M. J. (2024). Sustainability risk in insurance companies: A machine learning analysis. *Global Policy*, 15, 47-64. <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1111/1758-5899.13440>.
- [43] Pranugrahaning, A., Donovan, J. D., Topple, C., & Masli, E. K. (2023). Exploring corporate sustainability in the insurance sector: A case study of a multinational enterprise engaging with UN SDGs in Malaysia. *Sustainability*, 15(11), 8609. <https://www.mdpi.com/2071-1050/15/11/8609>.

- [44] Raval, J. N. (2023). Diving deep into the “E” of ESG—exploring the financial landscape of container shipping companies. <https://www.diva-portal.org/smash/record.jsf?pid=diva2:1762750>.
- [45] Roettgering, S. (2023). Regulation, Radiation, and Rationality: Calculating an Intersection of Law and Economics to Enable Nuclear Power Innovation in the United States. *Seattle Journal of Technology, Environmental & Innovation Law*, 14(1), 8. <https://digitalcommons.law.seattleu.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1060&context=sjteil>.
- [46] Rousseau, S., Gendron, E., Morales, M., & Payette, D. (2021). ESG Tech: Attractions and Challenges for Fintechs in the Age of COVID-19. *Banking & Finance Law Review*, 37(1), 57-96. <https://search.proquest.com/openview/fb4c4fc978345fbb5868b8ac3577335d/1?pq-origsite=gscholar&cbl=44976>.
- [47] Schein, E. (2010). *Organizational Culture and Leadership*. Wiley.
- [48] Shapiro, M. (2007). Overdose of dangerousness: How future dangerousness catches the least culpable capital defendants and undermines the rationale for the executions it supports. *Am. J. Crim. L.*, 35, 145. https://heinonline.org/hol-cgi-bin/get_pdf.cgi?handle=hein.journals/ajcl35§ion=10.
- [49] Smiles, S., & Purcell, J. (2023). Sustainable Investing in Practice: ESG Challenges and Opportunities. [https://books.google.com/books?hl=en&lr=&id=5ICHEAAAQBAJ&oi=fnd&pg=PR1&dq=BNY+Mellon+\(2021\).+Navigating+the+ESG+Landscape:+Insights+and+Opportunities+for+Investors.&ots=r4hRX9t70b&sig=wz-wjrt8b1A11zsMjzqzALGZIA](https://books.google.com/books?hl=en&lr=&id=5ICHEAAAQBAJ&oi=fnd&pg=PR1&dq=BNY+Mellon+(2021).+Navigating+the+ESG+Landscape:+Insights+and+Opportunities+for+Investors.&ots=r4hRX9t70b&sig=wz-wjrt8b1A11zsMjzqzALGZIA).
- [50] Stewardship, B. I. (2020). Our approach to sustainability. <https://www.allnews.ch/sites/default/files/BlackRock%20our-commitment-to-sustainability-full-report.pdf>.
- [51] Taylor, E. Z., & Williams, P. F. (Eds.). (2021). *The Routledge handbook of accounting ethics*. Routledge. <https://api.taylorfrancis.com/content/books/mon>
- [o/download?identifierName=doi&identifierValue=10.4324/9780429490224&type=googlepdf](https://api.taylorfrancis.com/content/books/mon).
- [52] Templin, B. A. (2011). Oregon Law Review: Vol. 89, No. 4, p. 1179-1256: Social Security Reform: Should the Retirement Age Be Increased?. <http://scholarsbank.uoregon.edu/xmlui/handle/1794/11308>.
- [53] Threlfall, R., King, A., Shulman, J., & Bartels, W. (2020). The time has come: The KPMG survey of sustainability reporting 2020. *KMPG IMPACT: Singapore*, 63.
- [54] Vasenin, M. (2022). A data-driven approach to green investments: environmental performance, mispricing, and momentum. University of Northumbria at Newcastle (United Kingdom). <https://search.proquest.com/openview/c493df16191a5da7f821d2b4f5b4dd14/1?pq-origsite=gscholar&cbl=2026366&diss=y>.
- [55] Watson, T. (2022). The Importance of Biodiversity Risks: Biodiversity & Natural Capital Working Party (discussion). *British Actuarial Journal*, 27(e15), 1-15. <https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/british-actuarial-journal/article/importance-of-biodiversity-risks-biodiversity-natural-capital-working-party-discussion/7FAEEFA2511CB2E1BD23BB12AA00342>.
- [56] Zhuwankinyu, E., Mupa, M. N., & Moyo, T. M. (2025). Leveraging Generative AI for an Ethical and Adaptive Cybersecurity Framework in Enterprise Environments. 8, 675.