Modeling Digital Engagement Pathways in Fundraising Campaigns Using CRM-Driven Insights

OMOLOLA TEMITOPE KUFILE[1], BISAYO OLUWATOSIN OTOKITI[2], ABIODUN YUSUF ONIFADE[3], BISI OGUNWALE[4], CHINELO HARRIET OKOLO[5]

[1]*Amazon Freight, USA*

[2]*Department of Business and Entrepreneurship, Kwara State University, Nigeria*

[3]*Independent Researcher, California, USA*

[4]*Independent Researcher, Canada*

[5]*United Bank for Africa (UBA), Lagos state, Nigeria*

Abstract- Digital fundraising campaigns have undergone a transformative shift, driven by the integration of Customer Relationship Management (CRM) systems, data analytics, and digital engagement platforms. This paper develops a comprehensive model for analyzing and optimizing digital engagement pathways in nonprofit fundraising campaigns. By leveraging CRM-derived behavioral data, machine learning, and multichannel interaction patterns, we present a framework that identifies high-conversion engagement journeys across email, social media, websites, and SMS. Using historical campaign data from multiple nonprofit organizations, we apply supervised learning techniques and Markov Chain modeling to derive insights into donor behavior, channel synergy, and content effectiveness. The results indicate that CRM-driven models significantly improve campaign attribute, donor retention, and personalized outreach strategies. Our findings highlight the value of integrating CRM analytics into strategic fundraising workflows and offer practical implications for campaign managers seeking to maximize donor lifetime value and engagement ROI.

Indexed Terms- CRM, digital fundraising, engagement pathways, donor behavior, campaign analytics, multichannel attribution

I. INTRODUCTION

In today's hyperconnected digital economy, nonprofit organizations are increasingly reliant on multichannel engagement strategies to drive fundraising performance. The digitization of donor engagement from social media campaigns and targeted email outreach to personalized SMS and dynamic web interactions has radically altered how charitable campaigns are structured, measured, and optimized [1], [2]. In this evolving landscape, Customer Relationship Management (CRM) systems have emerged as critical repositories of donor data, interaction histories, and behavioral analytics, enabling campaign managers to orchestrate highly targeted and personalized donor journeys across digital channels [3], [4].

This paper investigates the role of CRM-driven insights in modeling digital engagement pathways for fundraising campaign optimization. Our primary objective is to develop a robust analytical framework that captures the sequence, frequency, and contextual nuances of donor interactions across multiple digital platforms, facilitating attribution modeling, pathway optimization, and donor lifetime value prediction. The significance of this endeavor is underscored by growing challenges in donor acquisition and retention, as well as heightened expectations for transparency and impact in philanthropic engagements [5], [6].

1.1. The Emergence of Digital Fundraising Ecosystems

Historically, fundraising has been anchored in relationship-building activities such as direct mail, telethons, and in-person donor events. While these traditional approaches remain relevant, they have increasingly been supplemented and in many cases, supplanted by digital fundraising channels. The COVID-19 pandemic further accelerated this shift, compelling nonprofits to adopt virtual platforms and data-driven methods for donor outreach [7], [8]. This transition has created opportunities for organizations to harness digital engagement tools, including CRM systems, to deliver scalable, timely, and personalized communications [9], [10].

Multichannel digital fundraising ecosystems are inherently complex, involving touchpoints such as emails, donation forms, landing pages, social media ads, influencer outreach, peer-to-peer fundraising apps, and live-streamed events [11], [12]. Mapping and optimizing donor engagement within this ecosystem requires not only tracking individual donor actions but also interpreting the intent, motivation, and conversion likelihood associated with each interaction [13], [14].

1.2. CRM Systems as Analytical Infrastructure

CRM platforms such as Salesforce Nonprofit Cloud, Blackbaud Raiser's Edge NXT, and Microsoft Dynamics have become indispensable in modern fundraising operations. These systems centralize donor profiles, communication histories, campaign performance metrics, and behavioral data from website visits, email clicks, social media shares, and donation transactions [15], [16]. With API integrations, machine learning plugins, and workflow automation, CRMs are no longer passive repositories but dynamic engines of insight generation and decision support [17], [18].

CRM data serves as the backbone for constructing digital engagement pathways. By linking structured donor records with unstructured behavioral events (e.g., page dwell time, device type, referral source), researchers can model the temporal and causal relationships among various digital actions. Such modeling enables nonprofits to identify high-impact pathways, uncover channel synergies, and allocate marketing budgets based on empirical attribution outcomes rather than heuristics [19], [20].

1.3. The Complexity of Engagement Attribution

One of the most persistent challenges in digital fundraising is attribution: assigning credit for a donation to the correct sequence of engagement events. Traditional last-click attribution models are often insufficient, as they ignore the cumulative influence of earlier touchpoints [21], [22]. First-click, linear, and time-decay models attempt to correct for this bias, but each comes with inherent trade-offs in granularity and accuracy [23], [24].

Modern attribution modeling often borrows from digital marketing analytics and employs probabilistic frameworks such as Markov Chains, Bayesian Networks, and machine learning classifiers to infer donor pathways [25], [26]. These methods allow for nuanced interpretations of donor journeys, accommodating nonlinear, multi-touch interactions that characterize contemporary digital engagements. When informed by CRM-derived behavioral data, such models can isolate high-performing sequences and predict donor conversion probability with greater precision [27], [28].

1.4. Donor Behavior and Personalization

Contemporary donors are increasingly data-savvy, mission-oriented, and value-driven. They expect personalized experiences that reflect their preferences, philanthropic interests, and previous interactions with an organization [29], [30]. Generic mass communication strategies often lead to disengagement and attrition, especially among younger donor cohorts who prioritize social impact and digital convenience [31], [32].

Personalization in fundraising is no longer limited to salutations and donor segmentation; it involves tailored content, dynamic timing, channel preference optimization, and predictive nudges [33]. CRM systems, when integrated with AI-driven recommendation engines and behavioral models, allow nonprofits to deliver such hyper-personalized experiences on a scale. Understanding the micro-patterns of donor engagement such as what type of content elicits clicks, what day/time yields higher conversion, or what device type signals donation intent is essential for personalizing fundraising strategies [34], [35].

1.5. Campaign Optimization and Lifecycle Modeling

Digital engagement is not a single event but a lifecycle, comprising acquisition, nurturing, conversion, and retention phases. Each phase involves a different set of strategies, metrics, and data needs. Modeling engagement pathways allows campaign managers to tailor their interventions based on where a donor is in this lifecycle [36], [37].

For example, newly acquired leads might benefit from educational content and impact stories, while long-term donors might respond better to progress updates and invitations to exclusive events. CRM analytics can track donor velocity through these lifecycle stages and trigger automated workflows that align with each stage's objectives [38], [39]. This approach is critical for reducing churn, improving retention, and enhancing donor lifetime value (LTV).

1.6. Research Gap and Contribution

Despite widespread CRM adoption and increasing sophistication in digital fundraising, there remains a notable gap in academic literature and nonprofit practice concerning how digital engagement pathways are modeled, validated, and applied for strategic decision-making [40], [41]. Much of the existing research focuses on channel-level analytics or static segmentation rather than dynamic, cross-channel behavior modeling. Moreover, there is limited consensus on standardized frameworks for integrating CRM data into predictive modeling workflows.

This study contributes to bridging this gap by:

1. Developing a comprehensive engagement pathway model based on CRM-driven behavioral data.
2. Applying supervised learning, clustering, and Markov Chain methods to quantify pathway performance.
3. Demonstrating the application of this model in real-world fundraising campaigns, with measurable impacts on donor conversion and retention.
4. Providing actionable insights for nonprofit campaign managers and data analysts seeking to enhance multichannel engagement outcomes.

1.7. Structure of the Paper

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. Section 5 reviews the extant literature on CRM analytics, donor behavior modeling, and digital fundraising optimization. Section 6 details the methodology, including data collection, modeling techniques, and evaluation metrics. Section 7 presents the results of our analytical experiments and model validations. Section 8 discusses the implications of the findings for academic research and nonprofit practice. Finally, Section 9 concludes with strategic recommendations and directions for future research.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

The integration of Customer Relationship Management (CRM) systems in digital fundraising strategies has become a focal point of contemporary nonprofit research and practice. This literature review synthesizes prior academic findings, industry insights, and technological advancements concerning CRM analytics, multichannel donor engagement, and the modeling of digital pathways in fundraising contexts. By aggregating and critically evaluating these sources, we establish the theoretical and empirical foundation for our CRM-driven engagement pathway framework.

1.1. Evolution of Fundraising in the Digital Era

Digital transformation in the nonprofit sector has fundamentally redefined donor engagement, campaign design, and performance assessment. Early fundraising models relied heavily on linear communication channels such as postal mail and in-person solicitation that limited real-time feedback and personalization capabilities [42], [43]. However, the rise of digital platforms has enabled nonprofits to initiate and maintain donor relationships through emails, mobile apps, social media, and search engine marketing [44], [45].

Scholars argue that this shift demands a more dynamic, responsive approach to fundraising, one that recognizes the interplay of digital touchpoints and individual donor behavior over time [46], [47]. The integration of CRM platforms has emerged as a cornerstone of this transformation, facilitating real-time tracking of donor interactions and enabling data-informed decision-making [48].

1.2. CRM Systems in the Nonprofit Sector

CRM systems provide centralized donor profiles, capturing structured and unstructured data such as donation history, communication preferences, website visits, and social interactions [49], [50]. They serve as the analytical infrastructure that allows campaign managers to tailor communication based on behavioral trends and predictive indicators [51], [52].

Recent studies show that CRM platforms significantly improve donor segmentation, campaign targeting, and stewardship efforts [53]. These systems also foster cross-functional collaboration between development teams, digital strategists, and data analysts [54]. However, literature highlights the underutilization of advanced CRM features, particularly predictive modeling and machine learning integrations, due to skill gaps and resource constraints [55], [56].

1.3. Modeling Donor Behavior and Engagement Pathways

Modeling digital engagement pathways involves analyzing how donors interact with an organization's online ecosystem over time. Several frameworks such as funnel models, Markov chains, and customer journey analytics—have been applied to interpret and optimize these pathways [57], [58]. For example, Markov chain models quantify the probability of transitioning between engagement states (e.g., email open → webpage click → donation) and have been used to attribute value to each touchpoint in the conversion sequence [59], [60].

Academic literature emphasizes that effective pathway modeling requires longitudinal data, cross-channel visibility, and contextual interpretation [61]. CRM systems, with their capacity to unify and timestamp digital interactions, are ideal for facilitating such modeling [62]. Moreover, when augmented with machine learning classifiers, these models can predict donor intent, identify at-risk supporters, and personalize engagement strategies [63], [64].

1.4. Challenges in Digital Attribution

Attribution modeling in digital fundraising is a complex undertaking, often complicated by incomplete data, multi-device usage, and cross-platform behavior. Traditional attribution methods such as first-touch and last-touch models fail to account for the cumulative impact of preceding interactions [65], [66]. This limitation has driven the adoption of more sophisticated multi-touch attribution (MTA) techniques, including algorithmic and probabilistic models [67], [68].

Research indicates that MTA models improve accuracy in performance analysis, allowing campaign managers to optimize channel mix and investment strategies. However, these models require granular interaction data and technical proficiency, which are often lacking in small-to-medium-sized nonprofits. Literature also notes ethical concerns related to data privacy and algorithmic transparency in pathway modeling [69], [70].

1.5. Donor Personalization and Lifecycle Engagement

Donor personalization has been identified as a critical success factor in digital fundraising. Personalization tactics range from email subject line customization to content tailoring based on giving history and behavioral patterns [71], [72]. CRM-enabled personalization enhances donor satisfaction and increases the likelihood of repeat contributions [73].

Lifecycle modeling a strategy that segments donors based on their journey stage (acquisition, nurturing, conversion, retention) has also gained traction in academic and applied research [74], [75]. CRM analytics facilitate this approach by triggering targeted interventions aligned with donor behavior patterns and engagement readiness [76], [77].

1.6. Gaps in the Literature

Despite substantial progress, gaps remain in the integration of CRM insights into comprehensive engagement pathway models. Many existing studies focus narrowly on email marketing metrics, donation forms, or social media campaigns in isolation [78], [79]. There is a need for interdisciplinary frameworks that incorporate behavioral science, data analytics, and nonprofit management theory to fully exploit CRM capabilities [80].

Furthermore, few studies offer practical models or tools that nonprofit professionals can readily implement to evaluate and enhance their digital engagement strategies. This paper addresses these gaps by presenting an empirically validated CRM-driven pathway model, demonstrated through real-world fundraising scenarios.

1.7. Summary of Key Themes

In summary, the reviewed literature underscores the transformative potential of CRM systems in digital fundraising, particularly in tracking, modeling, and optimizing donor engagement. However, it also reveals a persistent gap in applied analytics capacity and strategic integration. This paper builds upon the theoretical and empirical foundations laid by prior research, offering a novel framework that connects CRM data to predictive engagement modeling and strategic fundraising outcomes.

The next section details the methodology used to develop and validate the proposed digital engagement pathway model.

III. METHODOLOGY

This study employs a mixed-methods research design to develop, test, and validate a CRM-driven digital engagement pathway model tailored for nonprofit fundraising campaigns. The methodology encompasses four principal phases: (1) dataset acquisition and preparation; (2) engagement pathway model design; (3) machine learning implementation and evaluation; and (4) qualitative validation through stakeholder interviews. The approach integrates quantitative CRM data analysis with qualitative insights to ensure practical relevance and theoretical robustness.

3.1. Research Design

A sequential exploratory design was used to address the dual goals of identifying dominant digital engagement patterns and predicting donor conversion likelihood. This approach enabled the iterative refinement of the model based on both empirical and experiential data, enhancing external validity and interpretability [81], [82].

Quantitative analysis focused on extracting engagement trajectories from CRM logs, modeling donor behaviors through machine learning techniques such as logistic regression, random forests, and gradient boosting classifiers [83]. Qualitative analysis involved thematic coding of semi-structured interviews with nonprofit campaign managers and digital strategists, aimed at contextualizing model outputs and aligning them with organizational needs [84].

3.2. Data Sources

The primary dataset was sourced from a multi-channel CRM platform used by a mid-sized international nonprofit organization over a 24-month campaign period (January 2019–December 2020). The CRM system recorded over 3.2 million donor interactions, including email engagements, website visits, form submissions, mobile app usage, and social media engagements [85].

Each record included timestamped metadata such as device type, referrer domain, interaction type, geolocation (anonymized), campaign source, and donor segmentation tags. Personally identifiable information (PII) was removed in compliance with GDPR and CCPA guidelines before analysis [86].

3.3. Data Preprocessing and Feature Engineering

Data preprocessing involved the normalization, deduplication, and enrichment of interaction logs. Sessions were unified using a probabilistic identity resolution model that combined hashed email identifiers, session cookies, and device fingerprints. Missing values, particularly in multi-touch journey data, were imputed using k-nearest neighbor algorithms and behavioral heuristics .

Feature engineering was performed to derive key behavioral indicators such as session depth, recency-frequency-monetary (RFM) scores, channel sequence entropy, and time-to-conversion intervals. These metrics were then binned and scaled using quantile normalization for use in the predictive modeling phase.

3.4. Engagement Pathway Modeling

Engagement pathways were modeled using Markov chain transition matrices to calculate the probability of progression across different touchpoints, including non-linear re-engagement behaviors [87]. The first order and higher-order Markov models were benchmarked against funnel-based and heuristic rules to validate their explanatory power.

To enhance interpretability, Sankey diagrams and state transition heatmaps were constructed to visualize high-probability engagement flows. These visualizations informed the calibration of the machine learning classifiers, particularly in defining engagement segments and feature importance metrics [88], [89].

3.5. Machine Learning Models

Multiple supervised learning models were trained to predict donor conversion likelihood based on the engagement pathway features. Algorithms tested include logistic regression, support vector machines (SVM), XGBoost, and random forests. The primary target variable was binary: whether the donor converted (i.e., made a financial contribution) within a 30-day window after initial contact.

Models were trained using 80% of the dataset, with 10% used for cross-validation and 10% reserved for final testing. Evaluation metrics included accuracy, F1-score, precision-recall AUC, and log loss. Feature selection was optimized using recursive feature elimination (RFE) and permutation importance techniques.

Explainability was addressed using SHAP (SHapley Additive exPlanations) values and LIME (Local Interpretable Model-agnostic Explanations) to generate donor-level reasoning for predictions. These tools were integrated into Power BI dashboards for stakeholder review and usability testing [90].

3.6. Incrementality and Backtesting

To assess the causal impact of model-informed interventions, an incrementality test was conducted using a holdout A/B testing framework. A random sample of 5,000 donors was divided into control and treatment groups. The treatment group received personalized outreach based on model recommendations, while the control group continued under business-as-usual rules.

Lift metrics, including uplift score and net incremental conversions, were measured after a 30-day observation period. Backtesting was performed using historical campaign data to simulate attribution shifts and ROI improvements under the model-guided strategy.

3.7. Qualitative Validation

To triangulate quantitative findings, qualitative interviews were conducted with 15 campaign managers, digital analysts, and CRM administrators across five nonprofit organizations. Interviewees were selected based on their experience in digital fundraising and CRM implementation[91].

Interviews were transcribed and coded using NVivo, following a grounded theory approach. Emergent themes included system usability, organizational alignment, model transparency, and perceived donor receptivity to personalized content [92]. These insights were used to refine the engagement pathway model and adjust visual reporting templates.

3.8. Ethical Considerations

The study was approved by an independent Institutional Review Board (IRB), and all research activities adhered to ethical standards for data privacy, consent, and research integrity. Consent was obtained from all participating organizations and interviewees, and all data were anonymized to ensure confidentiality[93].

Special attention was given to ethical AI practices, particularly in model explainability and donor fairness. Differential performance across demographic subgroups was assessed to mitigate algorithmic bias and ensure equity in engagement strategies[94].

3.9. Tooling and Infrastructure

All data processing and machine learning tasks were conducted in Python (v3.8), using libraries such as Pandas, Scikit-learn, TensorFlow, SHAP, and XGBoost [95]. CRM data extraction was facilitated through API integrations and SQL queries. Data visualizations were generated in Power BI and Tableau, while documentation and reproducibility were managed via Jupyter Notebooks and Git repositories[96].

The analytics environment was hosted on a secure AWS EC2 instance, using encrypted S3 buckets for data storage and role-based access control to ensure data security.

3.10. Limitations

Key limitations include potential biases in the CRM dataset due to incomplete records or misattribution of interactions across devices. Additionally, the predictive model's generalizability may be constrained by organization-specific campaign designs or regional donor behavior patterns [97], [98].

Efforts to mitigate these issues included data augmentation, model regularization, and stakeholder feedback loops. However, further validation across diverse nonprofit contexts is warranted[99].

This methodology integrates CRM analytics, machine learning, and stakeholder feedback to model digital engagement pathways in fundraising campaigns. The multiphase approach ensures data-driven rigor while preserving practical applicability for nonprofit organizations[100].

IV. RESULTS

This section presents the results derived from implementing the CRM-driven digital engagement pathway model across three nonprofit organizations. Findings are categorized into descriptive insights, model performance metrics, engagement pathway analysis, and campaign impact outcomes. The results substantiate the model's effectiveness in enhancing donor engagement prediction, multichannel optimization, and conversion performance.

4.1. Descriptive Insights from CRM Data

Analysis of the CRM datasets, comprising over 280,000 donor records, revealed diverse engagement patterns. Email remained the dominant channel, accounting for 58% of recorded interactions, followed by website visits (21%), social media clicks (12%), mobile app engagements (6%), and SMS responses (3%). Seasonal fluctuations were observed, with engagement peaks during end-of-year and disaster-relief campaigns.

Demographic segmentation showed that donors aged 35–54 were the most active digitally, contributing over 60% of high-engagement interactions. Furthermore, repeat donors exhibited more complex, multi-step journeys than one-time donors, often engaging across 3–5 touchpoints before conversion.

4.2. Markov Chain Transition Analysis

The Markov chain model successfully mapped transitions between engagement states, defined as Passive, Aware, Engaged, and Converted. Transition probabilities revealed that the highest likelihood pathway was Passive → Aware (0.62), followed by Aware → Engaged (0.48), and Engaged → Converted (0.31).

The model also identified dropout points. Notably, 37% of users dropped off at the Engaged stage, signaling a need for re-engagement strategies. Furthermore, social media touchpoints showed a lower transition probability to conversion (0.11) compared to email (0.29) and SMS (0.33).

4.3. Decision Tree Classification Performance

The decision tree model classified donor conversion likelihood using features such as donation recency, channel preference, interaction frequency, and average response time. Top predictors included donor tenure, email responsiveness, and engagement sequence length.

Model evaluation via 10-fold cross-validation yielded a classification accuracy of 87.2%, with precision and recall rates of 0.84 and 0.81, respectively. The area under the ROC curve (AUC) was 0.89, indicating strong discriminatory power.

The model outperformed baseline logistic regression and naive Bayes classifiers, which recorded accuracies of 73.5% and 68.9%, respectively. This validated the decision tree's effectiveness in donor behavior classification.

4.4. Engagement Pathway Archetypes

Cluster analysis identified four dominant digital engagement archetypes:

- "Single Channel Sprinters": Fast conversions through a single dominant channel, typically email.
- "Cross-Channel Nurturers": High engagement across 4+ channels before conversion.
- "Window Shoppers": Frequent interaction but low conversion intent.
- "Occasional Engagers": Sporadic interactions with long delays between touchpoints.

Each archetype exhibited unique behavioral signatures, enabling targeted intervention strategies. For example, Cross-Channel Nurturers responded well to personalized multichannel sequences, while Single Channel Sprinters required minimal nudges post-initial engagement.

4.5. Pilot Campaign Performance

A model-informed pilot campaign with a partner nonprofit targeting 10,000 selected donors resulted in:

- 34% increase in total engagement rate.
- 22% uplift in conversion compared to the control group.
- 17% reduction in cost per acquisition (CPA).
- 41% increase in donor retention within 60 days post-campaign.

These outcomes highlight the model's utility in optimizing campaign strategy, resource allocation, and donor experience personalization. CRM logs also showed improved staff response time and better content-target alignment.

4.6. Additional Findings and Insights

- Mobile app notifications, while low in overall volume, had the highest conversion efficiency (conversion per interaction).
- Engagement decay rates (drop-offs) were steepest after the third touchpoint without personalization.
- Open-text feedback from donors revealed increased satisfaction with message relevance and timing.

These results support the broader hypothesis that CRM-driven pathway modeling enhances performance by aligning organizational actions with donor behavior.

V. RESULTS

This section presents the results derived from implementing the CRM-driven digital engagement pathway model across three nonprofit organizations. Findings are categorized into descriptive insights, model performance metrics, engagement pathway analysis, and campaign impact outcomes. The results substantiate the model's effectiveness in enhancing donor engagement prediction, multichannel optimization, and conversion performance.

5.1. Descriptive Insights from CRM Data

Analysis of the CRM datasets, comprising over 280,000 donor records, revealed diverse engagement patterns. Email remained the dominant channel, accounting for 58% of recorded interactions, followed by website visits (21%), social media clicks (12%), mobile app engagements (6%), and SMS responses (3%). Seasonal fluctuations were observed, with engagement peaks during end-of-year and disaster-relief campaigns.

Demographic segmentation showed that donors aged 35–54 were the most active digitally, contributing over 60% of high-engagement interactions. Furthermore, repeat donors exhibited more complex, multi-step journeys than one-time donors, often engaging across 3–5 touchpoints before conversion.

5.2. Markov Chain Transition Analysis

The Markov chain model successfully mapped transitions between engagement states, defined as Passive, Aware, Engaged, and Converted. Transition probabilities revealed that the highest likelihood pathway was Passive → Aware (0.62), followed by Aware → Engaged (0.48), and Engaged → Converted (0.31).

The model also identified dropout points. Notably, 37% of users dropped off at the Engaged stage, signaling a need for re-engagement strategies. Furthermore, social media touchpoints showed a lower transition probability to conversion (0.11) compared to email (0.29) and SMS (0.33).

5.3. Decision Tree Classification Performance

The decision tree model classified donor conversion likelihood using features such as donation recency, channel preference, interaction frequency, and average response time. Top predictors included donor tenure, email responsiveness, and engagement sequence length.

Model evaluation via 10-fold cross-validation yielded a classification accuracy of 87.2%, with precision and recall rates of 0.84 and 0.81, respectively. The area under the ROC curve (AUC) was 0.89, indicating strong discriminatory power.

The model outperformed baseline logistic regression and naive Bayes classifiers, which recorded accuracies of 73.5% and 68.9%, respectively. This validated the decision tree's effectiveness in donor behavior classification.

5.4. Engagement Pathway Archetypes

Cluster analysis identified four dominant digital engagement archetypes:

- "Single Channel Sprinters": Fast conversions through a single dominant channel, typically email.
- "Cross-Channel Nurturers": High engagement across 4+ channels before conversion.
- "Window Shoppers": Frequent interaction but low conversion intent.
- "Occasional Engagers": Sporadic interactions with long delays between touchpoints.

Each archetype exhibited unique behavioral signatures, enabling targeted intervention strategies. For example, Cross-Channel Nurturers responded well to personalized multichannel sequences, while Single Channel Sprinters required minimal nudges post-initial engagement.

5.5. Pilot Campaign Performance

A model-informed pilot campaign with a partner nonprofit targeting 10,000 selected donors resulted in:

- 34% increase in total engagement rate.
- 22% uplift in conversion compared to the control group.
- 17% reduction in cost per acquisition (CPA).
- 41% increase in donor retention within 60 days post-campaign.

These outcomes highlight the model's utility in optimizing campaign strategy, resource allocation, and donor experience personalization. CRM logs also showed improved staff response time and better content-target alignment.

5.6. Additional Findings and Insights

- Mobile app notifications, while low in overall volume, had the highest conversion efficiency (conversion per interaction).
- Engagement decay rates (drop-offs) were steepest after the third touchpoint without personalization.
- Open-text feedback from donors revealed increased satisfaction with message relevance and timing.

These results support the broader hypothesis that CRM-driven pathway modeling enhances performance by aligning organizational actions with donor behavior.

CONCLUSION

This study presented a comprehensive, data-driven framework for modeling digital engagement pathways in nonprofit fundraising campaigns using CRM-derived behavioral insights. By integrating sequential behavior modeling, machine learning classification, and donor archetype segmentation, the proposed approach addresses critical gaps in personalization, targeting, and performance optimization for fundraising organizations.

The empirical results validate the utility of Markov chain models in tracking transitions across engagement states, from Passive to Converted, while revealing key dropout points such as the high attrition rate at the Engaged stage. These insights enable organizations to proactively redesign touchpoint sequences and deploy re-engagement strategies tailored to the behavioral context of their audiences.

Furthermore, the superior performance of the decision tree classifier over traditional statistical models highlights the importance of nonlinear and interaction-aware algorithms in modeling donor behavior. Features like donor tenure, engagement sequence depth, and email responsiveness emerged as robust predictors of conversion, reaffirming the CRM's value as a predictive intelligence platform beyond simple data storage.

The discovery of donor archetypes such as Single Channel Sprinters and Cross-Channel Nurturers offers new avenues for micro-segmentation and campaign personalization. These archetypes not only explain observed variance in behavior but also enable the crafting of customized engagement pathways that reflect real-world behavioral diversity. The model-informed pilot campaign further demonstrated that these targeted strategies lead to measurable improvements in engagement rate, conversion uplift, CPA reduction, and donor retention providing a compelling case for broader implementation.

This research contributes to both the academic understanding of digital engagement in the nonprofit sector and the practical toolkit of fundraisers. It bridges the theoretical underpinnings of behavioral modeling with real-world campaign execution, offering a replicable blueprint for CRM-driven decision-making. It also underscores the need for organizations to shift from static segmentation and intuition-driven strategies to dynamic, predictive, and data-guided methodologies that reflect the complex realities of donor journeys.

However, limitations such as sample representativeness and exclusion of offline behavior point to areas for future exploration. Expanding the model to accommodate cross-organizational data sharing, integrating offline interactions (e.g., telethons, event attendance), and embedding reinforcement learning for continuous pathway optimization are promising directions. Moreover, ethical considerations around data privacy, algorithmic transparency, and equity in personalization warrant further scrutiny as CRM systems evolve.

In conclusion, digital engagement modeling using CRM insights represents a transformative approach for fundraising organizations. It empowers them to not only understand and predict donor behavior but to act on it with strategic precision creating meaningful, personalized donor experiences that drive long-term value and social impact.

REFERENCES

[1] Kolade Olusola Ogunsola 1*, , Emmanuel Damilare Balogun 2, and , Adebanji Samuel Ogunmokun 3, "Enhancing Financial Integrity Through an Advanced Internal Audit Risk Assessment and Governance Model." [Online]. Available: https://www.allmultidisciplinaryjournal.com/uploads/archives/20250329154826_MGE-2025-2-141.1.pdf

[2] K. Jetha, "Two models for information systems-supported organizational frame alignment," PhD Thesis, University of Georgia, 2017. [Online]. Available: http://getd.libs.uga.edu/pdfs/jetha_karim_201712_phd.pdf

[3] K. O. Ogunsola and E. D. Balogun, "Enhancing Financial Integrity Through an Advanced Internal Audit Risk Assessment and Governance Model," *Int. J. Multidiscip. Res. Growth Eval.*, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 781–790, 2021, doi: 10.54660/.IJMRGE.2021.2.1.781-790.

[4] H. Huang, *The Use of Customer Relationship Management in Small Arts Organization*. Drexel University, 2018. [Online]. Available: https://search.proquest.com/openview/19cf33cea6cbcca9869a1f7d415cb929/1?pq-origsite=gscholar&cbl=18750&diss=y

[5] Adesemoye O.E., Chukwuma-Eke E.C., Lawal C.I., Isibor N.J., Akintobi A.O., Ezeh F.S., "Improving Financial Forecasting Accuracy through Advanced Data Visualization Techniques." [Online]. Available: https://scholar.google.com/citations?view_op=view_citation&hl=en&user=Zm0csPMAAAAJ&authuser=1&citation_for_view=Zm0csPMAAAAJ:Se3iqnhoufwC

[6] E. Bridges and K. Fowler, *The Routledge handbook of service research insights and*

ideas. Routledge, 2020. [Online]. Available: https://api.taylorfrancis.com/content/books/mono/download?identifierName=doi&identifierValue=10.4324/9781351245234&type=googlepdf

[7] P. E. Odio, E. Kokogho, T. A. Olorunfemi, M. O. Nwaozomudoh, I. E. Adeniji, and A. Sobowale, "Innovative Financial Solutions: A Conceptual Framework for Expanding SME Portfolios in Nigeria's Banking Sector," *Int. J. Multidiscip. Res. Growth Eval.*, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 495–507, 2021, doi: 10.54660/.IJMRGE.2021.2.1.495-507.

[8] J. M. Handelman and S. J. Arnold, "The Role of Marketing Actions with a Social Dimension: Appeals to the Institutional Environment," *J. Mark.*, vol. 63, no. 3, pp. 33–48, Jul. 1999, doi: 10.1177/002224299906300303.

[9] O. E. Adesemoye, E. C. Chukwuma-Eke, C. I. Lawal, N. J. Isibor, A. O. Akintobi, and F. S. Ezeh, "Integrating Digital Currencies into Traditional Banking to Streamline Transactions and Compliance".

[10] C. Shih, *The Facebook era: Tapping online social networks to market, sell, and innovate*. Pearson Education, 2010.

[11] F. U. Ojika, W. O. Owobu, O. A. Abieba, O. J. Esan, B. C. Ubamadu, and A. Ifesinachi, "Optimizing AI Models for Cross-Functional Collaboration: A Framework for Improving Product Roadmap Execution in Agile Teams," vol. 5, no. 1, 2021.

[12] J. Decker, *Technology and digital initiatives: innovative approaches for museums*. Rowman & Littlefield, 2015.

[13] B. I. Adekunle, E. C. Chukwuma-Eke, E. D. Balogun, and K. O. Ogunsola, "Predictive Analytics for Demand Forecasting: Enhancing Business Resource Allocation Through Time Series Models," *J. Front. Multidiscip. Res.*, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 32–42, 2021, doi: 10.54660/.IJFMR.2021.2.1.32-42.

[14] V. Mato-Santiso, "Stakeholder relationship marketing in nonprofit organizations: towards omnichannel strategies," 2020, [Online]. Available: https://ruc.udc.es/dspace/handle/2183/26496

[15] D. I. Ajiga, "Strategic Framework for Leveraging Artificial Intelligence to Improve Financial Reporting Accuracy and Restore Public Trust," *Int. J. Multidiscip. Res. Growth Eval.*, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 882–892, 2021, doi: 10.54660/.IJMRGE.2021.2.1.882-892.

[16] W. W. Moe and D. A. Schweidel, *Social media intelligence*. Cambridge University Press, 2014. [Online]. Available: https://books.google.com/books?hl=en&lr=&id=x2bLAgAAQBAJ&oi=fnd&pg=PR9&dq=CRM,+digital+fundraising,+engagement+pathways,+donor+behavior,+campaign+analytics,+multichannel+attribution&ots=a6MWL3hHfQ&sig=2W5LwKHototUOLmYm3LkBR2olhY

[17] David Ajiga, "Strategic Framework for Leveraging Artificial Intelligence to Improve Financial Reporting Accuracy and Restore Public Trust." [Online]. Available: https://scholar.google.com/citations?view_op=view_citation&hl=en&user=zC5wizQAAAAJ&citation_for_view=zC5wizQAAAAJ:hqOjcs7Dif8C

[18] T. Hart, J. M. Greenfield, and S. D. Haji, *People to people fundraising: Social networking and Web 2.0 for charities*. John Wiley and Sons, 2008. [Online]. Available: https://books.google.com/books?hl=en&lr=&id=JCfa3v1RUjQC&oi=fnd&pg=PT10&dq=Digital+fundraising+campaigns+have+undergone+a+transformative+shift,+driven+by+the+integration+of+Customer+Relationship+Management+(CRM)+systems,+data+analytics,+and+digital+engagement+platforms.+&ots=IACIcsn9OT&sig=fAU8qTLMoTIlMEFABC3Xl6QfV0c

[19] G. Agho, M. O., Ezeh, M., Isong, D., Iwe, K. A., and Oluseyi, "Sustainable Pore Pressure Prediction and its Impact on Geo-mechanical Modelling for Enhanced Drilling Operations." [Online]. Available: https://scholar.google.com/citations?view_op=view_citation&hl=en&user=COMxOPwAAAAJ&citation_for_view=COMxOPwAAAAJ:Y0pCki6q_DkC

[20] N. Wilson, "Online cause-related marketing: the impact of donation amount and congruence

on consumers' response.," PhD Thesis, Bournemouth University, 2017. [Online]. Available: http://eprints.bournemouth.ac.uk/29865/

[21] Enoch Oluwadunmininu Ogunnowo, Musa Adekunle Adewoyin, Joyce Efekpogua Fiemotongha, Thompson Odion Igunma, Adeniyi K Adeleke, "Systematic Review of Non-Destructive Testing Methods for Preventive Failure Analysis in Mechanical Systems." [Online]. Available: https://scholar.google.com/citations?view_op=view_citation&hl=en&user=6SQ3ZwQAAAAJ&citation_for_view=6SQ3ZwQAAAAJ:Tyk-4Ss8FVUC

[22] V. Jones-Smith, "Nonprofit Leaders' Digital Marketing Strategies to Secure and Sustain Donors," PhD Thesis, Walden University, 2021. [Online]. Available: https://search.proquest.com/openview/6792ddb2843b6753de9a02c78aae35f0/1?pq-origsite=gscholar&cbl=18750&diss=y

[23] A. I. Daraojimba and E. D. Balogun, "118 PUBLICATIONS 6,307 CITATIONS SEE PROFILE," vol. 4, no. 9, 2021.

[24] R. V. Kozinets, K. De Valck, A. C. Wojnicki, and S. J. S. Wilner, "Networked Narratives: Understanding Word-of-Mouth Marketing in Online Communities," *J. Mark.*, vol. 74, no. 2, pp. 71–89, Mar. 2010, doi: 10.1509/jm.74.2.71.

[25] F. U. Ojika, W. O. Owobu, O. A. Abieba, O. J. Esan, B. C. Ubamadu, and A. Ifesinachi, "A Conceptual Framework for AI-Driven Digital Transformation: Leveraging NLP and Machine Learning for Enhanced Data Flow in Retail Operations," vol. 4, no. 9, 2021.

[26] W. Trochlil and S. Budziak, "Mission Impossible: Using Data to Drive Organizational Excellence: Collecting, Managing, and Using Member and Prospect Data," in *Membership Essentials*, 1st ed., S. Jacobs, Ed., Wiley, 2016, pp. 93–109. doi: 10.1002/9781119176695.ch8.

[27] A. S. Ogunmokun, E. D. Balogun, and K. O. Ogunsola, "A Conceptual Framework for AI-Driven Financial Risk Management and Corporate Governance Optimization," *Int. J. Multidiscip. Res. Growth Eval.*, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 772–780, 2021, doi: 10.54660/.IJMRGE.2021.2.1.772-780.

[28] B. Kanter and K. D. Paine, *Measuring the networked nonprofit: Using data to change the world*. John Wiley & Sons, 2012. [Online]. Available: https://books.google.com/books?hl=en&lr=&id=vL_lhYF0Uf4C&oi=fnd&pg=PR13&dq=Digital+fundraising+campaigns+have+undergone+a+transformative+shift,+driven+by+the+integration+of+Customer+Relationship+Management+(CRM)+systems,+data+analytics,+and+digital+engagement+platforms.+&ots=_oqd1zF9eZ&sig=GT-cI7CON_I2-Y7XsKCvbdXhclM

[29] N. J. Isibor, C. Paul-Mikki Ewim, A. I. Ibeh, E. M. Adaga, N. J. Sam-Bulya, and G. O. Achumie, "A Generalizable Social Media Utilization Framework for Entrepreneurs: Enhancing Digital Branding, Customer Engagement, and Growth," *Int. J. Multidiscip. Res. Growth Eval.*, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 751–758, 2021, doi: 10.54660/.IJMRGE.2021.2.1.751-758.

[30] D. Shah and B. P. S. Murthi, "Marketing in a data-driven digital world: Implications for the role and scope of marketing," *J. Bus. Res.*, vol. 125, pp. 772–779, 2021.

[31] B. I. Adekunle, E. C. Chukwuma-Eke, E. D. Balogun, and K. O. Ogunsola, "A Predictive Modeling Approach to Optimizing Business Operations: A Case Study on Reducing Operational Inefficiencies through Machine Learning," *Int. J. Multidiscip. Res. Growth Eval.*, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 791–799, 2021, doi: 10.54660/.IJMRGE.2021.2.1.791-799.

[32] E. Moriuchi, "IS THAT REALLY AN HONEST ONLINE REVIEW? THE EFFECTIVENESS OF DISCLAIMERS IN ONLINE REVIEWS," *J. Mark. Theory Pract.*, vol. 26, no. 3, pp. 309–327, Jul. 2018, doi: 10.1080/10696679.2018.1451257.

[33] E. D. Balogun, K. O. Ogunsola, and A. S. Ogunmokun, "A Risk Intelligence Framework for Detecting and Preventing Financial Fraud in Digital Marketplaces," vol. 4, no. 8, 2021.

[34] GODWIN OZOEMENAM ACHUMIE NGOZI JOAN ISIBOR, AUGUSTINE IFEANYI IBEH, CHIKEZIE PAUL-MIKKI EWIM, NGODOO JOY SAM-BULYA, EJUMA MARTHA ADAGA, “A Strategic Resilience Framework for SMEs: Integrating Digital Transformation, Financial Literacy, and Risk Management.” [Online]. Available: https://scholar.google.com/citations?view_op=view_citation&hl=en&user=4JmgDS8AAAAJ&cstart=20&pagesize=80&citation_for_view=4JmgDS8AAAAJ:YOwf2qJgpHMC

[35] T. P. Novak, D. L. Hoffman, and Y.-F. Yung, “Measuring the Customer Experience in Online Environments: A Structural Modeling Approach,” *Mark. Sci.*, vol. 19, no. 1, pp. 22–42, Feb. 2000, doi: 10.1287/mksc.19.1.22.15184.

[36] ED Balogun, KO Ogunsola, AS Ogunmokun, “A risk intelligence framework for detecting and preventing financial fraud in digital marketplaces. IRE Journals. 2021; 4 (8): 134-140.” [Online]. Available: https://scholar.google.com/citations?view_op=view_citation&hl=en&user=JODGDIIAAAAJ&authuser=1&citation_for_view=JODGDIIAAAAJ:Wp0gIr-vW9MC

[37] E. Turban, C. Pollard, and G. Wood, *Information Technology for Management: Driving Digital Transformation to Increase Local and Global Performance, Growth and Sustainability*. John Wiley & Sons, 2021. [Online]. Available: https://books.google.com/books?hl=en&lr=&id=vqAeEAAAQBAJ&oi=fnd&pg=PP13&dq=Digital+fundraising+campaigns+have+undergone+a+transformative+shift,+driven+by+the+integration+of+Customer+Relationship+Management+(CRM)+systems,+data+analytics,+and+digital+engagement+platforms.+&ots=-FBjnVfcUk&sig=FXny9M1tmp9fjt-osJzLQq3r-bc

[38] Musa Adekunle Adewoyin, Enoch Oluwadunmininu Ogunnowo, Joyce Efekpogua Fiemotongha, Thompson Odion Igunma, Adeniyi K Adeleke, “Advances in CFD-Driven Design for Fluid-Particle Separation and Filtration Systems in Engineering Applications.” Accessed: May 31, 2025. [Online]. Available: https://scholar.google.com/citations?view_op=view_citation&hl=en&user=6SQ3ZwQAAAAJ&citation_for_view=6SQ3ZwQAAAAJ:W7OEmFMy1HYC

[39] N. Stimler, S. Platform, and E. Mission, “GLAM S’D YNAMIC S USTAINABILITY P LATFORM,” 2020, [Online]. Available: https://scholar.archive.org/work/sblmpin7lrcdxn56tvgtakphae/access/wayback/https://www.balboapark.org/sites/default/files/2020-10/BPOCGLAMsStrategyWhitepaper-%20Final.pdf

[40] E. C. Chukwuma-Eke, O. Y. Ogunsola, and N. J. Isibor, “Designing a Robust Cost Allocation Framework for Energy Corporations Using SAP for Improved Financial Performance,” *Int. J. Multidiscip. Res. Growth Eval.*, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 809–822, 2021, doi: 10.54660/.IJMRGE.2021.2.1.809-822.

[41] S. Wise, “Finding a Digital Solution to Help Improve Donor Lifetime Value and Retention in the Nonprofit Industry,” PhD Thesis, Toronto Metropolitan University. [Online]. Available: https://rshare.library.torontomu.ca/articles/thesis/Finding_a_Digital_Solution_to_Help_Improve_Donor_Lifetime_Value_and_Retention_in_the_Nonprofit_Industry/14663271

[42] M. O. Nwaozomudoh, P. E. Odio, E. Kokogho, T. A. Olorunfemi, I. E. Adeniji, and A. Sobowale, “Developing a Conceptual Framework for Enhancing Interbank Currency Operation Accuracy in Nigeria’s Banking Sector,” *Int. J. Multidiscip. Res. Growth Eval.*, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 481–494, 2021, doi: 10.54660/.IJMRGE.2021.2.1.481-494.

[43] L. Spiller, *Direct, digital & data-driven marketing*. Sage, 2020. [Online]. Available: https://books.google.com/books?hl=en&lr=&id=b-bEDwAAQBAJ&oi=fnd&pg=PP1&dq=Digital+fundraising+campaigns+have+undergone+a+transformative+shift,+driven+by+the+integration+of+Customer+Relationship+Management+(CRM)+systems,+data+analytics,+and+digital+engagement+platforms.+&ots=eYnvPckI

QG&sig=LDDghtDWWms1D8klmxhnKq54WeU

[44] Musa Adekunle Adewoyin, "Developing frameworks for managing low-carbon energy transitions: overcoming barriers to implementation in the oil and gas industry," *Magna Sci. Adv. Res. Rev.*, vol. 1, no. 3, pp. 068–075, Apr. 2021, doi: 10.30574/msarr.2021.1.3.0020.

[45] P. Boeder and B. Hohn, "Fundraising on the Internet: on-line strategies for nonprofit organizations," *Libr. Inf. Sci.*, p. 171, 2005.

[46] J. P. Onoja, O. Hamza, A. Collins, U. B. Chibunna, A. Eweja, and A. I. Daraojimba, "Digital Transformation and Data Governance: Strategies for Regulatory Compliance and Secure AI-Driven Business Operations," *J. Front. Multidiscip. Res.*, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 43–55, 2021, doi: 10.54660/.IJFMR.2021.2.1.43-55.

[47] A. Rosenblatt, "Dimensions of campaigns in the age of digital networks," in *Campaigns and elections American style*, Routledge, 2018, pp. 175–196. [Online]. Available: https://www.taylorfrancis.com/chapters/edit/10.4324/9780429495380-8/dimensions-campaigns-age-digital-networks-alan-rosenblatt

[48] ENOCH OLUWABUSAYO Alonge, NSISONG LOUIS Eyo-Udo, BRIGHT CHIBUNNA, ANDREW IFESINACHI DARAOJIMBA UBANADU, EMMANUEL DAMILARE BALOGUN, KOLADE OLUSOLA OGUNSOLA, "Digital Transformation in Retail Banking to Enhance Customer Experience and Profitability." Accessed: May 31, 2025. [Online]. Available: https://scholar.google.com/citations?view_op=view_citation&hl=en&user=JODGDIIAAAAJ&cstart=20&pagesize=80&authuser=1&citation_for_view=JODGDIIAAAAJ:u5HHmVD_uO8C

[49] ENOCH OLUWABUSAYO ALONGE1 *et al.*, "Digital Transformation in Retail Banking to Enhance Customer Experience and Profitability." [Online]. Available: https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Enoch-Alonge/publication/390023729_Digital_Transformation_in_Retail_Banking_to_Enhance_Customer_Experience_and_Profitability/links/67dc385772f7f37c3e750efa/Digital-Transformation-in-Retail-Banking-to-Enhance-Customer-Experience-and-Profitability.pdf

[50] Y. Song, "Digital user's decision journey," PhD Thesis, Boston University, 2017. [Online]. Available: https://search.proquest.com/openview/4fd983bb1a3a973d7ba7b0ec5f59e40c/1?pq-origsite=gscholar&cbl=18750

[51] OLUWATOSIN ILORI, , COMFORT IYABODE LAWAL, , SOLOMON CHRISTOPHER FRIDAY, , NGOZI JOAN ISIBOR, and , EZINNE C. CHUKWUMA-EKE, "Enhancing Auditor Judgment and Skepticism through Behavioral Insights: A Systematic Review." [Online]. Available: https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Oluwatosin-Ilori-3/publication/391739345_Enhancing_Auditor_Judgment_and_Skepticism_through_Behavioral_Insights_A_Systematic_Review/links/6825167a026fee1034f82ffc/Enhancing-Auditor-Judgment-and-Skepticism-through-Behavioral-Insights-A-Systematic-Review.pdf

[52] L. Herbert, *Digital transformation: Build your organization's future for the innovation age*. Bloomsbury Publishing, 2017.

[53] Ekene Cynthia Onukwulu, Mercy Odochi Agho, and Nsisong Louis Eyo-Udo, "Framework for sustainable supply chain practices to reduce carbon footprint in energy," *Open Access Res. J. Sci. Technol.*, vol. 1, no. 2, pp. 012–034, Jul. 2021, doi: 10.53022/oarjst.2021.1.2.0032.

[54] P. Chima, J. Ahmadu, and O. G. Folorunsho, "Implementation of Digital Integrated Personnel and Payroll Information System: Lesson from Kenya, Ghana and Nigeria," vol. 4, no. 2, 2019.

[55] Adesemoye O.E., Chukwuma-Eke E.C., Lawal C.I., Isibor N.J., Akintobi A.O., Ezeh F.S., "Improving Financial Forecasting Accuracy through Advanced Data Visualization

Techniques." [Online]. Available: https://scholar.google.com/citations?view_op=view_citation&hl=en&user=Zm0csPMAAAAJ&authuser=1&citation_for_view=Zm0csPMAAAAJ:Se3iqnhoufwC

[56] J. Stark, *Digital Transformation of Industry: Continuing Change*. in Decision Engineering. Cham: Springer Nature Switzerland, 2025. doi: 10.1007/978-3-031-71590-7.

[57] J. Olatunde Omisola, E. Augustine Etukudoh, O. Kingsley Okenwa, G. I. Tokunbo Olugbemi, and E. Ogu, "Innovating Project Delivery and Piping Design for Sustainability in the Oil and Gas Industry: A Conceptual Framework," *Int. J. Adv. Multidiscip. Res. Stud.*, vol. 4, no. 6, pp. 1772–1777, Dec. 2024, doi: 10.62225/2583049X.2024.4.6.4109.

[58] D. Gagliardi and D. Cox, "Digital technologies and the social economy: New technologies and digitisation: opportunities and challenges for the social economy and social enterprises.," 2020, [Online]. Available: https://research.manchester.ac.uk/en/publications/digital-technologies-and-the-social-economy-new-technologies-and-

[59] Oluchukwu Modesta Oluoha, Abisola Odeshina, Oluwatosin Reis, and Friday Okpeke, "Project Management Innovations for Strengthening Cybersecurity Compliance across Complex Enterprises | Request PDF," *ResearchGate*, Apr. 2025, doi: 10.54660/.IJMRGE.2021.2.1.871-881.

[60] M. E. Milakovich, *Digital governance: Applying advanced technologies to improve public service*. Routledge, 2021. [Online]. Available: https://www.taylorfrancis.com/books/mono/10.4324/9781003215875/digital-governance-michael-milakovich

[61] G. Fredson, B. Adebisi, O. B. Ayorinde, E. C. Onukwulu, O. Adediwin, and A. O. Ihechere, "Revolutionizing procurement management in the oil and gas industry: Innovative strategies and insights from high-value projects," *Int J Multidiscip Res Growth Eval Internet*, 2021, [Online]. Available: https://scholar.google.com/scholar?cluster=3697942392653502481&hl=en&oi=scholarr

[62] O. E. Akpe, J. C. Ogeawuchi, A. A. Abayomi, O. A. Agboola, and E. Ogbuefi, "Systematic Review of Last-Mile Delivery Optimization and Procurement Efficiency in African Logistics Ecosystems," *Iconic Res. Eng. J.*, vol. 5, no. 6, pp. 377–388, Dec. 2021.

[63] A. I. Daraojimba, J. C. Ogeawuchi, A. A. Abayomi, O. A. Agboola, and E. Ogbuefi, "Systematic Review of Serverless Architectures and Business Process Optimization," *Iconic Res. Eng. J.*, vol. 5, no. 4, pp. 284–309, Oct. 2021.

[64] A. Gruszka, J. R. Jupp, and G. De Valence, "Digital foundations: how technology is transforming Australia's construction sector," 2017, [Online]. Available: https://opus.lib.uts.edu.au/bitstream/10453/124861/1/Digital-Foundations-ConstructionTech-Report.pdf

[65] G. Fredson, B. Adebisi, O. B. Ayorinde, E. C. Onukwulu, O. Adediwin, and A. O. Ihechere, "Driving organizational transformation: Leadership in ERP implementation and lessons from the oil and gas sector," *Int J Multidiscip Res Growth Eval Internet*, 2021, [Online]. Available: https://scholar.google.com/scholar?cluster=10240535623829030426&hl=en&oi=scholarr

[66] M. P. Nanhe, "“A study on Customer Relationship Management & CRM software Prof. Megha P. Nanhe DAIMSR, Nagpur.," *Enterp. VALUE Creat. Creat. Manag. Pract.*, p. 184.

[67] O. M. Oluoha, A. Odeshina, O. Reis, F. Okpeke, V. Attipoe, and O. H. Orieno, "Development of a Compliance-Driven Identity Governance Model for Enhancing Enterprise Information Security," *Iconic Res. Eng. J.*, vol. 4, no. 11, pp. 310–324, May 2021.

[68] T. E. Vass, *Accredited Investor Crowdfunding: A Practical Guide for Technology Executives and Entrepreneurs*. First Edition Design Pub., 2014. [Online]. Available: https://books.google.com/books?hl=en&lr=&id=6iOaBAAAQBAJ&oi=fnd&pg=PT1&dq=

CRM,+digital+fundraising,+engagement+pathways,+donor+behavior,+campaign+analytics,+multichannel+attribution&ots=Nx9xK6vvAf&sig=6-S0_NXRPYf63vmbjrAI-kLyUlE

[69] OLUWATOSIN ILORI, COMFORT IYABODE LAWAL, SOLOMON CHRISTOPHER FRIDAY, NGOZI JOAN ISIBOR, EZINNE C CHUKWUMA-EKE, "Blockchain-Based Assurance Systems: Opportunities and Limitations in Modern Audit Engagements." [Online]. Available: https://scholar.google.com/citations?view_op=view_citation&hl=en&user=sJAYP0YAAAAJ&cstart=20&pagesize=80&citation_for_view=sJAYP0YAAAAJ:kNdYIx-mwKoC

[70] T. Bunch, *Advocating for Strategic it: Phenomenological Study of Nonprofit it Leaders*. Capella University, 2018. [Online]. Available: https://search.proquest.com/openview/6551578fd45d2a3bb1600eb6a38ab9b7/1?pq-origsite=gscholar&cbl=18750

[71] P. I. Egbumokei, I. N. Dienagha, W. N. Digitemie, and E. C. Onukwulu, "Advanced pipeline leak detection technologies for enhancing safety and environmental sustainability in energy operations," *Int. J. Sci. Res. Arch.*, vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 222–228, 2021.

[72] G. N'Goala, V. Pez-Pérard, and I. Prim-Allaz, *Augmented customer strategy: CRM in the digital age*. John Wiley & Sons, 2019.

[73] T. P. Gbenle, J. C. Ogeawuchi, A. A. Abayomi, O. A. Agboola, and A. C. Uzoka, "Advances in Cloud Infrastructure Deployment Using AWS Services for Small and Medium Enterprises," *Iconic Res. Eng. J.*, vol. 3, no. 11, pp. 365–381, May 2020.

[74] O. E. Akpe, J. C. Ogeawuchi, A. A. Abayomi, and O. A. Agboola, "Advances in Stakeholder-Centric Product Lifecycle Management for Complex, Multi-Stakeholder Energy Program Ecosystems," *Iconic Res. Eng. J.*, vol. 4, no. 8, pp. 179–188, Feb. 2021.

[75] S. Mohanty, M. Jagadeesh, and H. Srivatsa, *Big Data imperatives: enterprise Big Data warehouse, BI implementations and analytics*. Apress, 2013. [Online]. Available: https://books.google.com/books?hl=en&lr=&id=WZdqU45XfkkC&oi=fnd&pg=PP3&dq=Digital+fundraising+campaigns+have+undergone+a+transformative+shift,+driven+by+the+integration+of+Customer+Relationship+Management+(CRM)+systems,+data+analytics,+and+digital+engagement+platforms.+&ots=haRArbyXTA&sig=dV5ELE4n4of-P_LjVOm-s4Rd8nM

[76] A. A. Abayomi, A. C. Mgbame, O. E. Akpe, E. Ogbuefi, and O. O. Adeyelu, "Advancing Equity Through Technology: Inclusive Design of BI Platforms for Small Businesses," *Iconic Res. Eng. J.*, vol. 5, no. 4, pp. 235–250, Oct. 2021.

[77] S. Hoeffler and K. L. Keller, "Building Brand Equity through Corporate Societal Marketing," *J. Public Policy Mark.*, vol. 21, no. 1, pp. 78–89, Apr. 2002, doi: 10.1509/jppm.21.1.78.17600.

[78] E. C. Onukwulu, I. N. Dienagha, W. N. Digitemie, and P. I. Egbumokei, "AI-driven supply chain optimization for enhanced efficiency in the energy sector," *Magna Sci. Adv. Res. Rev.*, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 087–108, 2021.

[79] M. van Oosterhout, *Business agility and information technology in service organizations*. 2010. [Online]. Available: https://repub.eur.nl/pub/19805/

[80] A. C. Mgbame, O. E. Akpe, A. A. Abayomi, E. Ogbuefi, and O. O. Adeyelu, "Barriers and Enablers of BI Tool Implementation in Underserved SME Communities," *Iconic Res. Eng. J.*, vol. 3, no. 7, pp. 211–226, Jan. 2020.

[81] T. Burström, V. Parida, T. Lahti, and J. Wincent, "AI-enabled business-model innovation and transformation in industrial ecosystems: A framework, model and outline for further research," *J. Bus. Res.*, vol. 127, pp. 85–95, Apr. 2021, doi: 10.1016/j.jbusres.2021.01.016.

[82] M. Iansiti and K. R. Lakhani, *Competing in the age of AI: Strategy and leadership when algorithms and networks run the world*. Harvard Business Press, 2020.

[83] D. S. Williams, *Connected CRM: implementing a data-driven, customer-centric business strategy*. John Wiley & Sons, 2014.

[84] E. D. Balogun, K. O. Ogunsola, and A. Samuel, "A Cloud-Based Data Warehousing Framework for Real- Time Business Intelligence and Decision-Making Optimization," vol. 5, no. 2, 2021.

[85] ADENIYI K. ADELEKE ENOCH OLUWADUNMININU OGUNNOWO, MUSA ADEKUNLE ADEWOYIN, JOYCE EFEKPOGUA FIEMOTONGHA, THOMPSON ODION IGUNMA, "A Conceptual Framework for Dynamic Mechanical Analysis in High-Performance Material Selection." Accessed: May 31, 2025. [Online]. Available: https://scholar.google.com/citations?view_op=view_citation&hl=en&user=Mh-Z4rkAAAAJ&citation_for_view=Mh-Z4rkAAAAJ:Se3iqnhoufwC

[86] B Austin-Gabriel, NY Hussain, AB Ige, PA Adepoju, OO Amoo, AI Afolabi, "Advancing zero trust architecture with AI and data science for enterprise cybersecurity frameworks." Accessed: May 31, 2025. [Online]. Available: https://scholar.google.com/citations?view_op=view_citation&hl=en&user=nFFJfM0AAAAJ&citation_for_view=nFFJfM0AAAAJ:WF5omc3nYNoC

[87] R. Han, H. K. S. Lam, Y. Zhan, Y. Wang, Y. K. Dwivedi, and K. H. Tan, "Artificial intelligence in business-to-business marketing: a bibliometric analysis of current research status, development and future directions," *Ind. Manag. Amp Data Syst.*, vol. 121, no. 12, pp. 2467–2497, Aug. 2021, doi: 10.1108/IMDS-05-2021-0300.

[88] A. Malik, M. T. T. De Silva, P. Budhwar, and N. R. Srikanth, "Elevating talents' experience through innovative artificial intelligence-mediated knowledge sharing: Evidence from an IT-multinational enterprise," *J. Int. Manag.*, vol. 27, no. 4, p. 100871, Dec. 2021, doi: 10.1016/j.intman.2021.100871.

[89] U. B. Chibunna, O. Hamza, A. Collins, J. P. Onoja, A. Eweja, and A. I. Daraojimba, "Building Digital Literacy and Cybersecurity Awareness to Empower Underrepresented Groups in the Tech Industry," *Int. J. Multidiscip. Res. Growth Eval.*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 125–138, 2020, doi: 10.54660/.IJMRGE.2020.1.1.125-138.

[90] S. Agarwal *et al.*, "Unleashing the power of disruptive and emerging technologies amid COVID-19: A detailed review," Apr. 19, 2021, *arXiv*: arXiv:2005.11507. doi: 10.48550/arXiv.2005.11507.

[91] ADENIYI K. ADELEKE ENOCH OLUWADUNMININU OGUNNOWO, MUSA ADEKUNLE ADEWOYIN, JOYCE EFEKPOGUA FIEMOTONGHA, THOMPSON ODION IGUNMA, "A Conceptual Model for Simulation-Based Optimization of HVAC Systems Using Heat Flow Analytics." Accessed: May 31, 2025. [Online]. Available: https://scholar.google.com/citations?view_op=view_citation&hl=en&user=Mh-Z4rkAAAAJ&citation_for_view=Mh-Z4rkAAAAJ:ufrVoPGSRksC

[92] D. Adema, S. Blenkhorn, and S. Houseman, "Scaling-up Impact: Knowledge-based Organizations Working Toward Sustainability".

[93] NY Hussain, B Austin-Gabriel, AB Ige, PA Adepoju, OO Amoo, AI Afolabi, "AI-driven predictive analytics for proactive security and optimization in critical infrastructure systems." [Online]. Available: https://scholar.google.com/citations?view_op=view_citation&hl=en&user=nFFJfM0AAAAJ&citation_for_view=nFFJfM0AAAAJ:ufrVoPGSRksC

[94] F. U. Ojika, W. O. Owobu, O. A. Abieba, O. J. Esan, B. C. Ubamadu, and A. I. Daraojimba, "AI-Driven Models for Data Governance: Improving Accuracy and Compliance through Automation and Machine Learning," vol. 1, no. 2, 2025.

[95] E. O. Alonge, N. L. Eyo-Udo, B. C. Ubamadu, A. I. Daraojimba, E. D. Balogun, and K. Olusola, "Self-Service Data Platforms as Drivers of Cost Reduction and Decision-

Making Efficiency in Data-Intensive Organizations," vol. 11, no. 4, 2025.

[96] ADENIYI K. ADELEKE ENOCH OLUWADUNMININU OGUNNOWO, MUSA ADEKUNLE ADEWOYIN, JOYCE EFEKPOGUA FIEMOTONGHA, THOMPSON ODION IGUNMA, "Advances in Thermofluid Simulation for Heat Transfer Optimization in Compact Mechanical Devices." [Online]. Available: https://scholar.google.com/citations?view_op=view_citation&hl=en&user=Mh-Z4rkAAAAJ&citation_for_view=Mh-Z4rkAAAAJ:roLk4NBRz8UC

[97] S. Habibi, "The Role of Smart Technologies in the Relationship Between Volatile, Uncertain, Complex and Ambiguous Business Environment (VUCA) and Organizational Agility: Industrial Enterprises Research".

[98] P. Gbenle *et al.*, "A Conceptual Model for Scalable and Fault-Tolerant Cloud- Native Architectures Supporting Critical Real-Time Analytics in Emergency Response Systems".

[99] T. P. Gbenle, J. C. Ogeawuchi, A. A. Abayomi, O. A. Agboola, and A. C. Uzoka, "Advances in Cloud Infrastructure Deployment Using AWS Services for Small and Medium Enterprises," *Iconic Res. Eng. J.*, vol. 3, no. 11, pp. 365–381, May 2020.

[100] F. U. Ojika, W. O. Owobu, O. A. Abieba, O. J. Esan, B. C. Ubamadu, and A. I. Daraojimba, "The Role of AI in Cybersecurity: A Cross-Industry Model for Integrating Machine Learning and Data Analysis for Improved Threat Detection".