© SEP 2019 | IRE Journals | Volume 3 Issue 3 | ISSN: 2456-8880

A Predictive Data Analytics Model for Enhancing Last-Mile Delivery Efficiency in Urban Logistics Networks

OPEYEMI MORENIKE FILANI[1], JOHN OLUWASEUN OLAJIDE[2], GRACE OMOTUNDE OSHO[3], PATIENCE OKPEKE PAUL[4]
[1]*Proburg Ltd, Lagos, Nigeria*
[2]*Unilever Nigeria PLC, Nigeria*
[3]*Guinness Nig. Plc, Nigeria*
[4]*Henry Jackson Foundation Medical Research International Ltd/Gte, Nigeria.*

Abstract- Urban logistics networks have undergone rapid transformation due to increasing consumer demand, e-commerce expansion, and the growing complexity of last-mile delivery operations. Despite technological advancements, inefficiencies persist in the final leg of the delivery process, often leading to increased costs, environmental burdens, and diminished customer satisfaction. This paper proposes a Predictive Data Analytics Model (PDAM) to enhance last-mile delivery efficiency in urban logistics. By leveraging a literature-based methodology and analyzing over 100 peer-reviewed sources, the study synthesizes existing approaches in predictive analytics, logistics optimization, and urban freight systems. The model integrates real-time data, machine learning techniques, and geospatial intelligence to forecast delivery constraints, optimize routing, and improve service reliability. Structured into a detailed introduction, literature review, model design, discussion, and conclusion, this paper provides a strategic framework for logistics planners, policymakers, and technology implementers seeking to transform urban delivery ecosystems.

Indexed Terms- last-mile delivery, predictive analytics, urban logistics, routing optimization, machine learning, delivery efficiency

I. INTRODUCTION

The explosive growth in global e-commerce, on-demand services, and urban population density has profoundly reshaped the landscape of last-mile delivery (LMD), the final leg of the logistics journey from distribution center to end customer. Last-mile delivery is widely regarded as the most complex, expensive, and inefficient segment of the supply chain, often accounting for up to 53% of total delivery costs [1]. Urban environments, characterized by congestion, parking limitations, regulatory constraints, and diverse customer expectations, amplify these challenges and expose the inadequacy of traditional logistics models.

As digitalization sweeps through supply chains, predictive data analytics has emerged as a transformative solution to improve LMD efficiency. Predictive analytics leverages historical and real-time data to forecast delivery times, anticipate disruptions, optimize vehicle routes, and enhance resource allocation [2], [3], [4]. Its integration into logistics represents a paradigm shift from reactive to proactive decision-making, fostering agility, reliability, and customer-centric service in last-mile operations [5], [6], [7].

The relevance of this shift cannot be overstated in an era where consumer expectations have been recalibrated by the likes of Amazon and other e-commerce giants. Consumers now demand not only faster deliveries but also more transparency, flexibility, and environmental sustainability [8], [9]. To meet these demands, urban logistics providers must overcome systemic inefficiencies, such as underutilized capacity, missed deliveries, and misaligned delivery windows, all exacerbated by urban sprawl and traffic unpredictability [10], [11].

From a policy perspective, governments and city planners face mounting pressure to balance logistics growth with urban sustainability goals. Rising carbon emissions, noise pollution, and road congestion associated with delivery vehicles necessitate data-driven regulation and smarter infrastructure planning [12], [13], [14]. Hence, the development of predictive frameworks not only serves commercial objectives but also aligns with broader public policy agendas around smart city development, green mobility, and inclusive urbanization.

This paper proposes a Predictive Data Analytics Model (PDAM) designed to address the inefficiencies plaguing last-mile delivery in urban logistics networks. The model synthesizes best practices from existing literature on predictive analytics, machine learning, geospatial intelligence, and logistics optimization to construct a multi-layered approach to delivery forecasting and routing. It is grounded in a literature-based methodology, drawing from more than 100 scholarly sources across disciplines such as operations research, data science, transportation engineering, and urban planning.

The research is motivated by the following questions:

1. What are the primary inefficiencies and constraints in urban last-mile delivery systems?
2. How can predictive analytics be effectively applied to anticipate and mitigate these inefficiencies?
3. What framework can be developed to guide the implementation of predictive analytics models in real-world logistics networks?

The objectives of this paper are threefold:

- To review the state of the art in predictive data analytics applications in last-mile delivery.
- To develop a conceptual framework, the PDAM for enhancing delivery efficiency through data-driven forecasting.
- To discuss the practical, ethical, and policy-related implications of implementing such a model in urban settings.

The remainder of the paper is structured as follows: Section 2 presents a comprehensive literature review of the current research on LMD inefficiencies, predictive analytics techniques, and urban logistics innovation. Section 3 introduces the conceptual design of the PDAM, including its architecture, data inputs, analytical components, and deployment strategy. Section 4 provides a critical discussion of the model's implementation feasibility, limitations, and potential risks. Finally, Section 5 offers conclusions and recommendations for practitioners, researchers, and policymakers.

By framing predictive analytics as both a technological and strategic asset, this paper contributes to the growing discourse on intelligent logistics and urban mobility transformation. In an increasingly data-saturated world, the ability to predict, rather than merely respond to, delivery dynamics represents a crucial frontier for achieving operational excellence and sustainable urban logistics.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

The literature on last-mile delivery (LMD) underscores its pivotal role in the logistics value chain and highlights the persistent inefficiencies that hinder operational effectiveness. A growing body of research has explored predictive analytics as a means to enhance delivery efficiency through anticipatory decision-making, yet there remains a fragmented understanding of how these insights can be integrated systematically into urban logistics ecosystems. This section synthesizes the existing knowledge in four key domains: last-mile delivery challenges, urban logistics innovations, predictive analytics techniques, and data-driven optimization strategies.

2.1 Last-Mile Delivery Challenges in Urban Environments

Urban areas present complex logistical challenges due to traffic congestion, limited parking, heterogeneous infrastructure, and fluctuating demand patterns. Studies have identified missed deliveries, high delivery densities, and failed customer engagements as key pain points [15], [16], [17]. Additionally, regulatory constraints such as time-window restrictions and emission zones further complicate delivery planning [18], [19], [20].

Cost is another central concern. Research indicates that the last mile accounts for the largest proportion of delivery costs due to its fragmented nature and lack of route consolidation [21], [22], [23]. These inefficiencies contribute to environmental degradation and diminish consumer satisfaction, especially when real-time information is lacking [24], [25].

2.2 Smart Urban Logistics and Digitalization Trends

In response, the logistics sector has embraced digital transformation, with innovations such as dynamic routing, drone delivery, autonomous vehicles, and smart lockers [26], [27], [28]. Urban consolidation centers (UCCs), micro-distribution hubs, and crowdsourced delivery platforms also represent emerging models aimed at decentralizing fulfillment [29], [30].

However, digital adoption varies widely across cities and providers [31], [32]. A number of studies have emphasized the need for holistic strategies that go beyond physical innovations and address the informational backbone of logistics operations, particularly predictive analytics [33], [34], [35].

2.3 Predictive Analytics in Logistics

Predictive analytics refers to the use of data, statistical algorithms, and machine learning techniques to identify the likelihood of future outcomes based on historical data [36], [37], [38]. Within logistics, its applications include demand forecasting, delay prediction, traffic modeling, and fleet optimization [39], [40], [41].

Multiple models have been explored, including time-series analysis, regression algorithms, neural networks, and ensemble methods [42], [43], [44]. These models have been applied to forecast parcel volumes, optimize route planning, and detect anomalies in delivery patterns [45], [46]. Nonetheless, challenges persist in data availability, model generalizability, and real-time deployment.

2.4 Data-Driven Optimization Techniques

Complementing predictive models, optimization algorithms such as Genetic Algorithms (GA), Ant Colony Optimization (ACO), and Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO) have been employed to solve the Vehicle Routing Problem (VRP), a central issue in LMD [47], [48]. Hybrid approaches that combine forecasting with optimization are gaining popularity as they address both demand variability and route feasibility [49], [50].

Moreover, advances in Internet of Things (IoT) devices, GPS tracking, and mobile data capture have improved the granularity and timeliness of input data for these models [51], [52], [53]. However, real-world implementation remains challenging due to data silos, privacy concerns, and interoperability barriers among systems and stakeholders.

2.5 Gaps and Opportunities in Literature

Despite the growing interest in predictive analytics for logistics, existing literature often treats analytics and operations in silos. There is a lack of integrated frameworks that merge predictive capabilities with logistical execution in a way that is both scalable and context aware.

Furthermore, few models explicitly address the urban dimension of LMD, including constraints imposed by city infrastructure, governance, and socio-economic diversity. Ethical issues such as algorithmic bias, data governance, and digital equity are also underexplored in current research [54], [55], [56], [57].

This paper seeks to address these gaps by proposing a predictive data analytics model that synthesizes best practices across disciplines and aligns them with the unique requirements of urban logistics. The next section outlines the conceptual design of the Predictive Data Analytics Model (PDAM), detailing its inputs, analytical engines, and operational logic.

III. MODEL DEVELOPMENT: THE PREDICTIVE DATA ANALYTICS MODEL (PDAM)

The Predictive Data Analytics Model (PDAM) is a conceptual framework designed to enhance last-mile delivery (LMD) efficiency in urban logistics networks [33], [58], [59]. Building upon best practices and insights synthesized from interdisciplinary literature, the PDAM integrates predictive modeling, real-time data analytics, geospatial intelligence, and optimization algorithms to dynamically anticipate and respond to urban delivery constraints [60], [61].

3.1 Model Objectives and Assumptions

The PDAM is developed with the following core objectives:

- Predict delivery bottlenecks using historical and real-time data.

- Optimize delivery routing under dynamic urban constraints.
- Improve resource utilization (e.g., fleet and labor).
- Enhance delivery accuracy, reliability, and timeliness.
- Minimize environmental impact through smart routing.

Key assumptions include the availability of high-quality data sources, integration with existing logistics systems, and partial autonomy in operational decisions (e.g., algorithm-assisted driver routing).

3.2 Model Architecture

The PDAM consists of five primary layers:

- Data Ingestion Layer: Aggregates data from internal logistics systems (e.g., fleet management, parcel tracking), external sources (e.g., weather forecasts, traffic APIs), and IoT devices (e.g., GPS trackers, mobile sensors).
- Preprocessing and Feature Engineering Layer: Handles data cleaning, normalization, and transformation. Feature selection techniques identify predictive variables such as delivery window size, vehicle load, stop density, and weather conditions.
- Predictive Analytics Engine: Applies machine learning algorithms to forecast delivery delays, volume fluctuations, and route-level disruptions. Algorithms include Random Forest, XGBoost, and Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM) networks.
- Optimization Engine: Utilizes metaheuristic algorithms (e.g., Genetic Algorithms, Simulated Annealing) to generate optimal routing schedules. This module is informed by predictions and spatial constraints (e.g., road closures, time windows).
- Visualization and Decision Support Interface: Presents insights and recommendations to dispatchers and drivers via dashboards and mobile applications, enabling data-driven decision-making.

3.3 Data Inputs and Sources

PDAM relies on both structured and unstructured data, including:

- Historical delivery logs (e.g., timestamps, failure rates).
- Real-time traffic and road condition data.
- Geo-coordinates and maps of delivery zones.
- Customer behavior and preferences.
- External variables (e.g., public events, holidays).

Integration with platforms like Google Maps API, HERE Maps, and in-house ERP systems is envisioned.

3.4 Key Analytical Components

- Delay Prediction Model: Trained on historical delivery records and exogenous variables to classify delivery risk into high, medium, or low. Model performance is assessed via AUC, F1-score, and Mean Absolute Error (MAE) [62], [63].
- Route Clustering Module: Uses unsupervised learning (e.g., K-means, DBSCAN) to segment delivery areas by density and complexity, facilitating workload balancing [29], [64].
- Real-Time Re-Routing: Incorporates reinforcement learning techniques to enable adaptive re-routing in response to live changes (e.g., congestion, accidents) [65], [66].

3.5 Model Output and Performance Metrics

The PDAM produces actionable outputs such as:

- Ranked list of at-risk deliveries.
- Optimized route plans with time and distance estimates.
- Visual heatmaps of urban delivery hotspots.
- Predictive alerts for preemptive rescheduling.

Performance is evaluated based on delivery accuracy, vehicle utilization rate, route efficiency (distance/time saved), and customer satisfaction scores.

3.6 Scalability and Deployment Considerations

The PDAM is designed to be scalable across different fleet sizes and adaptable to various urban geographies. Cloud-native deployment, containerization (e.g., Docker), and API-based integrations are recommended for enterprise implementation [67], [68], [69].

In the next section, we discuss the practical applicability of the PDAM, including institutional barriers, data governance, technical limitations, and ethical implications.

IV. DISCUSSION

The Predictive Data Analytics Model (PDAM) proposed in this study represents a significant advancement in addressing the persistent inefficiencies of last-mile delivery within urban logistics networks. By leveraging a multi-layered analytical architecture that integrates machine learning, optimization algorithms, and real-time data feeds, PDAM promises to enhance delivery accuracy, optimize routing, and improve overall operational efficiency.

4.1 Practical Relevance and Benefits

Implementing PDAM offers tangible benefits for logistics providers and urban stakeholders. Predictive capabilities enable proactive identification of delivery risks, such as congestion, vehicle breakdowns, or adverse weather, allowing for timely intervention and rerouting. The model's capacity to balance workload through clustering and adaptive routing supports better resource utilization, reducing idle time and vehicle emissions aligning with sustainability goals. Moreover, the decision support interface facilitates human-machine collaboration, improving dispatchers' situational awareness and enabling data-driven decision-making that enhances customer satisfaction.

4.2 Implementation Barriers

Despite its promise, the practical deployment of PDAM faces several challenges [70], [71]. High-quality and real-time data acquisition remains a major hurdle, especially in fragmented logistics ecosystems where data silos and interoperability issues prevail [57], [72], [73]. Integration with legacy IT infrastructure may require significant investment and technical expertise [74], [75], [76]. Additionally, the dynamic nature of urban environments demands continuous model retraining and validation to maintain prediction accuracy, potentially straining operational resources [77], [78].

Stakeholder engagement is critical; driver acceptance of algorithm-generated routes and dispatchers' trust in predictive alerts influence model effectiveness [79], [80]. Legal and regulatory compliance, particularly regarding data privacy and protection (e.g., GDPR), must be ensured when handling location and customer data [81], [82], [83].

4.3 Ethical and Policy Considerations

The deployment of advanced predictive analytics raises ethical considerations including algorithmic bias, transparency, and accountability [84], [85], [86]. Models trained on historical data risk perpetuating inequities if underserved areas receive suboptimal delivery prioritization [87], [88], [89]. Therefore, mechanisms for fairness assessment and bias mitigation should be embedded within the PDAM development lifecycle.

From a policy standpoint, collaboration between municipal authorities, logistics firms, and technology providers is essential to create data-sharing frameworks, incentivize sustainable delivery practices, and harmonize regulatory requirements that enable smart urban logistics innovations [90], [91].

4.4 Limitations and Future Directions

The PDAM conceptualization in this paper is primarily literature-based and theoretical, with no empirical validation included. Future research should focus on pilot implementations across diverse urban contexts to assess model scalability, performance, and stakeholder impact. The integration of emerging technologies such as 5G connectivity, edge computing, and autonomous delivery vehicles offers promising avenues for further enhancing PDAM capabilities.

Additionally, expanding the model to incorporate multimodal logistics and cross-docking strategies could increase its applicability and impact. Addressing data privacy concerns through privacy-preserving analytics (e.g., federated learning) is another important research frontier.

V. CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

This paper has presented the Predictive Data Analytics Model (PDAM), a comprehensive framework designed to improve last-mile delivery efficiency in urban logistics networks by leveraging predictive analytics, machine learning, and optimization techniques. Drawing from an extensive review of over 70 scholarly sources, the PDAM addresses critical challenges such as delivery delays, route inefficiencies, and resource underutilization, which continue to hinder urban logistics performance.

The model's multi-layered architecture, combining data ingestion, predictive engines, and optimization algorithms, offers a dynamic and scalable solution adaptable to diverse urban contexts. By enabling proactive decision-making and real-time route adjustment, PDAM has the potential to enhance customer satisfaction, reduce operational costs, and contribute to sustainable urban mobility goals.

However, the practical realization of this model requires overcoming barriers related to data quality, system integration, stakeholder acceptance, and regulatory compliance. Ethical considerations, including fairness, transparency, and data privacy, must be integral to its design and deployment.

Based on these insights, the following recommendations are proposed for practitioners, policymakers, and researchers:

1. Invest in Data Infrastructure: Develop robust data collection and integration systems that ensure timely, accurate, and comprehensive data flow across logistics stakeholders.
2. Foster Collaborative Ecosystems: Encourage partnerships among logistics providers, technology firms, municipal authorities, and customers to facilitate data sharing and coordinated last-mile operations.
3. Prioritize Ethical AI Practices: Implement fairness audits, bias mitigation strategies, and transparent model documentation to ensure equitable and trustworthy predictive analytics.
4. Support Pilot Projects and Real-World Testing: Validate and refine the PDAM through controlled pilots in varied urban environments to assess feasibility, performance, and user acceptance.
5. Enhance Regulatory Frameworks: Update policies to accommodate data-driven logistics innovations while safeguarding consumer privacy and promoting sustainability.
6. Encourage Continuous Learning and Adaptation: Adopt adaptive algorithms capable of evolving with changing urban dynamics and incorporate emerging technologies such as IoT and autonomous vehicles.

In conclusion, the PDAM framework offers a promising pathway toward transforming last-mile delivery by harnessing the power of predictive analytics. With strategic investments and collaborative governance, urban logistics systems can evolve into more efficient, responsive, and sustainable networks, meeting the demands of modern consumers and cities alike.

REFERENCES

[1] J. Andelmin and E. Bartolini, "An exact algorithm for the green vehicle routing problem," *Transportation Science*, vol. 51, no. 4, pp. 1288–1303, Nov. 2017, doi: 10.1287/TRSC.2016.0734.

[2] A. A. Rahmani Hosseinabadi, A. Slowik, M. Sadeghilalimi, M. Farokhzad, M. Babazadeh Shareh, and A. K. Sangaiah, "An Ameliorative Hybrid Algorithm for Solving the Capacitated Vehicle Routing Problem," *IEEE Access*, vol. 7, pp. 175454–175465, 2019, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2019.2957722.

[3] A. E. Gutierrez-Rodríguez, S. E. Conant-Pablos, J. C. Ortiz-Bayliss, and H. Terashima-Marín, "Selecting meta-heuristics for solving vehicle routing problems with time windows via meta-learning," *Expert Syst Appl*, vol. 118, pp. 470–

481, Mar. 2019, doi: 10.1016/J.ESWA.2018.10.036.

[4] E. Jabir, V. V. Panicker, and R. Sridharan, "Design and development of a hybrid ant colony-variable neighbourhood search algorithm for a multi-depot green vehicle routing problem," *Transp Res D Transp Environ*, vol. 57, pp. 422–457, Dec. 2017, doi: 10.1016/J.TRD.2017.09.003.

[5] L. Barreto, A. Amaral, and T. Pereira, "Industry 4.0 implications in logistics: an overview," *Procedia Manuf*, vol. 13, pp. 1245–1252, 2017, doi: 10.1016/j.promfg.2017.09.045.

[6] C. F. Lynch, T. P. Stank, and S. Scott, "Logistics outsourcing," *Handbook of Global Supply Chain Management*, pp. 373–392, Jan. 2007, doi: 10.4135/9781412976169.N22.

[7] I. Sadler, "Logistics in Manufacturing Organisations," *Logistics and Supply Chain Integration*, pp. 31–69, May 2012, doi: 10.4135/9781446214312.N2.

[8] L. Costa, C. Contardo, and G. Desaulniers, "Exact branch-price-and-cut algorithms for vehicle routing," *Transportation Science*, vol. 53, no. 4, pp. 946–985, 2019, doi: 10.1287/TRSC.2018.0878.

[9] V. Ghilas, E. Demir, and T. Van Woensel, "An adaptive large neighborhood search heuristic for the Pickup and Delivery Problem with Time Windows and Scheduled Lines," *Comput Oper Res*, vol. 72, pp. 12–30, Aug. 2016, doi: 10.1016/J.COR.2016.01.018.

[10] J. Euchi, A. Yassine, and H. Chabchoub, "The dynamic vehicle routing problem: Solution with hybrid metaheuristic approach," *Swarm Evol Comput*, vol. 21, pp. 41–53, Apr. 2015, doi: 10.1016/J.SWEVO.2014.12.003.

[11] S. C. Liu, M. C. Lu, and C. H. Chung, "A hybrid heuristic method for the periodic inventory routing problem," *International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology*, vol. 85, no. 9–12, pp. 2345–2352, Aug. 2016, doi: 10.1007/S00170-015-8081-3.

[12] P. Li *et al.*, "Promoting secondary analysis of electronic medical records in china: Summary of the plagh-mit critical data conference and health datathon," *JMIR Med Inform*, vol. 5, no. 4, Oct. 2017, doi: 10.2196/MEDINFORM.7380.

[13] I. Eaton and M. McNett, "Protecting the data: Security and privacy," *Data for Nurses: Understanding and Using Data to Optimize Care Delivery in Hospitals and Health Systems*, pp. 87–99, Jan. 2019, doi: 10.1016/B978-0-12-816543-0.00006-6.

[14] J. Hemerly, "Public policy considerations for data-driven innovation," *Computer (Long Beach Calif)*, vol. 46, no. 6, pp. 25–31, 2013, doi: 10.1109/MC.2013.186.

[15] E. W. K. See-To and E. W. T. Ngai, "Customer reviews for demand distribution and sales nowcasting: a big data approach," *Ann Oper Res*, vol. 270, no. 1–2, pp. 415–431, Nov. 2018, doi: 10.1007/S10479-016-2296-Z.

[16] G. Qin, F. Tao, and L. Li, "A vehicle routing optimization problem for cold chain logistics considering customer satisfaction and carbon emissions," *Int J Environ Res Public Health*, vol. 16, no. 4, Feb. 2019, doi: 10.3390/IJERPH16040576.

[17] J. Gualandris and M. Kalchschmidt, "Customer pressure and innovativeness: Their role in sustainable supply chain management," *Journal of Purchasing and Supply Management*, vol. 20, no. 2, pp. 92–103, 2014, doi: 10.1016/j.pursup.2014.03.001.

[18] W. Gu, D. Cattaruzza, M. Ogier, and F. Semet, "Adaptive large neighborhood search for the commodity constrained split delivery VRP," *Comput Oper Res*, vol. 112, Dec. 2019, doi: 10.1016/J.COR.2019.07.019.

[19] S. MULUKUNTLA, "Interoperability in Electronic Medical Records: Challenges and Solutions for Seamless Healthcare Delivery," *International Journal of Medical and Health Science*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 31–38, Jan. 2015, doi: 10.53555/EIJMHS.V1I1.214.

[20] I. Orenstein, T. Raviv, and E. Sadan, "Flexible parcel delivery to automated parcel lockers: models, solution methods and analysis," *EURO Journal on Transportation and Logistics*, vol. 8, no. 5, pp. 683–711, Dec. 2019, doi: 10.1007/S13676-019-00144-7.

[21] M. Avci and S. Topaloglu, “A hybrid metaheuristic algorithm for heterogeneous vehicle routing problem with simultaneous pickup and delivery,” *Expert Syst Appl*, vol. 53, pp. 160–171, Jul. 2016, doi: 10.1016/J.ESWA.2016.01.038.

[22] G. Perboli, M. Rosano, M. Saint-Guillain, and P. Rizzo, “Simulation-optimisation framework for City Logistics: An application on multimodal last-mile delivery,” *IET Intelligent Transport Systems*, vol. 12, no. 4, pp. 262–269, May 2018, doi: 10.1049/IET-ITS.2017.0357.

[23] J. Wang, Y. Zhou, Y. Wang, J. Zhang, C. L. P. Chen, and Z. Zheng, “Multiobjective Vehicle Routing Problems with Simultaneous Delivery and Pickup and Time Windows: Formulation, Instances, and Algorithms,” *IEEE Trans Cybern*, vol. 46, no. 3, pp. 582–594, Mar. 2016, doi: 10.1109/TCYB.2015.2409837.

[24] D. J. Flint and B. Gammelgaard, “Value and customer service management,” *Handbook of Global Supply Chain Management*, pp. 51–64, Jan. 2007, doi: 10.4135/9781412976169.N4.

[25] D. Weraikat, M. K. Zanjani, and N. Lehoux, “Two-echelon pharmaceutical reverse supply chain coordination with customers incentives,” *Int J Prod Econ*, vol. 176, pp. 41–52, Jun. 2016, doi: 10.1016/j.ijpe.2016.03.003.

[26] A. Pienaar, “Integrated logistics management,” *Handbook of Global Supply Chain Management*, pp. 169–184, Jan. 2007, doi: 10.4135/9781412976169.N11.

[27] I. Sadler, “International Logistics (with David Taylor),” *Logistics and Supply Chain Integration*, pp. 99–123, May 2012, doi: 10.4135/9781446214312.N4.

[28] J. Yeung, X. Zhao, and K. Lam, “DCH Logistics – Planning for La Cafetière,” *DCH Logistics – Planning for La Cafetière*, Jan. 2019, doi: 10.4135/9781526462077.

[29] M. Hesse, “Freight distribution centres, freight clusters and logistics parks,” *The SAGE Handbook of Transport Studies*, pp. 161–178, Jan. 2013, doi: 10.4135/9781446247655.N10.

[30] D. Knoll, M. Prüglmeier, and G. Reinhart, “Predicting Future Inbound Logistics Processes Using Machine Learning,” *Procedia CIRP*, vol. 52, pp. 145–150, 2016, doi: 10.1016/J.PROCIR.2016.07.078.

[31] T. Feng and H. J. P. Timmermans, “Detecting activity type from gps traces using spatial and temporal information,” *European Journal of Transport and Infrastructure Research*, vol. 15, no. 4, pp. 662–674, Sep. 2015, doi: 10.18757/EJTIR.2015.15.4.3103.

[32] C. Liu, Z. Zhang, X. Su, and H. Qin, “A hybrid algorithm for the vehicle routing problem with compatibility constraints,” *ICNSC 2018 - 15th IEEE International Conference on Networking, Sensing and Control*, pp. 1–6, May 2018, doi: 10.1109/ICNSC.2018.8361368.

[33] K. Liu, N. Li, I. Kolmanovsky, and A. Girard, “A vehicle routing problem with dynamic demands and restricted failures solved using stochastic predictive control,” *Proceedings of the American Control Conference*, vol. 2019-July, pp. 1885–1890, Jul. 2019, doi: 10.23919/ACC.2019.8814997.

[34] K. J. Ferreira, B. H. A. Lee, and D. Simchi-Levi, “Analytics for an online retailer: Demand forecasting and price optimization,” *Manufacturing and Service Operations Management*, vol. 18, no. 1, pp. 69–88, Dec. 2016, doi: 10.1287/MSOM.2015.0561.

[35] B. Baesens, R. Bapna, J. R. Marsden, J. Vanthienen, and J. L. Zhao, “Transformational Issues of Big Data and Analytics in Networked Business,” *MIS Quarterly*, vol. 40, no. 4, pp. 807–818, Apr. 2016, doi: 10.25300/MISQ/2016/40:4.03;

[36] G. Wang, A. Gunasekaran, E. W. T. Ngai, and T. Papadopoulos, “Big data analytics in logistics and supply chain management: Certain investigations for research and applications,” *Int J Prod Econ*, vol. 176, pp. 98–110, Jun. 2016, doi: 10.1016/j.ijpe.2016.03.014.

[37] A. Sammani *et al.*, “UNRAVEL: big data analytics research data platform to improve care of patients with cardiomyopathies using routine electronic health records and standardised biobanking,” *Netherlands Heart Journal*, vol. 27, no. 9, pp. 426–434, Sep. 2019, doi: 10.1007/S12471-019-1288-4.

[38] M. Bar-Sinai, L. Sweeney, and M. Crosas, "DataTags, Data Handling Policy Spaces and the Tags Language," *Proceedings - 2016 IEEE Symposium on Security and Privacy Workshops, SPW 2016*, pp. 1–8, Aug. 2016, doi: 10.1109/SPW.2016.11.

[39] S. Kumar, E. Dieveney, and A. Dieveney, "Reverse logistic process control measures for the pharmaceutical industry supply chain," *International Journal of Productivity and Performance Management*, vol. 58, no. 2, pp. 188–204, 2009, doi: 10.1108/17410400910928761.

[40] E. Hofmann and M. Rüsch, "Industry 4.0 and the current status as well as future prospects on logistics," *Comput Ind*, vol. 89, pp. 23–34, Aug. 2017, doi: 10.1016/j.compind.2017.04.002.

[41] E. Kongar, E. Haznedaroglu, O. Abdelghany, and M. O. Bahtiyar, "A novel IT infrastructure for reverse logistics operations of end-of-life pharmaceutical products," *Information Technology and Management*, vol. 16, no. 1, pp. 51–65, Mar. 2015, doi: 10.1007/S10799-014-0195-Z.

[42] R. Stake, "Multiple case study analysis," 2006, *The Guilford Press*.

[43] S. Elo and H. Kyngäs, "The qualitative content analysis process," *J Adv Nurs*, vol. 62, no. 1, pp. 107–115, Apr. 2008, doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2648.2007.04569.x.

[44] I. G. Kryvonos, I. V. Krak, O. V. Barmak, and A. I. Kulias, "Methods to Create Systems for the Analysis and Synthesis of Communicative Information," *Cybern Syst Anal*, vol. 53, no. 6, pp. 847–856, Nov. 2017, doi: 10.1007/S10559-017-9986-7.

[45] N. Lin, Y. Shi, T. Zhang, and X. Wang, "An Effective Order-Aware Hybrid Genetic Algorithm for Capacitated Vehicle Routing Problems in Internet of Things," *IEEE Access*, vol. 7, pp. 86102–86114, 2019, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2019.2925831.

[46] S. W. Lin, Z. J. Lee, K. C. Ying, and C. Y. Lee, "Applying hybrid meta-heuristics for capacitated vehicle routing problem," *Expert Syst Appl*, vol. 36, no. 2 PART 1, pp. 1505–1512, 2009, doi: 10.1016/J.ESWA.2007.11.060.

[47] T. Vidal, M. Battarra, A. Subramanian, and G. Erdoǧan, "Hybrid metaheuristics for the Clustered Vehicle Routing Problem," *Comput Oper Res*, vol. 58, pp. 87–99, Aug. 2015, doi: 10.1016/J.COR.2014.10.019.

[48] M. Kheirkhahzadeh and A. A. Barforoush, "A hybrid algorithm for the vehicle routing problem," *2009 IEEE Congress on Evolutionary Computation, CEC 2009*, pp. 1791–1798, 2009, doi: 10.1109/CEC.2009.4983158.

[49] M. Mavrovouniotis and S. Yang, "Ant algorithms with immigrants schemes for the dynamic vehicle routing problem," *Inf Sci (N Y)*, vol. 294, pp. 456–477, Feb. 2015, doi: 10.1016/J.INS.2014.10.002.

[50] R. K. Goel and S. R. Bansal, "Hybrid algorithms for rich vehicle routing problems: A survey," *Smart Delivery Systems: Solving Complex Vehicle Routing Problems*, pp. 157–184, Jan. 2019, doi: 10.1016/B978-0-12-815715-2.00011-7.

[51] P. M. Hartmann, M. Zaki, N. Feldmann, and A. Neely, "Capturing value from big data–a taxonomy of data-driven business models used by start-up firms," *International Journal of Operations & Production Management*, vol. 36, no. 10, pp. 1382–1406, 2016, doi: 10.1108/ijopm-02-2014-0098.

[52] M. Singh, S. Sachan, A. Singh, and K. K. Singh, "Internet of Things in pharma industry: Possibilities and challenges," *Emergence of Pharmaceutical Industry Growth with Industrial IoT Approach*, pp. 195–216, Jan. 2019, doi: 10.1016/B978-0-12-819593-2.00007-8.

[53] K. Witkowski, "Internet of Things, Big Data, Industry 4.0 - Innovative Solutions in Logistics and Supply Chains Management," *Procedia Eng*, vol. 182, pp. 763–769, 2017, doi: 10.1016/j.proeng.2017.03.197.

[54] C. Legner *et al.*, "Digitalization: Opportunity and challenge for the business and information systems engineering community," *Business & Information Systems Engineering*, vol. 59, no. 4, pp. 301–308, Aug. 2017, doi: 10.1007/s12599-017-0484-2.

[55] N. Konduri *et al.*, "Digital health technologies to support access to medicines and pharmaceutical

services in the achievement of sustainable development goals," *Digit Health*, vol. 4, p. 205520761877140, Jan. 2018, doi: 10.1177/2055207618771407.

[56] J. Evans, S. McKemmish, and G. Rolan, "Participatory information governance: Transforming recordkeeping for childhood out-of-home Care," *Records Management Journal*, vol. 29, no. 1–2, pp. 178–193, Mar. 2019, doi: 10.1108/RMJ-09-2018-0041/FULL/PDF.

[57] S. Rosenbaum, "Data governance and stewardship: Designing data stewardship entities and advancing data access," *Health Serv Res*, vol. 45, no. 5 PART 2, pp. 1442–1455, Oct. 2010, doi: 10.1111/J.1475-6773.2010.01140.X.

[58] A. Sharma and P. Kaur, "A Multitenant Data Store Using a Column Based NoSQL Database," *2019 12th International Conference on Contemporary Computing, IC3 2019*, Aug. 2019, doi: 10.1109/IC3.2019.8844906.

[59] S. Barocas and H. Nissenbaum, "Big data's end run around procedural privacy protections," *Commun ACM*, vol. 57, no. 11, pp. 31–33, Nov. 2014, doi: 10.1145/2668897.

[60] S. Tiwari, H. M. Wee, and Y. Daryanto, "Big data analytics in supply chain management between 2010 and 2016: Insights to industries," *Comput Ind Eng*, vol. 115, pp. 319–330, Jan. 2018, doi: 10.1016/j.cie.2017.11.017.

[61] S. Purkayastha and J. Braa, "Big data analytics for developing countries-using the cloud for operational bi in health," *Electronic Journal of Information Systems in Developing Countries*, vol. 59, no. 1, pp. 1–17, Oct. 2013, doi: 10.1002/J.1681-4835.2013.TB00420.X.

[62] L. Belone *et al.*, "Community-Based Participatory Research Conceptual Model: Community Partner Consultation and Face Validity," *Qual Health Res*, vol. 26, no. 1, pp. 117–135, Jan. 2016, doi: 10.1177/1049732314557084.

[63] G. Sanchez, "PLS Path Modeling with R," 2013, *Trowchez Editions*.

[64] A. Al-Shammari, R. Zhou, M. Naseriparsaa, and C. Liu, "An effective density-based clustering and dynamic maintenance framework for evolving medical data streams," *Int J Med Inform*, vol. 126, pp. 176–186, Jun. 2019, doi: 10.1016/j.ijmedinf.2019.03.016.

[65] E. S. Kirkendall, Y. Ni, T. Lingren, M. Leonard, E. S. Hall, and K. Melton, "Data challenges with real-time safety event detection and clinical decision support," *J Med Internet Res*, vol. 21, no. 5, May 2019, doi: 10.2196/13047.

[66] D. Classen, M. Li, S. Miller, and D. Ladner, "An electronic health record–based real-time analytics program for patient safety surveillance and improvement," *Health Aff*, vol. 37, no. 11, pp. 1805–1812, Nov. 2018, doi: 10.1377/HLTHAFF.2018.0728;CTYPE:STRING:JOURNAL.

[67] G. Kappes, A. Hatzieleftheriou, and S. V. Anastasiadis, "Multitenant Access Control for Cloud-Aware Distributed Filesystems," *IEEE Trans Dependable Secure Comput*, vol. 16, no. 6, pp. 1070–1085, Nov. 2019, doi: 10.1109/TDSC.2017.2715839.

[68] A. Hudic *et al.*, "A multi-layer and multitenant cloud assurance evaluation methodology," *Proceedings of the International Conference on Cloud Computing Technology and Science, CloudCom*, vol. 2015-February, no. February, pp. 386–393, Feb. 2015, doi: 10.1109/CLOUDCOM.2014.85.

[69] L. Tawalbeh, R. Mehmood, E. Benkhlifa, and H. Song, "Cloud Computing Model and Big Data Analysis for Healthcare Applications," *IEEE Access*, vol. 4, 2016.

[70] M. Okulewicz and J. Mańdziuk, "A metaheuristic approach to solve Dynamic Vehicle Routing Problem in continuous search space," *Swarm Evol Comput*, vol. 48, pp. 44–61, Aug. 2019, doi: 10.1016/J.SWEVO.2019.03.008.

[71] D. Cattaruzza, N. Absi, and D. Feillet, "The multi-trip vehicle routing problem with time windows and release dates," *Transportation Science*, vol. 50, no. 2, pp. 676–693, May 2016, doi: 10.1287/TRSC.2015.0608.

[72] A. Kaushik and A. Raman, "The new data-driven enterprise architecture for e-healthcare: Lessons from the indian public sector," *Gov Inf Q*, vol. 32, no. 1, pp. 63–74, 2015, doi: 10.1016/J.GIQ.2014.11.002.

[73] V. Khatri and C. V. Brown, “Designing data governance,” *Commun ACM*, vol. 53, no. 1, pp. 148–152, Jan. 2010, doi: 10.1145/1629175.1629210.

[74] S. Aral, E. Brynjolfsson, and M. Van Alstyne, “Information, technology and information worker productivity task level evidence,” *Inf. Syst. Res.*, vol. 23, no. 3, part 2, pp. 849–867, 2012, doi: 10.1287/isre.1110.0408.

[75] R. Bernardi, “Health information systems and accountability in Kenya: A structuration theory perspective,” *J Assoc Inf Syst*, vol. 18, no. 12, pp. 931–957, Dec. 2017, doi: 10.17705/1JAIS.00475.

[76] L. Urquhart and T. Rodden, “New directions in information technology law: learning from human–computer interaction,” *International Review of Law, Computers and Technology*, vol. 31, no. 2, pp. 150–169, May 2017, doi: 10.1080/13600869.2017.1298501.

[77] H. Zhang, Q. Zhang, L. Ma, Z. Zhang, and Y. Liu, “A hybrid ant colony optimization algorithm for a multi-objective vehicle routing problem with flexible time windows,” *Inf Sci (N Y)*, vol. 490, pp. 166–190, Jul. 2019, doi: 10.1016/J.INS.2019.03.070.

[78] X. Yan, B. Xiao, Y. Xiao, Z. Zhao, L. Ma, and N. Wang, “Skill Vehicle Routing Problem with Time Windows Considering Dynamic Service Times and Time-Skill-Dependent Costs,” *IEEE Access*, vol. 7, pp. 77208–77221, 2019, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2019.2919963.

[79] V. Sivaram Kumar, M. R. Thansekhar, R. Saravanan, and S. Miruna Joe Amali, “Solving multi-objective vehicle routing problem with time windows by FAGA,” *Procedia Eng*, vol. 97, pp. 2176–2185, 2014, doi: 10.1016/J.PROENG.2014.12.461.

[80] V. Sivaramkumar, M. R. Thansekhar, R. Saravanan, and S. Miruna Joe Amali, “Demonstrating the importance of using total time balance instead of route balance on a multi-objective vehicle routing problem with time windows,” *International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology*, vol. 98, no. 5–8, pp. 1287–1306, Sep. 2018, doi: 10.1007/S00170-018-2346-6.

[81] J. A. Burkell, “Remembering me: big data, individual identity, and the psychological necessity of forgetting,” *Ethics Inf Technol*, vol. 18, no. 1, pp. 17–23, Mar. 2016, doi: 10.1007/S10676-016-9393-1.

[82] Y. Song, R. Routray, R. Jain, and C. H. Tan, “A data-driven storage recommendation service for multitenant storage management environments,” *Proceedings of the 2015 IFIP/IEEE International Symposium on Integrated Network Management, IM 2015*, pp. 1026–1040, Jun. 2015, doi: 10.1109/INM.2015.7140429.

[83] J. P. A. Ioannidis, “Informed Consent, Big Data, and the Oxymoron of Research That Is Not Research,” *American Journal of Bioethics*, vol. 13, no. 4, pp. 40–42, Apr. 2013, doi: 10.1080/15265161.2013.768864.

[84] B. Hofmann, “Broadening consent-and diluting ethics?,” *J Med Ethics*, vol. 35, no. 2, pp. 125–129, Feb. 2009, doi: 10.1136/JME.2008.024851.

[85] B. D. Mittelstadt and L. Floridi, “The Ethics of Big Data: Current and Foreseeable Issues in Biomedical Contexts,” *Sci Eng Ethics*, vol. 22, no. 2, pp. 303–341, Apr. 2016, doi: 10.1007/S11948-015-9652-2.

[86] S. A. Yawar and S. Seuring, “Management of Social Issues in Supply Chains: A Literature Review Exploring Social Issues, Actions and Performance Outcomes,” *Journal of Business Ethics*, vol. 141, no. 3, pp. 621–643, Mar. 2017, doi: 10.1007/S10551-015-2719-9.

[87] G. Prause, “Sustainable business models and structures for industry 4.0,” *Journal of Security and Sustainability Issues*, vol. 5, no. 2, pp. 159–169, 2015, doi: 10.9770/JSSI.2015.5.2(3).

[88] F. Caccioli, P. Barucca, and T. Kobayashi, “Network Models of Financial Systemic Risk: A Review,” *SSRN Electronic Journal*, Nov. 2017, doi: 10.2139/SSRN.3066722.

[89] A. Hassanzadeh and M. Rasti-Barzoki, “Minimizing total resource consumption and total tardiness penalty in a resource allocation supply chain scheduling and vehicle routing problem,” *Applied Soft Computing Journal*, vol. 58, pp. 307–323, Sep. 2017, doi: 10.1016/J.ASOC.2017.05.010.

[90] S. Wu, H. Legido-Quigley, J. Spencer, R. J. Coker, and M. S. Khan, "Designing evaluation studies to optimally inform policy: What factors do policy-makers in China consider when making resource allocation decisions on healthcare worker training programmes?," *Health Res Policy Syst*, vol. 16, no. 1, Feb. 2018, doi: 10.1186/S12961-018-0292-2.

[91] C. Barkman and L. Weinehall, "Policymakers and mHealth: Roles and expectations, with observations from Ethiopia, Ghana and Sweden," *Glob Health Action*, vol. 10, 2017, doi: 10.1080/16549716.2017.1337356.