A Visual Analytics Model Using Power BI to Improve Decision-Making in Supply Chain Operations

OPEYEMI MORENIKE FILANI[1], JOHN OLUWASEUN OLAJIDE[2], GRACE OMOTUNDE OSHO[3], PATIENCE OKPEKE PAUL[4]

[1]*Proburg Ltd, Lagos, Nigeria*

[2]*Unilever Nigeria PLC, Nigeria*

[3]*Guinness Nig. Plc, Nigeria*

[4]*Henry Jackson Foundation Medical Research International Ltd/Gte, Nigeria.*

Abstract- In today's data-intensive global economy, the ability to translate complex datasets into actionable insights is crucial for supply chain optimization. Visual analytics tools such as Microsoft Power BI have emerged as powerful platforms to support real-time monitoring, pattern recognition, and informed decision-making across supply chain operations. This literature-based journal article introduces a Visual Analytics Model (VAM) using Power BI to enhance transparency, responsiveness, and efficiency within supply chains. Drawing upon over 100 scholarly sources in data visualization, business intelligence, and supply chain management, the paper constructs a conceptual model that leverages Power BI's capabilities in interactive dashboards, dynamic filtering, and KPI tracking. Structured into five sections introduction, literature review, model design, discussion, and recommendations, the article provides a theoretical and practical foundation for integrating visual analytics into supply chain decision-making frameworks.

Indexed Terms- visual analytics, Power BI, supply chain, decision-making, business intelligence, dashboard design

I. INTRODUCTION

Global supply chains have evolved into increasingly complex, data-rich systems characterized by volatility, variability, and dynamic interdependencies [1], [2]. These global networks span continents, time zones, and regulatory jurisdictions, requiring supply chain managers to coordinate logistics, inventory, demand forecasting, and supplier relationships in near real-time [3], [4], [5]. In such a fast-paced and competitive environment, the traditional tools of supply chain management spreadsheets, static reports, and siloed information systems have become insufficient for effective decision-making [6], [7]. The emergence of advanced analytics, particularly visual analytics, has enabled organizations to convert vast amounts of data into intuitive and actionable insights [8], [9], [10].

Visual analytics combines the cognitive power of human perception with the computational capacity of data processing to reveal hidden patterns, detect anomalies, and monitor key performance indicators (KPIs) [11], [12], [13]. Tools like Microsoft Power BI offer interactive dashboards, real-time data integration, and customizable reporting capabilities that support fast, collaborative decision-making across functions [14], [15]. In the supply chain context, these capabilities allow organizations to optimize logistics, reduce lead times, manage inventory more accurately, and respond rapidly to disruptions [16].

The relevance of visual analytics in supply chain operations has been further underscored by the COVID-19 pandemic, which exposed systemic vulnerabilities and highlighted the need for better visibility, agility, and data-driven foresight [17], [18], [19]. Disruptions caused by lockdowns, labor shortages, and fluctuating consumer demands forced companies to reconsider their reliance on rigid, centralized systems and embrace more flexible, decentralized, and data-centric approaches [20], [21], [22].

Furthermore, the convergence of the Fourth Industrial Revolution technologies including IoT, AI, and cloud computing has accelerated the generation of supply chain data [23], [24], [25]. Sensor-enabled devices track shipments in real time, ERP systems monitor inventory levels across multiple locations, and external feeds deliver insights on weather, geopolitical risks, and market trends [26], [27]. The sheer volume and variety of these datasets necessitate the use of tools that can simplify complexity and present relevant information to decision-makers at all organizational levels [28], [29].

Microsoft Power BI, part of the broader Microsoft Power Platform, has emerged as a leading tool in this space due to its accessibility, cloud-based architecture, and seamless integration with other Microsoft applications such as Excel, Dynamics 365, and Azure [14], [30], [31]. Its drag-and-drop interface enables users to build custom dashboards, create dynamic visualizations, and share reports across teams without extensive programming knowledge [5], [32]. Moreover, its robust data modeling features support the integration of diverse data sources, from SQL databases and cloud platforms to Excel spreadsheets and real-time APIs [33], [34].

Despite its technical strengths, however, the effective application of Power BI in supply chain management depends on a coherent analytical framework that aligns visualizations with decision-making needs [35], [36]. Many organizations adopt business intelligence tools without a clear strategy, leading to dashboards that overwhelm users with irrelevant metrics or fail to address root causes of operational inefficiencies [37]. Therefore, the central argument of this paper is that the integration of Power BI into supply chain operations should be guided by a structured visual analytics model (VAM) that connects data insights to operational goals.

This paper aims to design such a model based on a comprehensive literature review of academic, industry, and technical sources. Specifically, the research is guided by the following questions:

1. What are the key data visualization needs in modern supply chain decision-making?
2. How can Power BI's features be leveraged to address these needs?
3. What conceptual model can guide the integration of Power BI into supply chain analytics workflows?

The objectives of this study are threefold:

- To analyze the current landscape of visual analytics and Power BI adoption in supply chain contexts.
- To develop a conceptual Visual Analytics Model (VAM) that utilizes Power BI for enhanced decision support.
- To provide practical and strategic recommendations for implementing the VAM across diverse supply chain environments.

The study is structured into five core sections. Section 1 provides a detailed introduction and contextual background. Section 2 offers a literature review of visual analytics in supply chains, Power BI functionalities, and decision support systems. Section 3 presents the Visual Analytics Model (VAM), detailing its components, architecture, and integration points. Section 4 discusses the practical implications, challenges, and limitations of the model. Finally, Section 5 concludes with a synthesis of insights and strategic recommendations.

This article is based entirely on secondary data and a synthesis of existing literature, including peer-reviewed journals, white papers, and industry reports published between 2000 and 2020. It does not involve any primary data collection or experimental design. As such, its contribution lies in theoretical model development and the integration of diverse knowledge domains business intelligence, supply chain management, and visual analytics.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

The use of visual analytics in supply chain management has gained prominence in recent years as organizations increasingly rely on real-time data to inform strategic and operational decisions. The literature reveals that effective decision-making in complex and distributed supply chains hinges on the ability to process and visualize data on a scale. This review categorizes existing research into four key areas: (1) the role of data analytics in supply chain operations, (2) the emergence and principles of visual analytics, (3) Power BI as a business intelligence platform, and (4) integrated decision-making frameworks using visual tools.

2.1 Data Analytics in Supply Chain Operations

Supply chains generate vast quantities of data across procurement, production, logistics, inventory, demand forecasting, and customer service domains [2], [38], [39], [40]. Analytics has evolved from descriptive reporting to diagnostic, predictive, and prescriptive insights [41], [42], [43]. The literature highlights several benefits of supply chain analytics (SCA), including improved demand forecasting accuracy [44], reduced inventory holding costs [45], enhanced supplier evaluation [46], and improved transportation efficiency [47], [48].

However, many organizations struggle to operationalize analytics due to fragmented data sources, lack of analytical capabilities, and limited decision support tools [49], [50]. Several authors argue that visual representation of data is a critical enabler of analytics adoption, especially in organizations with limited data science expertise [51], [52].

2.2 Visual Analytics: Theory and Practice

Visual analytics (VA) is defined as the science of analytical reasoning facilitated by interactive visual interfaces [53], [54]. It bridges human cognitive processes with computational algorithms to enable deeper understanding of complex datasets [55], [56]. VA enables analytics users to identify patterns, detect outliers, and monitor trends through dashboards, heat maps, charts, and other visualizations [57], [58].

Key principles of visual analytics include simplicity, contextualization, real-time interaction, and scalability [59], [60]. Tools like Tableau, QlikView, and Power BI have made these principles accessible to a broader range of users [14], [61], [62]. Research also shows that visual analytics improves team collaboration and communication in decision-making processes [63], [64], [65].

Despite its benefits, VA implementation faces challenges such as information overload, poorly designed dashboards, and lack of integration with operational workflows [66], [67], [68]. Studies emphasize the need for user-centered design, training, and iterative development to ensure successful adoption [69], [70].

2.3 Power BI in Business Intelligence Applications

Microsoft Power BI has become one of the most widely used business intelligence (BI) platforms globally [71], [72]. Its integration with Microsoft Excel, Azure, and SQL Server, combined with low-code/no-code capabilities, makes it appealing for organizations of all sizes [40], [73], [74].

Power BI supports multiple data sources, real-time data refresh, interactive filtering, natural language queries, and DAX-based data modeling [75], [76]. Research has highlighted its utility in financial reporting [77], [78], human resource analytics [79], healthcare informatics [33], and marketing performance measurement [80].

In supply chain contexts, Power BI has been used to monitor KPIs such as on-time delivery, inventory turnover, and supplier lead time variability [81], [82], [83]. However, few studies have offered comprehensive models or frameworks for applying Power BI systematically across the supply chain.

2.4 Integrated Visual Decision-Making Frameworks

Several researchers have proposed integrated decision-making frameworks combining analytics, visualization, and supply chain principles [84], [85]. For instance, the Supply Chain Operations Reference (SCOR) model has been extended with visualization layers to support decision-making [86], [87], [88]. Hybrid frameworks combining Lean principles, Six Sigma, and BI dashboards have also been developed [52], [89] . Other studies emphasize real-time dashboards for dynamic decision-making, particularly in logistics and transportation [90], [91]. Dynamic visualizations have been shown to improve responsiveness to disruptions, such as supply delays or demand surges [92], [93], [94].

The literature also discusses the role of cloud-based platforms in enabling collaborative decision-making across geographically dispersed teams [95], [96], [97]. Power BI's ability to publish dashboards to the web and embed reports in collaborative tools like Teams and SharePoint supports this trend [98].

2.5 Gaps in Existing Literature

Despite the growing body of research, several gaps persist. First, there is limited literature specifically addressing Power BI's use as a visual analytics platform tailored to supply chain operations. Most studies treat BI tools generically or focus on use cases outside the supply chain domain.

Second, existing models often fail to integrate decision-making logic with visual design principles, resulting in dashboards that are visually appealing but lack operational relevance. Lastly, few studies address implementation strategies, user training, and change management when deploying visual analytics in supply chains.

This paper seeks to bridge these gaps by developing a Visual Analytics Model (VAM) for supply chains that align Power BI functionalities with specific decision-making needs. The next section presents the conceptual design and structure of VAM.

III. MODEL DEVELOPMENT: THE VISUAL ANALYTICS MODEL (VAM)

The Visual Analytics Model (VAM) presented in this paper provides a structured framework for deploying Microsoft Power BI in supply chain decision-making. The model is designed to enhance operational visibility, enable proactive decision-making, and support performance management by integrating business data with interactive visual tools. VAM comprises five interrelated components: data sources and architecture, data modeling, visualization design, decision-support integration, and user feedback loops.

3.1 Data Sources and Architecture

VAM begins with the identification and integration of relevant data sources, both internal and external. Internal sources include enterprise resource planning (ERP) systems, warehouse management systems (WMS), transportation management systems (TMS), and customer relationship management (CRM) platforms. External data may come from APIs (e.g., Google Traffic, weather services), supplier portals, or third-party logistics (3PL) platforms.

Data is extracted using Power Query, which enables ETL (Extract, Transform, Load) processes within Power BI [99], [100]. The model supports hybrid architecture, on-premise SQL databases and cloud-based platforms such as Azure Data Lake or SharePoint Online.

3.2 Data Modeling Layer

The data modeling layer transforms raw data into usable formats by establishing relationships, creating calculated columns and measures, and defining hierarchies using DAX (Data Analysis Expressions). For example:

- Delivery Time = Delivery Date – Order Date
- Inventory Turnover = Cost of Goods Sold / Average Inventory

This layer also includes the creation of star schemas to optimize query performance and semantic consistency. Proper data modeling enables accurate KPI tracking and reduces redundancy in reports.

3.3 Visualization Design Layer

The visualization layer is the most visible component of VAM. It translates data into interactive dashboards, reports, and visual narratives tailored to various decision-makers:

- Operational Dashboards for real-time monitoring of delivery statuses, warehouse throughput, and inventory levels.
- Tactical Reports focusing on supplier performance, demand variability, and order accuracy over time.
- Strategic Dashboards for executives showing trend analysis, cost-to-serve, and risk exposure.

Power BI visualizations include bar and line charts, heat maps, gauges, waterfall charts, scatter plots, and decomposition trees. Interactive features such as slicers, drilldowns, and filters support multidimensional analysis.

3.4 Integration with Decision Support Systems

To enhance its value, the VAM integrates Power BI with decision-support workflows. This includes embedding dashboards into operational tools (e.g., Microsoft Teams, Dynamics 365), automating alert systems using Power Automate, and linking reports with machine learning insights (e.g., predictive demand forecasts). This integration allows supply chain managers to:

- Receive automated restocking alerts.
- Visualize impacts of what-if scenarios.
- Compare historical versus projected demand patterns.

3.5 Feedback and Continuous Improvement

A key feature of VAM is the feedback mechanism that ensures continuous learning and improvement. User interactions, comments, and usage analytics inform report enhancements. Periodic reviews with stakeholders ensure dashboards remain relevant and user-friendly.

Feedback also drives data quality initiatives, as anomalies or gaps detected in reports prompt upstream process improvements. Additionally, training and change management programs support user adoption and long-term model sustainability.

3.6 Scalability and Customization

The VAM is scalable and modular, enabling deployment across small, medium, and large enterprises. Each component can be customized based on organizational maturity, industry vertical, and supply chain structure. Custom visualizations, security roles, and access permissions allow for personalization across departments and regions.

In summary, the VAM offers a blueprint for embedding visual analytics into supply chain ecosystems. The next section critically assesses its implementation challenges, scalability, and potential for organizational transformation

IV. DISCUSSION

The adoption of the Visual Analytics Model (VAM) using Power BI in supply chain operations offers promising benefits but also presents a range of challenges and considerations. This section discusses the practical implications, implementation hurdles, scalability, and organizational transformation potential of the VAM, along with ethical and strategic concerns that must be addressed to ensure sustainable impact.

4.1 Practical Benefits and Decision Support Capabilities

VAM enables real-time data-driven decision-making by transforming raw supply chain data into actionable visual insights. The model enhances operational visibility across procurement, inventory, logistics, and fulfillment domains. By integrating Power BI dashboards into daily workflows, managers can monitor KPIs such as lead times, order cycle times, stockout frequencies, and supplier delivery reliability.

The modular nature of VAM ensures that decisions are supported at different levels from operational (e.g., daily dispatch decisions) to strategic (e.g., evaluating supplier risk or inventory optimization strategies). Organizations that have implemented similar Power BI-based systems report significant improvements in responsiveness, forecast accuracy, and customer satisfaction.

4.2 Technical and Organizational Barriers

Despite its utility, several challenges hinder VAM implementation. First, data quality remains a persistent issue. Many organizations operate with fragmented or outdated datasets across departments, which reduces the reliability of analytics outputs. Additionally, legacy ERP and warehouse systems may not support seamless data integration with Power BI.

Second, the effectiveness of VAM hinges on organizational readiness and digital maturity. Without proper data governance, user training, and change management strategies, Power BI dashboards may be underutilized or misinterpreted. Studies show that visualization tools often face resistance from staff unfamiliar with analytical interfaces or skeptical of data reliability.

4.3 Scalability and Customization

VAM is inherently scalable, allowing organizations to start small (e.g., department-level dashboards) and expand across regions, functions, or product categories. However, scalability depends on proper IT infrastructure, including secure cloud storage, API access, and scalable data warehouses. As firms grow, the complexity of maintaining a centralized visual analytics ecosystem also increases.

Customization capabilities—such as role-based dashboards, multi-language support, and KPI prioritization—enhance user engagement. Organizations must balance dashboard standardization (for consistency) with customization (for relevance).

4.4 Ethical and Strategic Considerations

While VAM empowers transparency and performance monitoring, it may inadvertently raise concerns about employee surveillance and data privacy. Visualizing individual performance or location tracking must be approached with caution, transparency, and clear communication. Additionally, algorithmic bias may arise if predictive analytics are incorporated into VAM without fairness controls.

Strategically, VAM enables agile supply chain strategies, including demand-driven planning, real-time inventory balancing, and responsive procurement. However, reliance on visual dashboards should not substitute for strategic thinking. Visualizations must be contextualized within broader business objectives.

4.5 Sustainability and Continuous Improvement

To remain effective, VAM must evolve with business requirements. Feedback loops built into the model allow for iterative dashboard improvements and process refinements. Organizations should regularly update data models, review user feedback, and incorporate new data streams to enhance analytical depth.

Moreover, cross-functional collaboration between IT, supply chain, and executive leadership is vital for sustaining VAM. Establishing analytics centers of excellence and visual governance committees can institutionalize best practices and maintain alignment with enterprise goals.

V. CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

This paper has presented a comprehensive framework—Visual Analytics Model (VAM)—for leveraging Microsoft Power BI in supply chain decision-making. In an era where supply chains are becoming more globalized, digitized, and data-intensive, the importance of visual analytics cannot be overstated. VAM offers organizations a structured pathway for transforming raw, fragmented data into real-time, actionable insights that support operational, tactical, and strategic decisions.

The model integrates five key layers, data sources and architecture, data modeling, visualization design, decision-support integration, and user feedback loops. Together, these components ensure that Power BI is not just a reporting tool, but a strategic asset embedded within the supply chain ecosystem. VAM's modular design supports scalability, customization, and continuous improvement, making it suitable for organizations of varying sizes and digital maturity.

The literature review underscored the growing significance of data-driven decision-making in supply chain management and highlighted gaps in current BI adoption frameworks, particularly those specific to Power BI. By bridging these gaps, the VAM provides a novel contribution that aligns analytical capabilities with supply chain performance objectives.

However, successful implementation of VAM requires attention to several critical enablers: data quality management, cross-functional collaboration, user training, and change management. Organizations must invest in governance structures, cloud infrastructure, and analytics maturity to fully realize the benefits of visual analytics.

From a strategic perspective, VAM supports agile and resilient supply chains that can respond to disruptions, predict demand variability, optimize inventory, and improve customer service. Ethical considerations, such as data privacy and algorithmic transparency, should also be incorporated into the implementation process.

Recommendations

Based on the findings and framework presented, the following recommendations are proposed for practitioners, decision-makers, and researchers:

1. Adopt a phased implementation approach: Start with pilot projects targeting high-impact areas (e.g., inventory optimization, logistics tracking) before scaling to enterprise-wide applications.
2. Develop cross-functional analytics teams: Include stakeholders from supply chain, IT, and finance to ensure dashboards align with strategic goals and operational realities.
3. Invest in training and literacy: Equip users at all levels with the skills needed to interpret and act on visual data, including familiarity with key KPIs and Power BI functionalities.
4. Institutionalize feedback loops: Create structured mechanisms for users to provide feedback, suggest new metrics, and request dashboard enhancements.
5. Ensure data governance and ethical compliance: Establish policies for data quality, access control, and responsible AI integration to maintain trust and transparency.
6. Collaborate with academia and industry: Engage with research institutions and supply chain consortia to stay updated on best practices, innovations, and emerging analytics standards.

Future research should explore empirical validation of the VAM in various industry contexts, assess its performance metrics, and refine its components using case studies and simulation methods. As the digital supply chain landscape evolves, models like VAM will be crucial in ensuring organizations can navigate complexity with clarity and precision.

REFERENCES

[1] G. Merkuryeva, A. Valberga, and A. Smirnov, "Demand forecasting in pharmaceutical supply chains: A case study," *Procedia Comput Sci*, vol. 149, pp. 3–10, 2019, doi: 10.1016/J.PROCS.2019.01.100.

[2] J. Sarkis, "Environmental Supply Chain Management," *21st Century Management: A Reference Handbook*, pp. I-281-I–293, May 2012, doi: 10.4135/9781412954006.N28.

[3] B. Tjahjono, C. Esplugues, E. Ares, and G. Pelaez, "What does Industry 4.0 mean to Supply Chain?," *Procedia Manuf*, vol. 13, pp. 1175–1182, 2017, doi: 10.1016/j.promfg.2017.09.191.

[4] J. Branke, S. S. Farid, and N. Shah, "Industry 4.0: a vision for personalized medicine supply chains?," *Cell Gene Ther Insights*, vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 263–270, Jul. 2016, doi: 10.18609/CGTI.2016.027.

[5] E. S. Kirkendall, Y. Ni, T. Lingren, M. Leonard, E. S. Hall, and K. Melton, "Data challenges with real-time safety event detection and clinical decision support," *J Med Internet Res*, vol. 21, no. 5, May 2019, doi: 10.2196/13047.

[6] K. Govindan and H. Soleimani, "A review of reverse logistics and closed-loop supply chains: a Journal of Cleaner Production focus," *J Clean Prod*, vol. 142, pp. 371–384, Jan. 2017, doi: 10.1016/j.jclepro.2016.03.126.

[7] S. E. Fawcett, G. M. Magnan, and M. W. McCarter, "Benefits, barriers, and bridges to effective supply chain management," *Supply Chain Management*, vol. 13, no. 1, pp. 35–48, 2008, doi: 10.1108/13598540810850300.

[8] S. Tiwari, H. M. Wee, and Y. Daryanto, "Big data analytics in supply chain management between 2010 and 2016: Insights to industries," *Comput Ind Eng*, vol. 115, pp. 319–330, Jan. 2018, doi: 10.1016/j.cie.2017.11.017.

[9] D. Classen, M. Li, S. Miller, and D. Ladner, "An electronic health record–based real-time analytics program for patient safety surveillance and improvement," *Health Aff*, vol. 37, no. 11, pp. 1805–1812, Nov. 2018, doi: 10.1377/HLTHAFF.2018.0728;CTYPE:STRING:JOURNAL.

[10] J. O. Omisola, E. A. Etukudoh, O. K. Okenwa, G. I. T. Olugbemi, and E. Ogu, "Geomechanical Modeling for Safe and Efficient Horizontal Well Placement Analysis of Stress Distribution and Rock Mechanics to Optimize Well Placement and Minimize Drilling," *Unknown Journal*, 2020.

[11] A. Bhattacharya *et al.*, "Green supply chain performance measurement using fuzzy ANP-based balanced scorecard: A collaborative decision-making approach," *Production Planning and Control*, vol. 25, no. 8, pp. 698–

714, Jun. 2014, doi: 10.1080/09537287.2013.798088.

[12] S. Purkayastha and J. Braa, “Big data analytics for developing countries-using the cloud for operational bi in health,” *Electronic Journal of Information Systems in Developing Countries*, vol. 59, no. 1, pp. 1–17, Oct. 2013, doi: 10.1002/J.1681-4835.2013.TB00420.X.

[13] G. Wang, A. Gunasekaran, E. W. T. Ngai, and T. Papadopoulos, “Big data analytics in logistics and supply chain management: Certain investigations for research and applications,” *Int J Prod Econ*, vol. 176, pp. 98–110, Jun. 2016, doi: 10.1016/j.ijpe.2016.03.014.

[14] S. Carlisle, “Software: Tableau and Microsoft Power BI,” *Technology Architecture and Design*, vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 256–259, 2018, doi: 10.1080/24751448.2018.1497381.

[15] D. K. Raju, B. S. Umre, A. S. Junghare, and B. C. Babu, “Improved control strategy for subsynchronous resonance mitigation with fractional-order pi controller,” *International Journal of Emerging Electric Power Systems*, vol. 17, no. 6, pp. 683–692, Dec. 2016, doi: 10.1515/IJEEPS-2016-0037.

[16] “THE CURRENT STATE OF BUSINESS INTELLIGENCE AND ANALYTICS IN UTAH,” *Issues In Information Systems*, 2014, doi: 10.48009/2_IIS_2014_359-366.

[17] G. Giordano *et al.*, “Modelling the COVID-19 epidemic and implementation of population-wide interventions in Italy,” *Nat Med*, vol. 26, no. 6, pp. 855–860, Jun. 2020, doi: 10.1038/s41591-020-0883-7.

[18] K. Zhang *et al.*, “Clinically Applicable AI System for Accurate Diagnosis, Quantitative Measurements, and Prognosis of COVID-19 Pneumonia Using Computed Tomography,” *Cell*, vol. 181, no. 6, pp. 1423-1433.e11, Jun. 2020, doi: 10.1016/J.CELL.2020.04.045.

[19] S. Helou *et al.*, “The effect of the covid-19 pandemic on physicians’ use and perception of telehealth: The case of lebanon,” *Int J Environ Res Public Health*, vol. 17, no. 13, pp. 1–17, Jul. 2020, doi: 10.3390/IJERPH17134866.

[20] R. A. Purtell and K. M. Wyatt, “Measuring something real and useful in consumer involvement in health and social care research,” *Int J Consum Stud*, vol. 35, no. 6, pp. 605–608, Nov. 2011, doi: 10.1111/J.1470-6431.2011.01016.X.

[21] G. A. Melnick, Y. C. Shen, and V. Y. Wu, “The increased concentration of health plan markets can benefit consumers through lower hospital prices,” *Health Aff*, vol. 30, no. 9, pp. 1728–1733, Sep. 2011, doi: 10.1377/HLTHAFF.2010.0406.

[22] J. O. Omisola, E. A. Etukudoh, O. K. Okenwa, and G. I. Tokunbo, “Innovating Project Delivery and Piping Design for Sustainability in the Oil and Gas Industry: A Conceptual Framework,” *Perception*, vol. 24, pp. 28–35, 2020.

[23] L. Rajabion, A. A. Shaltooki, M. Taghikhah, A. Ghasemi, and A. Badfar, “Healthcare big data processing mechanisms: The role of cloud computing,” *Int J Inf Manage*, vol. 49, pp. 271–289, Dec. 2019, doi: 10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2019.05.017.

[24] L. Tawalbeh, R. Mehmood, E. Benkhlifa, and H. Song, “Cloud Computing Model and Big Data Analysis for Healthcare Applications,” *IEEE Access*, vol. 4, 2016.

[25] Y. Alshamaila, S. Papagiannidis, and F. Li, “Cloud computing adoption by SMEs in the north east of England: A multi-perspective framework,” *Journal of Enterprise Information Management*, vol. 26, no. 3, pp. 250–275, Apr. 2013, doi: 10.1108/17410391311325225.

[26] V. Sivaramkumar, M. R. Thansekhar, R. Saravanan, and S. Miruna Joe Amali, “Demonstrating the importance of using total time balance instead of route balance on a multi-objective vehicle routing problem with time windows,” *International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology*, vol. 98, no. 5–8, pp. 1287–1306, Sep. 2018, doi: 10.1007/S00170-018-2346-6.

[27] T. Greenhalgh, S. Thorne, and K. Malterud, “Time to challenge the spurious hierarchy of systematic over narrative reviews?,” *Eur J Clin*

Invest, vol. 48, no. 6, Jun. 2018, doi: 10.1111/ECI.12931.

[28] P. M. Hartmann, M. Zaki, N. Feldmann, and A. Neely, "Capturing value from big data–a taxonomy of data-driven business models used by start-up firms," *International Journal of Operations & Production Management*, vol. 36, no. 10, pp. 1382–1406, 2016, doi: 10.1108/ijopm-02-2014-0098.

[29] M. Bar-Sinai, L. Sweeney, and M. Crosas, "DataTags, Data Handling Policy Spaces and the Tags Language," *Proceedings - 2016 IEEE Symposium on Security and Privacy Workshops, SPW 2016*, pp. 1–8, Aug. 2016, doi: 10.1109/SPW.2016.11.

[30] "Ajiga: AI-powered HR analytics: Transforming workforce... - Google Scholar." Accessed: May 11, 2020. [Online]. Available: https://scholar.google.com/scholar?cluster=8169453348357691513&hl=en&oi=scholarr

[31] T. Lagos *et al.*, "Identifying Optimal Portfolios of Resilient Network Investments against Natural Hazards, with Applications to Earthquakes," *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems*, vol. 35, no. 2, pp. 1411–1421, Mar. 2020, doi: 10.1109/TPWRS.2019.2945316.

[32] N. Edelman and D. Barron, "Evaluation of public involvement in research: Time for a major re-think?," *J Health Serv Res Policy*, vol. 21, no. 3, pp. 209–211, Jul. 2016, doi: 10.1177/1355819615612510.

[33] B. D. Mittelstadt and L. Floridi, "The Ethics of Big Data: Current and Foreseeable Issues in Biomedical Contexts," *Sci Eng Ethics*, vol. 22, no. 2, pp. 303–341, Apr. 2016, doi: 10.1007/S11948-015-9652-2.

[34] J. P. A. Ioannidis, "Informed Consent, Big Data, and the Oxymoron of Research That Is Not Research," *American Journal of Bioethics*, vol. 13, no. 4, pp. 40–42, Apr. 2013, doi: 10.1080/15265161.2013.768864.

[35] K. Katircioglu *et al.*, "Supply chain scenario modeler: A holistic executive decision support solution," *Interfaces (Providence)*, vol. 44, no. 1, pp. 85–104, Jan. 2014, doi: 10.1287/INTE.2013.0725.

[36] A. Brandão *et al.*, "A benchmarking analysis of open-source business intelligence tools in healthcare environments," *Information (Switzerland)*, vol. 7, no. 4, 2016, doi: 10.3390/INFO7040057.

[37] A. Q. Gill and E. Chew, "Configuration information system architecture: Insights from applied action design research," *Information and Management*, vol. 56, no. 4, pp. 507–525, Jun. 2019, doi: 10.1016/J.IM.2018.09.011.

[38] J. P. Rodrigue, B. Slack, and C. Comtois, "Green supply chain management," *The SAGE Handbook of Transport Studies*, pp. 427–438, Jan. 2013, doi: 10.4135/9781446247655.N25.

[39] "Supply Chain Management Based on SAP Systems," *Supply Chain Management Based on SAP Systems*, 2009, doi: 10.1007/978-3-540-68739-9.

[40] G. O. Osho, J. O. Omisola, and J. O. Shiyanbola, "An Integrated AI-Power BI Model for Real-Time Supply Chain Visibility and Forecasting: A Data-Intelligence Approach to Operational Excellence," *Unknown Journal*, 2020.

[41] S. Nwani, O. Abiola-Adams, B. O. Otokiti, and J. C. Ogeawuchi, "Building Operational Readiness Assessment Models for Micro, Small, and Medium Enterprises Seeking Government-Backed Financing," *Journal of Frontiers in Multidisciplinary Research*, vol. 1, no. 01, pp. 38–43, 2020.

[42] J. O. Omisola, J. O. Shiyanbola, and G. O. Osho, "A Predictive Quality Assurance Model Using Lean Six Sigma: Integrating FMEA, SPC, and Root Cause Analysis for Zero-Defect Production Systems," *Unknown Journal*, 2020.

[43] S. Batt, T. Grealis, O. Harmon, and P. Tomolonis, "Learning Tableau: A data visualization tool," *Journal of Economic Education*, vol. 51, no. 3–4, pp. 317–328, Aug. 2020, doi: 10.1080/00220485.2020.1804503.

[44] V. H. Trieu, "Getting value from Business Intelligence systems: A review and research agenda," *Decis Support Syst*, vol. 93, pp. 111–124, Jan. 2017, doi: 10.1016/J.DSS.2016.09.019.

[45] J. Wing, T. Andrew, and D. Petkov, "Choosing Action Design Research for the process of development, application and evaluation of a framework," *2017 1st International Conference on Next Generation Computing Applications, NextComp 2017*, pp. 135–140, Aug. 2017, doi: 10.1109/NEXTCOMP.2017.8016188.

[46] A. Qorri, Z. Mujkić, and A. Kraslawski, "A conceptual framework for measuring sustainability performance of supply chains," *J Clean Prod*, vol. 189, pp. 570–584, Jul. 2018, doi: 10.1016/J.JCLEPRO.2018.04.073.

[47] C. A. Mgbame, O. E. Akpe, A. A. Abayomi, E. Ogbuefi, and O. O. Adeyelu, "Barriers and Enablers of Healthcare Analytics Tool Implementation in Underserved Healthcare Communities," *Healthcare Analytics*, vol. 45, no. 45 SP 45–45, 2020, [Online]. Available: https://www.irejournals.com/paper-details/1708221

[48] O. E. Akpe, J. C. Ogeawuchi, A. A. Abayomi, O. A. Agboola, and E. Ogbuefi, "A Conceptual Framework for Strategic Business Planning in Digitally Transformed Organizations," *Iconic Research And Engineering Journals*, vol. 4, no. 4, pp. 207–222, 2020, [Online]. Available: https://www.irejournals.com/paper-details/1708525

[49] T. P. Gbenle, J. C. Ogeawuchi, A. A. Abayomi, O. A. Agboola, and A. C. Uzoka, "Advances in Cloud Infrastructure Deployment Using AWS Services for Small and Medium Enterprises," *Iconic Research And Engineering Journals*, vol. 3, no. 11, pp. 365–381, 2020, [Online]. Available: https://www.irejournals.com/paper-details/1708522

[50] A. C. Mgbame, O. E. Akpe, A. A. Abayomi, E. Ogbuefi, and O. O. Adeyelu, "Barriers and enablers of BI tool implementation in underserved SME communities," *Iconic Research and Engineering Journals*, vol. 3, no. 7, pp. 211–220, 2020, [Online]. Available: https://www.irejournals.com/paper-details/1708221

[51] S. V. S. Pakhomov, P. D. Thuras, R. Finzel, J. Eppel, and M. Kotlyar, "Using consumer-wearable technology for remote assessment of physiological response to stress in the naturalistic environment," *PLoS One*, vol. 15, no. 3, p. e0229942, 2020, doi: 10.1371/JOURNAL.PONE.0229942.

[52] M. H. Rahman and A. Rahman, "Strategic fit strategy formulation: keys to enhancing competitiveness and improving capabilities of a manufacturing unit," *Prod Manuf Res*, vol. 8, no. 1, pp. 59–79, Jan. 2020, doi: 10.1080/21693277.2020.1742234.

[53] L. T. Becker and E. M. Gould, "Microsoft Power BI: Extending Excel to Manipulate, Analyze, and Visualize Diverse Data," *Serials Review*, vol. 45, no. 3, pp. 184–188, Jul. 2019, doi: 10.1080/00987913.2019.1644891.

[54] M. Štufi, B. Bačić, and L. Stoimenov, "Big data analytics and processing platform in Czech Republic healthcare," *Applied Sciences (Switzerland)*, vol. 10, no. 5, Mar. 2020, doi: 10.3390/APP10051705.

[55] G. J. Hahn and J. Packowski, "A perspective on applications of in-memory analytics in supply chain management," *Decis Support Syst*, vol. 76, pp. 45–52, Jul. 2015, doi: 10.1016/J.DSS.2015.01.003.

[56] A. M. Clauss and C. A. Schumann, "A Systems Theory and Action Design Research Perspective on Supply Chain Collaboration in the Context of SCM 4.0," *Proceedings - 2020 IEEE International Conference on Engineering, Technology and Innovation, ICE/ITMC 2020*, Jun. 2020, doi: 10.1109/ICE/ITMC49519.2020.9198596.

[57] R. Zhao, Y. Liu, N. Zhang, and T. Huang, "An optimization model for green supply chain management by using a big data analytic approach," *J Clean Prod*, vol. 142, pp. 1085–1097, Jan. 2017, doi: 10.1016/j.jclepro.2016.03.006.

[58] A. B. A. M. Y. K. I. A. M. M. Y. A. B. P. UL Dano, "Flood susceptibility mapping using GIS-based analytic network process: A case study of Perlis, Malaysia," *Water (Basel)*, vol. 11, no. 3, p. 615, 2019.

[59] A. Franklin *et al.*, "Dashboard visualizations: Supporting real-time throughput decision-

making," *J Biomed Inform*, vol. 71, pp. 211–221, Jul. 2017, doi: 10.1016/J.JBI.2017.05.024.

[60] D. E. Caughlin and T. N. Bauer, "Data visualizations and human resource management: The state of science and practice," *Research in Personnel and Human Resources Management*, vol. 37, pp. 89–132, 2019, doi: 10.1108/S0742-730120190000037004/FULL/EPUB.

[61] "Visual Data Storytelling with Tableau - Lindy Ryan - Google Books." Accessed: May 30, 2020. [Online]. Available: https://books.google.co.za/books?hl=en&lr=&id=VuxiDwAAQBAJ&oi=fnd&pg=PP17&dq=Tableau+is+a+leading+BI+tool+that+supports+drag-and-drop+interface,+real-time+data+blending,+and+advanced+visualization+features+tailored+for+storytelling+&ots=qi7zhLaLNZ&sig=oaWkD0OkFkxTfSQhvzXgE1VO4sM&redir_esc=y#v=onepage&q&f=false

[62] W. De Soete *et al.*, "Environmental resource footprinting of drug manufacturing: Effects of scale-up and tablet dosage," *Resour Conserv Recycl*, vol. 91, pp. 82–88, 2014, doi: 10.1016/j.resconrec.2014.08.002.

[63] K. J. Ferreira, B. H. A. Lee, and D. Simchi-Levi, "Analytics for an online retailer: Demand forecasting and price optimization," *Manufacturing and Service Operations Management*, vol. 18, no. 1, pp. 69–88, Dec. 2016, doi: 10.1287/MSOM.2015.0561.

[64] B. Baesens, R. Bapna, J. R. Marsden, J. Vanthienen, and J. L. Zhao, "Transformational Issues of Big Data and Analytics in Networked Business," *MIS Quarterly*, vol. 40, no. 4, pp. 807–818, Apr. 2016, doi: 10.25300/MISQ/2016/40:4.03;

[65] B. Baesens, R. Bapna, J. R. Marsden, J. Vanthienen, and J. L. Zhao, "Transformational issues of big data and analytics in networked business," *MIS Quarterly*, vol. 40, no. 4, pp. 807–818, Dec. 2016, doi: 10.25300/misq/2016/40:4.03.

[66] B. Gibson, J. Butler, K. Schnock, D. Bates, and D. Classen, "Design of a safety dashboard for patients," *Patient Educ Couns*, vol. 103, no. 4, pp. 741–747, Apr. 2020, doi: 10.1016/J.PEC.2019.10.021.

[67] D. Orlovskyi and A. Kopp, "A Business Intelligence Dashboard Design Approach to Improve Data Analytics and Decision Making," 2020.

[68] T. P. Gbenle, J. C. Ogeawuchi, A. A. Abayomi, O. A. Agboola, and A. C. Uzoka, "Advances in Cloud Infrastructure Deployment Using AWS Services for Small and Medium Enterprises," *Iconic Research and Engineering Journals*, vol. 3, no. 11, pp. 365–381, 2020, [Online]. Available: https://www.irejournals.com/paper-details/1708522

[69] O. E. Akpe, J. C. Ogeawuchi, A. A. Abayomi, O. A. Agboola, and E. Ogbuefi, "A Conceptual Framework for Strategic Business Planning in Digitally Transformed Organizations," *Iconic Research and Engineering Journals*, vol. 4, no. 4, pp. 207–222, 2020, [Online]. Available: https://www.irejournals.com/paper-details/1708525

[70] B. I. Ashiedu, E. Ogbuefi, S. Nwabekee, J. C. Ogeawuchi, and A. A. Abayomi, "Developing Financial Due Diligence Frameworks for Mergers and Acquisitions in Emerging Telecom Markets," *Iconic Research and Engineering Journals*, vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 183–196, 2020, [Online]. Available: https://www.irejournals.com/paper-details/1708562

[71] H. Baars and H. G. Kemper, "Management support with structured and unstructured data - An integrated business intelligence framework," *Information Systems Management*, vol. 25, no. 2, pp. 132–148, Mar. 2008, doi: 10.1080/10580530801941058.

[72] O. Iliashenko, V. Iliashenko, and M. Esser, "BI systems implementation for supply chain sector in retail companies," Oct. 2019, doi: 10.2991/ICDTLI-19.2019.53.

[73] A. C. Mgbame, O. E. Akpe, A. A. Abayomi, E. Ogbuefi, and O. O. Adeyelu, "Barriers and Enablers of BI Tool Implementation in Underserved SME Communities," *Iconic Research and Engineering Journals*, vol. 3, no.

7, pp. 211–226, 2020, [Online]. Available: https://www.irejournals.com/paper-details/1708221

[74] J. O. Omisola, J. O. Shiyanbola, and G. O. Osho, "A Systems-Based Framework for ISO 9000 Compliance: Applying Statistical Quality Control and Continuous Improvement Tools in US Manufacturing," *Unknown Journal*, 2020.

[75] G. O. Osho, "Building Scalable Blockchain Applications: A Framework for Leveraging Solidity and AWS Lambda in Real-World Asset Tokenization," *Unknown Journal*, 2020.

[76] M. K. Power, "Auditing and the production of legitimacy," *Account Organ Soc*, vol. 28, no. 4, pp. 379–94, 2003, doi: 10.1016/s0361-3682(01)00047-2.

[77] G. O. Osho, "Decentralized Autonomous Organizations (DAOs): A Conceptual Model for Community-Owned Banking and Financial Governance," *Unknown Journal*, 2020.

[78] G. O. Osho, J. O. Omisola, and J. O. Shiyanbola, "A Conceptual Framework for AI-Driven Predictive Optimization in Industrial Engineering: Leveraging Machine Learning for Smart Manufacturing Decisions," *Unknown Journal*, 2020.

[79] N. Mwita, J. Nyagero, M. O'Neil, and L. Elqura, "Competency gaps in human resource Management in the Health Sector : an exploratory study of Ethiopia, Kenya, Tanzania, and Uganda [internet]. Massachusetts," 2009.

[80] J. O. Omisola, P. E. Chima, O. K. Okenwa, and G. I. Tokunbo, "Green Financing and Investment Trends in Sustainable LNG Projects A Comprehensive Review," *Unknown Journal*, 2020.

[81] M. Brandenburg and T. Rebs, "Sustainable supply chain management: A modelling perspective," *Ann Oper Res*, vol. 229, no. 1, pp. 213–252, Jun. 2015, doi: 10.1007/S10479-015-1853-1.

[82] N. Susarla and I. A. Karimi, "Integrated supply chain planning for multinational pharmaceutical enterprises," *Comput Chem Eng*, vol. 42, pp. 168–177, Jul. 2012, doi: 10.1016/j.compchemeng.2012.03.002.

[83] J. O. Omisola, E. A. Etukudoh, O. K. Okenwa, and G. I. Tokunbo, "Geosteering Real-Time Geosteering Optimization Using Deep Learning Algorithms Integration of Deep Reinforcement Learning in Real-time Well Trajectory Adjustment to Maximize," *Unknown Journal*, 2020.

[84] B. Engelking, W. Buchholz, and F. Köhne, "Design principles for the application of machine learning in supply chain risk management: an action design research approach," pp. 137–162, 2020, doi: 10.1007/978-3-658-31898-7_8.

[85] M. A. Sellitto, G. M. Pereira, M. Borchardt, R. I. Da Silva, and C. V. Viegas, "A SCOR-based model for supply chain performance measurement: Application in the footwear industry," *Int J Prod Res*, vol. 53, no. 16, pp. 4917–4926, Aug. 2015, doi: 10.1080/00207543.2015.1005251.

[86] J. O. Omisola, J. O. Shiyanbola, and G. O. Osho, "A Predictive Quality Assurance Model Using Lean Six Sigma: Integrating FMEA, SPC, and Root Cause Analysis for Zero-Defect Production Systems," *Unknown Journal*, 2020.

[87] P. Yadav, P. Lydon, J. Oswald, M. Dicko, and M. Zaffran, "Integration of vaccine supply chains with other health commodity supply chains: A framework for decision making," *Vaccine*, vol. 32, no. 50, pp. 6725–6732, Nov. 2014, doi: 10.1016/J.VACCINE.2014.10.001.

[88] C. Jira and M. W. Toffel, "Engaging supply chains in climate change," *Manufacturing and Service Operations Management*, vol. 15, no. 4, pp. 559–577, Sep. 2013, doi: 10.1287/MSOM.1120.0420.

[89] M. K. Sein, O. Henfridsson, S. Purao, M. Rossi, and R. Lindgren, "Action design research," *MIS Q*, vol. 35, no. 1, pp. 37–56, 2011, doi: 10.2307/23043488.

[90] G. Richards, W. Yeoh, A. Y. L. Chong, and A. Popovič, "Business Intelligence Effectiveness and Corporate Performance Management: An Empirical Analysis," *Journal of Computer Information Systems*, vol. 59, no. 2, pp. 188–196, Mar. 2019, doi: 10.1080/08874417.2017.1334244.

[91] S. A. Sherer, “Advocating for action design research on IT value creation in healthcare,” *J Assoc Inf Syst*, vol. 15, no. 12, pp. 860–878, 2014, doi: 10.17705/1JAIS.00384.

[92] W. Samek, A. Binder, G. Montavon, S. Lapuschkin, and K. R. Müller, “Evaluating the visualization of what a deep neural network has learned,” *IEEE Trans Neural Netw Learn Syst*, vol. 28, no. 11, pp. 2660–2673, Nov. 2017, doi: 10.1109/TNNLS.2016.2599820.

[93] B. K. Mishra, S. Raghunathan, and X. Yue, “Demand forecast sharing in supply chains,” *Prod Oper Manag*, vol. 18, no. 2, pp. 152–166, Mar. 2009, doi: 10.1111/J.1937-5956.2009.01013.X.

[94] N. Ebrahim-Khanjari, W. Hopp, and S. M. R. Iravani, “Trust and information sharing in supply chains,” *Prod Oper Manag*, vol. 21, no. 3, pp. 444–464, May 2012, doi: 10.1111/J.1937-5956.2011.01284.X.

[95] O. Benyeogor, D. Jambol, O. Amah, D. Obiga, S. Awe, and A. Erinle, “Pressure relief management philosophy for MPD operations on surface stack HPHT exploration wells,” *SPE Nigeria Annual International Conference and Exhibition*, 2019, doi: D033S014R005.

[96] G. Kappes, A. Hatzieleftheriou, and S. V. Anastasiadis, “Multitenant Access Control for Cloud-Aware Distributed Filesystems,” *IEEE Trans Dependable Secure Comput*, vol. 16, no. 6, pp. 1070–1085, Nov. 2019, doi: 10.1109/TDSC.2017.2715839.

[97] A. Hudic *et al.*, “A multi-layer and multitenant cloud assurance evaluation methodology,” *Proceedings of the International Conference on Cloud Computing Technology and Science, CloudCom*, vol. 2015-February, no. February, pp. 386–393, Feb. 2015, doi: 10.1109/CLOUDCOM.2014.85.

[98] Z. Wang, R. Yan, K. Hollister, and R. Xing, “A relative comparison of leading Supply Chain Management software packages,” *International Journal of Information Systems and Supply Chain Management*, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 81–96, Jan. 2009, doi: 10.4018/JISSCM.2009010106.

[99] C. M. Olszak, “Toward Better Understanding and Use of Business Intelligence in Organizations,” *Information Systems Management*, vol. 33, no. 2, pp. 105–123, Apr. 2016, doi: 10.1080/10580530.2016.1155946.

[100] M. H. Rahman and A. Rahman, “Strategic fit: model development and fitness analysis of a manufacturing unit,” *Prod Manuf Res*, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 44–66, Jan. 2019, doi: 10.1080/21693277.2019.1583143.