Building an IFRS-Driven Internal Audit Model for Manufacturing and Logistics Operations

JOHN OLUWASEUN OLAJIDE[1], BISAYO OLUWATOSIN OTOKITI[2], SHARON NWANI[3], ADEBANJI SAMUEL OGUNMOKUN[4], BOLAJI IYANU ADEKUNLE[5], JOYCE EFEKPOGUA FIEMOTONGHA[6]

[1]*Lipton, USA*
[2]*Department of Business and Entrepreneurship, Kwara State University*
[3]*Africa Foresight Group, Accra, Ghana*
[4]*Prosperis Holding Company Limited, Nigeria*
[5]*Data Analyst, Federal Ministry of Mines and Steel Development, Nigeria*
[6]*Independent Researcher, Lagos, Nigeria*

Abstract- ***This paper presents a comprehensive framework for developing an internal audit model grounded in the principles of International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS) tailored to the unique operational and compliance needs of the manufacturing and logistics sectors. The research is motivated by the growing demand for improved transparency, risk management, and global standardization in internal auditing, especially in industries characterized by asset-intensity, complex supply chains, and regulatory exposure. The paper begins with an exploration of global compliance trends and the rationale for embedding IFRS within operational audits. It then identifies and analyzes the most relevant IFRS standards—such as those governing revenue recognition, leases, and financial instruments—and examines their application challenges in operational contexts. Subsequently, the sector-specific audit risk landscapes of manufacturing and logistics are compared, highlighting process gaps and maturity discrepancies. The core contribution of this study is the proposed IFRS-driven audit model, which includes structured risk assessment, compliance monitoring, and process validation components supported by digital tools such as ERP systems and AI-enabled analytics. The model aims to enhance internal control, reporting integrity, and governance alignment. The conclusion discusses strategic and regulatory implications for financial executives, audit committees, and policy-makers, and provides recommendations for future research and practical implementation pathways. This study advances the discourse on harmonizing financial reporting standards with operational audit functions, promoting both accountability and strategic agility in complex industrial sectors.***

Indexed Terms- ***IFRS Integration, Internal Audit Model, Manufacturing Sector, Logistics Operations, Risk Governance, Digital Audit Tools***

I. INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background and Rationale

Global trends in financial governance underscore the increasing relevance of harmonized reporting standards, particularly for industries with significant financial exposure and operational risk [1]. Manufacturing and logistics operations are deeply integrated with global trade, involving cross-border transactions, capital-intensive equipment, leased infrastructure, and long supply chains [2]. These characteristics create complex financial reporting environments that must be addressed through robust audit systems grounded in clear, enforceable standards [3]. The evolution of international frameworks, especially those that offer sector-agnostic principles, has set a foundation for modernizing audit practices across industries [4].

The use of uniform reporting principles enhances comparability, transparency, and reliability in financial statements, which are core pillars of good governance [5]. In manufacturing, these standards guide the accounting of inventory, work-in-progress

valuation, asset impairment, and revenue from long-term contracts [6]. In logistics, issues such as lease accounting, cost capitalization, and service contract evaluation are critical [7]. Without a framework to ensure internal audit functions align with these principles, organizations risk discrepancies between internal assessments and external financial reports, leading to audit inefficiencies and increased compliance risks [8].

Moreover, recent corporate scandals and regulatory failures in sectors with complex operational structures have reinforced the need for enhanced internal oversight [9]. Regulators, investors, and boards of directors are calling for stronger internal audit systems that not only verify compliance but also assess financial integrity within business processes [10]. By embedding internationally recognized financial standards into internal audit processes, firms can build proactive systems of control that extend beyond checkbox compliance. This strategic alignment is especially vital for manufacturing and logistics entities that are often exposed to high-volume transactions, decentralized operations, and material financial risks [11].

1.2 Research Objectives and Scope

The core objective of this paper is to develop a robust internal audit model that is explicitly driven by international financial reporting principles, with specific application to the manufacturing and logistics industries. This objective reflects a broader ambition to bridge the gap between financial reporting frameworks and operational auditing needs, creating a unified model that promotes transparency, accuracy, and accountability. The proposed model is intended to function as a strategic tool for risk management, operational oversight, and compliance assurance.

In defining the scope, the paper focuses on two key sectors—manufacturing and logistics—due to their intertwined operations and shared challenges in financial and operational reporting. The model will incorporate elements such as inventory valuation, cost allocation, lease management, revenue recognition, and asset utilization. By integrating audit controls and processes that align with international standards, the framework seeks to support organizations in achieving better audit outcomes while meeting external reporting requirements. It also aims to serve both internal auditors and executive management by offering a structured approach that is adaptable to dynamic operational conditions.

Additionally, the scope includes an analysis of current gaps in audit models within these sectors, especially concerning their alignment with internationally accepted standards. The research investigates how digital tools, audit analytics, and real-time data can enhance audit effectiveness under such frameworks. Through comparative assessment and model development, the paper offers actionable insights for audit practitioners, regulatory policymakers, and enterprise risk managers operating in these high-risk, high-complexity sectors. The findings and model presented are designed to be scalable across regions and adaptable to varying organizational structures.

1.3 Methodological Approach

The methodology adopted in this study is primarily conceptual, underpinned by comparative and analytical research strategies. A thorough literature review is employed to examine existing internal audit models, the application of international financial standards in operational audits, and the unique characteristics of manufacturing and logistics operations. This review forms the foundation for identifying key challenges and structural gaps in current audit practices. In addition, the paper synthesizes insights from regulatory guidelines, audit standards bodies, and industry-specific financial disclosures to inform model development.

Theoretical frameworks from risk-based auditing and financial control theory are used to conceptualize the proposed model. These frameworks emphasize the integration of strategic risk management with process-level controls, making them suitable for high-volume and asset-intensive industries. To ensure sectoral relevance, selected industry cases are analyzed, drawing from publicly available audit reports, financial statements, and compliance audits in global manufacturing and logistics firms. This comparative benchmarking approach allows the paper to validate the need for model customization based on sector-specific risks and reporting obligations.

Furthermore, the research includes a component of standards mapping—linking key operational activities in manufacturing and logistics to relevant international financial standards. This mapping process aids in identifying audit control points and areas where current audit practices fail to reflect financial reporting requirements. The methodology culminates in the design of a model that aligns audit objectives, control procedures, and reporting metrics with financial standards, while remaining adaptable to digital audit environments and evolving operational contexts. The paper aims for both theoretical rigor and practical applicability.

II. IFRS PRINCIPLES AND THEIR RELEVANCE TO INTERNAL AUDIT

2.1 Overview of Relevant IFRS Standards

Several key standards are especially relevant to the audit of manufacturing and logistics operations due to the nature of their transactions and operational activities [12]. One of the most significant is the standard on revenue from contracts with customers, which offers a five-step model to determine the timing and amount of revenue recognition [13]. This standard has profound implications for manufacturing firms engaged in long-term production contracts, performance-based deliveries, and customized goods. Logistics companies also face challenges under this standard when recognizing revenue for bundled services, delivery milestones, or variable consideration clauses tied to contract execution [14].

The standard on leases is equally critical. It fundamentally changed the way lease arrangements are recognized on balance sheets by requiring lessees to record most leases as assets and liabilities [15]. This is particularly impactful for logistics firms that rely heavily on leased warehouses, fleets, and distribution centers [16]. Similarly, manufacturing companies that lease production equipment or facilities must now account for these leases in a way that reflects their financial substance. Auditors must ensure that companies correctly assess lease terms, discount rates, and lease modifications, making this standard central to internal audit practices [17].

The standard on financial instruments and the one governing fair value measurement are also pertinent. The former addresses classification, measurement, and impairment of financial assets and liabilities, including trade receivables and hedging instruments common in global supply chains [18]. The latter ensures that asset valuations, including inventories and plant equipment, are accurately reflected in financial statements using market-based inputs [19]. These standards require careful evaluation of assumptions, inputs, and methodologies—all of which must be embedded into internal audit checklists and assurance procedures. Together, these frameworks provide the backbone for a standards-based audit model in manufacturing and logistics environments [20].

2.2 Challenges in Applying IFRS to Operational Settings

Despite the clear benefits of adopting internationally accepted financial standards, practical implementation in manufacturing and logistics sectors presents a variety of challenges. These industries are operationally intensive and involve substantial physical assets, decentralized operations, and high volumes of interdependent transactions [21]. Applying financial reporting standards in such environments often requires auditors to navigate complex data structures, operational silos, and inconsistent documentation practices across business units. This complexity introduces risks of misstatement, delay, or non-compliance in financial reporting processes [22].

Asset-heavy infrastructure in manufacturing, for instance, makes the application of lease and fair value standards particularly complicated. Identifying, classifying, and measuring right-of-use assets involves multiple data sources, estimates, and assumptions [23]. Additionally, logistics firms managing fleet operations and warehousing networks often enter into lease agreements with variable terms or embedded service components, creating ambiguity in how such arrangements should be recorded. Internal auditors must possess a detailed understanding of both the operational and accounting aspects of these leases to ensure accurate treatment [24].

Inventory valuation and revenue recognition also remain problematic. Manufacturing firms face

challenges in assigning appropriate cost allocation methods to work-in-progress items, dealing with obsolete stock, and estimating production overheads [25]. Meanwhile, performance obligations tied to customer contracts may span several reporting periods, requiring estimates of percentage completion or milestone-based billing [26]. In logistics, fluctuating service delivery schedules and customer-driven modifications to delivery terms can affect the timing of revenue recognition [27]. These realities necessitate robust internal controls, strong interdepartmental coordination, and specialized audit expertise—conditions that are not always present in practice, leading to misalignment with the standards.

2.3 IFRS as a Governance Tool in Risk Management

Beyond their function as accounting protocols, international financial reporting standards serve a critical role in corporate governance and internal control frameworks. When embedded within internal audit procedures, these standards can enhance transparency, mitigate risk, and strengthen organizational resilience [1]. By providing a consistent and principle-based structure for recognizing transactions and valuing assets and liabilities, they promote more accurate and timely financial reporting. This accuracy supports better decision-making at the executive and board levels, which is vital in capital-intensive sectors prone to volatility and operational disruptions [28].

One of the key benefits of leveraging these standards in internal audits is their ability to systematize risk identification and control validation. For instance, lease accounting under the relevant standard mandates ongoing reassessment of lease terms and obligations, encouraging regular review and update of contractual exposures [29]. Similarly, revenue recognition criteria require detailed documentation of contract terms, performance milestones, and variable considerations, which strengthens contractual risk oversight. These structured requirements help internal auditors assess the adequacy of existing controls, identify compliance gaps, and flag inconsistencies before they escalate into financial or regulatory issues [30].

Moreover, these standards contribute to enterprise-wide risk management by aligning financial disclosures with operational realities. In manufacturing and logistics, where physical and financial risks are often intertwined, such alignment is essential for identifying underperforming assets, forecasting cash flow challenges, and managing credit exposures [31]. By enforcing consistency in how risks are quantified and disclosed, these principles offer a common language for auditors, risk managers, and executives to coordinate their efforts. Thus, the standards not only guide accurate financial reporting but also provide a powerful framework for proactive governance and risk mitigation [32].

III. SECTORAL AUDIT NEEDS

3.1 Audit Risk Landscape in Manufacturing

Manufacturing enterprises are characterized by their intensive use of physical assets, complex input-output relationships, and production-centric accounting frameworks. A prominent risk area within internal audit is production costing, which involves the tracking of direct and indirect costs such as raw materials, labor, and overheads [33]. Misstatements or estimation errors in cost allocation can lead to inaccuracies in inventory valuation, cost of goods sold, and overall profitability [34]. Auditors must verify that costing methods—whether standard, job-order, or activity-based—are consistently applied and appropriately adjusted to reflect operational changes [35].

Waste management and quality control are also critical from both financial and compliance perspectives. Ineffective tracking of scrap, rework, or defective outputs can obscure actual production efficiency and distort expense reporting. Furthermore, environmental regulations may require manufacturers to disclose or provision for waste disposal and emissions, which introduces additional layers of audit scrutiny. Proper documentation, operational traceability, and control over environmental liabilities are vital to ensure compliance with both financial and regulatory reporting obligations [36].

Asset depreciation represents another key audit concern. Manufacturing firms often hold substantial investments in plant, machinery, and equipment. Determining the appropriate depreciation method and

useful life for these assets is fundamental for accurate financial reportin [37] g. Internal auditors must evaluate whether impairment indicators are being appropriately assessed and whether revaluation or disposal decisions are supported by robust documentation [38]. Moreover, supply chain risks—such as vendor defaults, input shortages, and price volatility—necessitate vigilant controls around procurement processes, contract compliance, and inventory turnover metrics. A failure to manage these risks can have cascading effects on cost control and production schedules, undermining both operational and financial performance [39].

3.2 Audit Risk Landscape in Logistics Operations

Logistics operations involve dynamic, service-oriented activities centered around the movement, storage, and delivery of goods. Internal audit functions in this sector face challenges in maintaining oversight over geographically dispersed assets, time-sensitive operations, and service-level contracts [40]. Warehousing poses a critical risk area, particularly with respect to inventory accuracy, storage conditions, loss prevention, and billing integrity [41]. Auditors must assess physical inventory reconciliation processes, warehouse management system (WMS) controls, and compliance with customer agreements on storage conditions and turnaround times [42].

Fleet management adds another layer of audit complexity, especially for firms managing owned or leased transportation assets. Internal audits must examine vehicle utilization rates, fuel consumption, maintenance scheduling, and driver compliance with safety protocols. The lease accounting requirements under financial reporting standards also necessitate detailed review of right-of-use asset recognition and liability measurement for fleet leases. Failure to maintain adequate controls in this area can result in underreported liabilities or inaccurate cost allocations [43].

Additionally, contract logistics introduces audit vulnerabilities tied to third-party service providers. Outsourced logistics partners may manage entire segments of warehousing, distribution, or customs clearance, increasing exposure to data integrity risks, service failure, or contract non-compliance. Internal audits must include evaluations of vendor selection, performance monitoring, and contingency planning [44]. Cross-border transactions further complicate audit oversight due to customs duties, currency fluctuations, and international tax compliance. Ensuring that all relevant documentation—such as bills of lading, customs declarations, and intercompany transfer pricing—is accurately recorded and reported is essential for legal compliance and financial integrity.

3.3 Comparative Audit Maturity and Process Gaps

The audit maturity between manufacturing and logistics sectors varies significantly due to their historical focus, investment in systems, and regulatory exposure. Manufacturing firms often exhibit a more developed internal audit infrastructure, given their long-standing compliance with environmental, labor, and quality standards [45]. Many have institutionalized risk registers, key control matrices, and standard operating procedures tied to ISO or other quality frameworks. These structures support a relatively mature audit environment, where issues such as cost variance, asset utilization, and process efficiency are routinely audited [46].

Conversely, logistics providers, particularly smaller or regional ones, may demonstrate lower audit maturity levels. While larger third-party logistics firms have adopted enterprise risk management and internal audit functions, many logistics operators remain focused on operational execution rather than compliance or internal control documentation [47]. The pace and variability of logistics operations often lead to reactive rather than proactive control environments. This discrepancy presents integration challenges, especially when manufacturers rely on logistics partners for critical supply chain functions. Weaknesses in logistics audit processes—such as underdeveloped service level agreement (SLA) monitoring or poor documentation of freight claims—can expose manufacturing clients to downstream financial and reputational risks [48].

Furthermore, inconsistencies in technology adoption—such as enterprise resource planning (ERP) systems in manufacturing versus siloed or manual systems in logistics, can impair audit integration.

Auditors attempting to perform end-to-end reviews may encounter fragmented data environments, incompatible reporting formats, and differing control cultures [49]. These gaps highlight the need for harmonized audit frameworks, standardized documentation practices, and shared compliance benchmarks across the manufacturing-logistics interface. Aligning audit maturity across sectors is therefore not only a governance priority but also a strategic requirement for operational resilience and financial accuracy [50].

IV. DESIGNING THE IFRS-DRIVEN INTERNAL AUDIT MODEL

4.1 Model Structure and Functional Pillars

The proposed internal audit model is structured around four functional pillars: risk assessment, compliance monitoring, process validation, and reporting frameworks. These pillars represent the sequential and iterative steps required to design, execute, and refine audits within manufacturing and logistics environments. The first pillar—risk assessment—establishes a comprehensive approach to identifying, prioritizing, and quantifying exposure to operational, financial, and compliance risks. This stage involves deploying sector-specific risk matrices and heat maps that align operational risks (e.g., inventory losses, asset mismanagement) with financial reporting exposures under applicable standards.

The second pillar—compliance monitoring—focuses on ensuring adherence to internal policies, legal requirements, and external financial reporting obligations. It emphasizes routine and real-time checks for conformity to key policies, including those related to procurement, revenue recognition, lease disclosures, and asset valuations. Compliance activities are supported by control checklists, exception reports, and sample-based testing protocols. In logistics, for instance, this pillar may verify that lease contracts for warehouses and fleet vehicles are documented in a manner that meets relevant recognition and measurement criteria.

The third and fourth pillars—process validation and reporting—ensure audit completeness and feedback integration. Process validation evaluates whether operational practices are achieving desired outcomes in areas such as inventory accuracy, cost control, and intercompany transactions. This pillar helps auditors verify not just what is being done, but how and why it is being executed. Meanwhile, the reporting framework pillar governs the structure, frequency, and content of audit outcomes, ensuring transparency and accountability. It mandates the documentation of control failures, mitigation strategies, and cross-functional audit findings in dashboards or board-level reports, thus reinforcing audit as a tool for strategic governance.

4.2 IFRS Integration Methodology

To embed IFRS principles into the internal audit model, the integration must be both structural and procedural. Structurally, each functional audit pillar is mapped to corresponding financial reporting standards. For example, revenue recognition audits reference the five-step model under the standard governing revenue from contracts, guiding the auditor through contract identification, performance obligation definition, and transaction price allocation. This ensures that operational practices—such as milestone billing in manufacturing or variable pricing in logistics—are audited with financial reporting compliance in mind.

Procedurally, the integration is operationalized through tools like control matrices, IFRS audit checklists, and standardized working paper templates that ensure consistency across audit teams. These tools allow auditors to identify where each operational control links to a specific financial reporting obligation. For instance, an audit trail confirming lease activation in a warehouse management system can be tied directly to the recognition of a right-of-use asset and lease liability. Similarly, inventory audits validate not only physical quantities but also valuation techniques consistent with fair value or cost-based measurement standards.

Moreover, key performance indicators (KPIs) that reflect financial reporting integrity are used to embed compliance culture across operations. Metrics such as the ratio of revenue adjustments to total revenue, or discrepancies in asset revaluation entries, become early warning signals for deeper audit attention. These

KPIs allow internal audit functions to move beyond static compliance assessments toward dynamic, metrics-based surveillance. IFRS-aligned indicators support proactive interventions, particularly in fast-changing logistics environments or in large-scale manufacturing operations undergoing asset restructuring, contract renegotiation, or cross-border expansions.

4.3 Digital Enablers and Automation

Digital technology plays a pivotal role in operationalizing the IFRS-driven internal audit model. Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP) systems form the backbone of digital audit enablement, centralizing data from financial, operational, and logistical domains. These platforms support seamless data extraction and analytics across modules such as finance, supply chain, fixed assets, and project management. For example, an ERP-integrated asset register ensures that depreciation, impairment, and disposal transactions are automatically tagged and validated against relevant financial reporting thresholds.

Audit software platforms further enhance compliance by offering workflow automation, real-time risk dashboards, and embedded IFRS templates. These tools reduce the manual burden on auditors, allowing them to focus on analytical review rather than data collection. Key features such as continuous control monitoring (CCM), exception alerts, and role-based access logs contribute to enhanced traceability and reduced audit cycle times. In logistics operations, automation can also support GPS-based reconciliation of shipment logs with contract deliverables, providing audit evidence for service performance and financial recognition.

Artificial intelligence (AI) and data analytics represent the frontier of audit innovation in this model. AI-driven anomaly detection tools flag unusual transactions, behavioral deviations, or control breaches that may indicate fraud or non-compliance. Predictive analytics models can be trained on historical data to forecast risk concentrations or process failures [51]. In manufacturing, these tools can analyze production efficiency metrics to assess whether cost fluctuations align with standard cost assumptions. In logistics, AI can detect billing anomalies, validate fleet leasing schedules, or simulate compliance scenarios for new cross-border tax regulations. Ultimately, digital enablers not only streamline the internal audit process but also enhance the credibility, accuracy, and real-time responsiveness of IFRS-compliant audits [52].

V. CONCLUSION AND POLICY IMPLICATIONS

5.1 Summary of Key Insights

One of the key insights of this paper is that global financial reporting frameworks can be effectively operationalized in internal audits by structurally aligning audit functions with recognized standards. The audit model developed herein demonstrates how such integration can be accomplished through risk-based structures, compliance tools, and automation technologies. Specifically, it aligns audit activities with revenue, lease, asset, and financial instrument reporting, thereby ensuring that operational events and financial disclosures are consistently reconciled. This significantly strengthens audit reliability, reduces reporting errors, and enhances decision-making transparency across functional areas.

Another important finding is that internal audits, when designed with globally recognized accounting principles in mind, can serve dual roles—ensuring compliance and enabling strategic foresight. By embedding reporting standards into everyday operational audit routines, auditors can preemptively identify compliance issues, correct process inefficiencies, and advise on governance improvements. In manufacturing, this manifests in more accurate cost tracking and asset management. In logistics, it enables improved lease accountability and performance benchmarking, especially in third-party and cross-border scenarios.

The third insight pertains to the scalability and adaptability of the model across various operational contexts. The proposed framework is not limited to large-scale corporations; it can also be tailored for medium-sized enterprises and multinational subsidiaries. Its modular structure allows for industry-specific calibration, while the inclusion of technology ensures sustainability in audit practices. This positions

the model as both a blueprint for internal audit transformation and a tool for harmonizing internal oversight with global reporting expectations.

5.2 Strategic and Regulatory Implications

From a strategic perspective, the adoption of an IFRS-driven audit model empowers chief financial officers and audit committees to shift from reactive compliance toward proactive risk and governance management. By embedding financial standards into operational audit protocols, CFOs can gain a holistic view of performance across production, supply chains, and cost centers. This integrated approach allows for more accurate forecasting, better financial integrity, and improved stakeholder confidence—particularly vital in industries where volatility and complexity are inherent.

For audit committees and internal auditors, the model introduces a level of consistency and rigor that enhances the quality of assurance. It provides a structured methodology to assess not only financial statement assertions but also the underlying business processes. The incorporation of standard-based key performance indicators and automated controls improves audit trail visibility, reduces human error, and ensures faster resolution of audit findings. This strengthens the committee's ability to fulfill its oversight responsibilities, especially in highly regulated or cross-jurisdictional environments.

Regulators and industry policymakers also stand to benefit from wider adoption of such a model. The harmonization of internal audit practices with international reporting guidelines promotes greater consistency in corporate disclosures and reduces discrepancies in cross-border financial reporting. Furthermore, the model supports supervisory bodies in benchmarking audit quality across industries, fostering a culture of accountability. In jurisdictions aiming to attract foreign investment, the presence of IFRS-aligned internal audits enhances the credibility of domestic firms and aligns local practices with international investor expectations.

5.3 Future Research and Implementation Pathways

While this paper offers a conceptual model and strategic rationale, further empirical research is essential to test the effectiveness of the proposed framework in real-world settings. Future studies could focus on industry-specific case applications, evaluating the impact of IFRS-integrated audits on financial performance, risk mitigation, and compliance outcomes. Comparative research across jurisdictions—particularly between IFRS-mandated and non-mandated countries—would also offer insights into the model's adaptability and scalability in diverse regulatory environments.

On the implementation front, organizations seeking to adopt the model should begin with a diagnostic assessment of current audit practices, identifying gaps in alignment with global reporting requirements. This should be followed by capacity building initiatives, including auditor training in reporting standards, process redesign workshops, and the acquisition of enabling technologies. A phased rollout—starting with high-risk or high-materiality business units—can help refine methodologies before full-scale deployment.

Finally, collaboration between academia, industry, and regulatory bodies is crucial to refine and institutionalize the model. Academic institutions can develop curricula that prepare future auditors in integrated financial-operational auditing. Industry associations can offer certification programs, while regulators can embed model features into governance codes and audit quality frameworks. Together, these pathways will not only enhance internal audit functions but also elevate corporate accountability in manufacturing and logistics sectors.

REFERENCES

[1] S. Botzem, *The politics of accounting regulation: Organizing transnational standard setting in financial reporting*. Edward Elgar Publishing, 2012.

[2] C. de Stefano, "Reforming the governance of international financial law in the era of post-globalization," *Journal of International Economic Law,* vol. 20, no. 3, pp. 509-533, 2017.

[3] D. W. Arner and C.-Y. Park, "Global financial regulatory reforms: Implications for developing Asia," 2010.

[4] L. Quaglia, *The European Union and global financial regulation*. Oxford University Press, USA, 2014.

[5] N. Rashid *et al.*, "Quality of financial reporting towards the improvement corporate governance mechanism," *International Journal of Academic Research in Business and Social Sciences,* vol. 8, no. 11, pp. 1339-1345, 2018.

[6] I. Franczak, "The relations between the quality of financial statements and corporate governance," *International Journal of Management and Economics,* vol. 55, no. 2, pp. 118-126, 2019.

[7] F. F. Adegbie and E. Fofah, "Ethics, corporate governance and financial reporting in the Nigerian banking industry: global role of International Financial Reporting Standards," *Accounting and Finance Research,* vol. 5, no. 1, pp. 50-63, 2016.

[8] B. Z. Filipiak and M. Dylewski, "Sustainable financial reporting in the context of ensuring sustainability of financial systems," in *Finance and sustainable development*: Routledge, 2020, pp. 235-267.

[9] D. A. Skeel Jr, "Inside-out corporate governance," *J. Corp. L.,* vol. 37, p. 147, 2011.

[10] S. Jaffer, S. Knaudt, and N. Morris, "Failures of regulation and governance," *Capital failure: Rebuilding trust in financial services,* p. 100, 2014.

[11] S. Toms, "Financial scandals: a historical overview," *Accounting and Business Research,* vol. 49, no. 5, pp. 477-499, 2019.

[12] D. Prajogo, B. Huo, and Z. Han, "The effects of different aspects of ISO 9000 implementation on key supply chain management practices and operational performance," *Supply Chain Management: An International Journal,* vol. 17, no. 3, pp. 306-322, 2012.

[13] A. E. Coronado Mondragon, C. Lalwani, and C. E. Coronado Mondragon, "Measures for auditing performance and integration in closed-loop supply chains," *Supply Chain Management: An International Journal,* vol. 16, no. 1, pp. 43-56, 2011.

[14] K. V. Kotsanopoulos and I. S. Arvanitoyannis, "The role of auditing, food safety, and food quality standards in the food industry: A review," *Comprehensive reviews in food science and food safety,* vol. 16, no. 5, pp. 760-775, 2017.

[15] K. J. Cornaggia, L. A. Franzen, and T. T. Simin, "Bringing leased assets onto the balance sheet," *Journal of Corporate Finance,* vol. 22, pp. 345-360, 2013.

[16] J. Lyon, "Accounting for leases: telling it how it is," *Journal of property investment & finance,* vol. 28, no. 5, pp. 328-332, 2010.

[17] B. R. Edman, "Leases: Off-balance sheet financing and the strive for transparency today," 2011.

[18] M. L. Zyla, *Fair value measurement: Practical guidance and implementation*. John Wiley & Sons, 2020.

[19] M. E. Barth, "Measurement in financial reporting: The need for concepts," *Accounting horizons,* vol. 28, no. 2, pp. 331-352, 2014.

[20] C. Laux, "Financial instruments, financial reporting, and financial stability," *Accounting and business research,* vol. 42, no. 3, pp. 239-260, 2012.

[21] J. Pereira, M. M. Tavalaei, and H. Ozalp, "Blockchain-based platforms: Decentralized infrastructures and its boundary conditions," *Technological Forecasting and Social Change,* vol. 146, pp. 94-102, 2019.

[22] Y. Chen and C. Bellavitis, "Blockchain disruption and decentralized finance: The rise of decentralized business models," *Journal of Business Venturing Insights,* vol. 13, p. e00151, 2020.

[23] D. A. Guluma, "Inventory management practices, challenges and prospects-the case of Asella Malt Factory," *ICTACT J. Manag. Stud,* vol. 5, pp. 1095-1107, 2019.

[24] M. N. Lawrence and F. Chiromo, "An Analysis of the Inventory Management Challenges: The Case of Gypsum Plasterboard Manufacturing Company in South Africa," in *Proceedings of the 2nd African International Conference on*

Industrial Engineering and Operations Management Harare, Zimbabwe, December 7, 2020, vol. 10, no. 2020, pp. 1-14.

[25] A. Mulumba, "Inventory management practices and financial performance of manufacturing firms in Kenya: case of Agrochemical Firms," Kca University, 2016.

[26] S. Gupta, *Working capital management through inventory management techniques*. Ashok Yakkaldevi, 2020.

[27] F. A. Adu, "Working capital management in trading and manufacturing firms in Accra and its effect on liquidity and profitability-a focus on inventory and trade receivables," 2013.

[28] I. Nalukenge, S. K. Nkundabanyanga, and J. M. Ntayi, "Corporate governance, ethics, internal controls and compliance with IFRS," *Journal of Financial Reporting and Accounting,* vol. 16, no. 4, pp. 764-786, 2018.

[29] A. J. Richardson and B. Eberlein, "Legitimating transnational standard-setting: The case of the International Accounting Standards Board," *Journal of business ethics,* vol. 98, pp. 217-245, 2011.

[30] M. Cheng, W. Green, P. Conradie, N. Konishi, and A. Romi, "The international integrated reporting framework: key issues and future research opportunities," *Journal of International Financial Management & Accounting,* vol. 25, no. 1, pp. 90-119, 2014.

[31] R. Linguanti, "Enterprise Risk Management and non-financial risks disclosure: The value of an integrated approach in communicating with stakeholders," 2021.

[32] J. L. Keith, "Enterprise risk management: developing a strategic ERM alignment framework-Finance sector," Brunel University London, 2014.

[33] S. Cunningham, *Hidden innovation: Policy, industry and the creative sector*. University of Queensland Press (Australia), 2013.

[34] H. S. Herath and X. Lu, "Inference of economic truth from financial statements for detecting earnings management: inventory costing methods from an information economics perspective," *Managerial and Decision Economics,* vol. 39, no. 4, pp. 389-402, 2018.

[35] J. V. M. Rekers, "Considering Adoption: How are Innovations Validated in Cultural and Science-based Industries?," 2011.

[36] J. C. Soares, S. Sousa, and A. Tereso, "Industry practices on the rework of defective products: survey results," *The TQM Journal,* vol. 32, no. 6, pp. 1177-1196, 2020.

[37] G. Amanova *et al.*, "Methodological aspects of depreciation accounting and analysis of the use of the depreciation policy," *Journal of Advanced Research in Law and Economics,* vol. 7, no. 3 (17), pp. 483-491, 2016.

[38] A. Damodaran, *Investment valuation: Tools and techniques for determining the value of any asset*. John Wiley & Sons, 2012.

[39] I. K. Zvekić, "Tangible assets depreciation issues," in *BH Ekonomski forum*, 2019, no. 10: Ekonomski fakultet-Univerzitet u Zenici, pp. 107-124.

[40] N. H. Tien, D. B. H. Anh, and T. D. Thuc, "Global supply chain and logistics management," ed: Academic Publications, Dehli, 2019.

[41] S. Khoshafian, *Service oriented enterprises*. Auerbach Publications, 2016.

[42] K. A. Hribernik, C. Hans, C. Kramer, and K.-D. Thoben, "A service-oriented, semantic approach to data integration for an Internet of Things supporting autonomous cooperating logistics processes," *Architecting the internet of things,* pp. 131-158, 2011.

[43] A. Letkiewicz and E. Mandera, "The determinants of the internal audit in road cargo transport enterprises," in *Challenges of Urban Mobility, Transport Companies and Systems: 2018 TranSopot Conference*, 2019: Springer, pp. 161-169.

[44] G. Sadovskiy, "Assessing R&D approach methodology and technological trends in fleet management," 2019.

[45] X. Rueda, R. D. Garrett, and E. F. Lambin, "Corporate investments in supply chain sustainability: Selecting instruments in the agri-food industry," *Journal of cleaner production,* vol. 142, pp. 2480-2492, 2017.

[46] M. I. Piecyk and M. Björklund, "Logistics service providers and corporate social responsibility: sustainability reporting in the logistics industry," *International Journal of Physical Distribution & Logistics Management,* vol. 45, no. 5, pp. 459-485, 2015.

[47] H. Zhang, "Knowledge integrated business process management for third party logistics companies," Universität Bremen, 2013.

[48] P. Hopkin, *Fundamentals of risk management: understanding, evaluating and implementing effective risk management*. Kogan Page Publishers, 2018.

[49] D. Mugambi, "Enterprise Resource Planning System Adoption and Performance Among Third Party Logistic Service Providers in Kenya," University of Nairobi, 2021.

[50] I. M. Momanyi, "Enterprise resource planning system adoption and organizational performance of manufacturing firms in Kenya," University of Nairobi, 2014.

[51] E. O. Alonge, N. L. Eyo-Udo, B. C. Ubanadu, A. I. Daraojimba, E. D. Balogun, and K. O. Ogunsola, "Enhancing data security with machine learning: A study on fraud detection algorithms," *Journal of Data Security and Fraud Prevention,* vol. 7, no. 2, pp. 105-118, 2021.

[52] J. Immaneni, "Using Swarm Intelligence and Graph Databases Together for Advanced Fraud Detection," *Journal of Big Data and Smart Systems,* vol. 1, no. 1, 2020.