Research Triangulation: Enhancing Reliability in Social Research

JANUARIS NTHENGE MBATHA[1], ANNASTACIA MWENDE MWOVA[2]
[1,2]Training department, Kenya School of Government

Abstract- The main aim of this paper is to give in-depth insights on research triangulation as a strategic approach to augmenting the validity, reliability, and generalizability of social research findings. Social research phenomena are not only diverse but also complex, and this calls for researchers to appreciate the limitations of overly relying on single method or perspective. To circumvent these challenges, research triangulation through integration of multiple methodologies, theories, types of data, and researchers' viewpoints offer not only comprehensive but also a rigorous framework for the research process. The paper delineates the conceptual foundations of research triangulation, highlighting the four key types: methodological, data, investigator and theory. Further, the critical considerations underpinning the planning and undertaking research triangulation, encapsulating philosophical alignment, skill requirements, and resource management. Premising its arguments on empirical literature, the paper forefront the value of triangulation in mitigating against bias, promoting methodological diversity, whilst facilitating in-depth multifaceted insights into complex social phenomena. Finally, the paper justifies the stance, research triangulation is not an optional but a critical component in enhancing robust, valid, reliable and contextually grounded social research.

Indexed Terms- Empiricism, Generalizability, Qualitative, Quantitative, Reliability, Triangulation, Validity

I. INTRODUCTION

In contemporary research practice, particularly within the African and Kenyan academic landscapes, the need for methodological rigor and contextual depth has continued to pile pressure on adoption of research triangulation. As researchers grapple with complexities of social realities spanning governance dynamics in rural communities, cosmopolitan settlements to the fast paced urbanization, there is general consensus that no single method will be able to capture the intricacies of the human experiences. Central to this methodological dictate is the thrust to generate findings that are not only reliable but also expressive of lived experiences. This is where research triangulation becomes indispensable.

Research triangulation entails the strategic use of multiple approaches to cross-verify research findings and augment both the validity and reliability of research outputs (Bans-Akutey & Tiimub, 2021). It goes beyond just mere mixing of approaches to; it is a deliberate strategy of blending perspectives that facilitates researchers to reduce bias, deepen inquiry and produce research findings with greeter explanatory power. Nickel et al. (1995) posit, triangulation strengthens the credibility of the results by premising them on several sources of evidence. Likewise, Singleton et al. (1985) weighs in by alluding that triangulation drives confidence in research by guaranteeing consistent verification from diverse approaches of inquiry. It allows an in-depth examination of a phenomenon by going beyond the blending of quantitative and qualitative approaches to integration of data collection techniques, theoretical inclinations and consideration of different investigators viewpoints (Bednarz, 1985).

As Asogwa (2023) highlights, triangulation is especially vital in social science research, where human behavior and people interactions can rarely be set into predefined categories. Consequently, relying on one approach can land us in the danger of highlighting one angle of a phenomenon or even worse still coming up with misleading interpretations. Integrating diverse methods enables scholars to

confront methodological blind spots and unearth layers of meaning that might otherwise be missed.

Within the African research context, where social realities are shaped by intersecting strata of tradition, modernity, culture, and politics, triangulation allows more richer and context specific interpretations. For instance, in studying public participation in devolution or the interplay between informal economies and formal structures, a single approach might not yield exhaustive conclusions. Triangulation enables scholars to explore these issues with greater depth blending survey data with ethnographic accounts and policy analysis to develop a more intricate understanding of the phenomenon.

In this paper, practical and theoretical value of research triangulation, particularly in the context of applied social research will be explored. The discussion will unpack the concept of triangulation, outline its methodological considerations, and illustrate the key advantages it offers especially for researchers seeking to conduct rigorous, context-sensitive studies in dynamic social environments such as those found in Kenya and the broader African region

II. CONCEPTUAL CLARIFICATION AND LITERATURE REVIEW

In the pursuit of reliable and valid social research, researchers are increasingly turning to triangulation as a methodological anchor with key aim of enhancing the reliability and validity of findings. As Neil (2010) contends, triangulation plays a vital role in addressing the limitations inherent in single-method approaches, thereby enabling researchers to arrive at conclusions that are both detailed and defensible. Olsen (2004) delineates four core forms of research triangulation that are commonly employed to improve the accuracy and depth of inquiry: methodological, data, investigator, and theoretical triangulation. Each of these forms contributes uniquely to the strength and trustworthiness of research outcomes.

Methodological triangulation entails the use of more than one research method to investigate the same phenomenon. This can take the form of within-method triangulation, where variations of a single method (e.g., using both structured and semi-structured interviews) are applied, or between-method triangulation, which integrates both quantitative and qualitative approaches. Vivek et al. (2023) posit that such combinations yield better-off and more reliable results than those derived from any single method. A word of caution is issued to researchers because drawbacks on one method are not necessarily compensated by another method. Hence verification of the sanctity of both qualitative and quantitative approaches is important. (Asogwa et al., 2023). This is especially true in social contexts, where combining statistical surveys with interviews often reveals varied nature of social dynamics.

Data triangulation involves comparing data gathered through different instruments or procedures to confirm consistency and reliability. It is particularly useful in settings where data quality may be influenced by environmental, cultural, or institutional factors. By employing multiple data collection strategies, researchers can test whether observations or patterns persist across varying contexts. As Mondai et al. (2021) argue, when consistent themes emerge from diverse data sources, the credibility of research conclusions is significantly enhanced.

Investigator triangulation, which engages multiple researchers, interviewers, or analysts in the examination of data, aims to reduce subjectivity and enhance objectivity in interpretation. For instance in cases where multidisciplinary teams are engaged in policy research, this approach aid in detection of inconsistencies, reduction of biases and enhance inter rater reliability. Bans-Akutei and Tiimub (2021) assert that drawing from diverse academic or professional perspectives ultimately strengthens the analytic rigor of a study.

Finally, theoretical triangulation allows for the application of different theoretical lenses to a single research problem. Social phenomena such as youth unemployment, gender-based violence, or participatory governance often defy explanation through a single theory. Thurmond (2001) notes that drawing on multiple frameworks enriches interpretation and facilitates a deeper understanding of complex realities. In African contexts, where

indigenous knowledge systems often intersect with modern theory, it is in no doubt theoretical triangulation allows scholars to frame analysis in ways that are both globally relevant and locally meaningful.

Overall, the literature affirms that triangulation is not simply a methodological choice but a scholarly commitment to integrity, thoroughness, and inclusivity in the research process. Its value is particularly evident in social research, where understanding varied human experiences requires more than one perspective or instrument.

III. KEY CONSIDERATIONS BEHIND RESEARCH TRIANGULATION

Deliberate planning and reflection should precede any research triangulation. Bowers et al. (2013), Creswell and Plano Clark (2011), Halcomb and Andrew (2009), and O'Cathain (2009), content that successful triangulation requires more than the mere combination of methods. It should be driven by critical alignment between the research design, philosophical orientation, and practical realities of the study. These considerations are particularly pertinent for researchers working in complex social environments, such as Kenya and other parts of Africa, where contextual sensitivity and methodological flexibility are essential.

Several reflections have been identified to inform robust research triangulation:

a). Examining the rationale for mixed methods: it is advisable at the onset for researchers to build clarity on the research question(s). Several scholars have made a case to this effect. For instance Creswell and Plano Clark (2011), Halcomb and Andrew (2009) outline, research questions should drive the selection and integration of qualitative and quantitative components. Mixing methods for comprehensiveness is just a necessary condition. Generating deeper and more reliable insights should be the driving force. Researchers must be able to interrogate the value of each method against resource requirement and technical endowment of the team.

b). exploring the philosophical orientation: the world view affecting how researchers interpret reality should be examined. The congruence of researcher's philosophical orientation and the nature of the problem understudy should be interrogated. The coherence between worldview and methodological choice lends integrity to the research process. For instance, a pragmatic orientation may support the use of both positivist and constructivist approaches in a study on community health interventions or education reform in rural Kenya.

c). Understanding Mixed Methods Designs: good grounding on mixed method design is essential. Familiarity with designs like convergent, sequential or embedded will go a long way in assessing their suitability for the research purpose. Each design has unique strengths and limitations, and the sequencing of data collection (whether concurrent or staggered) significantly affects both the analysis and interpretation. Awareness of these dynamics helps avoid methodological missteps that could undermine the reliability of findings (Bowers et al., 2013).

d). assessing skill requirements: Mixed methods research is skill-intensive. It demands proficiency in both quantitative and qualitative approaches, including data collection, analysis, and integration. Bowers et al. (2013) advocate for the inclusion of team members with complementary expertise, especially in projects that require high-level statistical analysis and qualitative interpretation. This is particularly relevant in multidisciplinary teams tackling issues such as governance, health, or development in African contexts.

e). Reviewing project management factors: Effective planning is essential to manage the expanded scope of triangulated research. As Halcomb and Andrew (2009) argue, resource allocation must be carefully considered. Mixed methods research often entails longer timelines, more extensive data handling, and more demanding coordination than single-method studies. In resource-constrained environments, this calls for pragmatic strategies to maximize efficiency without compromising consistency.

f). Planning and justifying integration: One of the defining features of triangulation is the integration of

data across methods. Researchers must clearly articulate how the qualitative and quantitative approaches will be combined whether through integration, connection, or embedding. This decision should be guided by the research objectives and justified with respect to methodological coherence. Poorly planned integration may dilute the strength of the findings, while a well-executed strategy can yield rich, multidimensional insights.

g). Ensuring rigor throughout the process: Credible triangulation demands methodological rigor at every stage. Creswell et al. (2011) underscore the importance of justifying key decisions and maintaining transparency throughout the research process. Researchers should document the rationale for their design choices, demonstrate consistency in application, and actively address potential biases. This ensures that the research stands up to scholarly scrutiny and produces findings that can inform theory, policy, and practice.

h). Disseminating mixed methods research proudly: Finally, the dissemination of triangulated findings must be strategic. As O'Cathain (2009) notes, researchers may choose between a segregated model, where findings from each method are presented separately, or an integrated model, which combines them within a single narrative or series of outputs. The choice depends on the audience, the nature of the findings, and the overall research aims. For African scholars, particularly those contributing to public discourse or policy, an integrated dissemination model may offer a more compelling way to disseminate the research findings.

IV. ADVANTAGES OF RESEARCH TRIANGULATION

Triangulation offers a pragmatic and theoretically sound pathway to uncovering deeper truths. One of the most significant advantages of methodological triangulation is its ability to reduce biases and strengthen findings by integrating diverse research approaches (Ajemba & Chinwe, 2022). Researchers are able to cross-validate data, allowing for a more holistic and accurate interpretation of the phenomenon under study (Hayashi et al., 2019).

Adoption of several approaches not only improves the accuracy of results but also contributes to a deeper understanding of the subject matter. As Choy (2014) notes, methodological triangulation promotes innovation by encouraging researchers to step beyond conventional boundaries, applying multiple lenses to complex research problems. This fosters a deeper analytical engagement and enhances the overall credibility of the study. Moreover, triangulation mitigates the risk of one single approach and ensures research outcomes are not informed by assumptions of a single approach (Bekhet et al., 2012).

By virtue of combining several data sources, the quality of the data is enhanced. For instance when you combine surveys with informal interviews or focus group discussions, you are likely o get more detailed and honest responses. As Asogwa et al. (2023) emphasize, this methodological blending not only increases the depth and richness of data but also captures diverse social realities, especially in settings where trust, language, or cultural variabilities may impact participation and honest responses.

Use of multiple investigators facilitates tapping from diverse backgrounds thereby reducing the risk of individual bias and enhances the inter-subjectivity of findings. Bans-Akutey and Tiimub (2021) argue that such collaborative processes produce more credible and widely accepted results, particularly in studies where social, political, or cultural interpretations vary significantly.

It promotes completeness of research by bringing on board multiple perspectives, methodologies and data sources. This broadens the scope of inquiry and facilitates a more comprehensive inquiry on complex issues (Asogwa et al., 2023). In contexts like Kenya, where social problems often intersect with governance, historical legacies, and economic disparities, such a comprehensive approach is indispensable. Adami (2005) affirms that triangulation provides researchers with a pathway toward developing a multi-faceted view of the phenomenon, which is less susceptible to the limitations of isolated methods.

Triangulation encourages theoretical pluralism, enabling researchers to interpret data through diverse

conceptual frameworks. This not only enriches the analytical process but also ensures that findings resonate across various academic and policy contexts. As Asogwa et al. (2023) note, the integration of different worldviews facilitates deeper understanding and promotes inclusive, context-sensitive interpretations. It also ensures that stakeholder needs and multiple truths are meaningfully represented in the research narrative.

The power of research triangulation in driving comparative analysis cnnot be overemphasized. It aids in analysis and identification of underlying patterns across methods, thus providing insights that would be difficult to achieve through a single methodological lens (Oppermann 2000). It ultimately triangulation strengthens the researcher's ability to detect inconsistencies and contradictions, refine interpretations, and enhance the rigor of both the research process and outcomes (Bans-Akutei &Tiimub, 2021).

Lastly, triangulation enhances the generalizability of research findings. By drawing from diverse methods, data sources, and theoretical standpoints, researchers are better positioned to produce conclusions that are applicable across different populations or contexts a hallmark of robust scientific inquiry. As Neil (2010) rightly observes, research triangulation is indispensable in ensuring that findings are not simply the product of researcher bias or methodological limitations, but reflect a balanced, validated, and trustworthy understanding of social reality.

CONCLUSION

Research triangulation stands out as a vital methodological approach in advancing the rigor and trustworthiness of social science inquiry. By purposefully integrating multiple data sources, theoretical frameworks, and researcher perspectives, triangulation mitigates the limitations of singular approaches and enhances the validity, reliability, and generalizability of findings. This approach empowers researchers to cross-validate data, expose contradictions, and refine interpretations, thus producing insights that are not only empirically grounded but also contextually rich. Moreover, triangulation supports a more holistic understanding of phenomena by illuminating different dimensions of a problem that may otherwise remain hidden when viewed through a single perspective.

When thoughtfully applied, research triangulation does more than just strengthen findings it enhances the credibility of research as a tool for informing practice, shaping policy, and contributing to grounded knowledge. For scholars and practitioners alike, especially within the African research community, triangulation offers a powerful framework for producing targeted, meaningful, and actionable evidence.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

REFEERENCES

[1] Adami, M.F., & Kiger, A. (2005). The use of triangulation for completeness purposes. Journal of Nurse Researcher. 12(4), 19-29. https://doi.org/10.7748/nr3005.04.12.4.19.c5956

[2] Asogwa, V., C., Hamisu, M., & Ede, M. (2023). Methodological triangulation in education research: Pros and cons. Propellers journal of education,2(1), 7987.https://ijvocter.com/pjed/article/view/122

[3] Bans-Akutey, A., & Tiimub, B. M. (2021). Triangulationinresearch.https://doi.org/10.20935/AL33922

[4] Bednarz D. (1985). Quantity and quality in evaluation research: A divergent view. Journal of Evaluation and Program Planning, 8(4): 289-306.https://doi.org/10.1016/0149-7189(85)90024-2

[5] Bekhet A., & Zauszniewski, J. (2020). Methodological Triangulation: An Approach to understanding data. Journal of Nurse

Researcher.20(2),40-43.
https://doi.org/10.7748/nr2012.11.20.2.40.c944
2

[6] Choy, L.T. (2014). The Strength and Weakness of Research Methodology: Comparison and complimentary between qualitative and quantitative approaches. IOSR Journal of Humanities and Social Science, 19(4), 99–104.

[7] Creswell, J. W., & Plano Clark, V. L. (2011). Designing and conducting mixed methods research, Thousand Oaks, California, Sage Publications

[8] Hayashi, P., Abib, G., & Hoppen, N. (2019). Validity in qualitative research: A processual approach. The Qualitative Report, 24(1), 98-112. https://nsuworks.nova.edu/tqr/vol24/issl/8

[9] Mondai, S., & Samaddar, K. (2021). Issues and challenges in implementing the sharing economy in tourism: A triangulation study. Journal of Management of Environmental Quality, 32 (1), 64–81. https://doi.org/10.11108/ME0-03-2020-0054.

[10] Neil, J.S. (2010). Encyclopedia of Research Design.http://dx.doi.org/10.4135/978141296128 8.n469

[11] Nickel, B., Berger, M., Schmidt, P., & Plies, K. (1995). Qualitative sampling in a multi-methodsurvey: practical problems of method triangulation in sexual behavior research. Journal of Qual Quant, 3(29), 233-240. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01101971

[12] O'Cathain, A. (2009). Reporting mixed methods projects. In Andrew, S., & Halcomb, E. J. (Eds.), Mixed Methods Research for Nursing and the Health Sciences. Wiley-Blackwell Publishing

[13] Olsen, W. (2004). Triangulation in social research: qualitative and quantitative methods canreally be mixed. Journal of Developments in Sociology, 20(1) 103-118.

[14] Singleton, R.A., & Straits, B. C. (2017). Approaches to Social Research. (6th ed.). Oxford University Press.

[15] Thurmond, V. A. (2001). The point of triangulation. Journal of Nursing Scholarship, 33(3),253-8. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1547-5069.2001.00253.x

[16] Vivek, R., Nanthagopan, Y., & Piriyatharshan, S. (2023). Beyond methods: Theoretical underpinnings of triangulation in qualitative and multi-method studies. Journal of SEEU Review, 18(2). https://doi.org/10.2478/seeur-2023-0088