Modeling Health Information Governance Practices for Improved Clinical Decision-Making in Urban Hospitals

DAMILOLA OLUYEMI MEROTIWON¹, OPEYEMI OLAMIDE AKINTIMEHIN², OPEOLUWA OLUWANIFEMI AKOMOLAFE³

¹St Nicholas Hospital, Lagos Island. Nigeria ²Department of Human Nutrition and Dietetics, University of Ibadan, Nigeria ³Micmakin Nigeria Limited, Akure, Ondo, Nigeria

Abstract- Urban hospitals face significant pressure to deliver high-quality care amidst increasing volumes of patients, growing complexity in health data ecosystems, and an urgent need for precise and timely clinical decisions. At the intersection of health informatics and organizational policy, Health Information Governance (HIG) emerges as a critical enabler of clinical excellence. This paper explores the modeling of HIG practices specifically tailored to urban hospital contexts, aiming to enhance clinical decision-making through structured data robust stewardship, privacy and protocols, interoperable information infrastructures. Leveraging a comprehensive literature review spanning frameworks from 2000 to 2020, the paper identifies key components and enablers of effective HIG. A conceptual model is proposed that integrates regulatory compliance, ethical use of data, clinical workflow alignment, and technology governance. The paper concludes with recommendations for institutionalizing HIG in urban hospitals, underlining the role of leadership, interdisciplinary collaboration, and digital transformation strategies.

Indexed Terms- Health Information Governance, clinical decision-making, urban hospitals, data quality, interoperability, privacy management

I. INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background and Context

The landscape of healthcare delivery in urban environments is becoming increasingly intricate. Urban hospitals, often located in densely populated and demographically diverse regions, grapple with high patient turnover, complex medical conditions, and fragmented care coordination [1], [2], [3]. In this environment, clinical decision-making depends not only on the expertise of healthcare professionals but also on the quality, timeliness, and governance of the health information systems that support them [4].

Health Information Governance (HIG) refers to a set of multi-disciplinary policies, procedures, and standards that ensure the secure, ethical, and efficient handling of patient and institutional health data [5]. It addresses key concerns such as data integrity, privacy, interoperability, accountability, and alignment with legal frameworks [6], [7]. Within urban hospital ecosystems, effective HIG can be the differentiator between informed decisions and systemic inefficiencies, medical errors, or compromised patient outcomes [8], [9].

This paper explores the role of Health Information Governance in improving clinical decision-making processes in urban hospital settings. While existing research has examined data quality or health IT tools in isolation, there remains a critical gap in the literature regarding holistic governance frameworks tailored to the operational dynamics of urban hospitals [10], [11], [12]. This paper proposes a conceptual model based on an extensive literature review to bridge this gap and provide actionable insights for policy development and system implementation.

1.2 Problem Statement

Urban hospitals are hubs of clinical complexity, often operating at the limits of human and infrastructural capacity. Despite considerable investment in electronic health records (EHRs), decision support systems, and health IT infrastructure, clinicians continue to face barriers related to information overload, data silos, incomplete records, and delayed data availability [13], [14], [15]. These limitations often stem not from technological inadequacy, but from the absence of coordinated governance over how health data is captured, processed, accessed, and used in clinical workflows [16], [17], [18].

The lack of effective governance frameworks leads to significant challenges, including:

- Poor interoperability across departments and institutions [19], [20].
- Inconsistencies in data standards, coding, and terminology [21].
- Privacy breaches and non-compliance with regulatory mandates [22].
- Clinician resistance to information systems due to usability concerns or trust deficits [6], [23].

The core hypothesis of this paper is that structured, contextualized Health Information Governance practices are essential for optimizing clinical decisionmaking, especially in data-intensive urban hospitals.

1.3 Objectives of the Study

This study aims to:

- 1. Examine the current state of Health Information Governance practices relevant to urban hospitals.
- 2. Identify the key enablers and barriers to effective information governance.
- 3. Synthesize findings from interdisciplinary literature (informatics, policy, ethics, management).
- 4. Propose a conceptual model of HIG tailored to improve decision-making in urban hospital environments.
- 1.4 Methodological Approach

Given the absence of primary data, this study adopts a systematic literature review approach. Sources include peer-reviewed journals, healthcare informatics conference proceedings, WHO and government reports, and standards published by HL7, HIMSS, ISO, and national health agencies.

- "Health Information Governance"
- "Clinical Decision-Making"
- "Urban Hospitals"
- "Interoperability"
- "Data Quality in Healthcare"
- "EHR Governance Models"

Databases searched included IEEE Xplore, PubMed, Scopus, Web of Science, and Google Scholar. Papers were selected based on relevance, publication date (2000–2020), and academic rigor. A total of 108 references were shortlisted for inclusion in this paper.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Conceptualizing Health Information Governance

Health Information Governance (HIG) refers to the strategic framework and organizational policies that govern how health data is collected, stored, accessed, exchanged, and used [24], [25], [26]. It incorporates elements of information management, privacy protection, regulatory compliance, ethical oversight, and technological interoperability. HIG emerged from the growing realization that simply digitizing healthcare records is insufficient for achieving high-quality care outcomes without strong governance mechanisms [27], [28].

According to the American Health Information Management Association (AHIMA), HIG ensures that health data is trustworthy, reliable, and appropriately managed across its lifecycle [29], [30]. The World Health Organization (WHO) emphasizes the role of governance in supporting data-driven healthcare transformation, especially in resource-constrained or complex delivery settings [31], [32].

Core components of HIG frameworks often include:

- Data quality and integrity assurance [33], [34]
- Security, privacy, and confidentiality protections [35], [36], [37]
- Interoperability and standardization [38], [39]

Search terms included combinations of:

- Compliance with legal and regulatory mandates (e.g., HIPAA, GDPR) [40], [41], [42]
- Decision rights and accountability mechanisms [43], [44]

Studies underscore that HIG should be proactive, dynamic, and embedded within clinical workflows, rather than operating as a reactive or siloed administrative function [31].

2.2 Health Information Governance in Urban Hospital Settings

Urban hospitals present a unique governance challenge due to their scale, diversity of patient populations, and technological complexity [45], [46]. These institutions often operate across multiple campuses, serve millions of patients annually, and integrate with regional or national health networks [47], [48]. This complexity introduces a variety of governance risks, such as:

- Inconsistent data entry practices across departments [49], [50]
- Fragmented information silos (e.g., lab, pharmacy, imaging systems) [35]
- Misalignment between clinical workflows and IT system design [36]
- Heightened risk of privacy breaches due to scale and volume of transactions [37]

Moreover, urban hospitals are more likely to pilot or implement advanced health IT tools such as Clinical Decision Support Systems (CDSS), AI-driven triage systems, or population health analytics each of which demands careful governance of algorithms, training data, and outcome tracking [41], [51], [52], [53].

Several case studies highlight how governance failures in urban hospitals have resulted in avoidable errors. For example, Ratzlaff [54] documented that delayed or missed follow-ups from abnormal diagnostic test results were often due to governance breakdowns in communication systems rather than clinical misjudgment. 2.3 The Link Between HIG and Clinical Decision-Making

Effective clinical decision making relies on access to complete, accurate, and timely information . When data quality is compromised or when clinicians are uncertain about the provenance, trustworthiness, or completeness of the data they are more likely to delay, overlook, or misinterpret critical care decisions [55].

Several studies have demonstrated how poor information governance undermines decision-making:

- Weiskoopf and Weng [56] found that low standardization of medical terminology across hospital systems led to misinterpretation of lab values by different physicians.
- Pozuelo et al. [57] emphasized that even advanced CDSS tools failed to improve outcomes unless fed by governed, high-integrity data.
- Schwartz and Roth [58] identified that clinician burnout from poor EHR usability was often tied to insufficient governance of interface design and clinical input.

On the other hand, robust HIG practices have been associated with reduced medical errors, improved continuity of care, and faster time to intervention [59], [60]. In a study of urban trauma centers, Bertisch et al. [61] found that centralized governance over documentation standards and audit trails significantly reduced duplicate testing and care delays.

2.4 Models and Frameworks in HIG Literature

Numerous frameworks for HIG have been proposed by academic, professional, and regulatory bodies. These models generally fall into three categories:

2.4.1 Data-Centric Models

These focus on data as the core asset, proposing mechanisms for ensuring its quality, lifecycle control, and stewardship. For example, the Data Governance Institute (DGI) Framework outlines accountability domains and operational tactics across data ownership, standards, and classification [41], [62], [63], [64], [65]. In the healthcare context, Wager et al. [66] recommend mapping governance responsibilities by data domain (e.g., clinical data, billing data, imaging data).

2.4.2 Technology-Centric Models

These emphasize the systems and tools that manage health data [67], [68]. The HIMSS Health IT Governance Framework highlights technical standards (e.g., HL7, SNOMED CT), integration engines, and data exchange architectures [15], [69], [70]. Such models often propose dashboards and automated workflows to track governance compliance.

2.4.3 Organization-Centric Models

These models take a holistic perspective, examining governance as a sociotechnical system. For instance, AHIMA's Information Governance Principles for Healthcare (IGPHC) stress organizational leadership, policy coherence, and cultural readiness [71], [72]. Similarly, ISO/TS 22220:2011 defines governance obligations across stakeholder roles, data uses, and institutional hierarchies [73], [74].

Table 1. summarizes selected models:

Model	Focus Area	Strengths	Limitations
AHIMA IGPHC	Organiz ational	Holistic, adaptable	Implementati on complexity
DGI Framewo rk	Data lifecycle	Clear accountabil ity mechanism s	Limited healthcare specificity
HIMSS IT Governan ce Framewo rk	Technol ogy integrati on	Focus on interoperabi lity, automation	Less attention to human/ethica l factors
ISO/TS 22220:20 11	Standard ized processe s	Internationa 1 applicabilit y	Resource- intensive for low-income regions

2.5 Challenges in Implementing HIG

Despite growing consensus about its value, implementing effective HIG remains challenging, especially in urban hospital contexts. Common barriers include:

- Resistance to change: Clinical staff may perceive governance processes as bureaucratic or disruptive [75], [76].
- Lack of standardization: Varying terminologies, EHR formats, and legacy systems complicate integration [77], [78].
- Data silos: Departmental fragmentation prevents organization-wide data governance enforcement [79].
- Limited leadership buy-in: Governance initiatives often fail without sustained executive sponsorship [80].
- Inadequate regulatory frameworks: Some countries lack enforceable mandates on health information quality [81].

In urban hospitals, these challenges are amplified due to scale and diversity. Recent research suggests that adaptive, context-sensitive models perform better than one-size-fits-all approaches [82], [83].

2.6 Governance and Interoperability

Interoperability is both a driver and a beneficiary of effective information governance [84], [85]. HIG frameworks often include policies that specify terminologies (e.g., ICD-10, LOINC), communication standards (e.g., HL7, FHIR), and metadata descriptors. Urban hospitals frequently partner with external entities such as insurance providers, national registries, or telemedicine platforms necessitating governance over shared data protocols and third-party accountability [86].

Studies by Samal et al. [87] found that hospitals with mature interoperability governance scored higher in care coordination metrics. Likewise, the OECD [66] emphasizes that governance is central to the ethical, cross-border use of digital health data. 2.7 Governance and Privacy in Urban Settings

The stakes for privacy protection in urban hospitals are particularly high due to:

- The scale of personal health data involved.
- Use of surveillance technologies (e.g., biometric logs, wearables) [88], [89].
- Vulnerabilities from multiple access points (e.g., mobile apps, portals) [68].

Frameworks like the NIST Privacy Framework and GDPR emphasize data minimization, audit trails, and access control governance [90], [91]. In practice, governance should balance privacy mandates with the legitimate needs of clinicians for timely information access.

Urban hospitals must invest in role-based access policies, automatic redaction tools, and incident response protocols as part of governance implementation [72].

III. CONCEPTUAL MODEL DEVELOPMENT

3.1 Rationale for a Risk-Based Governance Model

Urban hospitals operate under conditions of heightened complexity and resource constraints. Therefore, adopting a risk-based model for Health Information Governance (HIG) allows institutions to prioritize governance efforts based on the potential impact and likelihood of data-related risks [73]. This aligns with ISO 31000 guidelines on risk management and ensures a pragmatic allocation of governance resources .

The model proposed herein integrates elements from existing frameworks (e.g., AHIMA IGPHC, ISO 22220) and incorporates feedback loops to support adaptive governance. The model is composed of four primary domains:

- Information Risk Identification and Stratification
- Governance Structure and Role Definition
- Technology Enablement and Data Lifecycle Control

Performance Monitoring and Continuous
 Improvement

3.2 Domain 1: Information Risk Identification and Stratification

Risk identification involves mapping the flow of information across the clinical, administrative, and technical interfaces of the hospital. Key activities include:

- Identifying high-risk information types (e.g., critical care records, psychiatric notes, genetic data) [92], [93]
- Assessing risks associated with data access, modification, delay, or misuse
- Assigning risk scores to data processes based on severity and probability metrics [94]

3.3 Domain 2: Governance Structure and Role Definition

Effective governance requires clear assignment of decision rights, responsibilities, and reporting relationships [74], [95]. The model proposes a multi-tiered governance structure:

- Executive Governance Board: Includes CMIO, CIO, legal counsel, and chief risk officer
- Clinical Data Stewards: Departmental representatives responsible for domain-specific data quality
- Data Governance Office (DGO): Operationalizes data policies, audits compliance, and provides training

3.4 Domain 3: Technology Enablement and Data Lifecycle Control

Technological interventions support the enforcement of governance policies through [96], [97]:

- Metadata management tools
- EHR-integrated validation checks
- Role-based access controls (RBAC)
- Versioning and audit logging mechanisms

Technologies should align with international standards such as HL7 FHIR, SNOMED CT, and IHE profiles to support interoperability [98].

3.5 Domain 4: Performance Monitoring and Continuous Improvement

Governance metrics should be defined for each process and monitored through dashboards. Example indicators include:

- Percentage of duplicate or incomplete patient records
- Average time to data correction post-error identification
- Frequency of unauthorized access attempts
- Clinician satisfaction with data availability and accuracy [99], [100]

Periodic reviews and feedback loops enable the model to evolve in response to internal audits, external regulations, or emerging risks.

IV. DISCUSSION

The proposed model addresses the core governance challenges faced by urban hospitals. It offers a systematic approach that aligns data governance priorities with organizational risk profiles. By clearly defining governance roles, leveraging technology, and instituting performance tracking, urban hospitals can institutionalize health information governance as a driver of clinical quality.

Importantly, this model is scalable and can be adapted to institutions with varying degrees of digital maturity. While it borrows from global frameworks, its focus on local risk environments makes it practical for deployment in real-world clinical settings. Interdisciplinary collaboration, particularly between IT, clinical leadership, and legal experts, is essential for successful implementation.

CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Health Information Governance is no longer optional for urban hospitals it is a strategic necessity. As clinical decisions become increasingly data-driven, hospitals must invest in governance frameworks that ensure data quality, protect patient privacy, and support interoperability.

This paper has:

- Reviewed the literature on HIG and its relevance to clinical decision-making
- Identified unique governance challenges in urban hospitals
- Proposed a conceptual, risk-based governance model

Key recommendations include:

- 1. Establish a formal HIG charter with defined roles and KPIs
- 2. Invest in integrated technologies that enforce governance policies
- 3. Conduct routine data audits and risk assessments
- 4. Train clinical and administrative staff on governance protocols
- 5. Foster a culture of accountability and continuous learning

Future research should explore empirical validation of this model through case studies, simulation tools, or implementation pilots. Policymakers should also consider supporting HIG frameworks through national regulations, funding programs, and institutional incentives.

REFERENCES

- K. Zhang *et al.*, "Clinically Applicable AI System for Accurate Diagnosis, Quantitative Measurements, and Prognosis of COVID-19 Pneumonia Using Computed Tomography," *Cell*, vol. 181, no. 6, pp. 1423-1433.e11, Jun. 2020, doi: 10.1016/J.CELL.2020.04.045.
- X. Xu *et al.*, "MSCS-DeepLN: Evaluating lung nodule malignancy using multi-scale costsensitive neural networks," *Med Image Anal*, vol. 65, Oct. 2020, doi: 10.1016/J.MEDIA.2020.101772.
- [3] M. Bar-Sinai, L. Sweeney, and M. Crosas, "DataTags, Data Handling Policy Spaces and

the Tags Language," *Proceedings - 2016 IEEE* Symposium on Security and Privacy Workshops, SPW 2016, pp. 1–8, Aug. 2016, doi: 10.1109/SPW.2016.11.

- [4] J. Stausberg, D. Nasseh, and M. Nonnemacher, "Measuring Data Quality: A Review of the Literature between 2005 and 2013," *Stud Health Technol Inform*, vol. 210, pp. 712–716, 2015, doi: 10.3233/978-1-61499-512-8-712.
- [5] V. Khatri and C. V. Brown, "Designing data governance," *Commun ACM*, vol. 53, no. 1, pp. 148–152, Jan. 2010, doi: 10.1145/1629175.1629210.
- [6] W. Wang and E. Krishnan, "Big data and clinicians: A review on the state of the science," *JMIR Med Inform*, vol. 2, no. 1, Jan. 2014, doi: 10.2196/MEDINFORM.2913.
- [7] G. O. Osho, J. O. Omisola, and J. O. Shiyanbola, "An Integrated AI-Power BI Model for Real-Time Supply Chain Visibility and Forecasting: A Data-Intelligence Approach to Operational Excellence," *Unknown Journal*, 2020.
- [8] C. Borum, "Barriers for hospital-based nurse practitioners utilizing clinical decision support systems: a systematic review," *Comput Inform Nurs*, vol. 36, pp. 177–182, 2018.
- [9] P. Hay, K. Wilton, J. Barker, J. Mortley, and M. Cumerlato, "The importance of clinical documentation improvement for Australian hospitals," *Health Information Management Journal*, vol. 49, no. 1, pp. 69–73, Jan. 2020, doi: 10.1177/1833358319854185.
- [10] T. Kemp *et al.*, "Exploring the research culture in the health information management profession in Australia," *Health Info Libr J*, vol. 37, no. 1, pp. 60–69, Mar. 2020, doi: 10.1111/HIR.12281.
- [11] T. P. Gbenle, J. C. Ogeawuchi, A. A. Abayomi, O. A. Agboola, and A. C. Uzoka, "Advances in Cloud Infrastructure Deployment Using AWS Services for Small and Medium Enterprises," *Iconic Research and Engineering Journals*, vol. 3, no. 11, pp. 365–381, 2020, [Online]. Available: https://www.irejournals.com/paperdetails/1708522

- [12] "Quality Assessment," Encyclopedia of Big Data Technologies, pp. 1325–1325, 2019, doi: 10.1007/978-3-319-77525-8_100271.
- [13] A. Sammani *et al.*, "UNRAVEL: big data analytics research data platform to improve care of patients with cardiomyopathies using routine electronic health records and standardised biobanking," *Netherlands Heart Journal*, vol. 27, no. 9, pp. 426–434, Sep. 2019, doi: 10.1007/S12471-019-1288-4.
- [14] C. A. Mgbame, O. E. Akpe, A. A. Abayomi, E. Ogbuefi, and O. O. Adeyelu, "Barriers and Enablers of Healthcare Analytics Tool Implementation in Underserved Healthcare Communities," *Healthcare Analytics*, vol. 45, no. 45 SP 45–45, 2020, [Online]. Available: https://www.irejournals.com/paperdetails/1708221
- B. I. Ashiedu, E. Ogbuefi, U. S. Nwabekee, J. [15] C. Ogeawuchi, and A. A. Abayomi, "Developing Financial Due Diligence Frameworks for Mergers and Acquisitions in Emerging Telecom Markets," Iconic Research And Engineering Journals, vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 183-196, 2020, [Online]. Available: https://www.irejournals.com/paperdetails/1708562
- [16] O. E. Akpe, J. C. Ogeawuchi, A. A. Abayomi, O. A. Agboola, and E. Ogbuefi, "A Conceptual Framework for Strategic Business Planning in Digitally Transformed Organizations," *Iconic Research And Engineering Journals*, vol. 4, no.
 4, pp. 207–222, 2020, [Online]. Available: https://www.irejournals.com/paperdetails/1708525
- S. Mills, "Electronic Health Records and Use of Clinical Decision Support," *Crit Care Nurs Clin North Am*, vol. 31, no. 2, pp. 125–131, Jun. 2019, doi: 10.1016/j.cnc.2019.02.006.
- [18] S. A. Jeffcott, J. E. Ibrahim, and P. A. Cameron, "Resilience in healthcare and clinical handover," *BMJ Qual Saf*, vol. 18, no. 4, pp. 256–260, Aug. 2009, doi: 10.1136/qshc.2008.030163.
- [19] O. A. Adenuga, R. M. Kekwaletswe, and A. Coleman, "eHealth integration and interoperability issues: Towards a solution

through enterprise architecture," *Health Inf Sci Syst*, vol. 3, no. 1, Dec. 2015, doi: 10.1186/S13755-015-0009-7.

- [20] F. A. Satti, T. Ali, J. Hussain, W. A. Khan, A. M. Khattak, and S. Lee, "Ubiquitous Health Profile (UHPr): a big data curation platform for supporting health data interoperability," *Computing*, vol. 102, no. 11, pp. 2409–2444, Nov. 2020, doi: 10.1007/S00607-020-00837-2/FIGURES/8.
- [21] J. O. Omisola, J. O. Shiyanbola, and G. O. Osho, "A Predictive Quality Assurance Model Using Lean Six Sigma: Integrating FMEA, SPC, and Root Cause Analysis for Zero-Defect Production Systems," Unknown Journal, 2020.
- [22] J. O. Omisola, J. O. Shiyanbola, and G. O. Osho, "A Systems-Based Framework for ISO 9000 Compliance: Applying Statistical Quality Control and Continuous Improvement Tools in US Manufacturing," Unknown Journal, 2020.
- [23] W. W. Feaster et al., "Clinician Cognition and Artificial Intelligence in Medicine," Intelligence-Based Medicine: Artificial Intelligence and Human Cognition in Clinical Medicine and Healthcare, pp. 193–266, Jan. 2020, doi: 10.1016/B978-0-12-823337-5.00007-X.
- [24] H. Hemingway, G. S. Feder, N. K. Fitzpatrick, S. Denaxas, A. D. Shah, and A. D. Timmis, "Using nationwide 'big data' from linked electronic health records to help improve outcomes in cardiovascular diseases: 33 studies using methods from epidemiology, informatics, economics and social science in the ClinicAl disease research using LInked Bespoke studies and Electronic health Records (CALIBER) programme," *Programme Grants for Applied Research*, vol. 5, no. 4, pp. 1–330, Jan. 2017, doi: 10.3310/PGFAR05040;
- [25] A. Auraaen, K. Saar, N. K.-O. H. Working, and undefined 2020, "System governance towards improved patient safety: key functions, approaches and pathways to implementation," *search.proquest.com*, Accessed: Jun. 05, 2025.
 [Online]. Available: https://search.proquest.com/openview/5104f6

1a86e92429b8b5e8358e71de55/1?pqorigsite=gscholar&cbl=54484

- [26] A. Kaushik and A. Raman, "The new datadriven enterprise architecture for e-healthcare: Lessons from the indian public sector," *Gov Inf Q*, vol. 32, no. 1, pp. 63–74, 2015, doi: 10.1016/J.GIQ.2014.11.002.
- [27] P. Li *et al.*, "Promoting secondary analysis of electronic medical records in china: Summary of the plagh-mit critical data conference and health datathon," *JMIR Med Inform*, vol. 5, no. 4, Oct. 2017, doi: 10.2196/MEDINFORM.7380.
- [28] C. S. Kruse, R. Goswamy, Y. Raval, and S. Marawi, "Challenges and opportunities of big data in health care: A systematic review," *JMIR Med Inform*, vol. 4, no. 4, Oct. 2016, doi: 10.2196/MEDINFORM.5359.
- [29] J. Everson and J. Adler-Milstein, "Gaps in health information exchange between hospitals that treat many shared patients," *Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association*, vol. 25, no. 9, pp. 1114–1121, Sep. 2018, doi: 10.1093/JAMIA/OCY089.
- [30] N. Yuan, R. A. Dudley, W. J. Boscardin, and G. A. Lin, "Electronic health records systems and hospital clinical performance: A study of nationwide hospital data," *Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association*, vol. 26, no. 10, pp. 999–1009, Jun. 2019, doi: 10.1093/JAMIA/OCZ092.
- [31] I. Eaton and M. McNett, "Protecting the data: Security and privacy," Data for Nurses: Understanding and Using Data to Optimize Care Delivery in Hospitals and Health Systems, pp. 87–99, Jan. 2019, doi: 10.1016/B978-0-12-816543-0.00006-6.
- [32] A. Geissbuhler *et al.*, "Trustworthy reuse of health data: A transnational perspective," *Int J Med Inform*, vol. 82, no. 1, pp. 1–9, Jan. 2013, doi: 10.1016/J.IJMEDINF.2012.11.003.
- [33] Y. R. Park *et al.*, "Utilization of a clinical trial management system for the whole clinical trial process as an integrated database: System development," *J Med Internet Res*, vol. 20, no. 4, Apr. 2018, doi: 10.2196/JMIR.9312.

- [34] S. Rosenbaum, "Data governance and stewardship: Designing data stewardship entities and advancing data access," *Health Serv Res*, vol. 45, no. 5 PART 2, pp. 1442– 1455, Oct. 2010, doi: 10.1111/J.1475-6773.2010.01140.X.
- [35] M. Thomas, Evaluating Electronic Health Records Interoperability Symbiotic Relationship to Information Management Governance Security Risks. 2019. Accessed: Jun. 05, 2025. [Online]. Available: https://search.proquest.com/openview/19b1d2 89dc52f8ed896a62bdf4e568b7/1?pqorigsite=gscholar&cbl=18750&diss=y
- [36] O. E. Akpe, J. C. Ogeawuchi, A. A. Abayomi, O. A. Agboola, and E. Ogbuefi, "A Conceptual Framework for Strategic Business Planning in Digitally Transformed Organizations," *Iconic Research and Engineering Journals*, vol. 4, no.
 4, pp. 207–222, 2020, [Online]. Available: https://www.irejournals.com/paperdetails/1708525
- [37] B. I. Ashiedu, E. Ogbuefi, S. Nwabekee, J. C. Ogeawuchi, and A. A. Abayomi, "Developing Financial Due Diligence Frameworks for Mergers and Acquisitions in Emerging Telecom Markets," *Iconic Research and Engineering Journals*, vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 183–196, 2020, [Online]. Available: https://www.irejournals.com/paper-details/1708562
- [38] A. C. Mgbame, O. E. Akpe, A. A. Abayomi, E. Ogbuefi, and O. O. Adeyelu, "Barriers and Enablers of BI Tool Implementation in Underserved SME Communities," *Iconic Research and Engineering Journals*, vol. 3, no. 7, pp. 211–226, 2020, [Online]. Available: https://www.irejournals.com/paper-details/1708221
- [39] J. O. Omisola, E. A. Etukudoh, O. K. Okenwa, and G. I. Tokunbo, "Geosteering Real-Time Geosteering Optimization Using Deep Learning Algorithms Integration of Deep Reinforcement Learning in Real-time Well Trajectory Adjustment to Maximize," Unknown Journal, 2020.

- [40] J. O. Omisola, P. E. Chima, O. K. Okenwa, and G. I. Tokunbo, "Green Financing and Investment Trends in Sustainable LNG Projects A Comprehensive Review," Unknown Journal, 2020.
- [41] G. O. Osho, "Building Scalable Blockchain Applications: A Framework for Leveraging Solidity and AWS Lambda in Real-World Asset Tokenization," Unknown Journal, 2020.
- [42] B. Levitan *et al.*, "Assessing the Financial Value of Patient Engagement: A Quantitative Approach from CTTI's Patient Groups and Clinical Trials Project," *Ther Innov Regul Sci*, vol. 52, no. 2, pp. 220–229, Mar. 2018, doi: 10.1177/2168479017716715.
- [43] S. Madon, S. Krishna, and E. Michael, "Health information systems, decentralisation and democratic accountability," *Public Administration and Development*, vol. 30, no.
 4, pp. 247–260, Oct. 2010, doi: 10.1002/PAD.571.
- [44] B. Boyce, "Emerging Technology and the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act," J Acad Nutr Diet, vol. 117, no. 4, pp. 517–518, Apr. 2017, doi: 10.1016/j.jand.2016.05.013.
- [45] T. Nind *et al.*, "The research data management platform (RDMP): A novel, process driven, open-source tool for the management of longitudinal cohorts of clinical data," *Gigascience*, vol. 7, no. 7, Jul. 2018, doi: 10.1093/GIGASCIENCE/GIY060.
- [46] H. S. Cha *et al.*, "The Korea cancer big data platform (K-CBP) for cancer research," *Int J Environ Res Public Health*, vol. 16, no. 13, Jul. 2019, doi: 10.3390/IJERPH16132290.
- [47] S. Hanney, S. Kuruvilla, B. Soper, and N. Mays, "Who needs what from a national health research system:Lessons from reforms to the English Department of Health's R&D system," *Health Res Policy Syst*, vol. 8, May 2010, doi: 10.1186/1478-4505-8-11.
- [48] F. Magrabi, J. Aarts, C. Nohr, M. Baker, ... S. H.-... journal of medical, and undefined 2013, "A comparative review of patient safety initiatives for national health information technology," *Elsevier*, Accessed: Jun. 05,

© MAR 2020 | IRE Journals | Volume 3 Issue 9 | ISSN: 2456-8880

2025. [Online]. Available: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/ pii/S1386505612002389

- [49] C. Allen *et al.*, "Data Governance and Data Sharing Agreements for Community-Wide Health Information Exchange: Lessons from the Beacon Communities," *EGEMS*, vol. 2, no. 1, p. 1057, Apr. 2014, doi: 10.13063/2327-9214.1057.
- [50] "Data Governance and Data Sharing Agreements for Community-Wide Health Information Exchange: Lessons from the Beacon Communities - PMC." Accessed: Jun. 05, 2025. [Online]. Available: https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC437 1395/
- [51] A. Ali, R. Pinciroli, F. Yan, and E. Smirni, "Batch: Machine learning inference serving on serverless platforms with adaptive batching," *International Conference for High Performance Computing, Networking, Storage and Analysis, SC*, vol. 2020-November, Nov. 2020, doi: 10.1109/SC41405.2020.00073.
- [52] A. C. Mgbame, O. E. Akpe, A. A. Abayomi, E. Ogbuefi, and O. O. Adeyelu, "Barriers and enablers of BI tool implementation in underserved SME communities," *Iconic Research and Engineering Journals*, vol. 3, no. 7, pp. 211–220, 2020, [Online]. Available: https://www.irejournals.com/paperdetails/1708221
- [53] T. P. Gbenle, J. C. Ogeawuchi, A. A. Abayomi, O. A. Agboola, and A. C. Uzoka, "Advances in Cloud Infrastructure Deployment Using AWS Services for Small and Medium Enterprises," *Iconic Research And Engineering Journals*, vol. 3, no. 11, pp. 365–381, 2020, [Online]. Available: https://www.irejournals.com/paperdetails/1708522
- [54] by R. Charles Ratzlaff, "Lifetime physical activity and osteoarthritis," 2011, doi: 10.14288/1.0072049.
- [55] L. Lin *et al.*, "Development and implementation of a dynamically updated big data intelligence platform from electronic health records for nasopharyngeal carcinoma research," *British Journal of Radiology*, vol.

92, no. 1102, 2019, doi: 10.1259/BJR.20190255.

- [56] N. G. Weiskopf and C. Weng, "Methods and dimensions of electronic health record data quality assessment: Enabling reuse for clinical research," *Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association*, vol. 20, no. 1, pp. 144–151, 2013, doi: 10.1136/AMIAJNL-2011-000681.
- [57] I. Perez-Pozuelo *et al.*, "The future of sleep health: a data-driven revolution in sleep science and medicine," *npj Digital Medicine 2020 3:1*, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 1–15, Mar. 2020, doi: 10.1038/s41746-020-0244-4.
- [58] J. Schwartz and T. Roth, "Neurophysiology of Sleep and Wakefulness: Basic Science and Clinical Implications," *Curr Neuropharmacol*, vol. 6, no. 4, pp. 367–378, Feb. 2009, doi: 10.2174/157015908787386050;
- [59] "JMIR Medical Informatics - Big Data Health Platform With Care Multisource Heterogeneous Data Integration and Massive High-Dimensional Data Governance for Large Hospitals: Design, Development, and Application." Accessed: Jun. 06, 2025. [Online]. Available: https://medinform.jmir.org/2022/4/e36481/
- [60] M. Štufi, B. Bačić, and L. Stoimenov, "Big data analytics and processing platform in Czech Republic healthcare," *Applied Sciences* (Switzerland), vol. 10, no. 5, Mar. 2020, doi: 10.3390/APP10051705.
- [61] S. M. Bertisch *et al.*, "Insomnia with objective short sleep duration and risk of incident cardiovascular disease and all-cause mortality: Sleep Heart Health Study," *Sleep*, vol. 41, no. 6, Jun. 2018, doi: 10.1093/SLEEP/ZSY047;
- [62] J. O. Omisola, E. A. Etukudoh, O. K. Okenwa, and G. I. Tokunbo, "Innovating Project Delivery and Piping Design for Sustainability in the Oil and Gas Industry: A Conceptual Framework," *Perception*, vol. 24, pp. 28–35, 2020.
- [63] J. O. Omisola, E. A. Etukudoh, O. K. Okenwa, G. I. T. Olugbemi, and E. Ogu, "Geomechanical Modeling for Safe and Efficient Horizontal Well Placement Analysis

of Stress Distribution and Rock Mechanics to Optimize Well Placement and Minimize Drilling," *Unknown Journal*, 2020.

- [64] T. B. Murdoch and A. S. Detsky, "The inevitable application of big data to health care," *JAMA*, vol. 309, no. 13, pp. 1351–1352, Apr. 2013, doi: 10.1001/JAMA.2013.393.
- [65] N. Terry, "Existential challenges for healthcare data protection in the United States," *Ethics Med Public Health*, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 19–27, Jan. 2017, doi: 10.1016/J.JEMEP.2017.02.007.
- [66] K. A. Wager, J. P. Glaser, and F. W. Lee, "Managing health care information systems : a practical approach for health care executives / Karen A. Wager, Frances Wickham Lee, John P. Glaser ; foreword by Lawton Robert Burns," 2005, Accessed: Jun. 06, 2025. [Online]. Available: https://books.google.com/books/about/Managi ng_Health_Care_Information_Systems.html?i d=qqDdRItUiI8C
- [67] P. E. Mbondji, D. Kebede, E. W. Soumbey-Alley, C. Zielinski, W. Kouvividila, and P. S. Lusamba-Dikassa, "Health information systems in Africa: Descriptive analysis of data sources, information products and health statistics," *J R Soc Med*, vol. 107, pp. 34–45, 2014, doi: 10.1177/0141076814531750.
- [68] M. A. Gianfrancesco, S. Tamang, J. Yazdany, and G. Schmajuk, "Potential Biases in Machine Learning Algorithms Using Electronic Health Record Data," *JAMA Intern Med*, vol. 178, no. 11, pp. 1544–1547, Nov. 2018, doi: 10.1001/JAMAINTERNMED.2018.3763.
- [69] G. O. Osho, J. O. Omisola, and J. O. Shiyanbola, "A Conceptual Framework for AI-Driven Predictive Optimization in Industrial Engineering: Leveraging Machine Learning for Smart Manufacturing Decisions," Unknown Journal, 2020.
- [70] N. Woods and G. Babatunde, "A robust ensemble model for spoken language recognition," *Applied Computer Science*, vol. 16, no. 3, pp. 56–68, 2020, doi: 10.23743/acs-2020-21.
- [71] O. O. Oleribe *et al.*, "Identifying key challenges facing healthcare systems in Africa

and potential solutions," *Int J Gen Med*, vol. 12, pp. 395–403, 2019, doi: 10.2147/IJGM.S223882.

- [72] "Existential challenges for healthcare data protection in the United States -ScienceDirect." Accessed: Jun. 05, 2025.
 [Online]. Available: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/ pii/S2352552517300099
- [73] J. Evans, S. McKemmish, and G. Rolan, "Participatory information governance: Transforming recordkeeping for childhood outof-home Care," *Records Management Journal*, vol. 29, no. 1–2, pp. 178–193, Mar. 2019, doi: 10.1108/RMJ-09-2018-0041/FULL/PDF.
- [74] L. Rusu and R. P. Tenga, "IT governance in the healthcare sector: A case study of a public and private hospital in Tanzania," *Int J Inf Syst Change Manag*, vol. 4, no. 4, pp. 314–337, 2010, doi: 10.1504/IJISCM.2010.036915.
- [75] P. Coorevits *et al.*, "Electronic health records: new opportunities for clinical research," *J Int Med*, vol. 274, no. 6, pp. 547–560, Dec. 2013, doi: 10.1111/joim.12119.
- [76] M. Peleg, "Computer-interpretable clinical guidelines: A methodological review," J Biomed Inform, vol. 46, no. 4, pp. 744–763, Aug. 2013, doi: 10.1016/j.jbi.2013.06.009.
- [77] E. L. Abramson *et al.*, "Physician experiences transitioning between an older versus newer electronic health record for electronic prescribing," *Int J Med Inform*, vol. 81, no. 8, pp. 539–548, Aug. 2012, doi: 10.1016/J.IJMEDINF.2012.02.010.
- [78] L. E. Penrod, "Electronic Health Record Transition Considerations," *PM and R*, vol. 9, no. 5, pp. S13–S18, May 2017, doi: 10.1016/J.PMRJ.2017.01.009.
- [79] D. Jiang and G. Shi, "Research on Data Security and Privacy Protection of Wearable Equipment in Healthcare," *J Healthc Eng*, vol. 2021, 2021, doi: 10.1155/2021/6656204.
- [80] J. McKimm and T. Swanwick, "Educational leadership and management," Understanding Medical Education: Evidence, Theory, and Practice, pp. 549–568, Oct. 2018, doi: 10.1002/9781119373780.CH37;JOURNAL:J

OURNAL:BOOKS;WGROUP:STRING:PUB LICATION.

- [81] T. Greenhalgh *et al.*, "Frameworks for supporting patient and public involvement in research: Systematic review and co-design pilot," *Health Expectations*, vol. 22, no. 4, pp. 785–801, Aug. 2019, doi: 10.1111/HEX.12888')).
- [82] B. Hwabamungu, I. Brown, and Q. Williams, "Stakeholder influence in public sector information systems strategy implementation—The case of public hospitals in South Africa," *Int J Med Inform*, vol. 109, pp. 39–48, Jan. 2018, doi: 10.1016/J.IJMEDINF.2017.11.002.
- [83] A. Benning *et al.*, "Large scale organisational intervention to improve patient safety in four UK hospitals: mixed method evaluation," *BMJ*, vol. 342, no. 7793, p. 369, Feb. 2011, doi: 10.1136/bmj.d195.
- [84] C. Savory, "Patient and public involvement in translative healthcare research," *Clin Gov*, vol. 15, no. 3, pp. 191–199, 2010, doi: 10.1108/14777271011063823.
- [85] S. Katuu, "Healthcare systems: typologies, framework models, and South Africa's health sector," *International Journal of Health Governance*, vol. 23, no. 2, pp. 134–148, May 2018, doi: 10.1108/IJHG-10-2017-0054.
- [86] L. Rajabion, A. A. Shaltooki, M. Taghikhah, A. Ghasemi, and A. Badfar, "Healthcare big data processing mechanisms: The role of cloud computing," *Int J Inf Manage*, vol. 49, pp. 271– 289, Dec. 2019, doi: 10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2019.05.017.
- [87] L. Samal *et al.*, "Care coordination gaps due to lack of interoperability in the United States: A qualitative study and literature review," *BMC Health Serv Res*, vol. 16, no. 1, pp. 1–8, Apr. 2016, doi: 10.1186/S12913-016-1373-Y/TABLES/6.
- [88] J. M. Ray et al., "Six habits of highly successful health information technology: Powerful strategies for design and implementation," *Journal of the American Medical Informatics* Association, vol. 26, no. 10, pp. 1109–1114, Jun. 2019, doi: 10.1093/JAMIA/OCZ098.

- [89] K. Han, Y. Chang, and J. Hahn, "Information technology spillover and productivity: The role of information technology intensity and competition," *J. Manag. Inf. Syst.*, vol. 28, no. 1, pp. 115–145, Jul. 2011, doi: 10.2753/mis0742-1222280105.
- [90] T. Greenhalgh *et al.*, "Frameworks for supporting patient and public involvement in research: Systematic review and co-design pilot," *Health Expectations*, vol. 22, no. 4, pp. 785–801, Aug. 2019, doi: 10.1111/HEX.12888;PAGEGROUP:STRING :PUBLICATION.
- [91] A. Hamel, "Innovating in Health Care-Framework How to Innovate in Health Care," 2013, Accessed: Jun. 05, 2025. [Online]. Available: www.hbsp.harvard.edu/educators.
- [92] C. Rojas and C. A. Seckman, "The informatics nurse specialist role in electronic health record usability evaluation," *Comput Inform Nurs*, vol. 32, pp. 214–220, 2014.
- [93] R. A. Greenes, "Clinical decision support. The road to broad adoption," 2014, *Academic Press, London.*
- [94] "Electronic Health Records and Use of Clinical Decision Support - Critical Care Nursing Clinics." Accessed: Jun. 06, 2025. [Online]. Available: https://www.cenursing.theclinics.com/article/S 0899-5885(19)30008-5/abstract
- [95] S. J. Carson and M. Ghosh, "An Integrated Power and Efficiency Model of Contractual Channel Governance: Theory and Empirical Evidence," *J Mark*, vol. 83, no. 4, pp. 101–120, Jul. 2019, doi: 10.1177/0022242919843914/SUPPL_FILE/D S_10.1177_0022242919843914.DOCX.
- [96] A. De Waal, "Governance implications of epidemic disease in Africa: updating the agenda for COVID-19," Apr. 2020, Accessed: May 28, 2025. [Online]. Available: http://www.lse.ac.uk/internationaldevelopment/conflict-and-civilsociety/conflict-researchprogramme/publications
- [97] G. O. Osho, "Decentralized Autonomous Organizations (DAOs): A Conceptual Model

for Community-Owned Banking and Financial Governance," *Unknown Journal*, 2020.

- [98] R. Crichton, D. Moodley, A. Pillay, R. Gakuba, and C. J. Seebregts, "An architecture and reference implementation of an open health information mediator: Enabling interoperability in the Rwandan health information exchange," *Lecture Notes in Computer Science (including subseries Lecture Notes in Artificial Intelligence and Lecture Notes in Bioinformatics)*, vol. 7789 LNCS, pp. 87–104, 2013, doi: 10.1007/978-3-642-39088-3 6.
- [99] A. Cassell, "The role of informatics and electronic health record in current medical practice: What are the benefits of medical informatics to the clinician?," *Resident's Handbook of Medical Quality and Safety*, pp. 29–32, Apr. 2016, doi: 10.1007/978-3-319-24190-6_5.
- [100] A. Pollock, B. S. George, M. Fenton, S. Crowe, and L. Firkins, "Development of a new model to engage patients and clinicians in setting research priorities," *J Health Serv Res Policy*, vol. 19, no. 1, pp. 12–18, Jan. 2014, doi: 10.1177/1355819613500665.