A Data-Driven Model for Subsea Umbilical Integrity Monitoring Using Hydraulic Line Behavior and Flow Deviation Analytics

MALVERN IHEANYICHUKWU ODUM[1], IDUATE DIGITEMIE JASON[2], DAZOK DONALD JAMBOL[3]
[1]*Shell Nigeria Exploration and Production Company (SNEPCo), Nigeria*
[2]*Independent Researcher, Nigeria*
[3]*Shell Petroleum Development Company of Nigeria Ltd, Nigeria*

Abstract- Subsea umbilicals are vital for delivering hydraulic power, chemical injection, and control signals to subsea production systems. Their continuous and reliable operation is critical to offshore oil and gas infrastructure. However, current inspection-based integrity strategies are reactive, resource-intensive, and often fail to detect progressive failures such as internal leakage, flow restrictions, or fatigue damage. This paper presents a novel data-driven model for real-time integrity monitoring of subsea umbilicals, utilizing hydraulic line behavior and flow deviation analytics. The model employs high-resolution pressure and flow sensor data collected via a distributed acquisition architecture and processed through advanced feature engineering techniques to capture critical indicators such as pressure gradients, flow variability, and behavioral deviations. Core analytical components include statistical thresholding and machine learning-based anomaly detection, enabling the identification of abnormal flow patterns linked to specific failure modes. The model introduces hydraulic behavior signatures, distinct pressure-flow interaction profiles, as diagnostic tools to classify degradation types. Importantly, the framework supports continuous learning and real-time updating, ensuring adaptability to changing operational conditions and reducing false positives. By providing early warnings of integrity threats, this approach enhances operational safety, minimizes downtime, and supports predictive maintenance. The study advances the field of offshore asset management by integrating real-time analytics with intelligent system diagnostics for subsea infrastructure.

Indexed Terms- Subsea Umbilical Monitoring, Flow Deviation Analytics, Hydraulic Behavior Signatures, Data-Driven Integrity Assessment, Real-Time Anomaly Detection

I. INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background and Industry Challenge

Subsea umbilicals are critical lifelines in offshore oil and gas production, functioning as multi-core conduits that deliver hydraulic power, chemical injections, electrical signals, and fiber-optic communication to subsea equipment [1, 2]. These assemblies are designed to withstand high-pressure, high-temperature environments and extended operational lifespans in deepwater conditions. Their role in maintaining subsea valve operation, safety controls, and production stability makes their structural and functional integrity a priority in field development strategies [3, 4].

However, umbilicals are subject to a wide array of mechanical and environmental stresses over time. These include dynamic fatigue from wave and current loading, internal pressure cycling, and external impacts such as fishing gear or dropped objects [5, 6]. Thermal expansion and seabed movements can also induce strain or stress concentrations along the umbilical's length. Such stressors can lead to sheath breaches, internal hydraulic leaks, clogging of chemical lines, or complete hydraulic failure, each of which poses significant operational risks and potential downtime [7, 8].

The detection and diagnosis of these integrity issues remain a costly and complex endeavor. Traditional monitoring techniques rely heavily on manual inspections, periodic pigging, or invasive diagnostic tools, which may not detect evolving degradation until it reaches a critical threshold. Moreover, the logistics of deploying remotely operated vehicles or divers for physical inspection are not only expensive but also pose safety hazards and often lack precision when diagnosing internal failures [9].

Given these challenges, there is an increasing demand for continuous, non-intrusive monitoring approaches that can provide early warnings of failure. The integration of sensor-based diagnostics that capture flow and pressure data presents an opportunity to transition from reactive maintenance to predictive integrity management [10]. Real-time visibility into umbilical performance can substantially reduce downtime, optimize asset life, and improve overall field economics by preventing catastrophic failures before they occur [11, 12].

1.2 Motivation for a Data-Driven Approach

The offshore industry has traditionally relied on scheduled inspections, manual testing, and routine maintenance cycles to manage the integrity of critical infrastructure like umbilicals. While these methods can be effective for identifying obvious damage, they often fall short in detecting subtle and progressive failures such as internal hydraulic leakage, partial blockages, or localized fatigue. These issues may remain undetected between inspection intervals, only surfacing when system performance degrades or an emergency shutdown occurs [13, 14].

Furthermore, these conventional approaches are heavily resource-dependent. Mobilizing equipment and personnel for inspection in deepwater environments can cost millions of dollars, not including production losses during downtime. Even with regular maintenance, failures still occur, indicating that current strategies are inadequate for dynamic and complex offshore systems. There is a clear need to move from periodic inspection models to intelligent, condition-based monitoring frameworks that can adapt to changing system behavior in real-time [15, 16].

Advancements in sensor technologies and digital communication systems have enabled the continuous collection of high-frequency data from subsea components. These data streams, when properly analyzed, can provide insights into the health of hydraulic lines and detect abnormal patterns indicative of potential failures. This forms the foundation for a data-driven approach to umbilical monitoring, where algorithms and analytics replace subjective assessments and delayed diagnostics [17, 18].

Flow deviation analytics and hydraulic behavior modeling, in particular, offer a means to interpret data not just for anomaly detection, but for root-cause analysis. For instance, deviations from expected flow-pressure relationships can be early indicators of line obstructions, micro-leaks, or valve malfunctions. A data-driven model that learns baseline behavior and continuously tracks system deviations enhances situational awareness and reduces reliance on high-cost physical inspections. This proactive approach aligns with modern reliability-centered maintenance philosophies and supports digital transformation initiatives across the offshore sector [19, 20].

1.3 Research Objectives

The primary objective of this study is to develop a data-driven model that enables real-time monitoring of subsea umbilical integrity by leveraging flow deviation analytics and hydraulic line behavior. The model aims to identify and classify anomalies that could signal the early onset of failure, thereby offering offshore operators a non-intrusive, cost-effective, and intelligent means of ensuring the continuous health of subsea assets. This approach shifts the paradigm from time-based inspections to evidence-based decision-making supported by continuous operational data.

At the core of this research is the hypothesis that significant integrity threats manifest detectable signatures in flow and pressure behavior long before a complete failure occurs. By establishing a baseline of normal operational behavior, the model will track deviations over time, learning to differentiate between benign operational variances and indicators of failure. This includes the identification of micro-leakage, pressure surges, flow stagnation, and other subtle hydraulic anomalies that typically precede mechanical damage or performance degradation.

The research will explore how machine learning models, statistical deviation detection, or hybrid approaches can be utilized to interpret incoming data streams. It will also seek to define the key features or behavioral signatures associated with various failure modes, thus enabling the model to improve its diagnostic accuracy over time. This enhances its applicability across multiple field configurations and varying operating conditions. Ultimately, this study aspires to contribute a robust, scalable, and field-deployable model that empowers offshore operators to make informed maintenance decisions, extend the lifespan of umbilicals, and prevent unplanned outages. The model's development marks a step forward in advancing autonomous integrity management and reinforcing operational resilience in offshore production environments.

II. THEORETICAL FOUNDATIONS

2.1 Umbilical System Configuration and Failure Modes

Subsea umbilicals are multi-core cables that integrate hydraulic, electrical, and fiber-optic elements within a single armored sheath, delivering critical control and power functions to subsea production systems [21, 22]. Structurally, these assemblies typically comprise internal conduits or tubes, often made of thermoplastic or steel, used to transport hydraulic fluids and chemicals to valves, actuators, and injection points on the seabed [23, 24]. These conduits are bundled with insulated electrical cables and optical fibers, all housed within protective layers such as polymer jackets, armor wires, and strain-reducing fillers. The design ensures mechanical stability, flexibility, and resistance to corrosion under high-pressure marine environments [25, 26].

Despite their robust construction, umbilicals remain vulnerable to a variety of failure mechanisms. One common mode is internal leakage, which may result from aging seals, micro-cracks in tubing, or gradual material fatigue under pressure cycling [27, 28]. Clogging is another typical concern, particularly in chemical injection lines, where sediment buildup or crystallization of chemicals can restrict flow and reduce system performance [29, 30]. External crushing or mechanical impact from dropped objects or fishing equipment can lead to physical deformation or ruptures in the outer sheath, exposing internal components to seawater and initiating cascading failures [31, 32].

Fatigue is a long-term threat, driven by dynamic motion from wave and current action, which imposes repeated bending and tension cycles on the umbilical. Over time, this can lead to the breakdown of structural integrity at specific stress concentration points [33, 34]. If not identified early, these failures can result in complete hydraulic isolation or signal loss, disrupting critical production and safety operations. Understanding the physical configuration and failure modes of umbilicals is essential for designing models that can detect deviations before they escalate into operational emergencies [35, 36].

2.2 Hydraulic Line Dynamics

The behavior of hydraulic lines within subsea systems is governed by fluid mechanics principles, where pressure and flow rate are interdependent and dynamically influenced by load demands, valve states, and line resistance [37, 38]. Under normal conditions, hydraulic fluid is pumped at a stable pressure to actuate subsea valves or deliver chemical agents, with flow rates varying depending on task-specific demands. These lines are closed-loop or open-ended systems, depending on whether fluid is recirculated or discharged, and the dynamic behavior is affected by line length, temperature, fluid viscosity, and operating depth [39-41].

Pressure surges, often referred to as hydraulic transients or water hammer, can occur when valves are opened or closed rapidly, generating sudden spikes that stress the line. Conversely, a gradual or abrupt pressure drop may signal the presence of a leak, valve malfunction, or drop in upstream supply. Flow loss or reduction is another critical indicator, especially when it diverges from expected values for a given operation. These deviations often precede mechanical failure, making them valuable for early detection [42-44].

The response of the hydraulic line to operational commands creates a baseline behavior that can be measured and tracked. Any deviation from this expected pattern, such as increased time to pressurize, irregular flow pulses, or loss of pressure integrity, can suggest anomalies such as internal restrictions or emerging leaks. Understanding these dynamics is crucial for modeling, as it provides a framework for detecting subtle integrity issues from real-time sensor data. Accurate interpretation of hydraulic behavior enables predictive monitoring, ensuring reliability without direct intervention [45, 46].

2.3 Data Analytics in Subsea Monitoring

Data analytics offers a transformative approach to condition monitoring by enabling automated detection of faults and anomalies using historical and real-time operational data. In the context of subsea infrastructure, data-driven models leverage high-resolution sensor feeds from pressure and flow instrumentation to detect subtle changes that would otherwise go unnoticed. These techniques reduce human error, enhance situational awareness, and support predictive maintenance strategies based on actual component behavior rather than estimated service intervals [47, 48].

One of the foundational tools in this domain is time-series analysis, which examines how variables such as pressure and flow evolve over time under various operating states. Models are trained to learn the normal operating profile and flag deviations that fall outside established thresholds or learned patterns [49, 50]. Anomaly detection algorithms, such as clustering, statistical outlier analysis, or supervised classification, can then identify behaviors associated with potential failure modes, such as persistent flow loss or transient spikes [51, 52].

Signal deviation models further refine this process by quantifying the difference between real-time readings and predicted values based on historical norms. These deviations can be isolated and mapped to known fault types, allowing not only detection but also diagnosis [53-55]. In subsea monitoring, where manual access is limited, these models enable virtual sensing of integrity by analyzing behavior rather than structure. As these systems gain more exposure to operational data, their predictive power and reliability improve, enabling continuous, scalable monitoring of complex offshore assets [53, 56].

III. METHODOLOGY

3.1 System Data Acquisition Architecture

Effective integrity monitoring of subsea umbilicals requires a reliable and high-resolution data acquisition system capable of capturing hydraulic line behavior under varying operational conditions. The architecture begins at the sensor level, where subsea-rated pressure transducers and flow meters are strategically integrated along the hydraulic line, typically near the topside hydraulic power unit and at subsea distribution units. These sensors are designed to function in high-pressure, high-salinity environments and are calibrated for stability and drift resistance to ensure long-term accuracy [56, 57].

The sensor signals are routed to subsea control modules equipped with embedded controllers. These modules handle initial signal conditioning and digitization, applying filtering to remove transient electrical noise. Data is then transmitted via fiber-optic lines or copper-based Ethernet through the umbilical itself to a topside programmable logic controller (PLC) or industrial data server. Redundancy is often built into the communication pathways to ensure fault tolerance and mitigate data loss during short-term disruptions [58, 59].

Once topside, data streams are captured by a real-time historian or data logger configured to store high-frequency readings (e.g., 1 Hz to 10 Hz) for both pressure and flow rate. The historian archives this data chronologically, ensuring the temporal integrity needed for trend analysis and deviation detection. Metadata such as valve actuation commands, system alarms, and environmental parameters are also collected in parallel to support contextual interpretation of anomalies [60]. This architecture creates a robust data pipeline from the hydraulic system to the analysis platform, enabling a continuous and holistic view of umbilical performance. Importantly, it provides the foundation for advanced analytics by ensuring that clean, high-fidelity sensor data is available in real time and historically for modeling and learning purposes [61, 62].

3.2 Preprocessing and Feature Engineering

Before meaningful analytics can be applied, the raw sensor data must undergo a series of preprocessing steps to ensure quality and relevance. The first step involves data cleaning, where corrupted entries, timestamp misalignments, and sensor outliers caused by communication noise or calibration drifts are identified and corrected. Missing values are either interpolated using time-weighted averages or flagged for exclusion, depending on their frequency and impact on temporal continuity.

Once the dataset is cleaned, normalization techniques are applied to standardize pressure and flow readings across different operational states. This step allows the model to generalize across varying conditions, such as load changes or hydraulic cycles. Temporal smoothing techniques, such as moving averages or exponential filters, are optionally used to reduce high-frequency noise while preserving trend fidelity. These methods help isolate meaningful signals from operational variability [63, 64].

Feature engineering then transforms raw variables into informative indicators of system behavior. Primary features include pressure differentials (ΔP) between upstream and downstream points, flow rate stability indexes, and pressure recovery time after valve actuation. Derived features such as pressure gradient over time (dP/dt), flow deviation from rolling averages, and standard deviation of pressure over intervals are also computed. These engineered features capture the physical behavior of the system more effectively than raw measurements alone [65].

In addition, event-based features are extracted to detect patterns linked to specific hydraulic actions, such as valve cycling or line pressurization. The use of rolling windows and statistical baselining allows for the detection of gradual drift or sudden deviation, both of which may signal the onset of mechanical degradation. This curated feature set forms the basis of the model's decision-making logic, enabling it to distinguish between nominal fluctuations and patterns that indicate potential integrity issues [66].

3.3 Integrity Assessment Model Framework

The core of the proposed monitoring system is a data-driven integrity assessment model that leverages historical and real-time behavior patterns to identify deviations suggestive of faults. At a high level, the model consists of three main layers: baseline behavior modeling, deviation detection, and integrity classification.

The first layer involves constructing a baseline representation of hydraulic behavior during healthy operational states. This is achieved through unsupervised learning algorithms, such as k-means clustering or principal component analysis, that group historical pressure and flow patterns into normal operating clusters. These baselines capture the expected system response under a variety of known conditions and serve as a reference for detecting anomalies [67].

The second layer performs real-time deviation detection by comparing live input data with the established baseline clusters. Statistical thresholds are computed for each feature, such as allowable pressure recovery time or maximum allowable flow deviation, using historical confidence intervals. When incoming data exceeds these thresholds or diverges significantly from cluster centroids, the system flags it as an anomaly. This stage includes temporal correlation logic to filter out one-off noise and focus on persistent deviations that indicate system change [68, 69].

The third and final layer applies a classification mechanism, either rule-based or machine learning (e.g., support vector machines or decision trees), to assign a likely fault category based on the deviation pattern. For instance, a prolonged drop in downstream pressure coupled with stable upstream pressure may indicate a micro-leak, while flow restriction signatures may suggest clogging. Over time, the model improves its classification accuracy by incorporating operator feedback or confirmed maintenance records, thereby enhancing its diagnostic precision.

This framework enables operators not only to detect faults early but also to gain insight into their nature and urgency. The outcome is a robust, adaptive system for real-time integrity monitoring of subsea umbilicals, grounded in measurable hydraulic behavior and supported by continuous data intelligence.

IV. ANALYTICAL MODEL COMPONENTS

4.1 Flow Deviation Analytics

Flow deviation analytics form the quantitative backbone of the integrity monitoring system. At its core, the method involves detecting and interpreting discrepancies between real-time flow measurements and the expected flow behavior under specific hydraulic commands or operational states. The primary metric utilized is the Flow Deviation Index (FDI), defined as the normalized difference between the observed flow rate and the baseline flow profile for a given event or time window. This index is calculated continuously, allowing the system to flag anomalies as they occur.

Statistical methods are initially employed to define acceptable operational thresholds. Confidence intervals, typically within 95% or 99% ranges, are established for flow rates across multiple operational scenarios using historical data. When real-time values fall outside these bounds for a sustained period, an anomaly is logged. Additionally, measures such as rolling standard deviation, skewness, and kurtosis are used to identify irregular flow behavior that may not breach absolute limits but suggests instability or oscillatory behavior often linked to early-stage faults.

To enhance sensitivity and reduce false positives, machine learning techniques are layered atop these statistical foundations. Outlier detection models, such as Isolation Forests, One-Class SVMs, or Autoencoders, are trained on clean, fault-free flow datasets to learn the "normal" manifold of operation. These models then assign an anomaly score to each new data point, flagging deviations that fall outside the learned distribution. This hybrid approach ensures robust detection capability, capable of recognizing both abrupt disruptions and gradual drifts in flow patterns that may indicate partial occlusions, internal blockages, or valve misbehavior. By continuously calculating flow deviation metrics and comparing them to both statistical baselines and learned behavioral models, the system provides an early warning mechanism for potential hydraulic issues, enabling proactive intervention before significant operational impact occurs.

4.2 Hydraulic Behavior Signatures

Hydraulic behavior signatures are structured representations of how pressure and flow interact over time in response to specific commands, events, or operating cycles. These signatures serve as fingerprints to identify the presence and type of potential integrity issues. The development of these signatures begins with correlating pressure and flow data across key operational events, such as valve actuations, line pressurization, or chemical injections, and extracting multi-dimensional patterns that describe system response.

For example, a normal pressurization event might be characterized by a smooth pressure ramp followed by a steady-state flow rate within a defined tolerance band. In contrast, the signature of a partially blocked line could exhibit delayed pressure buildup, reduced flow, and an oscillating recovery period. Similarly, a leak may show a consistent pressure drop after system shut-in, accompanied by an unaccounted flow imbalance. These nuanced variations in behavior, captured through time-series patterns, gradients, and lagged correlations, allow the model to associate real-time data with known failure modes.

Each failure mode is mapped to a specific set of behavioral markers, such as prolonged time-to-pressure, elevated differential pressure, unstable flow rate, or rapid depressurization. These markers are encoded into the model as rule-based heuristics or used as labeled inputs in supervised learning frameworks. Over time, the system refines these mappings through exposure to new data and feedback from operational outcomes.

What distinguishes behavior-based monitoring from traditional limit-based systems is its capacity to detect not just the presence of anomalies, but the nature of underlying issues. By interpreting interaction patterns between pressure and flow, the model can classify degradation types, estimate severity, and support root-cause analysis. This signature-driven approach transforms raw sensor data into actionable diagnostic insights, greatly enhancing decision-making in integrity management.

4.3 Model Adaptability and Real-Time Updating

Operational conditions in offshore environments are inherently variable, affected by changing fluid properties, subsea temperatures, flow demand profiles, and equipment wear over time. To remain effective, the integrity monitoring model must be adaptable, capable of learning from new data and recalibrating itself without requiring complete retraining. This adaptability is essential to maintain diagnostic accuracy, reduce false alarms, and support long-term deployment in dynamic field environments.

The model incorporates online learning mechanisms that allow it to update its understanding of "normal" behavior in real time. For statistical components, adaptive control charts and moving average baselines are used to adjust thresholds dynamically based on recent data windows. This ensures that the system remains responsive to gradual drifts that are operationally benign, such as flow changes due to pump tuning or seasonal fluid viscosity variations, without misclassifying them as faults.

For the machine learning layers, incremental learning techniques such as partial fitting (in models like stochastic gradient descent classifiers) or online clustering are applied. These allow the system to assimilate new data batches periodically, refining its feature boundaries and classification logic without retraining on the full historical dataset. Feedback loops are also embedded, enabling operators to validate detected anomalies, which the model uses to reweight its internal logic and reinforce correct decision patterns.

A key aspect of this adaptability is anomaly memory, where the system retains a record of past flagged events and their outcomes. If a particular deviation pattern repeatedly resolves without intervention, the model learns to assign it lower criticality in future detections. Conversely, if a pattern consistently precedes failure, it is elevated in the model's risk ranking. This real-time updating capability ensures that the monitoring system evolves with the field, preserving its relevance and reliability over years of operation. It enhances operator trust, reduces alert fatigue, and aligns with the industry's move toward autonomous digital asset management.

CONCLUSION

This study presents a novel data-driven model for subsea umbilical integrity monitoring, grounded in the dynamic analysis of hydraulic line behavior and flow deviation patterns. Unlike traditional inspection-driven approaches that rely on periodic manual interventions, the proposed model leverages real-time sensor data to assess the health of umbilicals continuously and non-intrusively. The integration of flow deviation analytics and pressure-flow behavior signatures enables the early detection of anomalies that may indicate issues such as internal leakage, clogging, or progressive fatigue, well before they escalate into critical failures.

A key contribution lies in the development of an adaptive analytical framework that combines statistical baselining with machine learning-based anomaly detection. This hybrid approach enhances diagnostic sensitivity while minimizing false positives, a common challenge in automated monitoring systems. Furthermore, by embedding real-time learning mechanisms, the model remains responsive to evolving field conditions, ensuring sustained accuracy and operational relevance over time.

By translating raw hydraulic behavior into actionable integrity insights, the model empowers operators to transition from reactive maintenance to predictive asset management. This not only optimizes inspection and intervention schedules but also reduces operational risk and prolongs the service life of critical subsea infrastructure. Overall, the study advances the field of subsea integrity monitoring by introducing a scalable and intelligent method that aligns with the industry's growing focus on digital transformation and operational resilience.

While the current model offers a solid foundation for data-driven integrity assessment, several avenues remain open for further academic and practical exploration. One promising direction is the integration of physics-informed machine learning models that combine empirical sensor data with the governing fluid dynamics equations of hydraulic systems. This hybridization could improve the model's ability to infer root causes, particularly in cases where data coverage is sparse or noisy. Another area for advancement involves enhancing model robustness against extreme operational scenarios, such as system restarts, power outages, or abrupt environmental changes. Research into domain adaptation techniques and transfer learning could enable the model to generalize better across different fields, umbilical designs, and fluid compositions, thus expanding its applicability across diverse offshore assets.

Additionally, the framework could be extended beyond hydraulic monitoring to include electrical and optical fiber subcomponents within the umbilical. This would involve multi-modal data fusion and cross-domain anomaly correlation, enabling a more comprehensive integrity monitoring platform. Finally, collaborative research could focus on standardizing data protocols and developing industry benchmarks for sensor fidelity, model validation, and anomaly classification, fostering broader adoption and interoperability across operators and equipment manufacturers.

REFERENCES

[1] R. Bruschi, L. Bartolini, P. Cherubini, E. Torselletti, and L. Vitali, "Meeting the challenges of upcoming subsea field developments: from carbon steel line pipe to new materials and pipe concepts," in *ISOPE International Ocean and Polar Engineering Conference*, 2017: ISOPE, pp. ISOPE-I-17-614.

[2] M. Gloaguen, H. Bourdillon, F. Roche, T. B. de Reals, P. Menier, and A. Marion, "Dalia flowlines, risers, and umbilicals," in *Offshore Technology Conference*, 2007: OTC, pp. OTC-18543MS.

[3] C. Mudrak, "Subsea production systems-A review of components, maintenance and reliability," 2016.

[4] J. Melo and M. Silva, "Reliability Study on the Life Extension of Subsea Umbilical Systems," in *Offshore Technology Conference*, 2019: OTC, p. D011S003R006.

[5] Z. Yang, Q. Lu, J. Yan, J. Chen, and Q. Yue, "Multidisciplinary optimization design for the section layout of umbilicals based on intelligent algorithm," *Journal of Offshore Mechanics and Arctic Engineering,* vol. 140, no. 3, p. 031702, 2018.

[6] P. M. Jakobsen, "Subsea gas transition hubs," University of Stavanger, Norway, 2014.

[7] A. G. C. Mitchell, *Theoretical and Experimental Investigations Into Umbilical Cables for Communications Under the Sea*. Open University (United Kingdom), 2014.

[8] A. Darmawan, "Analysis of the Life of Field concept and its fitness to the future subsea asset maintenance on Norwegian Continental Shelf," University of Stavanger, Norway, 2014.

[9] J. Yao, T. Sheng, W. Jiaqi, X. Chenguang, and W. Zhenshuai, "Vibration analysis of the subsea dynamic umbilical under parametric excitations," in *INTER-NOISE and NOISE-CON Congress and Conference Proceedings*, 2019, vol. 259, no. 8: Institute of Noise Control Engineering, pp. 1573-1582.

[10] Y. Zhang *et al.*, "A review of the integrity management of subsea production systems: Inspection and monitoring methods," *Ships and Offshore Structures,* vol. 14, no. 8, pp. 789-803, 2019.

[11] P. R. Roberge, *Corrosion inspection and monitoring*. John Wiley & Sons, 2007.

[12] R. A. Collacott, *Structural integrity monitoring*. Springer Science & Business Media, 1985.

[13] T. Moan, "Reliability-based management of inspection, maintenance and repair of offshore structures," *Structure and Infrastructure Engineering,* vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 33-62, 2005.

[14] T. Moan, "Life cycle structural integrity management of offshore structures," *Structure and Infrastructure Engineering,* vol. 14, no. 7, pp. 911-927, 2018.

[15] P. J. Nardone, *Well testing project management: onshore and offshore operations*. Gulf Professional Publishing, 2009.

[16] B. Singh, P. Jukes, R. S. Wittkower, and B. Poblete, "Offshore integrity management 20 years on-an overview of lessons learnt post piper alpha," in *Offshore Technology Conference*, 2009: OTC, pp. OTC-20051-MS.

[17] A. Duggal, A. Izadparast, and J. Minnebo, "Integrity, monitoring, inspection, and

maintenance of FPSO turret mooring systems," in *Offshore Technology Conference*, 2017: OTC, p. D031S034R005.

[18] K. Abbasov, "A review of offshore platform failure statistics," Politecnico di Torino, 2020.

[19] O. F. Frafjord, "Subsea inspection and monitoring challenges," University of Stavanger, Norway, 2015.

[20] B. Singh, P. Jukes, B. Wittkower, and B. Poblete, "Piper Alpha and the Evolution of Inherently Safe Design and Integrity Management: An Overview of Interpretations and Lessons Learned," in *International Conference on Offshore Mechanics and Arctic Engineering*, 2010, vol. 49149, pp. 219-237.

[21] R. H. Makwana, "Validation and Simulation Modeling of Modem Section of Oil and Gas Control System," Nirma University Ahmedabad, 2012.

[22] N. Mqadi, "Application of IoT (Internet of Things) in the monitoring and control of offshore oil & gas production platforms," 2020.

[23] A. Y. Onifade, R. E. Dosumu, A. A. Abayomi, and O. Aderemi, "Advances in Cross-Industry Application of Predictive Marketing Intelligence for Revenue Uplift."

[24] G. Omoegun, J. E. Fiemotongha, J. O. Omisola, O. K. Okenwa, and O. Onaghinor, "Advances in ERP-Integrated Logistics Management for Reducing Delivery Delays and Enhancing Project Delivery."

[25] G. P. Ifenatuora, O. Awoyemi, and F. A. Atobatele, "Advances in Accessible and Culturally Relevant eLearning Strategies for US Corporate and Government Workforce Training."

[26] A. Y. Onifade, J. C. Ogeawuchi, A. A. Abayomi, and O. Aderemi, "Advances in CRM-Driven Marketing Intelligence for Enhancing Conversion Rates and Lifetime Value Models."

[27] G. P. Ifenatuora, O. Awoyemi, and F. A. Atobatele, "Advances in Instructional Design for Experiential Mobile Classrooms in Resource-Constrained Environments."

[28] E. C. Chianumba, A. Y. Forkuo, A. Y. Mustapha, D. Osamika, and L. S. Komi, "Advances in Preventive Care Delivery through WhatsApp, SMS, and IVR Messaging in High-Need Populations."

[29] C. R. Nwangele, A. Adewuyi, A. Ajuwon, and A. O. Akintobi, "Advances in Sustainable Investment Models: Leveraging AI for Social Impact Projects in Africa."

[30] M. A. ADEWOYIN, E. O. OGUNNOWO, J. E. FIEMOTONGHA, T. O. IGUNMA, and A. K. ADELEKE, "Advances in Thermofluid Simulation for Heat Transfer Optimization in Compact Mechanical Devices," 2020.

[31] T. Adenuga, A. T. Ayobami, and F. C. Okolo, "AI-Driven Workforce Forecasting for Peak Planning and Disruption Resilience in Global Logistics and Supply Networks."

[32] O.-e. E. Akpe, A. A. Azubike Collins Mgbame, E. O. Abayomi, and O. O. Adeyelu, "AI-Enabled Dashboards for Micro-Enterprise Profitability Optimization: A Pilot Implementation Study."

[33] L. S. Komi, E. C. Chianumba, A. Y. Forkuo, D. Osamika, and A. Y. Mustapha, "A Conceptual Framework for Addressing Digital Health Literacy and Access Gaps in US Underrepresented Communities."

[34] G. O. Osho, J. O. Omisola, and J. O. Shiyanbola, "A Conceptual Framework for AI-Driven Predictive Optimization in Industrial Engineering: Leveraging Machine Learning for Smart Manufacturing Decisions," *Unknown Journal,* 2020.

[35] J. Huttunen, J. Jauhiainen, L. Lehti, A. Nylund, M. Martikainen, and O. M. Lehner, "Big data, cloud computing and data science applications in finance and accounting," *ACRN Journal of Finance and Risk Perspectives,* vol. 8, pp. 16-30, 2019.

[36] A. Ajuwon, A. Adewuyi, C. R. Nwangele, and A. O. Akintobi, "Blockchain Technology and its Role in Transforming Financial Services: The Future of Smart Contracts in Lending."

[37] E. Y. Gbabo, O. K. Okenwa, and P. E. Chima, "Constructing AI-Enabled Compliance Automation Models for Real-Time Regulatory Reporting in Energy Systems."

[38] A. Y. Onifade, J. C. Ogeawuchi, and A. A. Abayomi, "Data-Driven Engagement Framework: Optimizing Client Relationships and Retention in the Aviation Sector."

[39] E. O. Ogunnowo, "A Conceptual Framework for Digital Twin Deployment in Real-Time Monitoring of Mechanical Systems."

[40] M. A. Adewoyin, E. O. Ogunnowo, J. E. Fiemotongha, T. O. Igunma, and A. K. Adeleke, "A Conceptual Framework for Dynamic Mechanical Analysis in High-Performance Material Selection," 2020.

[41] G. P. Ifenatuora, O. Awoyemi, and F. A. Atobatele, "A Conceptual Framework for Professional Upskilling Using Accessible Animated E-Learning Modules."

[42] O. M. Oluoha, A. Odeshina, O. Reis, F. Okpeke, V. Attipoe, and O. H. Orieno, "Designing Advanced Digital Solutions for Privileged Access Management and Continuous Compliance Monitoring."

[43] O. O. FAGBORE, J. C. OGEAWUCHI, O. ILORI, N. J. ISIBOR, A. ODETUNDE, and B. I. ADEKUNLE, "Developing a Conceptual Framework for Financial Data Validation in Private Equity Fund Operations," 2020.

[44] D. Bolarinwa, M. Egemba, and M. Ogundipe, "Developing a Predictive Analytics Model for Cost-Effective Healthcare Delivery: A Conceptual Framework for Enhancing Patient Outcomes and Reducing Operational Costs."

[45] B. M. O. S. O. Idemudia, O. K. Chima, O. J. Ezeilo, and A. Ochefu, "Entrepreneurship Resilience Models in Resource-Constrained Settings: Cross-national Framework," *World,* vol. 2579, p. 0544.

[46] D. I. Ajiga, O. Hamza, A. Eweje, E. Kokogho, and P. E. Odio, "Forecasting IT Financial Planning Trends and Analyzing Impacts on Industry Standards."

[47] J. O. Omisola, E. A. Etukudoh, O. K. Okenwa, G. I. T. Olugbemi, and E. Ogu, "Future Directions in Advanced Instrumentation for the Oil and Gas Industry: A Conceptual Analysis."

[48] J. O. Omisola, E. A. Etukudoh, O. K. Okenwa, G. I. T. Olugbemi, and E. Ogu, "Geomechanical Modeling for Safe and Efficient Horizontal Well Placement Analysis of Stress Distribution and Rock Mechanics to Optimize Well Placement and Minimize Drilling," *Unknown Journal,* 2020.

[49] D. B. Bassey *et al.*, "The impact of Worms and Ladders, an innovative health educational board game on Soil-Transmitted Helminthiasis control in Abeokuta, Southwest Nigeria," *PLoS neglected tropical diseases,* vol. 14, no. 9, p. e0008486, 2020.

[50] A. M. Monebi and S. Z. Iliya, "An Improved Mathematical Modelling of Directivity for Radial Line Slot Array Antenna," 2020.

[51] J. O. Omisola, E. A. Etukudoh, O. K. Okenwa, and G. I. Tokunbo, "Geosteering Real-Time Geosteering Optimization Using Deep Learning Algorithms Integration of Deep Reinforcement Learning in Real-time Well Trajectory Adjustment to Maximize," *Unknown Journal,* 2020.

[52] J. O. Omisola, P. E. Chima, O. K. Okenwa, and G. I. Tokunbo, "Green Financing and Investment Trends in Sustainable LNG Projects A Comprehensive Review," *Unknown Journal,* 2020.

[53] G. O. Osho, J. O. Omisola, and J. O. Shiyanbola, "An Integrated AI-Power BI Model for Real-Time Supply Chain Visibility and Forecasting: A Data-Intelligence Approach to Operational Excellence," *Unknown Journal,* 2020.

[54] T. J. Oladuji, A. O. Akintobi, C. R. Nwangele, and A. Ajuwon, "A Model for Leveraging AI and Big Data to Predict and Mitigate Financial Risk in African Markets."

[55] A. Ajuwon, A. Adewuyi, T. J. Oladuji, and A. O. Akintobi, "A Model for Strategic Investment in African Infrastructure: Using AI for Due Diligence and Portfolio Optimization."

[56] E. Y. Gbabo, O. K. Okenwa, and P. E. Chima, "Integrating CDM Regulations into Role-Based Compliance Models for Energy Infrastructure Projects."

[57] O. T. Kufile, B. O. Otokiti, A. Y. Onifade, B. Ogunwale, and C. H. Okolo, "Modelling Attribution-Driven Budgeting Systems for High-Intent Consumer Acquisition."

[58] O. Oluoha, A. Odeshina, O. Reis, F. Okpeke, V. Attipoe, and O. Orieno, "Optimizing Business Decision-Making with Advanced Data Analytics Techniques. Iconic Res Eng J. 2022; 6 (5): 184-203," ed.

[59] J. O. Omisola, J. O. Shiyanbola, and G. O. Osho, "A Predictive Quality Assurance Model Using Lean Six Sigma: Integrating FMEA, SPC, and Root Cause Analysis for Zero-Defect Production Systems," *Unknown Journal,* 2020.

[60] F. U. Ojika, W. O. Owobu, O. A. Abieba, O. J. Esan, B. C. Ubamadu, and A. I. Daraojimba, "The Role of AI in Cybersecurity: A Cross-Industry Model for Integrating Machine Learning and Data Analysis for Improved Threat Detection."

[61] M. A. Monebi, C. Alenoghena, and J. Abolarinwa, "Redefining The Directivity Value of Radial-Lines-Slot-Array Antenna for Direct Broadcast Satellite (Dbs) Service," 2018: 4. Monebi Matthew Ayodeji, Caroline O. Alenoghena, and JA Abolarinwa (2018 ….

[62] K. A. Bunmi and K. S. Adeyemo, "A Review on Targeted Drug Development for Breast Cancer Using Innovative Active Pharmaceutical Ingredients (APIs)."

[63] K. S. Adeyemo, A. O. Mbata, and O. D. Balogun, "The Role of Cold Chain Logistics in Vaccine Distribution: Addressing Equity and Access Challenges in Sub-Saharan Africa."

[64] O. O. Ajayi, N. Chukwurah, and A. S. Adebayo, "Securing 5G Network Infrastructure From Protocol-Based Attacks and Network Slicing Exploits in Advanced Telecommunications."

[65] O.-E. E. Akpe, B. C. Ubanadu, A. I. Daraojimba, O. A. Agboola, and E. Ogbuefi, "A Strategic Framework for Aligning Fulfillment Speed, Customer Satisfaction, and Warehouse Team Efficiency."

[66] J. O. Omisola, E. A. Etukudoh, E. C. Onukwulu, and G. O. Osho, "Sustainability and Efficiency in Global Supply Chain Operations Using Data-Driven Strategies and Advanced Business Analytics."

[67] J. O. Omisola, J. O. Shiyanbola, and G. O. Osho, "A Systems-Based Framework for ISO 9000 Compliance: Applying Statistical Quality Control and Continuous Improvement Tools in US Manufacturing," *Unknown Journal,* 2020.

[68] A. A. Abayomi, J. C. Ogeawuchi, A. Y. Onifade, and O. Aderemi, "Systematic Review of Marketing Attribution Techniques for Omnichannel Customer Acquisition Models."

[69] E. O. OGUNNOWO, M. A. ADEWOYIN, J. E. FIEMOTONGHA, T. O. IGUNMA, and A. K. ADELEKE, "Systematic Review of Non-Destructive Testing Methods for Predictive Failure Analysis in Mechanical Systems," 2020.