Advances in Budgeting and Forecasting Models for Strategic Alignment in Financial and Nonprofit Organizations

MAY EQUITOZIA EYEREGBA¹, OMONIYI ONIFADE², FLORENCE SOPHIA EZEH³

¹First Bank, Nigeria ²Kenaitze Indian Tribe, Kenai, AK, USA ³Independent Researcher, Nigeria

Abstract- In increasingly complex and dynamic operating environments, both financial and nonprofit organizations face mounting pressure to align their budgeting and forecasting practices with strategic goals. This paper critically explores the theoretical foundations, model innovations, and sector-specific applications that underpin modern financial planning approaches. It begins by establishing the rationale for re-evaluating traditional models, highlighting their limitations in supporting strategic alignment amid volatile conditions. Drawing upon economic, managerial, and behavioral theories, the discussion advances to cover contemporary tools such as zero-based budgeting, rolling forecasts, activity-based budgeting, and data-driven predictive analytics. The integration of digital platforms and real-time systems is analyzed as a driver of responsiveness and transparency. Through a comparative lens, the paper evaluates the deployment of these advanced models across financial institutions and nonprofit organizations. Case studies illustrate how strategic forecasting supports risk management, fundraising, program planning, and mission delivery. The synthesis of cross-sector best practices underscores the universal need for agile, accountable, and strategically aligned financial management. Implications for practice and policy are examined, emphasizing improved governance, performance, and digital transformation. The paper concludes by identifying future research opportunities in behavioral economics, AI ethics, and ESGintegrated forecasting, setting a forward-looking agenda for scholarly inquiry and practical innovation.

Indexed Terms- Strategic Alignment, Budgeting Models, Forecasting Innovation, Financial Planning, Nonprofit Management, Predictive Analytics

I. INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background and Rationale

Budgeting and forecasting are fundamental components of strategic planning processes within both financial and nonprofit organizations. They serve not only as tools for resource allocation and performance measurement but also as mechanisms for aligning operational activities with long-term goals [1]. In an era characterized by heightened economic uncertainty, fluctuating donor behavior, and evolving regulatory landscapes, the ability to anticipate financial trends and plan accordingly has become more critical than ever [2].

In financial organizations, budgeting and forecasting are central to capital planning, investment decisions, and liquidity management. These entities operate in environments where profitability, risk, and compliance are constantly in flux, requiring precise and adaptive planning frameworks [3]. Nonprofit organizations, on the other hand, must often manage limited resources while ensuring mission effectiveness, making strategic budgeting vital for sustainability and impact measurement [4].

The increasing complexity of organizational environments has necessitated a shift from static, annual budgeting models to more dynamic and responsive forecasting systems. Technological advancements and data availability have enabled the development of more sophisticated models that incorporate predictive analytics, rolling forecasts, and real-time reporting. These innovations enhance organizational agility, support scenario planning, and provide better alignment between financial planning and strategic objectives [5].

1.2 Research Problem and Objectives

Traditional budgeting and forecasting models have been widely criticized for their rigidity, backwardlooking orientation, and limited capacity to accommodate rapid environmental changes. Static budgets often fail to reflect evolving strategic priorities, particularly in volatile markets or during crisis periods. In both financial and nonprofit sectors, these limitations can result in misaligned priorities, inefficient resource utilization, and impaired decisionmaking processes.

This paper addresses the core challenge of aligning budgeting and forecasting practices with strategic objectives in complex and dynamic organizational contexts. It examines how traditional models fall short in supporting this alignment and explores how advanced approaches—including data-driven forecasting, integrated planning systems, and agile financial models—offer more effective solutions. The research places particular emphasis on the different organizational goals and constraints faced by financial and nonprofit institutions.

The main objective of this paper is to identify and analyze recent advances in budgeting and forecasting models that contribute to stronger strategic alignment. It aims to compare sector-specific adaptations, evaluate the applicability of modern models in varied organizational settings, and provide a conceptual basis for integrating these practices into strategic management frameworks. In doing so, the study contributes to both academic literature and practical guidance for improving financial governance and planning outcomes.

II. THEORETICAL AND STRATEGIC FOUNDATIONS

2.1 Theoretical Underpinnings of Budgeting and Forecasting

Budgeting and forecasting are underpinned by a blend of economic, managerial, and behavioral theories that shape their design and application [6]. From an economic perspective, the rational choice theory posits that individuals and organizations act to maximize utility under conditions of scarcity, which directly influences how budgets allocate resources. Budgeting in this view is a tool for optimizing resource distribution across competing priorities to achieve defined objectives [7, 8].

Managerial theories, particularly those rooted in performance management and systems thinking, emphasize the role of budgeting in planning, controlling, and evaluating operations. The managerial control theory, for example, treats budgets as instruments for monitoring organizational performance against targets. Forecasting, in this context, is viewed as essential for goal-setting and variance analysis, allowing managers to assess performance gaps and make necessary adjustments [9, 10].

Behavioral theories contribute additional nuance by highlighting how cognitive biases, organizational culture, and incentive structures affect budgeting behaviors. The concept of budgetary slack, where managers deliberately underestimate revenues or overstate costs, stems from behavioral economics [11]. Understanding these psychological and political dimensions is crucial in designing models that promote honesty, accountability, and alignment with organizational goals. Collectively, these theories provide a comprehensive lens for evaluating the role of budgeting and forecasting in strategic management [12, 13].

2.2 Strategic Alignment

Strategic alignment refers to the process of ensuring that an organization's financial planning, resource allocation, and operational activities support its overarching strategic objectives. It requires coherence between long-term vision, short-term actions, and performance metrics. In the context of budgeting and forecasting, alignment is achieved when financial plans are constructed not merely to reflect past trends but to enable future goals proactively [14, 15].

© FEB 2020 | IRE Journals | Volume 3 Issue 8 | ISSN: 2456-8880

For profit-driven entities, strategic alignment typically centers on maximizing shareholder value, optimizing capital investments, and driving competitive advantage. Financial forecasting in these settings is used to model market trends, assess profitability scenarios, and guide investment decisions. Budgeting processes are designed to support growth strategies, manage risks, and ensure operational efficiency in line with corporate goals [16, 17].

In contrast, mission-driven organizations prioritize social outcomes, service delivery, and stakeholder engagement. Strategic alignment in such contexts involves translating mission goals into measurable financial plans. Forecasting is often used to project funding needs and donor behavior, while budgeting ensures the optimal use of grants and public funds. The implication is that while the tools of budgeting and forecasting are similar across sectors, their alignment with strategy is guided by fundamentally different imperatives [18, 19].

2.3 Sectoral Differences in Strategic Financial Planning

The application of budgeting and forecasting varies significantly between financial and nonprofit organizations due to differences in strategic intent, funding structures, and performance indicators. Financial institutions rely heavily on quantitative data, market trends, and predictive analytics to guide planning. These organizations prioritize metrics such as return on investment, liquidity ratios, and capital adequacy, which shape both short- and long-term budgeting frameworks [20, 21].

Nonprofit organizations operate under more constrained and uncertain funding environments, often dependent on donations, grants, and public subsidies. As a result, their strategic financial planning emphasizes sustainability, program efficiency, and alignment with mission outcomes. Forecasting models in these organizations must account for variables like donor retention, seasonal funding cycles, and policy changes, which may not affect financial firms to the same extent [22, 23].

Another key difference lies in stakeholder accountability. Financial organizations are accountable primarily to shareholders and regulators, whereas nonprofits must demonstrate financial stewardship to a diverse set of stakeholders, including beneficiaries, donors, and oversight bodies. These differences influence how each sector defines strategic success and configures its budgeting and forecasting systems. Understanding these contrasts is essential for developing adaptable, sector-specific models that support effective strategic planning [24, 25].

III. INNOVATIONS IN BUDGETING AND FORECASTING MODELS

3.1 Modern Budgeting Techniques

Traditional budgeting static methods have increasingly been supplanted by more dynamic and responsive models such as zero-based budgeting, rolling forecasts, and activity-based budgeting [26]. Zero-based budgeting, in particular, requires organizations to justify all expenses from a zero base, rather than incrementally increasing budgets based on historical expenditures. This approach enhances cost discipline and strategic prioritization, ensuring that funds are allocated in alignment with current organizational goals rather than past spending patterns [27-29].

Rolling forecasts offer another improvement by providing continuous planning updates—typically on a quarterly or monthly basis—rather than relying on a fixed annual budget [30]. This model allows organizations to better respond to changes in internal performance metrics and external market conditions. The enhanced agility afforded by rolling forecasts makes them well-suited for both volatile financial markets and dynamic nonprofit environments where conditions may shift rapidly due to policy or funding changes [31, 32].

Activity-based budgeting complements these models by focusing on the costs of activities necessary to produce outputs, rather than on departments or line items alone. This technique links resource allocation directly to service delivery or production goals, thereby improving transparency and accountability. When used in tandem, these modern budgeting approaches support strategic alignment by fostering flexible, performance-oriented financial planning that can adapt to organizational complexity and change [33].

3.2 Data-Driven Forecasting and Predictive Analytics

The integration of machine learning, artificial intelligence, and big data analytics into forecasting practices has marked a significant shift toward precision and strategic foresight. These technologies enable the analysis of vast datasets to detect patterns, model scenarios, and generate predictions with a level of accuracy unattainable through traditional forecasting methods. This development enhances the ability of organizations to anticipate financial trends, customer behavior, and funding risks.

Machine learning algorithms, for instance, can process both structured and unstructured data to generate probabilistic forecasts that improve over time with exposure to new data. In financial institutions, these tools are used to model risk exposure, optimize investment portfolios, and anticipate market fluctuations. In nonprofit settings, predictive analytics can forecast donor attrition, identify funding gaps, and inform program budgeting based on real-time socioeconomic data [34].

Moreover, the use of predictive tools allows organizations to model various strategic scenarios, providing a proactive rather than reactive approach to financial planning. By integrating these insights into the budgeting process, organizations can better align their financial plans with potential outcomes, increasing both resilience and strategic responsiveness. This forward-looking capability is essential for navigating uncertainty and capitalizing on emerging opportunities [35].

3.3 Integrated Financial Planning Systems

The adoption of integrated financial planning systems has revolutionized the way organizations manage budgeting and forecasting processes. These systems combine data from multiple sources—such as accounting software, operational metrics, and external market data—into unified platforms that support realtime analysis and decision-making. Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP) systems are central to this transformation, enabling seamless integration across departments and ensuring data consistency [36].

Real-time dashboards provide actionable insights by visualizing key financial indicators and forecasting

metrics in user-friendly formats. These tools enhance transparency and allow managers to monitor performance against budget targets continuously. By offering immediate visibility into variances, cash flows, and funding utilization, dashboards facilitate quicker decision-making and strategic adjustments. This is especially valuable in nonprofit organizations, where timely financial reporting is critical for compliance and donor communication [37].

Integrated systems also promote cross-functional collaboration by aligning strategic, operational, and financial planning processes. They break down data silos, foster accountability, and streamline reporting workflows. The result is a more agile and informed budgeting environment that supports adaptive strategies and long-term organizational sustainability. As digital transformation continues to accelerate, these tools will become increasingly central to effective financial stewardship across sectors [3].

IV. APPLICATION AND IMPACT IN FINANCIAL AND NONPROFIT SECTORS

4.1 Case Studies in Financial Institutions

In financial institutions, advanced budgeting and forecasting models are instrumental in managing risk and supporting strategic growth. For example, large multinational banks utilize rolling forecasts integrated with macroeconomic indicators to anticipate changes in interest rates, credit demand, and regulatory requirements. These forecasts inform capital allocation strategies and portfolio management decisions, ensuring that institutions remain agile in volatile markets [38].

Investment firms have adopted predictive analytics and real-time dashboards to monitor market trends and adjust asset allocation dynamically. These tools enhance the ability to model scenarios such as geopolitical shifts or commodity price fluctuations, thus aligning strategic investment decisions with risk appetite and return targets. In addition, zero-based budgeting has gained traction in cost-intensive areas like technology and operations, promoting fiscal discipline without sacrificing innovation [39].

Insurance companies, facing long-term liability structures, have leveraged scenario modeling and

© FEB 2020 | IRE Journals | Volume 3 Issue 8 | ISSN: 2456-8880

machine learning to refine claims forecasting and premium pricing strategies. These applications not only improve operational efficiency but also enhance regulatory compliance and stakeholder confidence. Collectively, these case studies demonstrate that financial institutions benefit significantly from advanced models that balance strategic risk management with growth imperatives [40].

4.2 Strategic Forecasting in Nonprofit Organizations

Nonprofit organizations, despite operating with limited financial flexibility, have increasingly turned to forecasting tools to enhance mission delivery and resource optimization. Forecasting models are often tailored to reflect donor cycles, grant disbursements, and program costs. For example, international aid organizations use rolling forecasts to project cash flow needs based on seasonal program demands and fluctuating exchange rates, enabling smoother operations in challenging environments [41].

Fundraising strategies also benefit from predictive analytics, which help organizations identify patterns in donor behavior and segment target audiences for campaigns. By forecasting donation likelihoods and retention risks, nonprofits can proactively manage donor engagement and tailor outreach strategies. These insights contribute to more reliable revenue planning and help mitigate financial volatility [42].

Moreover, program-level forecasting enables nonprofits to align service delivery with strategic objectives. Education and healthcare nonprofits, for instance, use scenario planning to anticipate changes in beneficiary needs, regulatory constraints, or funding availability. These practices ensure that scarce resources are deployed efficiently and transparently, reinforcing organizational credibility and impact. Thus, forecasting is not merely a financial tool but a strategic asset in advancing nonprofit missions under constrained conditions [43].

4.3 Cross-Sector Analysis and Best Practices

A comparative analysis of financial and nonprofit sectors reveals several shared best practices that support strategic alignment, accountability, and efficiency. One such practice is the adoption of rolling forecasts, which offer flexibility and responsiveness in both sectors. While financial institutions use them to adjust market strategies, nonprofits leverage them to adapt to funding and operational changes demonstrating the technique's universal value [44].

Another key insight is the integration of digital platforms to centralize data and support evidencebased decision-making. Whether through enterprise systems in banks or modular budgeting tools in grassroots nonprofits, the emphasis on real-time visibility and collaboration enhances agility and accountability. Moreover, the use of predictive analytics to inform both revenue generation and risk mitigation exemplifies a converging approach toward data-driven strategy formulation [45].

Sector-specific constraints—such as regulatory compliance in finance or resource scarcity in nonprofits—necessitate tailored adaptations. However, the overarching principles of transparency, scenario planning, and performance alignment are widely applicable. By synthesizing these approaches, organizations across sectors can build resilient, forward-looking financial management systems that support their strategic goals while ensuring operational integrity [46].

V. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS

5.1 Conclusion

This paper has examined the evolution of budgeting and forecasting models, particularly their capacity to support strategic alignment across financial and nonprofit sectors. The theoretical foundations rooted in economic rationality, managerial control, and behavioral influences have provided the conceptual basis for understanding how financial planning aligns with organizational goals. These underpinnings also illustrate why traditional methods often fail to accommodate today's dynamic environments, necessitating model innovation.

Modern tools such as zero-based budgeting, activitybased approaches, rolling forecasts, and predictive analytics have emerged as more flexible and responsive alternatives. These innovations not only enhance forecasting accuracy but also promote strategic agility, a critical factor in volatile financial markets and uncertain nonprofit funding environments. The incorporation of integrated systems and real-time dashboards further amplifies their utility by improving transparency, collaboration, and responsiveness.

The comparative application across sectors has revealed that while operational contexts differ, the overarching principles of alignment, efficiency, and accountability remain universal. Financial institutions emphasize risk and profitability, while nonprofits prioritize mission and resource stewardship. Nonetheless, both sectors benefit from enhanced models that enable more informed and forwardlooking decisions, strengthening strategic execution and long-term resilience.

The findings have significant implications for practitioners, policymakers, and organizational leaders. In practice, the adoption of advanced budgeting and forecasting models can enhance governance by improving the accuracy of financial planning, clarifying strategic priorities, and enabling adaptive responses to internal and external pressures. Organizations that invest in capacity-building around financial analytics and scenario modeling are better equipped to align budgets with long-term visions and dynamic operational realities.

For policy, especially in regulatory and funding bodies, these insights highlight the need to support frameworks that encourage transparency and innovation in financial planning. Policies that incentivize the use of integrated systems, mandate performance-linked budgeting, or provide grants for digital transformation can help both sectors modernize their financial management practices. This is particularly important in nonprofit contexts, where external accountability to donors and stakeholders is critical to sustaining operations and trust.

Moreover, a sector-wide shift toward strategic alignment through enhanced planning can drive broader systemic improvements. Financial institutions may improve shareholder returns and risk mitigation, while nonprofits can enhance social impact and funding sustainability. These outcomes support a virtuous cycle of trust, performance, and innovation, illustrating the transformative power of modern budgeting and forecasting when embedded within a strategic governance framework.

5.2 Future Research and Innovation Opportunities

As budgeting and forecasting continue to evolve, there is a growing need for academic inquiry into emerging themes and underexplored dimensions. One promising area is the integration of behavioral economics into financial planning models, particularly in understanding decision-making under uncertainty and the cognitive biases that affect forecasting accuracy. These insights can lead to the design of models that are not only analytically sound but also behaviorally realistic.

Another area is the ethical dimension of artificial intelligence and machine learning in financial forecasting. As algorithms increasingly guide strategic decisions, questions around transparency, accountability, and fairness must be addressed. Research that explores ethical frameworks, bias mitigation strategies, and governance standards for AI-driven forecasting will be crucial to ensuring that technological advancements are aligned with organizational values and stakeholder expectations.

Lastly, the intersection of environmental, social, and governance (ESG) factors with financial forecasting presents a fertile field for innovation. Organizations under increasing pressure to integrate are sustainability into financial planning. Forecasting models that incorporate ESG metrics-such as carbon exposure, social impact, and governance risk-can enhance alignment with long-term societal goals. Future research should explore how these multidimensional indicators can be operationalized within predictive and strategic financial planning models.

REFERENCES

- J. Zietlow, J. A. Hankin, A. Seidner, and T. O'Brien, *Financial management for nonprofit* organizations: *Policies and practices*. John Wiley & Sons, 2018.
- [2] A. W. Steiss, *Strategic management for public and nonprofit organizations*. Routledge, 2019.

- [3] M. Samonas, *Financial forecasting, analysis, and modelling: a framework for long-term forecasting.* John Wiley & Sons, 2015.
- [4] V. Grozdanovska, K. Bojkovska, and N. Jankulovski, "Financial management and financial planning in the organizations," *European Journal of Business and Management*, vol. 9, no. 02, 2017.
- [5] J. M. Bryson, Strategic planning for public and nonprofit organizations: A guide to strengthening and sustaining organizational achievement. John Wiley & Sons, 2018.
- [6] M. Baddeley, *Behavioural economics and finance*. Routledge, 2018.
- [7] M. O. Adelodun and E. C. Anyanwu, "Evaluating the Environmental Impact of Innovative Radiation Therapy Techniques in Cancer Treatment."
- [8] M. O. Adeloduna and E. C. Anyanwub, "TELEHEALTH IMPLEMENTATION: A REVIEW OF PROJECT MANAGEMENT PRACTICES AND OUTCOMES."
- [9] A. I. Afolabi, N. Chukwurah, and O. A. Abieba, "AGILE SOFTWARE ENGINEERING FRAMEWORK FOR REAL-TIME PERSONALIZATION IN FINANCIAL APPLICATIONS."
- [10] J. Ahmadu *et al.*, "The Influence of Corporate Social Responsibility on Modern Project Management Practices."
- [11] G. Mutanov, *Mathematical methods and models* in economic planning, management and budgeting. Springer, 2015.
- [12] A. Abisoye, J. I. Akerele, P. E. Odio, A. Collins, G. O. Babatunde, and S. D. Mustapha, "Using AI and Machine Learning to Predict and Mitigate Cybersecurity Risks in Critical Infrastructure."
- [13] B. I. Adekunle, E. C. Chukwuma-Eke, E. D. Balogun, and K. O. Ogunsola, "A Predictive Modeling Approach to Optimizing Business Operations: A Case Study on Reducing Operational Inefficiencies through Machine Learning."
- [14] D. I. Ajiga, O. Hamza, A. Eweje, E. Kokogho, and P. E. Odio, "Data-Driven Strategies for

Enhancing Student Success in Underserved US Communities."

- [15] E. O. Alonge and E. D. Balogun, "Innovative Strategies in Fixed Income Trading: Transforming Global Financial Markets."
- [16] O. Famoti *et al.*, "Agile Software Engineering Framework for Real-Time Personalization in Financial Applications."
- [17] I. Gil-Ozoudeh, O. Iwuanyanwu, A. C. Okwandu, and C. S. Ike, "Water conservation strategies in green buildings: Innovations and best practices."
- [18] V. M. Chigboh, S. J. C. Zouo, and J. Olamijuwon, "Health data analytics for precision medicine: A review of current practices and future directions."
- [19] N. L. Edoh, V. M. Chigboh, S. J. C. Zouo, and J. Olamijuwon, "The role of data analytics in reducing healthcare disparities: A review of predictive models for health equity."
- [20] N. J. Isibor, C. P.-M. Ewim, A. I. Ibeh, E. M. Adaga, N. J. Sam-Bulya, and G. O. Achumie, "A Generalizable Social Media Utilization Framework for Entrepreneurs: Enhancing Digital Branding, Customer Engagement, and Growth."
- [21] M. C. Kelvin-Agwu, M. O. Adelodun, G. T. Igwama, and E. C. Anyanwu, "Enhancing Biomedical Engineering Education: Incorporating Practical Training in Equipment Installation and Maintenance."
- [22] C. N. Nwokedi *et al.*, "Addressing healthcare disparities: Tackling socioeconomic and racial inequities in access to medical services."
- [23] K. J. Olowe, N. L. Edoh, S. J. Christophe, and J. O. Zouo, "Conceptual Review on the Importance of Data Visualization Tools for Effective Research Communication."
- [24] K. J. Olowe, N. L. Edoh, S. J. C. Zouo, and J. Olamijuwon, "Review of predictive modeling and machine learning applications in financial service analysis."
- [25] D. O. Olutimehin, T. O. Falaiye, C. P.-M. Ewim, and A. I. Ibeh, "Developing a Framework for Digital Transformation in Retail Banking Operations."

- [26] P. Engesæth and J. Marthinussen, "Beyond budgeting in Jotun: a case study on substituting the budget with separate forecasting and targeting tools in a global, industrial corporation," 2016.
- [27] T. S. Oyetunji, F. L. Erinjogunola, R. O. Ajirotutu, A. B. Adeyemi, T. C. Ohakawa, and S. A. Adio, "Predictive AI Models for Maintenance Forecasting and Energy Optimization in Smart Housing Infrastructure."
- [28] T. S. Oyetunji, F. L. Erinjogunola, R. O. Ajirotutu, A. B. Adeyemi, T. C. Ohakawa, and S. A. Adio, "Designing Smart Building Management Systems for Sustainable and Cost-Efficient Housing."
- [29] O. S. Soyege *et al.*, "Evaluating the impact of health informatics on patient care and outcomes: A detailed review."
- [30] J. Lupari, "Micro-level diffusion of management control systems: Rolling forecasting in the Finnish Retail Ltd," 2016.
- [31] O. S. Soyege *et al.*, "Concept paper: Strategic healthcare administration and cost excellence for underserved communities (SHACE-UC)."
- [32] B. O. Tomoh, A. Y. Mustapha, A. O. Mbata, M. C. Kelvin-Agwu, A. Y. Forkuo, and T. O. Kolawole, "Assessing the impact of telehealth interventions on rural healthcare accessibility: a quantitative study."
- [33] J. H. Hashim, Activity based costing (ABC) model for higher education institutions: A basic guide to the model development. Partridge Publishing Singapore, 2019.
- [34] Y. Zhang, G. Zhang, H. Chen, A. L. Porter, D. Zhu, and J. Lu, "Topic analysis and forecasting for science, technology and innovation: Methodology with a case study focusing on big data research," *Technological forecasting and social change*, vol. 105, pp. 179-191, 2016.
- [35] E. Hofmann and E. Rutschmann, "Big data analytics and demand forecasting in supply chains: a conceptual analysis," *The international journal of logistics management*, vol. 29, no. 2, pp. 739-766, 2018.
- [36] S. Mishra, "Financial management and forecasting using business intelligence and big

data analytic tools," *International Journal of Financial Engineering*, vol. 5, no. 02, p. 1850011, 2018.

- [37] T. Henttu-Aho, "The role of rolling forecasting in budgetary control systems: reactive and proactive types of planning," *Journal of management control*, vol. 29, no. 3, pp. 327-360, 2018.
- [38] V. Ton-Nu, "Rolling forecasts in a Beyond Budgeting environment: a case study on the use of rolling forecasts as a management tool," 2014.
- [39] A. N. Dugbartey, "Predictive financial analytics for underserved enterprises: optimizing credit profiles and long-term investment returns," *Int J Eng Technol Res Manag [Internet]*, vol. 3, no. 8, p. 80, 2019.
- [40] K. Conboy, P. Mikalef, D. Dennehy, and J. Krogstie, "Using business analytics to enhance dynamic capabilities in operations research: A case analysis and research agenda," *European Journal of Operational Research*, vol. 281, no. 3, pp. 656-672, 2020.
- [41] E. M. Berman, *Performance and productivity in public and nonprofit organizations*. Routledge, 2015.
- [42] E. K. Omolo, "Evaluation of the role of strategic planning in the operationalization and financial management of non-profit organizations in Kenya," Strathmore University, 2018.
- [43] K. S. Williams, Non-profit financial sustainability. Royal Roads University (Canada), 2014.
- [44] K. C. Liket and K. Maas, "Nonprofit organizational effectiveness: Analysis of best practices," *Nonprofit and voluntary sector quarterly*, vol. 44, no. 2, pp. 268-296, 2015.
- [45] A. Cooley, "Comparative analysis of online accountability practices in three sectors: private, public and nonprofit," *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal*, vol. 33, no. 6, pp. 1423-1445, 2020.
- [46] L. Wellens and M. Jegers, "Effective governance in nonprofit organizations: A literature based multiple stakeholder approach," *European Management Journal*, vol. 32, no. 2, pp. 223-243, 2014.