The Algorithmic Turn in Talent Acquisition: A Critical Analysis of AI-Mediated Recruitment Technologies

SIMBIAT SALIU
Birmingham City University

Abstract- ***The integration of artificial intelligence (AI) in talent acquisition is reshaping recruitment methodologies by enhancing efficiency, automating hiring processes, and introducing new challenges related to bias and transparency. AI-driven technologies, including predictive analytics, applicant tracking systems, and automated interviewing tools, are transforming how organizations source, assess, and select candidates. This study examines the dual impact of AI in recruitment, its ability to improve hiring efficiency and candidate-job matching while also posing questions regarding algorithmic bias and regulatory oversight. Drawing on case studies and empirical research, the analysis explores the effectiveness of AI in managing hiring inefficiencies, its role in decision-making, and the necessity of fairness audits to ensure responsible AI adoption. While AI offers significant advancements in streamlining hiring, its reliance on historical data and algorithmic models requires continuous refinement to prevent unintended biases. This study highlights the need for human oversight, ethical AI frameworks, and regulatory collaboration to balance automation with fairness. As AI-driven recruitment continues to evolve, ensuring compliance with ethical and legal standards will be critical for sustainable and inclusive hiring practices.***

Indexed Terms- ***AI Recruitment, Machine Learning in Hiring, Algorithmic Bias, Predictive Hiring Models, Talent Acquisition, HR Technology, Ethical AI Governance.***

I. INTRODUCTION

The increasing reliance on Artificial Intelligence (AI) in talent acquisition represents a paradigm shift in how organizations identify, evaluate, and hire candidates. AI-powered hiring solutions have seen widespread adoption across industries. As of 2024, approximately 99% of Fortune 500 companies utilize AI-driven Applicant Tracking Systems (ATS) to screen resumes before they reach human recruiters (Jobscan, 2024). In an increasingly competitive job market, these systems automatically filter out over 75% of resumes, significantly reducing the number of applications that receive human review (Psico Smart, 2024). As a result, both employers and job seekers have had to adapt to AI-driven recruitment processes, understanding how these technologies influence hiring decisions. Recent data suggests that securing a job offer is an increasingly complex process. On average, a single job offer typically requires 100-200+ applications, as each application has an 8.3% chance of leading to an interview, and it generally takes 10-20 applications to secure an interview and 10-15 interviews to receive an offer, emphasizing the challenges faced by both employers and candidates in the current job market (HireLehigh, 2021).

AI-driven hiring tools encompass a range of technologies that automate various stages of the recruitment process, including resume screening, candidate assessments, video interviews, and predictive hiring models. Traditionally, organizations have relied on non-technical methods, such as newspaper advertisements and employee referrals, to attract qualified candidates (Chen, 2023). In contrast, modern AI-driven systems utilize machine learning (ML), natural language processing (NLP), and predictive analytics to optimize decision-making, to improve efficiency and objectivity in talent selection. Predictive analytics in recruitment involves gathering and analyzing data—such as applicant resumes, job performance metrics, and hiring outcomes—to uncover patterns and trends, ultimately building models that forecast hiring success (Oorwin, 2023).

Machine learning algorithms process vast datasets to identify patterns in candidate profiles, while NLP enables AI to interpret and analyze textual data, such

as resumes and application responses (Sarker, 2021; Parker, 2024). Predictive analytics further enhances these capabilities by forecasting candidate success based on historical hiring trends and performance data (Anja, 2024). The adoption of AI in hiring has surged, nearly doubling from 26% in 2023 to 53% in 2024, as HR professionals increasingly recognize its impact on recruitment success (eWeek, 2024). This rapid growth is primarily driven by the need to process large volumes of applications efficiently and reduce time-to-hire. Research by IBM indicates that AI integration in recruitment has resulted in a 96% improvement in candidate experience, a 35% reduction in time-to-hire, and a 25% decrease in cost-per-hire—highlighting the transformative potential of AI-driven hiring solutions (Psico Smart, 2024). Despite these advancements, concerns regarding algorithmic bias, transparency, and fairness have sparked debate about the ethical implications of AI-mediated recruitment.

The adoption of AI in recruitment marks a significant evolution from traditional hiring methods, which were largely manual and dependent on human judgment. Historically, recruiters relied on resumes, cover letters, and structured interviews to assess candidates, but this approach was time-intensive, inconsistent, and susceptible to human biases. Studies show that recruiters spend an average of just 6–7 seconds reviewing a resume (Ladders, 2020), highlighting the importance of AI-powered screening in efficiently managing large applicant pools.

The introduction of AI-powered hiring solutions has transformed recruitment through automated resume screening, AI-driven interviews, and virtual recruiters. Research by DDI Development (2023) highlights that AI-enhanced Applicant Tracking Systems (ATS) prioritize candidates using machine learning rather than simple keyword matching, reducing recruitment time by up to 44%. AI-powered video interviews, such as those by HireVue, analyze facial expressions and speech patterns to assess candidates. Addressing algorithmic bias remains a key challenge in AI-driven hiring. Findings by Albaroudi et al. (2024) demonstrate that vector space correction and data augmentation are effective natural language processing (NLP) and deep learning techniques for mitigating biases in candidate evaluation. As AI continues to shape recruitment, refining these technologies will be crucial for ensuring fair and accurate hiring decisions.

As AI transforms hiring, it presents both opportunities and challenges. This study examines AI's efficiency in candidate evaluation, algorithmic bias, and transparency. Varsha (2023) highlighted how AI-driven decision-making has caused significant digital disruption across industries, exposing flaws in various domains. Concerns over data privacy, candidate consent, and regulatory compliance necessitate deeper scrutiny. Addressing these issues effectively offers insights for HR professionals, policymakers, and AI developers, emphasizing the need to balance automation with ethical and fair hiring practices.

II. AI IN TALENT ACQUISITION: KEY TECHNOLOGIES & THEIR FUNCTIONS

A. AI-powered Applicant Tracking Systems (ATS)

ATS has reformed resume screening by automating the filtering process and streamlining candidate selection. Research indicates that over 75% of resumes never reach human recruiters, as ATS software screens applications before they reach hiring managers (Psico Smart, 2024). Using natural language processing (NLP), keyword-based matching, and ranking algorithms, these systems assess resumes against job descriptions, significantly reducing manual effort and accelerating hiring timelines. Platforms like HireMee leverage advanced AI to evaluate candidate qualifications and rank applicants, allowing recruiters to efficiently identify top talent from a broader and more diverse pool (LinkedIn, 2025).

ATS-driven resume screening enhances recruitment efficiency by significantly accelerating candidate evaluation, improving the precision of job-to-candidate matching through advanced algorithmic filtering, and minimizing redundant administrative processes, thereby optimizing human resource management. Research by Raji et al. (2024) indicates that AI can reduce hiring time by up to 50%, improve candidate engagement through automation, and enable more informed hiring decisions. In 2020, Accenture, a multinational IT services company, implemented an ATS that boosted their candidate screening efficiency by 40%, allowing them to process thousands of applications in minutes instead of weeks (Psico Smart,

2024). However, critics argue that these algorithms can introduce bias if the training data contains historical hiring prejudices. Amazon, for example, discontinued an AI hiring tool after discovering that it favored male candidates over female applicants due to biased historical data (Chang, 2023; Reuters, 2018).

B. Predictive Analytics in Recruitment

Predictive analytics maximizes the advantage of AI to forecast a candidate's success in a role by analyzing past hiring patterns, employee performance data, and behavioral assessments. Wael (2023) emphasized that AI-based recruitment strategies, including resume screening, candidate matching, video interviewing, chatbots, predictive analytics, gamification, virtual reality assessments, and social media screening, provide significant advantages for organizations, such as enhanced efficiency, cost savings, and higher-quality hires. Companies like IBM and Unilever have integrated predictive hiring models, allowing them to assess candidates based on historical job performance data and cultural fit indicators. A 2024 study by Arati et al. found that IBM's Watson Recruitment, utilizing predictive analytics, led to a 30% reduction in recruitment costs, improved candidate-job fit by lowering first-year turnover by 25%, and contributed to bias reduction by analyzing patterns to promote diverse hiring practices. Also found that Unilever's use of HireVue and Pymetrics enabled a 75% reduction in recruitment time, with AI screening and shortlisting candidates within hours, while enhancing candidate satisfaction through faster feedback. Moreover, hires made through Unilever's AI-driven system demonstrated a 16% increase in retention rates, underscoring the efficiency and effectiveness of AI in modern talent acquisition.

While predictive analytics provides a data-driven approach to hiring, there is a risk of perpetuating biased hiring trends. If past hiring data contains biases—such as a preference for candidates from certain universities or demographic groups—AI models may reinforce these patterns rather than mitigate them. AI-driven tools, created to simplify the recruitment process by evaluating thousands of applications, can unintentionally maintain historical biases if not properly audited and regulated, making bias audits crucial for ensuring fairness in AI-driven hiring decisions (Babl.ai, 2024). These hiring tools, if not carefully monitored, can replicate historical biases, so organizations must remain vigilant about ethical and compliance implications to prevent the exclusion of qualified candidates from underrepresented backgrounds (Forbes, 2023).

C. Automated Interviewing Systems & Chatbots

The rise of AI-powered interview platforms, such as HireVue, Pymetrics, and X0PA AI, has transformed the interview process by using facial recognition, voice analysis, and psychometric assessments to evaluate candidates. These systems standardize evaluations, provide real-time feedback, and enable organizations to scale their recruitment efforts. Chatbot solutions like Mya, which can interact with applicants during the recruitment process through a mobile app with a conversational UI, appear to be an effective way to communicate with candidates, but they require job seekers to find and download the app, giving web-based chatbot interfaces an inherent advantage due to their easier accessibility (Koivunen et al., 2022). Hung-Yue & Kuo-En (2024) noted that various AI interfaces can affect candidates' honest and deceptive impression management differently, and an exploratory analysis has shown that an AI interface can help reduce interview anxiety. Companies that have adopted AI tools in their recruitment processes have experienced significant enhancements in time-to-hire, candidate quality, and diversity (Arati et al., 2024).

However, concerns remain regarding AI's ability to accurately assess human traits such as personality and emotional intelligence. Nearly half of employed U.S. job seekers (49%) believe AI recruiting tools are more biased than human recruiters, with skepticism being higher among those actively job-seeking (43%), while 39% of current job seekers have used AI tools to aid in their job applications, according to an American Staffing Association Workforce Monitor online survey conducted by The Harris Poll (American Staffing Association, 2023). Candidates feel uncomfortable with AI-driven interviews due to concerns about data privacy and the potential misinterpretation of their responses. Furthermore, AI-based personality assessments have been criticized for lacking transparency in how they evaluate candidates, raising ethical concerns about fairness and accountability in hiring decisions. Hunkenschroer et

al. (2023) argued that AI interviews limit candidates' autonomy by hindering their ability to showcase empathetic, social, and soft skills, leading to behavior changes like the use of specific buzzwords recognized by the AI.

III. THE EFFICIENCY VS. BIAS DEBATE IN AI HIRING

Enhancing Recruitment Speed and Accuracy

No doubt AI-powered hiring solutions have transformed the recruitment process by dramatically improving both speed and accuracy in talent acquisition. AI-powered systems can process thousands of applications within seconds, automatically screening resumes, ranking candidates, and matching skills with job descriptions (Bhat, 2025). This automation reduces the burden on human recruiters, enabling them to focus on higher-value tasks, such as interviewing and final selection. Predictive analytics in recruitment uses historical hiring data, performance reviews, behavioral assessments, and machine learning to forecast candidate success and job fit, identify top candidates, predict employee success, reduce turnover rates, and enable a proactive, data-driven approach to hiring (Oorwin, 2023).

Research by Arati et al. (2024) revealed that companies leveraging AI in recruitment achieved an 85% reduction in time-to-hire, improved candidate-job fit, and reduced first-year employee turnover by 25%. Additionally, according to IBM, AI-driven hiring processes have lowered recruitment costs by 30%. These improvements suggest that AI has the potential to make recruitment not only faster but also more data-driven and objective. However, the growing reliance on AI in hiring raises critical concerns regarding algorithmic bias, transparency, and fairness (Chen, 2023; Pasipamire & Muroyiwa, 2024).

Despite AI's promise of neutrality and efficiency, applications have exposed significant biases in automated hiring systems. One of the most notable cases is Amazon's AI hiring tool, which was scrapped in 2018 after it was found to discriminate against women (Felix, 2023). The algorithm, trained on historical hiring data dominated by male applicants, systematically downgraded resumes containing words like "women's" (e.g., "women's chess club"), reinforcing existing gender disparities in hiring (Dastin, 2022). Findings from research of Astrid & Sach (2024) suggest that 60% of participants in the bias condition failed to detect algorithmic bias even when explicitly prompted, yet overall, individuals exhibited reduced reliance on biased algorithms by making adjustments to algorithmic scores, with this decreased dependence leading to a higher likelihood of recognizing the bias.

Algorithms often reflect biases rooted in a long history of racial and gender prejudices, both intentional and unconscious, which can lead AI systems to replicate these biases in decision-making, a phenomenon known as algorithmic bias (Chen, 2023). A recent study by Kyra Wilson and Aylin Caliskan revealed significant bias in AI-driven hiring, showing that resumes with White-associated names were selected 85% of the time, compared to 9% for Black-associated names, while male-associated names were preferred 52% of the time, even in female-dominated fields like HR (77% women) and secondary education (57% women); White female names were favored over Black female names by 48% to 26%, and Black men faced the greatest disadvantage, with their resumes being overlooked 100% of the time in favor of other candidates (Fisher Phillips, 2024). Historical hiring patterns continue to influence AI decision-making. If past hiring data is skewed toward certain demographics, AI models may reinforce these biases, perpetuating systemic discrimination rather than eliminating it (Vivek, 2023; SAP, 2024). This has led to growing concerns among policymakers and AI ethicists about the fairness and legality of AI-mediated recruitment practices.

Transparency and Explainability Issues

Another major challenge in AI-driven hiring is the "black box" problem, where recruiters and applicants lack visibility into how AI algorithms make decisions. Many AI hiring tools rely on complex deep learning models that are difficult to interpret or audit. As a result, candidates rejected by AI-driven systems often receive no explanation for their disqualification, making it nearly impossible to challenge potentially unfair decisions and raising concerns about transparency and the need for explainable AI (SMOWL, 2024). Without careful oversight,

automated hiring systems risk becoming exploitative intermediaries, functioning as *"tertius bifrons*" — a concept describing entities that act as powerful gatekeepers while disproportionately benefiting from their role (Ajunwa, 2020). This lack of accountability amplifies disparities in hiring outcomes, particularly when biases embedded in training data remain undetected. Amazon's automated recruitment system, one of the most notable failures in AI hiring, was abandoned after engineers discovered its bias against women, emphasizing the risks of unchecked AI decision-making in perpetuating rather than resolving historical inequities (Felix, 2023).

The opacity of AI recruitment algorithms raises ethical and legal concerns. According to Jasmine (2025) AI hiring bias can unfairly result in qualified candidates being rejected for reasons unrelated to their performance, as seen in 2020 when a UK-based makeup artist lost her job due to an AI screening program's negative assessment of her body language, raising concerns that such biases might exclude candidates from not just a single job but potentially an entire industry or job market. The lack of transparency poses challenges for complying with regulations like the New York City AI Bias Audit Law, which mandates companies to perform bias audits on AI hiring systems, particularly automated employment decision-making tools (AEDTs), before their implementation in hiring and promotion processes (Lara et al., 2024).

IV. ETHICAL AND LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS IN AI RECRUITMENT

Data Privacy and Candidate Consent

AI-driven recruitment systems rely on vast amounts of candidate data, raising concerns about privacy, security, and informed consent. A study revealed that 79% of large companies, each employing over 10,000 people, have integrated data analytics roles within their HR departments (Cho et al., 2023). These systems collect and analyze resumes, social media profiles, online assessments, and behavioral data, often without candidates fully understanding how their information is processed or retained. The lack of transparency in data usage increases the risk of unauthorized access, profiling, and data breaches, which could expose sensitive personal information. To limit these risks, organizations must implement clear and transparent AI consent policies that explicitly inform candidates about data collection, retention periods, and decision-making processes (PDPC, 2024). Failure to obtain explicit consent may harm employer reputation and also lead to legal consequences under data protection laws such as the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) and emerging U.S. privacy laws (ASher et al., 2024).

Compliance with Anti-Discrimination Laws

AI recruitment tools are subject to anti-discrimination laws designed to protect candidates from biased hiring practices. Under Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, employers must ensure that AI-driven hiring does not result in disparate impact—where an ostensibly neutral hiring process disproportionately disadvantages certain demographic groups (EDPB, 2024). The Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC) and Department of Labor (DOL) has issued guidelines emphasizing the need for employers to monitor AI systems for potential bias and ensure compliance with federal anti-discrimination laws (Husch Blackwell, 2025). The GDPR and its principles of fairness and accountability require AI hiring systems to avoid discriminatory outcomes and provide explanations for automated decisions (Data Protection Authority of Belgium, 2024). Companies that fail to address algorithmic bias risk regulatory penalties and lawsuits, as demonstrated by Amazon's AI hiring tool, which was abandoned after it was found to systematically disadvantage female candidates. Beyond compliance, ensuring fairness in AI-driven hiring can enhance market expansion, consumer trust, and innovation, while reducing legal and reputational risks (Gibson, 2024). HR departments must actively audit AI models, retrain algorithms on diverse datasets, and establish human oversight mechanisms to ensure fair and lawful hiring practices.

AI Regulation and Governance in Hiring

Regulators worldwide are tightening policies to govern AI hiring practices and minimize potential harms. The EU AI Act, one of the most comprehensive regulations on artificial intelligence, classifies AI hiring systems as high-risk, requiring organizations to adhere to strict transparency, accountability, and bias-mitigation standards. It also establishes rules for general-purpose AI models, including IBM's Granite

and Meta's Llama 3 open-source foundation model (IBM, 2024). In the U.S., the NYC Automated Employment Decision Tool (AEDT) Law, enacted in 2023, mandates independent audits for AI hiring tools used in New York City, with penalties for non-compliance (Caroline et al., 2023). At the federal level, discussions surrounding the Algorithmic Accountability Act and the Blueprint for an AI Bill of Rights highlight the U.S. government's increasing focus on regulating AI's role in employment, including privacy considerations and human oversight (Aaron et al., 2024).

V. BEST PRACTICES FOR HR PROFESSIONALS IMPLEMENTING AI IN RECRUITMENT

A. Choosing the Right AI Tools

To choose the most effective AI recruitment tools, HR professionals must align the technology with business objectives, while prioritizing transparency, data security, and seamless integration. For HR and talent acquisition leaders, ensuring AI accountability is important, as organizations must rigorously evaluate AI vendors for compliance with best practices, prioritize trust and transparency in adoption, and align with vendors who proactively adapt to global regulatory changes to future-proof tech investments (Onrec, 2025). Platforms such as IBM Watson Recruitment and LinkedIn Talent Insights have become widely adopted for their high accuracy in job-candidate matching, thanks to their real-time data analytics and bias-mitigation features. Ensuring that AI tools comply with data privacy regulations such as the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) and the California Consumer Privacy Act (CCPA) is crucial to safeguard individual rights, as non-compliance can result in legal liabilities and reputational risks (Yusuff, 2023).

B. Ensuring Ethical AI Use

While 68% of recruiters believe that AI will help eliminate unintentional bias in the hiring process (Tidio, 2025), flawed training data can introduce unintended discrimination. Amazon's AI hiring tool controversy serves as a cautionary example, where biased historical data led to gender discrimination in recruitment decisions (Chang, 2023; Reuters, 2018). To prevent such issues, HR professionals should implement regular AI audits, ensuring models are continuously tested for fairness and adjusted to reflect inclusive hiring practices (Babl.ai, 2024). Research highlights that companies employing diverse, bias-free datasets see an increase in minority hiring rates, underscoring the significance of using representative and unbiased AI models (Vivek, 2023; Pant, 2024).

C. Balancing AI with Human Oversight

While AI significantly boosts recruitment efficiency, human oversight is essential to maintain fairness and ensure a positive experience for candidates. Job seekers express frustration with fully automated recruitment processes, emphasizing the need for human interaction, as increased reliance on automation and virtual interactions weakens the relationship between candidates, hiring managers, and recruiters, often leading to a disconnect since many candidates never meet anyone in person throughout the hiring process (Maurer, 2024). AI should serve as an advisory tool, not a sole decision-maker, with HR professionals reviewing AI-driven insights to interpret qualitative factors like cultural fit and candidate motivation. Companies such as Unilever, IBM, and Hilton have effectively integrated AI into their HR recruitment processes, leading to higher retention rates and improved candidate satisfaction (Arati et al., 2024). Maintaining this balance allows organizations to leverage AI's efficiency while preserving the human element in recruitment.

VI. CASE STUDIES OF COMPANIES LEVERAGING AI IN RECRUITMENT

CASE STUDY 1: UNILEVER'S AI-POWERED HIRING PROCESS

Unilever has revolutionized its recruitment strategy by maximizing AI technologies to enhance efficiency and promote diversity. Through collaborations with platforms like Pymetrics and HireVue, the company has moved beyond traditional resume-based screening, utilizing AI-driven games and video interviews to assess candidates holistically. Pymetrics applies neuroscience-based games to evaluate cognitive and emotional traits, while HireVue employs AI to analyze verbal and non-verbal cues, including facial expressions and speech patterns. This data-driven approach has significantly improved hiring outcomes, reducing recruitment time by up to 75% and

increasing candidate diversity by mitigating unconscious bias (Psico-Smart, 2024).

The impact of AI-powered hiring tools is profound. HireVue's video analysis system incorporates natural language processing to assess candidates' authenticity and engagement, leading to a 50% reduction in hiring time and a marked increase in diverse hires. Research further indicates that AI-powered hiring models, such as those employed by HireVue, achieve a 95% success rate in predicting employee performance, highlighting the transformative potential of these technologies (Psico-Smart, 2024).

Beyond recruitment, Unilever has integrated AI across various operational domains. A case study by the AI Expert Network (AIX, 2023) underscores Unilever's use of advanced analytics for optimizing SKU management, and improving product availability by aligning supply with consumer demand. In talent acquisition, its AI-driven recruitment system has streamlined hiring, cutting screening time by 70,000 person-hours. Additionally, Unilever's AI-powered chatbot, 'Unabot,' assists new employees by providing real-time responses to HR-related inquiries, resulting in a 36% employee engagement rate and 80% user satisfaction.

Despite these advancements, Unilever faces ongoing challenges, including balancing AI-driven decision-making with human intuition, ensuring the accuracy of AI models through high-quality data inputs, and addressing the complexities of a diverse workforce's queries. Looking ahead, the company aims to expand its AI-driven analytics framework, deploy 'Unabot' more broadly across operations, and explore new AI applications such as assessing food freshness. This strategic commitment to AI innovation reinforces Unilever's position as a leader in leveraging technology to optimize both business operations and workforce management.

CASE STUDY 2: IBM'S WATSON RECRUITMENT AI

How IBM Utilizes Predictive Analytics to Improve Talent Acquisition

IBM has leveraged AI-driven predictive analytics through its Watson Recruitment platform to enhance talent acquisition. This sophisticated system evaluates candidate suitability by analyzing extensive datasets, including job market trends, historical hiring outcomes, and role-specific competencies. By implementing Watson Recruitment, IBM has significantly reduced hiring bias and improved the accuracy of candidate-job matching. The AI-powered approach has also enhanced recruitment efficiency, enabling HR professionals to dedicate more time to relationship-building and strategic decision-making. Watson Recruitment operates as a cognitive hiring tool that integrates natural language processing and machine learning algorithms to analyze resumes, job descriptions, and candidate profiles. This AI-driven system automates the candidate screening process, identifying top talent based on data-driven insights rather than subjective human judgment. According to IBM, the adoption of Watson Recruitment has led to a 75% reduction in hiring time, while also improving the precision of candidate recommendations (Pub, 2023).

Beyond efficiency gains, IBM's AI-driven recruitment model helps minimize unconscious bias by standardizing candidate evaluations based on objective metrics. By removing potential human prejudices from the initial screening process, Watson Recruitment fosters a more inclusive hiring environment. However, while AI enhances decision-making, IBM continues to emphasize the importance of human oversight to ensure fairness and ethical AI use in recruitment. As IBM continues refining Watson Recruitment, its broader AI initiatives signal the future of data-driven hiring. The company remains committed to enhancing AI's role in workforce planning, optimizing job-candidate matching, and expanding AI's applications across HR functions.

CASE STUDY 3: HIREVUE & AUTOMATED VIDEO INTERVIEWS

How AI Analyzes Facial Expressions, Speech Patterns, and Tone to Assess Candidates

HireVue has revolutionized the hiring process by integrating artificial intelligence (AI) into video interviews, enabling companies to assess candidates beyond traditional resumes and structured questions. The platform evaluates applicants by analyzing facial expressions, speech patterns, and vocal tone to predict job performance and fit. By leveraging machine learning algorithms, HireVue offers a standardized and scalable hiring solution, allowing recruiters to

process high volumes of applications efficiently. One of the notable adopters of this technology is Cathay Pacific, a leading airline that previously struggled with its manual, time-intensive hiring process. The company faced challenges such as high interview no-show rates and extended hiring timelines, which hindered its ability to fill roles efficiently. To address these issues, Cathay Pacific partnered with HireVue and replaced phone screenings with OnDemand video interviews, allowing candidates to complete interviews at their convenience. This shift resulted in a significant reduction in hiring time from three months to just two to three weeks. Additionally, the improved accessibility and flexibility led to lower interview dropout rates and enhanced the company's ability to manage over 300 weekly applications for flight attendant and customer service positions, reinforcing its reputation for quality hiring and operational efficiency (HireVue, 2021).

CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Artificial intelligence is fundamentally reshaping talent acquisition, offering greater efficiency, scalability, and data-driven decision-making in recruitment. Companies leveraging AI-powered applicant tracking systems, predictive analytics, and automated interviewing tools have reported notable improvements in hiring speed, candidate quality, and operational cost savings. However, AI-driven recruitment also presents critical challenges, including algorithmic bias, fairness concerns, and the opacity of decision-making processes. Without appropriate oversight, these systems risk perpetuating historical inequities and undermining trust in hiring outcomes. If AI will be adopted responsibly, organizations must integrate human oversight into AI-driven hiring decisions, conducting fairness audits and implementing bias mitigation strategies to enhance transparency and compliance. Regulatory oversight remains essential, as frameworks such as the EU AI Act and U.S. anti-discrimination laws demand greater accountability in AI recruitment practices. HR professionals must align AI hiring practices with corporate ethics, legal standards, and diversity goals to ensure an equitable hiring ecosystem. Policymakers and industry leaders should collaborate to establish governance frameworks that promote responsible AI adoption in recruitment. Cross-sector partnerships between HR professionals, AI developers, and regulators can drive ethical innovation while balancing efficiency with fairness. Achieving this will involve prioritizing ethical AI development and continuous oversight, organizations can harness AI's potential while ensuring hiring practices remain inclusive, transparent, and legally compliant.

REFERENCES

[1] Aaron Klein, Cameron F. Kerry, Courtney C. Radsch, Mark MacCarthy, Sorelle Friedler, and Nicol Turner Lee (2024). One year later: How has the White House AI Executive Order delivered on its promises? Retrieved from https://www.brookings.edu/articles/one-year-later-how-has-the-white-house-ai-executive-order-delivered-on-its-promises/#:~:text=The%20Biden%20administration's%20approach%20to,ethical%2C%20and%20responsible%20AI%20use.

[2] Ajunwa, Ifeoma. (2020). The "black box" at work. Big Data & Society. 7. 205395172096618. 10.1177/2053951720938093.

[3] Albaroudi, E., Mansouri, T., & Alameer, A. (2024). A Comprehensive Review of AI Techniques for Addressing Algorithmic Bias in Job Hiring. AI, 5(1), 383-404. https://doi.org/10.3390/ai5010019

[4] American Staffing Association. (2023). AI recruiting tools. Retrieved from https://americanstaffing.net/posts/2023/09/07/ai-recruiting-tools/

[5] Anja Simic. (2024). Talent management forecasting and AI. Retrieved from Deel. https://www.deel.com/blog/talent-management-forecasting-and-ai/#:~:text=Predictive%20analytics%20uses%20data%2Ddriven,accurately%2C%20optimizing%20the%20recruitment%20process.

[6] Arati Biradar, Jyoti Ainapur, Kalyanrao. K, Aishwarya, Sudharani, Shivaleela, Monika. (2024). The Impact of Artificial Intelligence on Modern Recruitment Practices: A Multi-Company Case Study Analysis. International Journal of Business and Management Invention (IJBMI). ISSN (Online): 2319 – 8028, ISSN (Print): 2319 – 801X www.ijbmi.org || Volume 13 Issue 9

[7] Astrid Marieke Rosenthal-von der Pütten & Alexandra Sach. (2024). Michael is better than Mehmet: exploring the perils of algorithmic biases and selective adherence to advice from automated decision support systems in hiring. Media Psychology. Volume 15 - 2024 | https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2024.1416504

[8] Babl.ai. (2024). Navigating bias in AI hiring tools: The imperative for effective bias audits. Retrieved from https://babl.ai/navigating-bias-in-ai-hiring-tools-the-imperative-for-effective-bias-audits/#:~:text=AI%2Ddriven%20tools%2C%20designed%20to,in%20AI%2Ddriven%20employment%20decisions.

[9] Bhat, A. (2025). Day 96: AI-powered resume screening system—Automating hiring with machine learning. Retrieved from https://medium.com/@bhatadithya54764118/day-96-ai-powered-resume-screening-system-automating-hiring-with-machine-learning-f061803ccc70

[10] Best Practice AI. (n.d.). Unilever saved over 50,000 hours in candidate interview time and delivered over £1M annual savings and improved candidate diversity with machine analysis of video-based interviewing. Retrieved from https://www.bestpractice.ai/ai-case-study-best-practice/unilever_saved_over_50%2C000_hours_in_candidate_interview_time_and_delivered_over_%C2%A31m_annual_savings_and_improved_candidate_diversity_with_machine_analysis_of_video-based_interviewing.?utm_source=chatgpt.com

[11] Business Insider. (2025). How AI hiring works: Chipotle Burrito Season application and job seekers. Retrieved from https://www.businessinsider.com/how-ai-hiring-works-chipotle-burrito-season-application-job-seekers-2025-2?r=US&IR=T

[12] Caroline Burnett, Autumn Sharp & Kaitlin Thompson (2023). Enforcement of New York City's artificial intelligence rule begins July 5, 2023: Here's what employers need to know. Retrieved from The Employer Report. https://www.theemployerreport.com/2023/05/enforcement-of-new-york-citys-artificial-intelligence-rule-begins-july-5-2023-heres-what-employers-need-to-know/

[13] Chang, Xinyu. (2023). Gender Bias in Hiring: An Analysis of the Impact of Amazon's Recruiting Algorithm. Advances in Economics, Management and Political Sciences. 23. 134-140. 10.54254/2754-1169/23/20230367.

[14] Chen Z. (2023). Collaboration among recruiters and artificial intelligence: removing human prejudices in employment. Cogn Technol Work. 2023;25(1):135-149. doi: 10.1007/s10111-022-00716-0. Epub 2022 Sep 28. PMID: 36187287; PMCID: PMC9516509.

[15] Chen, Z. (2023). Ethics and discrimination in artificial intelligence-enabled recruitment practices. Humanit Soc Sci Commun 10, 567 (2023). https://doi.org/10.1057/s41599-023-02079-x

[16] Cho, W., Choi, S., & Choi, H. (2023). Human Resources Analytics for Public Personnel Management: Concepts, Cases, and Caveats. Administrative Sciences, 13(2), 41. https://doi.org/10.3390/admsci13020041

[17] CovrLtr. (2025). How AI helps train non-verbal interview skills. Retrieved from https://covrltr.com/how-ai-helps-train-non-verbal-interview-skills/

[18] Data Protection Authority of Belgium. (2024). Artificial intelligence systems and the GDPR: A data protection perspective. Retrieved from https://www.autoriteprotectiondonnees.be/publications/artificial-intelligence-systems-and-the-gdpr---a-data-protection-perspective.pdf

[19] DDI Development. (2023). AI applicant tracking system: Revolutionizing the hiring process. Retrieved from https://ddi-dev.com/blog/programming/ai-applicant-tracking-system-revolutionizing-the-hiring-process/#:~:text=Machine%20Learning%20is%20a%20field,lead%20to%20the%20right%20candidate.

[20] Elizabeth Parker. (2023). Utilizing natural language processing (NLP) for candidate insights. Retrieved from HireBee. https://hirebee.ai/blog/recruitment-metrics-and-analytics/utilizing-natural-language-processing-nlp-for-candidate-insights/

[21] eWeek. (2024). AI recruitment surge: HR research findings. Retrieved from https://www.eweek.com/news/ai-recruitment-surge-hr-research-

findings/#:~:text=The%20study%20found%20that%20AI,HR%20professionals%20adopting%20these%20technologies.

[22] Felix Uloko, Raphael Ozighor Enihe, Clinton Immunhierokene Obrorindo. (2023). A Sentiment Analysis Based Model for Recruitment by Higher Institutions. Journal of Computer and Communications, Vol.11 No.9. https://www.scirp.org/reference/referencespapers?referenceid=3569419

[23] Fisher Phillips. (2024). AI resume screeners. Retrieved from https://www.fisherphillips.com/en/news-insights/ai-resume-screeners.html

[24] Forbes. (2023, September 25). AI bias in recruitment: Ethical implications and transparency. Retrieved from https://www.forbes.com/councils/forbestechcouncil/2023/09/25/ai-bias-in-recruitment-ethical-implications-and-transparency/

[25] Gibson. E. D. (2024). Why reducing algorithmic bias is good for business and society. Retrieved from Deconch30. https://deconch30.medium.com/why-reducing-algorithmic-bias-is-good-for-business-and-society-d2e5273bddc9

[26] HireLehigh. (2021). How many applications does it take to get a job? Retrieved from https://www.hirelehigh.com/post/how-many-applications-does-it-take-to-get-a-job

[27] HireVue. (2021). Cathay Pacific OnDemand case study. Retrieved from https://www.hirevue.com/case-studies/cathay-pacific-ondemand-case-study

[28] Hung-Yue Suen, Kuo-En Hung. (2024). Revealing the influence of AI and its interfaces on job candidates' honest and deceptive impression management in asynchronous video interviews. Technological Forecasting and Social Change, Volume 198, 123011, ISSN 0040-1625. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2023.123011.

[29] Hunkenschroer, A.L., Kriebitz, A. Is AI recruiting (un)ethical? A human rights perspective on the use of AI for hiring. AI Ethics 3, 199–213 (2023). https://doi.org/10.1007/s43681-022-00166-4

[30] Husch Blackwell. (2025). AI and workplace discrimination: What employers need to know after the EEOC and DOL rollbacks. Retrieved from https://www.huschblackwell.com/newsandinsights/ai-and-workplace-discrimination-what-employers-need-to-know-after-the-eeoc-and-dol-rollbacks

[31] IBM. (2024). What is the EU AI Act? Retrieved from https://www.ibm.com/think/topics/eu-ai-act

[32] Jasmine Williams. (2025). AI bias in hiring: Challenges and solutions. Retrieved from https://vidcruiter.com/interview/intelligence/ai-bias/#:~:text=The%20US%20Equal%20Employment%20Opportunity%20Commission%20(EEOC),actions%20and%20decisions%2C%20regardless%20of%20their%20intentions.

[33] Jeffrey Dastin. (2022). Amazon Scraps Secret AI Recruiting Tool that Showed Bias against Women. Book: Ethics of Data and Analytics. Auerbach Publications, Pages 4, eBook ISBN9781003278290

[34] Jobscan. (2024). Applicant tracking systems: Everything you need to know. Retrieved from https://www.jobscan.co/applicant-tracking-systems

[35] Jobscan. (2024). Fortune 500 use of applicant tracking systems. Retrieved from https://www.jobscan.co/blog/fortune-500-use-applicant-tracking-systems/

[36] Koivunen, S., Ala-Luopa, S., Olsson, T. et al. (2022). The March of Chatbots into Recruitment: Recruiters' Experiences, Expectations, and Design Opportunities. Comput Supported Coop Work 31, 487–516 (2022). https://doi.org/10.1007/s10606-022-09429-4

[37] Kurek, J., Latkowski, T., Bukowski, M., Świderski, B., Łępicki, M., Baranik, G., Nowak, B., Zakowicz, R., & Dobrakowski, Ł. (2024). Zero-Shot Recommendation AI Models for Efficient Job–Candidate Matching in Recruitment Process. Applied Sciences, 14(6), 2601. https://doi.org/10.3390/app14062601

[38] Lara Groves, Jacob Metcalf, Alayna Kennedy, Briana Vecchione, and Andrew Strait. 2024. Auditing Work: Exploring the New York City algorithmic bias audit regime. In The 2024 ACM Conference on Fairness, Accountability, and Transparency (FAccT '24), June 03--06, 2024, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil. ACM, New York, NY,

USA 14 Pages. https://doi.org/10.1145/3630106.3658959

[39] LinkedIn. (2024). Early impact of LinkedIn AI tools for recruiters. Retrieved from https://www.linkedin.com/business/talent/blog/talent-acquisition/early-impact-of-linkedin-ai-tools-for-recruiters

[40] LinkedIn. (2025). How AI-powered applicant tracking systems are transforming recruitment. Retrieved from https://www.linkedin.com/pulse/how-ai-powered-applicant-tracking-systems-transforming-recruitment-cl6bc/

[41] Noah, Asher & Moon, Loveth & John, Ada. (2024). The Consequences of Non-Compliance with Data Protection Regulations on Business Analytics.

[42] Onrec. (2025). Navigating AI governance: Why HR must lead, not wait. Retrieved from https://www.onrec.com/news/opinion/navigating-ai-governance-why-hr-must-lead-not-wait

[43] Oorwin. (2023). The role of predictive analytics in recruitment. Retrieved from https://oorwin.com/blog/role-of-predictive-analytics-in-recruitment.html#:~:text=In%20recruitment%2C%20the%20use%20of,create%20models%20that%20predict%20outcomes

[44] Oumaima El Ouakili. (2025). The Impact of Artificial Intelligence (AI) on Recruitment Process. Open Journal of Business and Management > Vol.13 No.2. DOI: 10.4236/ojbm.2025.132039

[45] Pasipamire N, Muroyiwa A. (2024). Navigating algorithm bias in AI: ensuring fairness and trust in Africa. Front Res Metr Anal. 2024 Oct 24;9:1486600. doi: 10.3389/frma.2024.1486600. PMID: 39512269; PMCID: PMC11540688.

[46] PDPC. (2024). Advisory guidelines on the use of personal data in AI recommendation and decision systems. Retrieved from https://www.pdpc.gov.sg/-/media/files/pdpc/pdf-files/advisory-guidelines/advisory-guidelines-on-the-use-of-personal-data-in-ai-recommendation-and-decision-systems.pdf

[47] Piotr Horodyski. (2023). Applicants' perception of artificial intelligence in the recruitment process. Computers in Human Behavior Reports, Volume 11, 100303, ISSN 2451-9588. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chbr.2023.100303.

[48] Psico-Smart. (2024). Artificial intelligence and automation in recruitment. Retrieved from https://psico-smart.com/en/blogs/blog-artificial-intelligence-and-automation-in-recruitment-11525#:~:text=According%20to%20a%20recent%20study%20conducted%20by%20Deloitte%2C%20AI%2Dpowered,resources%20in%20the%20hiring%20process.

[49] Psico Smart. (2024). Beyond keywords: Understanding how ATS algorithm changes impact job seekers in 2024. Retrieved from https://psico-smart.com/en/blogs/blog-beyond-keywords-understanding-how-ats-algorithm-changes-impact-job-seekers-in-2024-184391

[50] Psico-Smart. (2024). Gamification and AI: Transforming psychometric tests into engaging candidate experiences. Retrieved from https://psico-smart.com/en/blogs/blog-gamification-and-ai-transforming-psychometric-tests-into-engaging-candidate-experiences-183018#:~:text=For%20instance%2C%20Unilever%20has%20revolutionized,candidates'%20cognitive%20and%20emotional%20traits.

[51] Psico Smart. (2024). Incorporating artificial intelligence in candidate experience management. Retrieved from https://psico-smart.com/en/blogs/blog-incorporating-artificial-intelligence-in-candidate-experience-management-10305#:~:text=According%20to%20a%20study%20by,per%2Dhire%20by%2025%25.

[52] Psico-Smart. (2024). Integrating video analysis in interview software: Beyond the basics of applicant body language and nonverbal cues. Retrieved from https://psico-smart.com/en/blogs/blog-integrating-video-analysis-in-interview-software-beyond-the-basics-of-applicant-body-language-and-nonverbal-cues-183548

[53] Psico Smart. (2024). The impact of artificial intelligence on applicant tracking systems: Revolutionizing recruitment processes. Retrieved from https://psico-smart.com/en/blogs/blog-the-impact-of-artificial-intelligence-on-applicant-tracking-systems-revolutionizing-recruitment-processes-170812

[54] Pub, Iaeme. (2023). A STUDY ON APPLICATION OF ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE IN E-RECRUITMENT IN IT SECTOR, CHENNAI. INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF MANAGEMENT. 14. 1-14. 10.17605/OSF.IO/H8D3P.

[55] Raji, N. & George, Valsa & Iyer, Radhieka & Sharma, Suhani & Pathan, Firozkhan & Shaik, Mahabub Basha. (2024). REVOLUTIONIZING RECRUITMENT: THE ROLE OF ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE IN TALENT ACQUISITION. ShodhKosh: Journal of Visual and Performing Arts. 5. 10.29121/shodhkosh.v5.i1.2024.2141.

[56] Round One. (2024). Reducing recruitment cost-per-hire with AI: On balancing the HR budget. Retrieved from https://roundone.ai/blog/reducing-recruitment-cost-per-hire-with-ai-on-balancing-the-hr-budget

[57] Roy Maurer. (2024). Candidate experience: Talent Board research (CandEs). Retrieved from https://www.shrm.org/mena/topics-tools/news/talent-acquisition/candidate-experience-talent-board-research-candes

[58] Reuters. (2018). Amazon scraps secret AI recruiting tool that showed bias against women. Retrieved from https://www.reuters.com/article/world/insight-amazon-scraps-secret-ai-recruiting-tool-that-showed-bias-against-women-idUSKCN1MK0AG/

[59] SAP. (2024). What is AI bias? Causes, effects, and mitigation strategies. Retrieved from https://www.sap.com/resources/what-is-ai-bias

[60] Sarker, I.H. (2021). Machine Learning: Algorithms, Real-World Applications and Research Directions. SN COMPUT. SCI. 2, 160 (2021). https://doi.org/10.1007/s42979-021-00592-x

[61] Seppälä, P., & Małecka, M. (2024). AI and discriminative decisions in recruitment: Challenging the core assumptions. Big Data & Society, 11(1). https://doi.org/10.1177/20539517241235872

[62] SMOWL. (2024). Artificial intelligence in recruitment. Retrieved from https://smowl.net/en/blog/artificial-intelligence-in-recruitment/#:~:text=One%20of%20the%20most%20controversial,Risk%20of%20Dehumanization%20in%20Recruitment

[63] Talentera. (2023). AI in candidate experience: From chatbots to personalized recommendations. Retrieved from https://www.talentera.com/en/blog/ai-in-candidate-experience-from-chatbots-to-personalized-recommendations/#:~:text=Conclusion:,more%20engaging%20and%20tailored%20experience.

[64] The Ladders. (2020). Why do recruiters spend only 7.4 seconds on resumes? Retrieved from https://www.theladders.com/career-advice/why-do-recruiters-spend-only-7-4-seconds-on-resumes

[65] Tidio. (2025). How AI is used in recruitment: Benefits and easy uses. Retrieved from https://www.tidio.com/blog/ai-recruitment/

[66] Tidio. (2025). AI recruitment. Retrieved from https://www.tidio.com/blog/ai-recruitment/

[67] U.S. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC). (2024). Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964: Requiring discrimination-free workplaces for 60 years. Retrieved from https://www.eeoc.gov/title-vii-civil-rights-act-1964-requiring-discrimination-free-workplaces-60-years#:~:text=for%2060%20Years-,Title%20VII%20of%20the%20Civil%20Rights%20Act%20of%201964:%20Requiring,Free%20Workplaces%20for%2060%20Years&text=Download%20a%20PDF%20version%20of,from%20discrimination%20on%20the%20job.

[68] Ul Oman, Zaker & Siddiqua, Ayesha & Noorain, Ruqia. (2024). Artificial Intelligence and its ability to reduce recruitment bias. World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews. 24. 551-564. 10.30574/wjarr.2024.24.1.3054.

[69] Varsha P. S. (2023). How can we manage biases in artificial intelligence systems – A systematic literature review. International Journal of Information Management Data Insights, Volume 3, Issue 1, 100165, ISSN 2667-0968, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jjimei.2023.100165.

[70] Vivek, Ramakrishnan. (2023). Enhancing diversity and reducing bias in recruitment through AI: a review of strategies and challenges.

Информатика Экономика Управление - Informatics Economics Management. 02. 0101-0118. 10.47813/2782-5280-2023-2-4-0101-0118.

[71] Wael, Abdulrahman. (2023). The Power of Artificial Intelligence in Recruitment: An Analytical Review of Current AI-Based Recruitment Strategies. International Journal of Professional Business Review. 8. e02089. 10.26668/businessreview/2023.v8i6.2089.

[72] Yusuff, Mariam. (2023). Ensuring Compliance with GDPR, CCPA, and Other Data Protection Regulations: Challenges and Best Practices.