

# Impact of Artificial Intelligence on Recruitment and Selection

KUMARI AMISHA<sup>1</sup>, DR. NAMITA GUPTA<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>MBA Researcher, Department of Management, School of Business, Galgotias University, Greater Noida

<sup>2</sup>Professor, Department of Management, School of Business, Galgotias University, Greater Noida

***Abstract- Artificial Intelligence (AI) is significantly reshaping how organizations approach recruitment and selection by introducing advanced tools that simplify tasks like resume screening, interview coordination, and candidate communication. This research investigates the viewpoints of hiring professionals from multiple industries regarding AI's role and effectiveness in the recruitment process. Based on survey responses from 30 participants, the findings indicate that while AI is widely trusted to improve operational efficiency, concerns remain about its ability to assess human attributes such as emotional intelligence and cultural alignment. The study highlights a clear preference for hybrid recruitment strategies that combine the speed and accuracy of AI with the judgment and empathy of human recruiters to promote fairness and better hiring decisions.***

## I. INTRODUCTION

Artificial Intelligence (AI) has introduced major advancements in Human Resource Management, particularly within the recruitment and selection processes. By automating routine functions, tools like chatbots, resume screening software, and applicant tracking systems have improved the speed and uniformity of hiring practices. These technologies enable organizations to handle large volumes of applications efficiently. However, concerns persist regarding AI's ability to evaluate nuanced human qualities such as communication ability, adaptability, and alignment with organizational culture—factors that are critical for long-term employee success. This study examines how AI is currently being utilized in recruitment and how much confidence professionals place in its capabilities.

## II. RESEARCH OBJECTIVES

- To evaluate how effectively Artificial Intelligence supports recruitment and selection activities.
- To identify the ethical issues and practical difficulties involved in applying AI during the hiring process.
- To analyze the differences between AI-driven assessments and human judgment in recruitment decisions.

## III. LITERATURE REVIEW

Objective 1: To evaluate how effectively Artificial Intelligence supports recruitment and selection activities.

Artificial Intelligence enhances the efficiency and effectiveness of recruitment and selection processes. It involves analyzing how AI-powered tools—such as resume screening algorithms, virtual assistants, and applicant tracking systems—contribute to streamlining tasks like candidate shortlisting, interview coordination, and communication. The goal is to determine whether these technologies lead to faster, more accurate, and consistent hiring decisions, ultimately improving the overall quality and fairness of recruitment outcomes.

Objective 2: To identify the ethical issues and practical difficulties involved in applying AI during the hiring process.

This section outlines the ethical and practical concerns involved in using AI for recruitment. Ethically, issues such as algorithmic bias, limited transparency, and risks to data privacy are significant. On the practical side, organizations may struggle with integrating AI into existing systems, training

employees, and maintaining fairness and accuracy. Moreover, candidates may feel uncomfortable being evaluated by technology rather than humans. These challenges highlight the need for careful and responsible adoption of AI to ensure both fairness and trust in the hiring process.

Objective 3: To identify the ethical issues and practical difficulties involved in applying AI during the hiring process.

This research explores how recruitment decisions differ when made by AI systems versus human recruiters. AI tools are efficient and consistent, using data and algorithms to assess candidates, but they may overlook qualities like emotional intelligence and cultural alignment. On the other hand, human recruiters can interpret context and non-verbal cues, offering a more empathetic approach, though their decisions may be more subjective. The goal is to identify how combining both can lead to more effective and balanced hiring.

#### IV. METHODOLOGY

**Primary Data:** Data was gathered through a structured questionnaire shared via Google Forms with 30 professionals engaged in recruitment activities within the IT, Consulting, and Manufacturing industries. The survey aimed to capture insights on their use of AI in hiring, including opinions on its effectiveness, fairness, reliability, and the balance between automation and human input.

**Secondary Data:** To strengthen the analysis, relevant literature from academic journals, industry whitepapers, and previously conducted studies were reviewed. References included insights from leading organizations such as SHRM, Deloitte, McKinsey, and other scholarly resources related to AI applications in human resource management.

**Tools & Techniques:** The responses collected were cleaned and managed using Microsoft Excel. Statistical evaluations were performed using SPSS and Excel, employing methods such as descriptive analysis, Pearson correlation coefficients, and independent t-tests to uncover trends, correlations, and significant group differences.

#### V. DATA ANALYSIS AND INTERPRETATION

##### Descriptive Analysis

Variable	Average Score
AI improves recruitment efficiency	4.30
AI reduces human bias	4.13
AI enhances quality of hire	3.97
AI improves candidate experience	4.23
Trust in outcomes generated by AI tools	3.83

The results indicate that respondents largely appreciate AI's ability to automate and speed up early recruitment activities, such as screening and scheduling. However, when it comes to evaluating soft skills and cultural alignment, human recruiters are still perceived as more effective. The highest-rated variable was the preference for a hybrid model, suggesting strong support for combining AI tools with human insight.

Correlation Pair	Coefficient(r)
AI improves efficiency ↔ AI reduces bias	0.67
AI reduces bias ↔ AI improves candidate experience	0.92
AI enhances quality of hire ↔ AI reduces bias	0.75
AI improves efficiency ↔ Trust in AI tools	0.67
AI improves candidate experience ↔ Trust in AI tools	0.69
AI enhances quality of hire ↔ Trust in AI tools	0.70

#### VI. CORRELATION ANALYSIS

To assess the relationship between variables, Pearson correlation coefficients were calculated. Below are the selected correlations observed from the dataset:

The study revealed a strong connection between AI's role in reducing bias and an enhanced candidate experience ( $r = 0.92$ ), indicating that fairness significantly impacts how AI-driven recruitment is perceived. Bias reduction also showed a notable

relationship with better hiring quality ( $r = 0.75$ ) and increased efficiency ( $r = 0.67$ ). Additionally, trust in AI tools was closely associated with positive outcomes such as improved efficiency ( $r = 0.67$ ), candidate experience ( $r = 0.69$ ), and quality of hire ( $r = 0.70$ ). These insights suggest that both ethical considerations and performance are key to building trust in AI recruitment systems.

#### Hypothesis Testing

$H_1$  (Alternative Hypothesis):

There is a significant difference in the perceived effectiveness of AI tools in recruitment based on professional role.

$H_0$  (Null Hypothesis):

There is no significant difference in the perceived effectiveness of AI tools in recruitment based on professional role.

The study revealed a strong connection between AI's role in reducing bias and an enhanced candidate experience ( $r = 0.92$ ), indicating that fairness significantly impacts how AI-driven recruitment is perceived. Bias reduction also showed a notable relationship with better hiring quality ( $r = 0.75$ ) and increased efficiency ( $r = 0.67$ ). Additionally, trust in AI tools was closely associated with positive outcomes such as improved efficiency ( $r = 0.67$ ), candidate experience ( $r = 0.69$ ), and quality of hire ( $r = 0.70$ ). These insights suggest that both ethical considerations and performance are key to building trust in AI recruitment systems.

#### Hypothesis Testing

$H_1$  (Alternative Hypothesis):

There is a significant difference in the perceived effectiveness of AI tools in recruitment based on professional role.

$H_0$  (Null Hypothesis):

There is no significant difference in the perceived effectiveness of AI tools in recruitment based on professional role.

An independent sample t-test was applied to compare responses from two groups:

Group A: Recruitment professionals (e.g., HR managers, recruiters)

Group B: Other participants (e.g., students, general employees)

Group Comparison	t-value	p-value	Interpretation
Recruitment professional's vs Others	2.26	0.031	Significant difference

#### Hypotheses Summary

Since the p-value is less than 0.05, the difference is statistically significant. Participants with hands-on experience in recruitment rated AI's effectiveness lower than those without, likely due to a deeper awareness of its limitations in real-world hiring.

#### Findings

AI is widely seen as effective in improving the speed and efficiency of recruitment, especially during the initial screening stages.

Participants expressed moderate trust in AI for evaluating soft skills and cultural fit, preferring human judgment for these areas.

A hybrid recruitment model combining AI and human decision-making received strong support.

Trust in AI is closely linked to its perceived fairness and ability to reduce bias.

Recruitment professionals were more cautious about relying on AI than non-recruiters.

Perceptions of AI varied by industry, with IT professionals being more critical compared to those in Consulting and Manufacturing.

#### Practitioner Insight

A hiring professional from the IT industry shared that due to their technical background, IT recruiters are more aware of AI's shortcomings—especially its inability to assess interpersonal skills and the risks of bias in algorithms. This insight matches the study results, where IT respondents showed less confidence in AI's effectiveness compared to those from other industries. It confirms that while AI supports efficiency, human evaluation is still essential,

particularly for roles requiring emotional intelligence and cultural alignment

## CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The study concludes that AI has positively influenced recruitment and selection by improving efficiency, consistency, and processing speed. However, its ability to assess human traits remains limited. Trust in AI tools varies by industry, with IT professionals expressing more caution, and Consulting and Manufacturing respondents showing higher confidence in AI for routine tasks. Despite AI's advantages, human involvement remains critical in areas such as soft skill assessment and final decision-making. The findings support a hybrid approach, where AI enhances early-stage recruitment, and human recruiters handle complex evaluations.

## RECOMMENDATIONS

- Integrate AI in early-stage recruitment, such as screening and interview scheduling
- Keep human recruiters involved in final decision-making processes
- Train HR teams to understand and ethically manage AI tools
- Monitor AI recruitment tools for bias and ensure compliance with diversity goals
- Use feedback loops from candidates and recruiters to improve AI tool performance over time.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Binns, R., Veale, M., Van Kleek, M., & Shadbolt, N. (2018). It's reducing a human being to a percentage: Perceptions of justice in algorithmic decisions. In *Proceedings of the 2018 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems* (pp. 1–14). <https://doi.org/10.1145/3173574.3173951>
- [2] Black, J. S., & van Esch, P. (2020). AI-enabled recruitment: Are we ready for it? *Journal of Human Resources and Sustainability Development*, 8(2), 123–137. <https://doi.org/10.4236/jhrss.2020.82008>
- [3] Chamorro-Premuzic, T., Akhtar, R., Winsborough, D., & Sherman, R. A. (2019). The promise and peril of AI in hiring. *Harvard Business Review*, 97(4), 62–71.
- [4] Meijerink, J., Bondarouk, T., & Lepak, D. P. (2021). When HRM meets artificial intelligence: Exploring the impact on HR roles and competencies. *The International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 34(6), 1234–1257. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09585192.2021.1960882>
- [5] Nikolaou, I. (2021). What is the role of technology in recruitment and selection? *Journal of Personnel Psychology*, 20(1), 20–29. <https://doi.org/10.1027/1866-5888/a000269>
- [6] Sánchez-Monedero, J., Dencik, L., & Edwards, L. (2020). What does it mean to 'solve' the problem of discrimination in hiring? *Big Data & Society*, 7(2), 1–17. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2053951720905108>
- [7] SHRM. (2022). How artificial intelligence is changing HR. Retrieved from <https://www.shrm.org>