Conceptual Review of Agile Business Transformation Strategies in Multinational Corporations

ROSEBENEDICTA ODOGWU¹, JEFFREY CHIDERA OGEAWUCHI², ABRAHAM AYODEJI

ABAYOMI³, OLUWADEMILADE ADEREMI AGBOOLA⁴, SAMUEL OWOADE⁵ ¹Curated by Tara, Event Consultant, London, UK ²CBRE & Boston Properties. Boston MA. USA ³Adepsol Consult, Lagos State, Nigeria ⁴Data Culture, New York, USA ⁵Kennesaw State University

Abstract- The global business landscape has been undergoing a seismic transformation, driven by rapid technological innovation, fluctuating market demands, and intensifying competitive pressures. In this context, the capacity for organizational agility has become an imperative, particularly for multinational corporations (MNCs) that must navigate complexity across diverse cultural. regulatory, and operational domains. This paper presents a conceptual review of agile business transformation strategies in MNCs, synthesizing insights from management theories, agile frameworks, and organizational case studies. The review explores how MNCs are adopting agile principles not only at the project or team level but also at the enterprise scale, rethinking governance, culture, structure, and technology to stay competitive in volatile environments. The paper begins by outlining the theoretical foundations underpinning agile transformation, including dynamic capabilities, organizational ambidexterity, and systems thinking. It then delves into the key drivers motivating MNCs to pursue agility, such as digital disruption, consumer expectation shifts, and post-pandemic operational challenges. Core agile strategies are reviewed, including the use of enterprise agile frameworks, structural reorganization into squads and tribes, and the critical role of agile leadership and digital tools. The paper also identifies persistent challenges MNCs face, such as cultural resistance, legacy infrastructure, and cross-border misalignments. The discussion section synthesizes the main conceptual insights and evaluates how agility can be balanced with the need for global coordination and compliance in MNCs. The paper concludes with strategic recommendations and directions for future research, emphasizing the importance of contextual agility adapting agile principles to fit the unique operational realities of large multinational entities. This conceptual review contributes to a growing body of literature on strategic agility, offering both scholars and practitioners a comprehensive lens through which to understand and implement agile transformation at scale.

Indexed Terms-Agile Transformation, Multinational Corporations, Dynamic Capabilities, Organizational Ambidexterity, Enterprise Agility, Cultural Resistance

I. INTRODUCTION

In an era marked by digital disruption, global economic instability, and increasingly dynamic consumer preferences, multinational corporations (MNCs) face a heightened demand for responsiveness, adaptability, and innovation. The traditional hallmarks of MNC success scale, standardization, and efficiency are no longer sufficient in a world that prizes speed, customer-centricity, and resilience. Against this backdrop, agile transformation has emerged as a strategic imperative, enabling organizations to continuously sense and respond to changing market conditions[1]. However, while agile principles are well-established in software development and start-up ecosystems, their integration into the strategic and operational fabric of large, complex MNCs is still an evolving challenge[2].

Agile business transformation refers to the process through which an organization fundamentally

© OCT 2022 | IRE Journals | Volume 6 Issue 4 | ISSN: 2456-8880

reconfigures its structures, mindsets, and operating models to enable adaptability, speed, and customer focus across all levels[3]. This transformation is not merely about adopting agile methodologies like Scrum or Kanban; rather, it encompasses a wholesale shift in organizational culture, leadership, decision-making, and value delivery. In MNCs where geographic dispersion, cultural diversity, regulatory variance, and legacy systems create additional layers of complexity, the agility journey is particularly multifaceted.

This paper seeks to provide a conceptual review of agile business transformation strategies as they pertain to MNCs. It aims to synthesize theoretical frameworks, strategic models, and empirical insights to offer a comprehensive understanding of how MNCs are navigating the shift toward enterprise agility[4]. Specifically, the paper addresses the following questions: What theoretical perspectives underpin agile transformation in global enterprises? What are the main drivers and challenges of adopting agility at scale in MNCs? What frameworks and strategic approaches are being deployed to facilitate this transformation? How do cultural, operational, and structural dimensions influence success?

The remainder of the paper is organized as follows: Section 3 discusses the theoretical underpinnings of agile transformation. Section 4 elaborates on the concept of agility in business. Section 5 identifies the key drivers pushing MNCs toward agility. Section 6 presents strategies and frameworks adopted by MNCs. Section 7 discusses the main challenges in the agile transformation process. Section 8 (optional) provides illustrative examples of transformation journeys. Section 9 synthesizes the conceptual implications, followed by Section 10, which concludes the paper with strategic recommendations and avenues for future research.

II. THEORETICAL FOUNDATIONS

Agile business transformation in multinational corporations (MNCs) is not an isolated managerial tactic but rather a multifaceted organizational shift grounded in several theoretical paradigms. These theories offer the conceptual underpinnings that explain how and why agility can enable organizations to adapt, compete, and innovate in uncertain environments. Four dominant theoretical lenses inform this conceptual review: Change Management Theory, Dynamic Capabilities Theory, Organizational Ambidexterity, and Complex Adaptive Systems Theory[5].

Change Management Theory, particularly models like Kurt Lewin's three-stage process (unfreeze-changerefreeze) and Kotter's eight-step change model, provides a foundation for understanding how can transition from traditional organizations hierarchical models to agile operating structures[6]. Agile transformation, however, often requires breaking from the linear assumptions embedded in these models. Instead, it aligns better with contemporary interpretations that view change as continuous, emergent, and decentralized characteristics critical complex MNC in environments.

Dynamic Capabilities Theory (Teece et al., 1997) offers a robust framework for understanding how MNCs can reconfigure internal competencies in response to rapidly changing environments. This theory posits that competitive advantage in volatile markets arises from an organization's ability to sense opportunities, seize them, and transform operational processes. Agile transformation embodies this dynamic capability through iterative planning, customer-centric design, and decentralized decisionmaking, enabling firms to pivot rapidly in response to external signals.

Organizational Ambidexterity emphasizes the need for organizations to balance exploration (innovation, agility) with exploitation (efficiency, stability). MNCs, due to their size and structure, often excel at the latter but struggle with the former. Agile transformation facilitates structural and contextual ambidexterity by embedding innovation within operational routines (e.g., via cross-functional teams) and allowing local experimentation while maintaining global coherence[7].

Complex Adaptive Systems Theory positions organizations as living systems composed of interconnected and interdependent parts that adapt based on internal and external feedback. This lens is especially relevant for MNCs operating across diverse and dynamic environments[8]. Agile frameworks mirror complex systems by encouraging emergent behavior, distributed control, iterative learning, and self-organization—attributes that enhance responsiveness and innovation[9].

Together, these theories converge to support a reimagination of strategy and operations in MNCs. They move the discussion beyond simple process change toward a more holistic understanding of transformation that encompasses culture, cognition, and structure[10]. As MNCs grapple with systemic disruption, these theoretical foundations are critical in guiding the design, implementation, and evaluation of agile transformation strategies that are both sustainable and scalable[11].

III. UNDERSTANDING AGILE TRANSFORMATION

Agile transformation refers to a fundamental reorientation of an organization's processes, structures, leadership, and culture to enhance its adaptability, speed, and customer-centricity. While "agility" originated in the context of software development popularized by the 2001 Agile Manifesto it has since evolved into a broader enterprise philosophy that shapes how organizations operate in uncertain, complex, and fast-paced environments[12]. In the context of multinational corporations (MNCs), agile transformation takes on added complexity due to geographic dispersion, regulatory diversity, and cultural heterogeneity.

At its core, agile transformation is about moving from rigid, hierarchical operating models toward flexible, cross-functional networks that prioritize rapid decision-making, iterative learning, and responsiveness to change[13]. This involves transitioning from traditional top-down management to empowered team-based structures, where decision rights are distributed closer to the customer and execution layer. Agile transformation is not simply the implementation of methodologies like Scrum, Kanban, or SAFe; rather, it involves rethinking the entire organizational ecosystem governance, metrics, mindsets, and value delivery systems[14].

A key distinction in the agile literature is between operational agility and strategic agility. Operational agility focuses on improving internal processes, timeto-market, and responsiveness through tools like DevOps or lean workflows. Strategic agility, on the other hand, involves reshaping business models, entering new markets rapidly, and redefining customer value propositions. MNCs must simultaneously pursue both forms of agility optimizing internal operations while maintaining the capacity to pivot in response to global shifts[15].

Moreover, enterprise-wide agility extends beyond IT and product development to encompass finance, HR, legal, and marketing functions. Agile human resource management, for example, introduces continuous performance feedback, flexible roles, and talent marketplaces that support rapid resource reallocation[16]. Agile finance replaces static annual budgets with rolling forecasts and scenario-based planning. Such changes are vital for MNCs to overcome internal silos and bureaucratic inertia that impede transformation.

Critically, agility is also a cultural construct. It demands a growth mindset, psychological safety, and customer obsession. Leadership must shift from command-and-control to servant leadership empowering teams, nurturing experimentation, and facilitating learning loops[17]. In MNCs, cultural transformation must be tailored to different regional contexts while aligning with a shared global vision.

In summary, agile transformation is a multidimensional endeavor involving structural, strategic, and behavioral change[18]. It enables MNCs to navigate complexity not by rigid planning but by cultivating adaptability as a core organizational trait. Understanding this broad conception of agility is a prerequisite for analyzing the specific strategies and challenges of agile transformation in multinational environments[19].

IV. DRIVERS OF AGILE TRANSFORMATION IN MNCS

The push for agile transformation in multinational corporations (MNCs) is not merely a strategic trend but a business necessity driven by a confluence of environmental, technological, and organizational pressures[20]. These drivers create conditions in which traditional organizational structures and decision-making processes become insufficient for

achieving sustained competitiveness, innovation, and responsiveness across global markets.

Technological disruption is one of the most significant drivers. The advent of digital technologies such as artificial intelligence (AI), machine learning, blockchain, and cloud computing has revolutionized how products and services are created, delivered, and consumed. MNCs that fail to embrace digital agility risk obsolescence. Agile transformation enables these corporations to harness digital tools in ways that support real-time responsiveness, enhanced customer experiences, and iterative innovation[21].

Market volatility and the acceleration of change further demand agility. Consumer preferences evolve rapidly, and competitive threats emerge unexpectedly often from startups or digitally native companies[21]. MNCs, due to their size and complexity, often struggle with bureaucratic inertia that slows decision-making. Agile transformation breaks down these barriers by decentralizing control and empowering crossfunctional teams to respond quickly to local and global market shifts[22].

Another powerful driver is the post-pandemic business environment, which exposed vulnerabilities in global supply chains, workforce models, and legacy infrastructures. The COVID-19 crisis forced MNCs to adopt remote work, digital collaboration tools, and more flexible operating models almost overnight. Organizations that had previously embraced agile principles were able to adapt faster, leading to a growing recognition of agility as a resilience strategy.

Globalization and regulatory complexity also necessitate agility. MNCs operate in multiple jurisdictions, each with their own legal, cultural, and economic conditions. Static, one-size-fits-all strategies are no longer viable. Agile transformation enables adaptive operating models that can be customized for different regional contexts while maintaining alignment with overarching strategic goals.

Evolving employee expectations, especially among younger, digitally savvy workers represent another crucial driver. Today's workforce demands flexibility, autonomy, and purpose. Agile organizations offer flatter hierarchies, meaningful work in empowered teams, and opportunities for continuous learning and development. Agile transformation thus becomes not only a business strategy but also a talent attraction and retention mechanism[23].

Finally, sustainability and stakeholder pressure are emerging as indirect yet significant drivers. As MNCs face growing scrutiny from consumers, regulators, and investors regarding environmental, social, and governance (ESG) performance, agility provides the tools to experiment with and scale sustainable practices more effectively.

In summary, agile transformation is a response to a multidimensional set of internal and external drivers. MNCs that ignore these imperatives risk strategic stagnation, while those that embrace agility can unlock greater innovation, resilience, and stakeholder alignment in a rapidly evolving global landscape[24].

V. KEY STRATEGIES AND FRAMEWORKS FOR AGILE TRANSFORMATION

Implementing agile transformation at the scale and complexity of a multinational corporation (MNC) requires more than isolated process changes; it demands a cohesive strategic blueprint[25]. Over the years, organizations have adopted various strategies and frameworks to enable this transformation, each designed to address the need for flexibility, speed, and customer-centricity while maintaining operational coherence across diverse geographies[26].

One of the most widely adopted frameworks is the Scaled Agile Framework (SAFe), which provides a structured approach to scaling agile principles across the enterprise[25], [27]. SAFe incorporates agile, lean, and DevOps practices, allowing MNCs to synchronize cross-functional teams, manage dependencies, and deliver incremental value. It also emphasizes alignment between team objectives and business strategy, an essential consideration in complex organizations with competing priorities[28].

The Spotify Model, though not a formal framework, has gained popularity for its innovative structure built around squads, tribes, chapters, and guilds[29]. This model allows for decentralization, autonomy, and rapid iteration, while also encouraging shared learning across global units. It is especially effective in

© OCT 2022 | IRE Journals | Volume 6 Issue 4 | ISSN: 2456-8880

organizations seeking to balance local empowerment with enterprise-wide cohesion[30].

Design Thinking and Lean Startup methodologies are also employed to foster innovation and rapid problemsolving[31]. These strategies emphasize usercentricity, experimentation, and iterative feedback loops, enabling MNCs to co-create solutions with customers and adapt offerings quickly to market needs[32]. They are often integrated into innovation hubs or cross-functional task forces within large enterprises.

Agile governance structures are another critical component. Traditional hierarchical decision-making must be replaced with dynamic governance mechanisms that support distributed leadership, real-time prioritization, and continuous funding models[33]. This may include replacing annual budgeting with rolling forecasts and allocating resources to agile teams based on outcomes rather than fixed projects.

Digital transformation also plays a foundational role. MNCs undergoing agile transformation often implement cloud infrastructure, collaboration platforms (e.g., Microsoft Teams, Slack), and data analytics tools to support virtual teams, real-time insights, and rapid deployment of solutions. Technology becomes both an enabler and a driver of agility, facilitating transparency, speed, and scale[34].

Finally, cultural and leadership transformation is essential. Agile transformation requires leaders to adopt a facilitative rather than directive style[35]. Leadership development programs must train executives to foster psychological safety, empower teams, and champion agile mindsets. Cultural change initiatives—often grounded in behavioral science are used to shift values, rituals, and norms that support adaptability and continuous improvement[36].

In essence, successful agile transformation in MNCs is not the result of any single strategy but rather a portfolio of interconnected approaches. By integrating structural redesign, technological enablement, leadership evolution, and adaptive governance, MNCs can build the foundations for sustained enterprise agility in a complex global environment[37].

VI. CHALLENGES AND BARRIERS IN AGILE TRANSFORMATION WITHIN MNCS

While the promise of agile transformation is compelling, the road to achieving it within multinational corporations (MNCs) is riddled with complex challenges[38]. These barriers stem not only from the size and operational intricacy of such organizations but also from the deep-rooted legacy systems, hierarchical cultures, and regulatory demands that characterize global enterprise environments. Understanding these challenges is essential for designing more effective and context-sensitive transformation strategies[39].

One of the primary barriers is organizational culture and mindset. Agile principles thrive in environments of trust, empowerment, and collaboration. However, many MNCs are still structured around command-andcontrol leadership styles, with rigid chains of authority and risk-averse behaviors. Shifting to a culture that values experimentation, rapid iteration, and failure as a learning opportunity requires a profound transformation in leadership philosophy and employee engagement, one that cannot be achieved solely through training or process changes[40].

Legacy systems and infrastructure represent another significant hurdle. Many MNCs operate on decadesold IT architecture that are not designed for agility. These systems often lack the flexibility to support continuous delivery, integration, or rapid data sharing across departments or regions. Replacing or upgrading these systems demands substantial investment and carries risks of operational disruption. Moreover, aligning these new systems across different countries with varied technological maturity further complicates the transformation[41].

Global coordination versus local autonomy poses a structural dilemma. Agile emphasizes decentralized decision-making and team autonomy, which can clash with the need for global consistency in compliance, branding, and operational standards. For example, while a local agile team might innovate rapidly to meet regional market demands, their outputs may conflict with corporate governance or cross-border supply chain requirements. Balancing agility with control is particularly complex in highly regulated industries like finance, healthcare, or manufacturing[42].

© OCT 2022 | IRE Journals | Volume 6 Issue 4 | ISSN: 2456-8880

Communication and collaboration across time zones and cultures also present difficulties. Effective agile teams rely on frequent, transparent communication and quick decision cycles—practices that are harder to implement across geographically dispersed teams with different cultural norms, languages, and work habits[2]. Asynchronous communication and digital collaboration tools can help, but they do not fully replicate the energy and alignment of in-person team dynamics.

Furthermore, resistance from middle management is a recurrent issue. Managers accustomed to traditional planning, performance appraisal, and budgeting systems often perceive agile practices as threats to their roles or influence. Without clear incentives, role redefinition, and upskilling, this resistance can stall or derail agile initiatives[43].

Lastly, measuring success in agile transformations is often problematic. Unlike traditional projects with clear timelines and outputs, agile efforts prioritize continuous value delivery, which is harder to quantify. MNCs need new metrics and KPIs that reflect agility, customer impact, and innovation velocity rather than just efficiency or cost savings[44].

In summary, while the benefits of agility are well documented, MNCs face a unique constellation of barriers that must be addressed systematically. Overcoming these challenges requires a coordinated approach that integrates cultural change, technology modernization, stakeholder alignment, and leadership evolution[35].

VII. CASE EXAMPLES AND INDUSTRY INSIGHTS

To ground the theoretical and strategic discussions in real-world experience, this section explores illustrative examples of agile transformation within leading multinational corporations. These cases highlight how agile principles are being adapted across different industries and geographies, offering insights into both best practices and lessons learned[45].

ING Group, a global financial services company headquartered in the Netherlands, is widely recognized for its successful agile transformation. Facing disruption from fintech startups and changing customer behaviors, ING adopted a scaled agile model inspired by Spotify. They reorganized into crossfunctional squads, empowered teams with end-to-end ownership, and eliminated layers of management[46]. The transformation was not limited to IT but encompassed marketing, HR, compliance, and operations. Key to ING's success was its investment in leadership development and cultural change, ensuring alignment between executive vision and team-level implementation. However, ING also faced challenges, such as coordinating agile practices across different countries and integrating agile governance with financial regulations[47].

Another noteworthy example is Unilever, a consumer goods giant with operations in over 190 countries. Unilever's approach to agility was more contextspecific, focusing on agile pods for product innovation and market experimentation. In India, for instance, Unilever deployed agile teams to rapidly develop and test e-commerce strategies tailored to local consumer behaviors. These localized initiatives were supported by global digital platforms and analytics, enabling real-time insights and decision-making. Unilever's transformation emphasizes the importance of contextual agility the ability to tailor agile frameworks to specific business environments while preserving global coherence[48].

Bosch, a multinational engineering and technology company, offers an example from the manufacturing sector. Bosch initiated an enterprise-wide agile transformation to speed up innovation and customer responsiveness. They established agile coaching teams, promoted internal agile certifications, and restructured R&D units into agile value streams. A major insight from Bosch's experience is the necessity of aligning agile with lean manufacturing principles, especially in hardware-intensive sectors. Their journey also underscored the importance of balancing short-term delivery with long-term architectural stability and product safety.

In the technology space, Microsoft underwent a cultural renaissance under CEO Satya Nadella, embracing agility as a core business philosophy. Beyond adopting agile development practices, Microsoft shifted to a growth mindset culture, broke down internal silos, and began delivering software

updates on a continuous basis. This cultural transformation has been credited with revitalizing Microsoft's innovation capabilities and market position[49].

These cases collectively demonstrate that there is no one-size-fits-all model for agile transformation in MNCs. Success depends on aligning agile principles with organizational realities industry demands, cultural norms, regulatory environments, and legacy structures[50].

VIII. DISCUSSION AND CONCEPTUAL IMPLICATIONS

The adoption of agile transformation strategies in multinational corporations (MNCs) represents more than a response to external pressures; it signifies a fundamental reconfiguration of how large, complex organizations operate, innovate, and create value[51]. This section synthesizes insights from prior sections to explore the broader conceptual implications of agile transformation, particularly its role in reshaping global business dynamics, organizational theory, and strategic management paradigms[52].

Agile transformation challenges traditional assumptions embedded in hierarchical, linear, and efficiency-oriented models of organizational design. In MNCs, which have long relied on centralized decision-making, standardized procedures, and risk aversion, the agile paradigm introduces a shift toward decentralization, rapid experimentation, and adaptability[53]. This shift requires not only new tools and processes but also a rethink of what constitutes organizational effectiveness. Agility, in this sense, becomes a strategic capability an enabler of resilience, responsiveness, and innovation across volatile environments[54].

One major conceptual implication is the emergence of distributed authority and team autonomy as critical levers for global competitiveness. In contrast to the traditional command-and-control model, agile MNCs distribute decision-making closer to customer-facing teams and local markets. This structure aligns with theories of complex adaptive systems, where local agents respond dynamically to real-time data and feedback, thereby increasing the system's overall adaptability. This decentralization also reshapes the role of leadership from directing action to enabling conditions for team performance and learning[54].

Another important implication is the fluidity of organizational boundaries. Agile transformation often involves breaking down silos between departments, geographies, and even external partners. This shift aligns with the notion of open innovation and ecosystem thinking, where value creation is coproduced across networks rather than confined within firm boundaries[55]. MNCs are increasingly collaborating with startups, tech firms, and regional partners through agile-enabled platforms, thereby accelerating innovation and market responsiveness[54].

Moreover, agile transformation redefines how organizations approach strategy execution. Rather than following rigid annual planning cycles, agile organizations adopt iterative and adaptive strategy processes, using real-time data and continuous feedback to pivot quickly[56]. This approach reflects the principles of dynamic capabilities theory and provides a more viable model for strategy in uncertain, fast-moving global markets[57].

Lastly, agile transformation has profound implications for workforce dynamics. By promoting crossfunctional collaboration, continuous learning, and psychological safety, it creates a more empowered and engaged workforce[58]. In doing so, it addresses the needs of modern talent, especially digital natives who value autonomy, purpose, and creativity.

In sum, agile transformation in MNCs is not merely an operational upgrade—it represents a conceptual shift in how we understand and practice global management[59]. It calls for new theories, new leadership models, and new organizational forms that are more aligned with the complexity, speed, and interdependence of today's business world[60].

IX. CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Agile business transformation has emerged as a strategic imperative for multinational corporations (MNCs) navigating the complexities of a volatile, uncertain, complex, and ambiguous (VUCA) global environment. As this paper has shown, the adoption of

agile principles allows MNCs to break free from traditional bureaucratic constraints and reorient toward responsiveness, innovation, and customercentricity.

In conclusion, agile transformation is not a singular event but a continuous journey that encompasses structural, cultural, technological, and strategic dimensions. The successful execution of this transformation requires a nuanced understanding of the unique challenges MNCs face, including legacy systems, hierarchical mindsets, cross-cultural coordination, and regulatory compliance. Agile transformation, therefore, must be holistic integrating people, processes, and technology and tailored to the corporation's size, industry, and geographic footprint.

To advance the agility agenda, several strategic recommendations emerge from this conceptual review:

- 1. Lead with Cultural Change: Organizational culture is the bedrock of agility. MNCs must prioritize mindset shifts toward trust, transparency, experimentation, and learning. This requires executive sponsorship, consistent communication, and modeling of agile behaviors by leadership at all levels.
- 2. Invest in Agile Capability Building: Agile transformation demands new skills—both technical and soft. MNCs should invest in training, coaching, and communities of practice to build agile literacy across the organization. Agile leadership development is particularly crucial for ensuring sustainability.
- 3. Redesign Structures for Flexibility: Static hierarchies and siloed departments must give way to modular, cross-functional teams organized around value streams or customer journeys. These structures allow for faster decision-making and better alignment with market needs.
- 4. Adopt Scalable Frameworks and Local Adaptation: Frameworks such as SAFe or the Spotify model can provide a blueprint for scale, but their implementation must allow for contextual flexibility. Agile transformation should support both global standardization and local innovation.

- 5. Align Metrics and Incentives with Agile Goals: Traditional KPIs that focus on output, efficiency, or compliance must be complemented (or replaced) with metrics that measure learning, customer value, and adaptability. Performance management systems should reward collaboration, speed, and value delivery.
- 6. Utilize Technology as an Enabler: Cloud computing, AI, and collaboration platforms are essential tools for supporting agile workflows across time zones and business units. MNCs should integrate technological roadmaps with agile transformation plans to ensure alignment and support.
- 7. Foster Ecosystem Collaboration: Agile MNCs must move beyond internal optimization and engage external ecosystems—startups, academia, government, and consumers—in co-creating value. Strategic partnerships and open innovation platforms should be part of the transformation strategy[61].

In closing, agile transformation offers MNCs a powerful pathway to remain relevant and competitive in an era of continuous disruption. However, it requires courage, commitment, and a willingness to unlearn deeply entrenched habits. By thoughtfully designing and executing agile strategies, MNCs can unlock new levels of performance, innovation, and resilience in the global digital economy.

REFERENCES

- E. C. Chukwuma-Eke, O. Y. Ogunsola, and N. J. Isibor, "A Conceptual Framework for Financial Optimization and Budget Management in Large-Scale Energy Projects," *Int. J. Multidiscip. Res. Growth Eval.*, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 823–834, 2021, doi: 10.54660/.IJMRGE.2021.2.1.823-834.
- [2] B. I. Adekunle, E. C. Chukwuma-Eke, E. D. Balogun, and K. O. Ogunsola, "A Predictive Modeling Approach to Optimizing Business Operations: A Case Study on Reducing Operational Inefficiencies through Machine Learning," *Int. J. Multidiscip. Res. Growth Eval.*, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 791–799, 2021, doi: 10.54660/.IJMRGE.2021.2.1.791-799.

- [3] D. I. Ajiga, "Strategic Framework for Leveraging Artificial Intelligence to Improve Financial Reporting Accuracy and Restore Public Trust," *Int. J. Multidiscip. Res. Growth Eval.*, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 882–892, 2021, doi: 10.54660/.IJMRGE.2021.2.1.882-892.
- [4] "International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Growth Evaluation www.allmultidisciplinaryjournal.com".
- [5] O. J. Esan, O. T. Uzozie, O. Onaghinor, G. O. Osho, and J. O. Omisola, "Policy and Operational Synergies: Strategic Supply Chain Optimization for National Economic Growth," *Int. J. Soc. Sci. Except. Res.*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 239–245, 2022, doi: 10.54660/IJSSER.2022.1.1.239-245.
- [6] T. Bridgman and E. Bell, "Seeing and Being Seen as a Management Learning and Education Scholar: Rejoinder to 'Identifying Research Topic Development in Business and Management Education Research Using Legitimation Code Theory," J. Manag. Educ., vol. 40, no. 6, pp. 692–699, Dec. 2016, doi: 10.1177/1052562916662103.
- [7] D. Lessard, D. J. Teece, and S. Leih, "The Dynamic Capabilities of Meta-Multinationals," *Glob. Strategy J.*, vol. 6, no. 3, pp. 211–224, Aug. 2016, doi: 10.1002/gsj.1126.
- [8] E. C. Chianumba, N. Ikhalea, A. Y. Mustapha, A. Y. Forkuo, and D. Osamika, "Integrating AI, Blockchain, and Big Data to Strengthen Healthcare Data Security, Privacy, and Patient Outcomes," *J. Front. Multidiscip. Res.*, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 124–129, 2022, doi: 10.54660/.IJFMR.2022.3.1.124-129.
- [9] O. J. Esan, O. T. Uzozie, O. Onaghinor, G. O. Osho, and E. A. Etukudoh, "Procurement 4.0: Revolutionizing Supplier Relationships through Blockchain, AI, and Automation: A Comprehensive Framework," J. Front. Multidiscip. Res., vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 117–123, 2022, doi: 10.54660/.IJFMR.2022.3.1.117-123.
- [10] "International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Growth Evaluation www.allmultidisciplinaryjournal.com".
- [11] [Online]. Available: https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Favour-

Ojika-

2/publication/390738611_The_Impact_of_Mac hine_Learning_on_Image_Processing_A_Conce ptual_Model_for_Real-Time_Retail_Data_Analysis_and_Model_Opti mization/links/6802c03dbfbe974b23ab4ca9/The -Impact-of-Machine-Learning-on-Image-Processing-A-Conceptual-Model-for-Real-Time-Retail-Data-Analysis-and-Model-Optimization.pdf

- [12] E. C. Chukwuma-Eke, O. Y. Ogunsola, and N. J. Isibor, "A Conceptual Approach to Cost Forecasting and Financial Planning in Complex Oil and Gas Projects," *Int. J. Multidiscip. Res. Growth Eval.*, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 819–833, 2022, doi: 10.54660/.IJMRGE.2022.3.1.819-833.
- [13] D. Cohen, M. Lindvall, and P. Costa, "An Introduction to Agile Methods," in *Advances in Computers*, vol. 62, Elsevier, 2004, pp. 1–66. doi: 10.1016/S0065-2458(03)62001-2.
- [14] M. Pop, "Agile Virtualization The importance of Scrum frame- work in creating synergies in global organizations".
- [15] N. Perkin and P. Abraham, Building the agile business through digital transformation, 1st Edition. London; New York, NY: Kogan Page Limited, 2017.
- [16] A. Poth, M. Kottke, and A. Riel, "Scaling agile on large enterprise level -- systematic bundling and application of state of the art approaches for lasting agile transitions," Sep. 04, 2019, *arXiv*: arXiv:1909.01624. doi: 10.48550/arXiv.1909.01624.
- [17] B. Fitzgerald and K.-J. Stol, "Continuous software engineering: A roadmap and agenda," *J. Syst. Softw.*, vol. 123, pp. 176–189, Jan. 2017, doi: 10.1016/j.jss.2015.06.063.
- [18] M. Ruotsala, "Agile and Lean processes on an IoT development".
- [19] J. Järvinen, T. Huomo, T. Mikkonen, and P. Tyrväinen, "From Agile Software Development to Mercury Business," in *Software Business*. *Towards Continuous Value Delivery*, vol. 182, C. Lassenius and K. Smolander, Eds., in Lecture Notes in Business Information Processing, vol. 182., Cham: Springer International Publishing,

2014, pp. 58–71. doi: 10.1007/978-3-319-08738-2_5.

[20] [Online]. Available: https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Favour-Ojika-

2/publication/390736840_Integrating_TensorFl ow_with_Cloud-

Based_Solutions_A_Scalable_Model_for_Real-Time_Decision-Making_in_AI-

Powered_Retail_Systems/links/6802c052d1054 b0207d5207a/Integrating-TensorFlow-with-Cloud-Based-Solutions-A-Scalable-Model-for-Real-Time-Decision-Making-in-AI-Powered-Retail-Systems.pdf

[21] [Online]. Available: https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Favour-Ojika-

2/publication/390928998_Optimizing_AI_Mod els for Cross-

Functional_Collaboration_A_Framework_for_I mproving_Product_Roadmap_Execution_in_Ag ile_Teams/links/6802b65bded43315572ad388/ Optimizing-AI-Models-for-Cross-Functional-Collaboration-A-Framework-for-Improving-Product-Roadmap-Execution-in-Agile-Teams.pdf

- [22] A. H. Adepoju, B. Austin-Gabriel, O. Hamza, and A. Collins, "Advancing Monitoring and Alert Systems: A Proactive Approach to Improving Reliability in Complex Data Ecosystems," vol. 5, no. 11, 2022.
- [23] B. S. Adelusi, D. Osamika, M. C. Kelvin-Agwu, A. Y. Mustapha, and N. Ikhalea, "A Deep Learning Approach to Predicting Diabetes Mellitus Using Electronic Health Records," *J. Front. Multidiscip. Res.*, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 47–56, 2022, doi: 10.54660/.IJFMR.2022.3.1.47-56.
- [24] Afees Olanrewaju Akinade, Peter Adeyemo Adepoju, Adebimpe Bolatito Ige, Adeoye Idowu Afolabi, and Olukunle Oladipupo Amoo, "Advancing segment routing technology: A new model for scalable and low-latency IP/MPLS backbone optimization," *Open Access Res. J. Sci. Technol.*, vol. 5, no. 2, pp. 077–095, Aug. 2022, doi: 10.53022/oarjst.2022.5.2.0056.
- [25] D. V. Ribiere, ICMLG 2018 6th International Conference on Management Leadership and

Governance. Academic Conferences and publishing limited, 2018.

- [26] Christian Chukwuemeka Ike, Adebimpe Bolatito Ige, Sunday Adeola Oladosu, Peter Adeyemo Adepoju, Olukunle Oladipupo Amoo, and Adeoye Idowu Afolabi, "Redefining zero trust architecture in cloud networks: A conceptual shift towards granular, dynamic access control and policy enforcement," *Magna Sci. Adv. Res. Rev.*, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 074–086, Jun. 2021, doi: 10.30574/msarr.2021.2.1.0032.
- [27] "Agile Transition and Adoption Frameworks, Issues and Factors: A Systematic Mapping."
 [Online]. Available: https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/abstract/document/89 63684
- [28] B. Fitzgerald and K.-J. Stol, "Continuous software engineering and beyond: trends and challenges," in *Proceedings of the 1st International Workshop on Rapid Continuous Software Engineering*, in RCoSE 2014. New York, NY, USA: Association for Computing Machinery, Jun. 2014, pp. 1–9. doi: 10.1145/2593812.2593813.
- [29] A. Lundberg, Successful with the Agile Spotify Framework: Squads, Tribes and Chapters - The Next Step After Scrum and Kanban? BoD – Books on Demand, 2020.
- [30] T. J. Gandomani, H. Zulzalil, and M. Z. Nafchi, "Agile transformation: What is it about?," in 2014 8th. Malaysian Software Engineering Conference (MySEC), Sep. 2014, pp. 240–245. doi: 10.1109/MySec.2014.6986021.
- [31] D. Jaggars and D. Jones, "An agile planning and operations framework," *Perform. Meas. Metr.*, vol. 19, no. 2, pp. 121–126, Apr. 2018, doi: 10.1108/PMM-11-2017-0057.
- [32] "Large-Scale Agile | SpringerLink." [Online]. Available: https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-3-031-05469-3_18
- [33] "Digital governance mechanisms and principles that enable agile responses in dynamic competitive environments: European Journal of Information Systems: Vol 31, No 6." [Online]. Available:

https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/0 960085X.2022.2078743

- [34] Paris Descartes University, 45 Rue Des Saints-Pères, Paris, France, C. Khalil, and S. Khalil, "A Governance Framework for Adopting Agile Methodologies," *Int. J. E-Educ. E-Bus. E-Manag. E-Learn.*, vol. 6, no. 2, pp. 111–119, 2016, doi: 10.17706/ijeeee.2016.6.2.111-119.
- [35] E. C. Chukwuma-Eke, O. Y. Ogunsola, and N. J. Isibor, "A Conceptual Approach to Cost Forecasting and Financial Planning in Complex Oil and Gas Projects," *Int. J. Multidiscip. Res. Growth Eval.*, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 819–833, 2022, doi: 10.54660/.IJMRGE.2022.3.1.819-833.
- [36] O. T. Uzozie, O. Onaghinor, O. J. Esan, G. O. Osho, and J. O. Omisola, "AI-Driven Supply Chain Resilience: A Framework for Predictive Analytics and Risk Mitigation in Emerging Markets," *Int. J. Multidiscip. Res. Growth Eval.*, vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 1141–1150, 2023, doi: 10.54660/.IJMRGE.2023.4.1.1141-1150.
- [37] M. Janssen and H. Van Der Voort, "Adaptive governance: Towards a stable, accountable and responsive government," *Gov. Inf. Q.*, vol. 33, no. 1, pp. 1–5, Jan. 2016, doi: 10.1016/j.giq.2016.02.003.
- [38] M. K. Spayd and M. Madore, Agile Transformation: Using the Integral Agile Transformation FrameworkTM to Think and Lead Differently. Addison-Wesley Professional, 2020.
- [39] F. Reginaldo and G. Santos, "Challenges in Agile Transformation Journey: A Qualitative Study," in *Proceedings of the XXXIV Brazilian Symposium on Software Engineering*, Natal Brazil: ACM, Oct. 2020, pp. 11–20. doi: 10.1145/3422392.3422436.
- [40] P. V. Zhukov, A. A. Silvanskiy, K. Y. Mukhin, and O. L. Domnina, "Agile Supply Chain Management in Multinational Corporations: Opportunities and Barriers," vol. 8, no. 3, 2019.
- [41] K. O. Ogunsola and E. D. Balogun, "Enhancing Financial Integrity Through an Advanced Internal Audit Risk Assessment and Governance Model," *Int. J. Multidiscip. Res. Growth Eval.*, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 781–790, 2021, doi: 10.54660/.IJMRGE.2021.2.1.781-790.

- [42] T. J. Gandomani, H. Zulzalil, A. A. A. Ghani, and A. B. Md. Sultan, "Towards Comprehensive and Disciplined Change Management Strategy in Agile Transformation Process," *Res. J. Appl. Sci. Eng. Technol.*, vol. 6, no. 13, pp. 2345–2351, Aug. 2013, doi: 10.19026/rjaset.6.3706.
- [43] E. C. Chukwuma-Eke, O. Y. Ogunsola, and N. J. Isibor, "Designing a Robust Cost Allocation Framework for Energy Corporations Using SAP for Improved Financial Performance," *Int. J. Multidiscip. Res. Growth Eval.*, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 809–822, 2021, doi: 10.54660/.IJMRGE.2021.2.1.809-822.
- [44] E. C. Chukwuma-Eke, O. Y. Ogunsola, and N. J. Isibor, "A Conceptual Approach to Cost Forecasting and Financial Planning in Complex Oil and Gas Projects," *Int. J. Multidiscip. Res. Growth Eval.*, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 819–833, 2022, doi: 10.54660/.IJMRGE.2022.3.1.819-833.
- [45] E. C. Chukwuma-Eke, O. Y. Ogunsola, and N. J. Isibor, "Developing an Integrated Framework for SAP-Based Cost Control and Financial Reporting in Energy Companies," *Int. J. Multidiscip. Res. Growth Eval.*, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 805–818, 2022, doi: 10.54660/.IJMRGE.2022.3.1.805-818.
- [46] E. D. Balogun, K. O. Ogunsola, and A. S. Ogunmokun, "Developing an Advanced Predictive Model for Financial Planning and Analysis Using Machine Learning," vol. 5, no. 11, 2022.
- [47] O. I. Charles, O. Hamza, A. Eweje, A. Collins, G. O. Babatunde, and B. C. Ubamadu, "Implementing Robotic Process Automation (RPA) to Streamline Business Processes and Improve Operational Efficiency in Enterprises," *Int. J. Soc. Sci. Except. Res.*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 111–119, 2022, doi: 10.54660/.IJMRGE.2022.1.1.111-119.
- [48] A. Abisoye and J. I. Akerele, "A High-Impact Data-Driven Decision-Making Model for Integrating Cutting-Edge Cybersecurity Strategies into Public Policy, Governance, and Organizational Frameworks," *Int. J. Multidiscip. Res. Growth Eval.*, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 623–637, 2021, doi: 10.54660/.IJMRGE.2021.2.1.623-637.

- [49] G. O. Babatunde, O. O. Amoo, C. Ike, and A. B. Ige, "A Penetration Testing and Security Controls Framework to Mitigate Cybersecurity Gaps in North American Enterprises," vol. 5, no. 12, 2022.
- [50] "(PDF) An architecture governance approach for Agile development by tailoring the Spotify model." [Online]. Available: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/35271 3951_An_architecture_governance_approach_f or_Agile_development_by_tailoring_the_Spotif y_model
- [51] "(PDF) Large-Scale Agile Frameworks: A Comparative Review," *ResearchGate*, doi: 10.31284/j.jasmet.2021.v2i1.1832.
- [52] V. Pereira *et al.*, "A longitudinal investigation into multilevel agile & ambidextrous strategic dualities in an information technology high performing EMNE," *Technol. Forecast. Soc. Change*, vol. 169, p. 120848, Aug. 2021, doi: 10.1016/j.techfore.2021.120848.
- [53] V. Soundararajan, S. Sahasranamam, Z. Khan, and T. Jain, "Multinational enterprises and the governance of sustainability practices in emerging market supply chains: An agile governance perspective," *J. World Bus.*, vol. 56, no. 2, p. 101149, Feb. 2021, doi: 10.1016/j.jwb.2020.101149.
- [54] "A Systematic Review of the Literature on Digital Transformation: Insights and Implications for Strategy and Organizational Change - Hanelt - 2021 - Journal of Management Studies - Wiley Online Library." [Online]. Available: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/full/10.1111/ joms.12639
- [55] A. Alsari, R. Qureshi, and A. Algarni, "Agile Framework To Transform Traditional Team," in 2020 IEEE Frontiers in Education Conference (FIE), Oct. 2020, pp. 1–9. doi: 10.1109/FIE44824.2020.9274240.
- [56] T. J. Gandomani, H. Zulzalil, and M. Z. Nafchi, "AGILE TRANSFORMATION: A MULTI-DIMENSIONAL PROCESS," J. Teknol. Sci. Eng., vol. 77, no. 9, Art. no. 9, Nov. 2015, doi: 10.11113/jt.v77.6188.

- [57] Q. He, M. Meadows, D. Angwin, E. Gomes, and J. Child, "Strategic Alliance Research in the Era of Digital Transformation: Perspectives on Future Research", [Online]. Available: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/146 7-8551.12406
- [58] "Understanding and Development of Supply Chain Agility and Flexibility: A Structured Literature Review - Fayezi - 2017 - International Journal of Management Reviews - Wiley Online Library." [Online]. Available: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1111/ ijmr.12096
- [59] R. Shams, D. Vrontis, Z. Belyaeva, A. Ferraris, and M. R. Czinkota, "Strategic agility in international business: A conceptual framework for 'agile' multinationals," *J. Int. Manag.*, vol. 27, no. 1, p. 100737, Mar. 2021, doi: 10.1016/j.intman.2020.100737.
- [60] A. Berntsson and S. Nilsson, "Agile Going Global – Can You Manage The Kowledge? Agile Methodologies Influence On MNCs' Knowledge Management," Oct. 2019, [Online]. Available: https://gupea.ub.gu.se/handle/2077/62344
- [61] M. Scheibinger, "Agile transformation and its implementation in large corporate institutions," A qualitative exploratory case study focused on consultants experience with implementing agile transformation in large corporate institutions based in the Czech Republic, Oct. 2022, [Online]. Available: https://gupea.ub.gu.se/handle/2077/73839