Spatial Variation in Residential Satisfaction Across Local Government Areas in Ibadan: Implications for Urban Housing Policy

AKINDELE O. ABOSEDE¹, OLATUNDE ARAYELA², MICHEAL B.O ADEGBILE (PHD.)³, OLUWATOYIN O. AJAYI (PHD.)⁴

^{1, 2, 3, 4}Department of Architecture, College of Environmental Science and Management, Caleb University, Imota, Ikorodu, Lagos, Nigeria

Abstract- This study investigates spatial variations in residential satisfaction across Local Government Areas (LGAs) in Ibadan, Nigeria, with the aim of informing more equitable and context-sensitive urban housing policies. Despite extensive literature on housing quality in Nigerian cities, there remains a significant gap in understanding how satisfaction levels differ across urban space. Through a Systematic Literature Review (SLR) of twenty peerreviewed articles and empirical studies, the research synthesizes existing evidence on the interplay between socio-economic conditions, infrastructural availability, environmental quality, and housing satisfaction. The findings reveal consistent disparities between central and peripheral LGAs in Ibadan. Residents in centrally located areas experience higher satisfaction due to better access to services, safer environments, and more organized spatial planning. In contrast, those in the suburbs and peri-urban LGAs face housing dissatisfaction driven by inadequate infrastructure, overcrowding, poor environmental sanitation, and socio-spatial exclusion. Studies such as Makinde (2020), Onifade (2021), and Lanrewaju (2024) emphasize that satisfaction is shaped not only by physical housing quality but also by neighborhood characteristics, community interaction, and governance practices. The study concludes that urban housing interventions in Ibadan must adopt a decentralized, participatory approach that reflects the spatial diversity of residents' needs. It recommends regular spatial assessments, stakeholder engagement, and targeted investment in underserved areas to promote inclusive urban development. This research addresses a critical literature gap and contributes to the formulation of spatially responsive housing strategies in Nigerian cities.

Indexed Terms- Residential satisfaction, Spatial variation, Housing quality, Ibadan, Infrastructure.

I. INTRODUCTION

Housing serves as more than a basic human necessity it constitutes a critical driver of well-being, community development, and socio-economic stability. In the context of rapidly urbanizing Nigerian cities like Ibadan, housing conditions reveal deeprooted inequalities and infrastructural disparities across neighborhoods and local government areas (LGAs). As the urban population expands, the quality and distribution of housing stock have become central to discussions about livability, affordability, and equitable access to infrastructure and services (Effe & Wokekoro, 2021; Jimoh & Famewo, 2021). Yet, despite governmental and private efforts toward public housing development, residential satisfaction remains highly uneven across space affected by socioeconomic, locational, and physical determinants that shape how residents experience and evaluate their living environments (Ajom et al., 2022; Oshikoya & Ifediora, 2021).

Ibadan, the capital of Oyo State, presents a compelling case for investigating spatial variation in housing satisfaction. It is a complex urban system made up of diverse LGAs, each with unique infrastructural capabilities, demographic compositions, and socioeconomic realities. Several studies have shown that in urban Nigeria, residential satisfaction is typically influenced by factors such as proximity to services, rental affordability, quality of building infrastructure, access to water and sanitation, neighborhood safety, and waste management (Ajom et al., 2022; Effe & Wokekoro, 2021). However, few studies have spatially disaggregated this analysis across LGAs within a single metropolis. Understanding these variations is particularly crucial for Ibadan, where economic activities, land use patterns, and governance structures differ across localities, thereby shaping housing outcomes in highly localized ways (Jimoh & Famewo, 2021; Oshikoya & Ifediora, 2021). Furthermore, housing satisfaction is a dynamic construct that reflects how well available housing matches residents' expectations, lifestyle, and daily functional needs. According to Effe and Wokekoro (2021), public housing residents frequently report dissatisfaction with core amenities such as electricity, drainage, water supply, security, and parking space. In their study of federal housing estates in Abia State, they identified that both physical conditions and functional infrastructure were key determinants of satisfaction. Similarly, Ajom et al. (2022) found that in Calabar, residents of public housing were only marginally satisfied with their housing environments due to deteriorating facilities, unreliable services, and distorted environmental quality. These findings underscore the significance of both physical (e.g., room sizes, layout, ventilation) and environmental (e.g., cleanliness, greenery, road network) variables in determining satisfaction. When such attributes are unequally distributed, the result is spatial disparity in residential contentment a situation that weakens social equity and urban cohesion.



Figure 1: Map of Ibadan Metropolis

Source: Makinde (2020)

The same patterns appear evident in Ibadan. As revealed by Jimoh and Famewo (2021), rental dynamics in Ibadan North LGA are significantly

shaped by the interplay between income levels, neighborhood quality, and access to amenities. High rental costs are not necessarily reflective of better service delivery, and households often settle for suboptimal housing due to affordability constraints. This is further confirmed by Oshikoya and Ifediora (2021), whose study on housing choice determinants in the Ibadan metropolis found that factors such as ease of commuting, proximity to markets, and socioeconomic class played a significant role in shaping residential decisions. These studies collectively suggest that satisfaction is not merely an individual preference but a spatial and structural issue embedded in how urban development policies are designed and implemented. Despite these insights, existing housing policies in Nigeria often adopt a generalized, topdown approach that fails to consider the spatial specificities of urban areas. The lack of localized housing strategies leads to poor planning outcomes, inefficient resource allocation, and widened gaps between housing supply and resident expectations (Effe & Wokekoro, 2021; Ajom et al., 2022). Moreover, failure to continuously monitor and evaluate satisfaction levels on a spatial basis reduces the responsiveness of housing interventions, leaving some communities underserved while others benefit from upgraded infrastructure and improved access.

This study, therefore, seeks to bridge this critical gap by examining the spatial variation in residential satisfaction across selected LGAs in Ibadan, with a view to generating evidence-based policy insights that reflect the unique needs of each locality. The focus is on evaluating how residential satisfaction is distributed, what physical, environmental, and socioeconomic variables are most influential, and how these insights can shape more inclusive and spatially responsive housing policies in Nigeria's urban centers.

The Objectives are to:

- assess the levels of residential satisfaction across selected local government areas in Ibadan metropolis;
- ii. identify key spatial, infrastructural, and socioeconomic factors influencing residential satisfaction in each LGA; and

evaluate how location-specific factors (e.g., housing condition, services, affordability) shape disparities in satisfaction.

The significance of this study lies in its potential to uncover spatial disparities in residential satisfaction across various local government areas (LGAs) in Ibadan, a rapidly urbanizing Nigerian city. Understanding how satisfaction varies geographically is critical for designing responsive and equitable urban housing policies that reflect the lived realities of diverse resident populations. As past studies have shown, residential satisfaction is influenced by numerous factors including proximity to services, infrastructure quality, housing type, and socioeconomic conditions (Oshikoya & Ifediora, 2021; Jimoh & Famewo, 2021). This research adds a spatial dimension to the discourse, helping urban planners and policymakers pinpoint areas with the greatest housing challenges and prioritize location-specific interventions that address underlying issues such as rent burden, infrastructure decay, or inadequate public amenities (Ajom et al., 2022; Effe & Wokekoro, 2021).

The findings from this study are expected to provide valuable evidence for reforming housing development strategies in Ibadan by highlighting how satisfaction is shaped not only by the physical features of dwellings but also by broader environmental, social, and locational variables. Given that public housing schemes often fall short in meeting resident expectations due to poor design, infrastructure deterioration, and weak maintenance structures, identifying spatial variations can inform more targeted and efficient policy responses (Effe & Wokekoro, 2021; Ajom et al., 2022). These findings can guide future housing programs to be more inclusive, needssensitive, and spatially equitable, particularly in addressing the unique challenges faced by low- and middle-income households in underperforming areas. Ultimately, the study aims to support the creation of urban housing policies that not only improve built environments but also enhance residents' quality of life across all LGAs in Ibadan.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

The spatial variation in residential satisfaction across Local Government Areas (LGAs) in Ibadan reflects broader trends in urban housing quality, accessibility, and equity across Nigerian cities. Residential satisfaction encompasses both subjective perceptions and measurable indicators of housing adequacy, and it often varies in relation to physical infrastructure, service provision, social dynamics, and local governance practices. As Ibadan continues to expand as a metropolitan hub, the spatial distribution of housing amenities and conditions plays a critical role in determining resident well-being, social stability, and urban functionality. Existing studies emphasize the need to understand how satisfaction levels differ by location and how this understanding can guide more inclusive and context-sensitive urban housing policies.

Determinants of Residential Satisfaction in Nigerian Housing Estates

Residential satisfaction in Nigerian cities is influenced by a complex interplay of spatial, infrastructural, and socio-economic factors, often shaped by broader systemic challenges related to governance, policy implementation, and urban inequality. As Effe and Wokekoro (2021) argue, key determinants of satisfaction include space adequacy, quality of infrastructure, access to public services, and social cohesion within housing environments. Their study on federal housing estates in Abia State revealed that many of these estates, though planned with standardized templates, fail to reflect the local realities and preferences of their occupants. They identified widespread issues such as deteriorated drainage systems, erratic power supply, poor fire service infrastructure, and inadequate safety provisions factors that critically diminish overall satisfaction. The researchers concluded that housing strategies must shift away from rigid, generalized models toward more context-specific approaches that respond to the distinct needs and vulnerabilities of individual communities. Complementing this perspective, Ajom, Mfon, Moses, and Eteng (2022) examined public housing estates in Calabar and found that deteriorating facilities including unreliable water supply systems, inefficient waste disposal mechanisms, and declining aesthetic quality had a marked negative impact on residents' satisfaction levels. Although respondents expressed moderate satisfaction with the general location of their estates, their dissatisfaction with

environmental and infrastructural conditions was more pronounced. By employing a Relative Satisfaction Index (RSI), the study provided granular insights into how satisfaction varies not only between estates but also within them, based on the distribution and maintenance of physical amenities. The authors consistent recommended renewal of estate infrastructure and strategic upgrades of essential services to enhance livability. Together, these studies underscore the need for spatially informed assessments and dynamic policy responses that recognize the heterogeneous nature of residential different local experiences across contexts. Particularly in metropolitan areas like Ibadan, these differentiated approaches are essential for aligning housing delivery with the lived realities of urban residents.



Figure 2: Map Showing Ibadan North Local Government Area

Source: Jimoh (2021)

Spatial Disparities in Housing Conditions and Urban Inequality

Spatial disparities in housing conditions have emerged as a persistent marker of urban inequality in Nigeria, with Ibadan exemplifying these trends through its contrasting neighborhoods. According to Jimoh and Famewo (2021), housing quality and rental values in Ibadan North Local Government Area exhibit significant variations based on infrastructural development, road connectivity, and access to social amenities. Central and well-established areas benefit from higher public investment in roads, waste management, electricity, and security infrastructure, which in turn fosters higher levels of residential satisfaction. In contrast, peri-urban and low-income areas suffer from infrastructure neglect, limited accessibility, and environmental degradation factors that collectively contribute to housing dissatisfaction and urban marginalization. These discrepancies are reflective of deeper systemic issues, including unequal allocation of resources, administrative inertia, and historically entrenched planning biases that privilege core urban centers over expanding peripheral settlements.

Building on this critique, Oshikoya and Ifediora (2021) argue that Nigeria's national housing policy is overly centralized and does not sufficiently account for the spatial, economic, and demographic diversity of its urban areas. The authors contend that this onesize-fits-all approach fails to accommodate the localized realities of settlement patterns, especially in heterogeneous cities like Ibadan. Their study advocates for a shift towards place-based planning, which prioritizes bottom-up strategies rooted in localized data collection, neighborhood profiling, and community participation. This approach calls for empowering Local Government Areas (LGAs) with the authority and resources to tailor housing interventions that reflect their unique socio-spatial configurations. In a complex urban system such as Ibadan, where each LGA varies in its degree of urbanization, service coverage, and population density, such decentralization is critical. A spatially sensitive housing policy framework, informed by neighborhood-level satisfaction data and infrastructure audits, could significantly reduce residential disparities and promote more equitable urban development.

Policy Implications for Urban Housing in Ibadan

The literature reviewed emphasizes the necessity for urban housing policies in Ibadan that are grounded in spatially disaggregated data and locally contextualized strategies. Given the evident disparities in infrastructure, housing quality, and service delivery across various Local Government Areas (LGAs), uniform policy frameworks often fall short in addressing residents' diverse needs. Studies such as those by Jimoh and Famewo (2021) and Oshikoya and Ifediora (2021) advocate for the integration of spatial analysis tools such as Geographic Information Systems (GIS), neighborhood satisfaction mapping, and socio-economic profiling to identify spatial patterns in housing performance. These tools enable stakeholders to pinpoint underperforming LGAs, allocate infrastructure investments more equitably, and tailor interventions to reflect the unique realities of each area. In a heterogeneous city like Ibadan, where rapid urbanization, informal settlement growth, and planning inefficiencies coexist, spatially informed and community-driven policy mechanisms are essential for promoting inclusive urban development and housing equity.

Nevertheless, there remains a notable research and policy gap: a lack of holistic, citywide assessments of residential satisfaction that capture inter-LGA disparities in a systematic and comparative manner. Much of the existing research has narrowly focused on individual housing estates or select LGAs, thereby overlooking broader trends and impeding comprehensive policy formulation. This gap underscores the importance of the present study, which seeks to provide a spatial analysis of residential satisfaction across all LGAs in Ibadan. Such an approach will equip policymakers, urban planners, and housing authorities with empirical insights to reform estate governance structures, address localized service deficits, and support participatory planning efforts. Ultimately, incorporating spatial variation into housing policy frameworks can foster more just, effective, and sustainable urban housing outcomesaligning local planning practice with global urban development goals, such as SDG 11 (Sustainable Cities and Communities).

Theories of Determinants of Building Performance in Residential Estates

Understanding the spatial disparities in residential satisfaction within a rapidly urbanizing context like Ibadan demands a theoretical grounding that acknowledges both micro-level behavioral dynamics and macro-level structural inequalities. Two key theoretical constructs underpin this study: the Housing Adjustment Theory and the Place-Based Theory of Urban Inequality. Together, these frameworks explain how individual perceptions, socio-spatial inequalities, and policy dynamics interact to shape variations in satisfaction across different Local Government Areas (LGAs).

Housing Adjustment Theory, Originally developed by Morris and Winter (1975), the Housing Adjustment Theory postulates that residential satisfaction emerges from the degree to which a household's current housing condition aligns with its needs, preferences, and aspirations. When misalignments occur such as overcrowding, lack of basic infrastructure, or environmental discomfort households experience dissatisfaction, which may result in behavioral responses such as modification of space, subletting, or relocation. This theory places emphasis on the dynamic interplay between household expectations and housing realities, recognizing that satisfaction is not static but evolves with changing household conditions, income levels, and urban pressures. In the Nigerian context, this model is particularly relevant. For instance, Ajom, Mfon, Moses, and Eteng (2022) applied the theory indirectly in their study of public housing estates in Calabar, where they found that deteriorating physical infrastructure and environmental neglect reduced residents' satisfaction. The mismatch between what residents expected and the quality of infrastructure they encountered led to a relatively low Relative Satisfaction Index (RSI), affirming the theory's argument on need-attribute congruence. Similarly, Effe and Wokekoro (2021) highlighted how dissatisfaction with space allocation, water supply, and safety within housing estates in Abia State led to increased tenant complaints and informal modifications. These examples illustrate that residents actively evaluate their housing conditions against their living standards and act accordingly when those conditions fall short. Housing Adjustment Theory also sheds light on adaptive behaviours, such as illegal extensions, self-provided water systems, or neighborhood association lobbying, which are common in Ibadan's public housing estates. These actions represent a form of "adjustment" to bridge the gap between needs and actual housing supply, revealing how residents navigate structural housing inadequacies. By applying this theory, the current study seeks to identify patterns in how satisfaction varies across LGAs based on residents' responses to local housing deficits, particularly in terms of spatial adequacy, environmental quality, and service delivery.

The Place-Based Theory of Urban Inequality, as advanced by Galster (2001), emphasizes the significance of geographic location in determining residents' access to opportunities, services, and quality housing. This theory argues that neighborhoods are not merely containers for housing, but spatial expressions of social, political, and economic inequalities. Residential satisfaction, from this perspective, is not only about individual housing units but also about the contextual features of the area such as road quality, proximity to employment, social services, drainage systems, and waste management. These locational advantages or deficits significantly shape how satisfied people feel with their living conditions. In Ibadan, disparities across LGAs are evident in the distribution of public services, road networks, and environmental quality. Jimoh and Famewo (2021) conducted a spatial analysis of rent and housing quality in Ibadan North LGA and found that areas closer to commercial hubs and government services scored higher in satisfaction due to better infrastructure. Peripheral LGAs with poor drainage, limited road access, and low security showed correspondingly lower satisfaction ratings. Oshikoya and Ifediora (2021) criticized Nigeria's centralized housing policy approach, arguing that it overlooks intra-city diversity and fails to adopt spatially differentiated responses. They called for localized, disaggregated policy frameworks that reflect the unique urban morphology and socio-economic conditions of each LGA a core tenet of the place-based theory. This theory thus provides a broader lens for interpreting residential satisfaction in Ibadan not just as a matter of building quality or individual preferences, but as the outcome of unequal spatial development, poor policy targeting, and urban governance failures. In applying this framework, the current research recognizes that even similarly designed housing estates may yield different satisfaction outcomes simply because they are located in different LGAs with varying institutional capacities, infrastructure provision, and environmental conditions.

When used together, these theories provide a multiscalar lens through which to assess the complex drivers of spatial variation in residential satisfaction. The Housing Adjustment Theory allows the study to explore resident-level responses and expectations, while the Place-Based Theory captures the broader urban and locational structures that shape housing conditions and service delivery across Ibadan. This dual-theoretical foundation is essential for explaining why certain LGAs report higher satisfaction than others, even when housing typologies are similar. By anchoring the study in these two theoretical models, it becomes possible to interrogate how satisfaction is coproduced by individual needs and adaptive behaviours as well as systemic locational advantages or disadvantages. This approach also enables the study to bridge micro-level housing experiences with macrolevel urban policy frameworks, thereby providing actionable insights for localized and equitable housing reform in Ibadan.

Exploring Dimensions of Urban Housing Policy



Figure 1: Spatial Context Influences

Source: Research Fieldwork (2025)

Conceptual Framework

The conceptual framework for this study is based on the premise that residential satisfaction is shaped by a combination of physical, environmental, and governance-related factors, which vary spatially across different Local Government Areas (LGAs) in Ibadan. Key influencing variables include housing characteristics (e.g., space, structure, ventilation), neighborhood conditions (e.g., access to water, roads, and waste management), and the quality of local governance (e.g., estate maintenance, planning responsiveness). According to Ajom, Mfon, Moses, and Eteng (2022), residential satisfaction is not uniform, as it depends on localized factors such as infrastructure provision and environmental quality. Effe and Wokekoro (2021) further emphasize that satisfaction can differ within similar estate types, depending on management and service delivery, reinforcing the idea that spatial context plays a critical moderating role. Furthermore, the framework acknowledges the importance of spatial equity in urban planning. Jimoh and Famewo (2021) show that centrally located LGAs with better infrastructure receive higher satisfaction ratings than peripheral ones with poor access to services. Similarly, Oshikoya and Ifediora (2021) argue that housing policies in Nigeria often apply generic solutions that ignore spatial and demographic diversity, resulting in uneven satisfaction outcomes. Therefore, this framework positions spatial variation specifically, LGA-level differences in infrastructure. socio-economic characteristics, and governance as central to understanding residential satisfaction patterns in Ibadan. It supports the study's goal of guiding urban housing policies toward context-sensitive, spatially informed solutions that improve housing outcomes across all LGAs. In addition to spatial design, empirical findings have shown that material selection significantly influences the operational and long-term performance of housing estates. Conventional construction materials such as sandcrete blocks, reinforced concrete, and imported ceramic finishes dominate public housing developments in Lagos, yet often lead to costly maintenance and thermal inefficiencies for low-income occupants (Oyero, n.d.). Studies by Igboekulie, Monye, and Joseph (2022) observed that escalating prices of cement and steel have contributed to a decline in formal housing delivery and an increase in informal, poorly executed self-build projects. These structures typically suffer from low durability and raise safety concerns due to poor workmanship and substandard materials. However, empirical work by Stephen (2024) highlights the performance potential of indigenous alternatives such as stabilized laterite blocks, bamboo, and silica sand masonry. These materials have been shown to reduce construction costs by up to 70%, lower embodied energy, and enhance indoor thermal mass, especially when detailed using hybrid systems (e.g., concrete ring beams). Despite these advantages, uptake remains low due to policy rigidity, conservative building codes, and limited contractor skillsets (Akinwamide, Hahn, Paradza, & Aweh, 2022). Where these context-appropriate materials have been piloted, significant improvements have been observed in indoor temperatures, maintenance efficiency, and overall livability.

Empirical Review

In their study of public housing estates in Calabar, Ajom, Mfon, Moses, and Eteng (2022) examined residential satisfaction using a Relative Satisfaction Index (RSI) based on responses from household heads. The study categorized satisfaction drivers into housing location, physical facilities, and environmental factors. Findings revealed that although residents were moderately satisfied, issues such as waste disposal, water supply, and deteriorating facilities negatively influenced overall satisfaction. The authors emphasized the need for periodic upgrades, better maintenance practices, and environmental renewal strategies to ensure long-term habitability and resident contentment in public housing settings.

Effe and Wokekoro (2021) conducted an assessment of residential satisfaction in federal housing estates in Abia State and identified several infrastructure-related deficiencies contributing to dissatisfaction. These included poor water supply, inadequate fire service infrastructure, and substandard sewage systems. The study highlighted variations in satisfaction within estates, driven by differences in structural design, environmental quality, and neighborhood safety. The authors concluded that housing authorities must recognize localized needs and adopt spatially differentiated strategies to address the multifaceted challenges faced by public housing residents.

In Ibadan North LGA, Jimoh and Famewo (2021) performed a spatial analysis of housing quality and rent values, showing a clear divide between central and peripheral neighborhoods. Central districts, with better infrastructure, access to road networks, and availability of amenities, reported higher residential satisfaction. In contrast, outlying areas suffered from

neglect, poor drainage, and weak service provision, leading to lower satisfaction scores. This study underscores the importance of infrastructure investment and spatial equity in improving resident experiences and housing quality across LGAs.

Olatunji and Yoade (2022) investigated the influence of socio-economic factors on residents' quality of life across five Local Government Areas within metropolitan Ibadan. Utilizing a stratified sampling approach across 15 wards, the study revealed significant disparities in infrastructure provision and access to basic amenities such as electricity, water supply, drainage, and healthcare facilities. The research found that these deficiencies contributed to a generally poor quality of life, particularly in core residential zones. Variations in residents' socioeconomic profiles including marital status. educational attainment, occupation, and duration of residency were observed to influence their satisfaction levels and overall well-being. The findings emphasized that inadequate infrastructure and unequal access to public services were spatially distributed, reinforcing inequalities among different residential areas. The study concluded that policy interventions aimed at improving quality of life must consider these spatial and socio-economic differences, and should be designed to target infrastructure gaps and support equitable urban development across Ibadan (Olatunji & Yoade, 2022).

Househ old Size	Core	Transit ion	Sub- urban	Ibanda n metrop olis
6 or Below	354 (67.05 %)	264 (88.29 %)	179(86.0 6%)	797 (77.00 %)
7 - 10	143 (27.08 %)	34 (11.37 %)	27 (12.98%)	204 (19.71 %)
Above 10	31 (5.87 %)	1 (0.33%)	0 (0.00&)	32 (3.09%)
Total	528 (100%)	299 (100%)	208 (100%)	1035 (100%)

Table 1: Household Size of Residents

Source: Olatunji et.al (2022)

Table 2: Buildings in the different residential zones where household heads were selected for survey

Residental Areas		Ibadan North	Ibadan NE	Ibadan NW	Ibadan SE	Ibadan SW	Total
Core	Total Buildings	3.556	6.224	4.805	5.433	6.409	26.427
	Sampled Buildings	71	124	96	109	128	528

Transition	Total Buildings	5.673	2.580	1.857	2.238	2.576	14.924
	Sampled Buildings	113	52	37	45	52	299
Sub- urban	Total Buildings	2.315	2.195	2.122	1.792	1.993	10.417
	Sampled buildings	46	44	42	36	40	208
Total	Total Buildings	11.544	10.999	8.784	9.463	10.561	51.351
	Sampled Buildings	232	220	176	192	212	1035

Source: Olatunji et.al (2022)

Oshikoya and Ifediora (2021) critiqued Nigeria's national housing policy for failing to integrate placebased planning into its framework. According to their analysis, top-down housing interventions often overlook the unique demographic, environmental, and socio-economic characteristics of local communities. The authors advocated for decentralized policy implementation at the LGA level, driven by disaggregated satisfaction data and local profiling. Their work supports the idea that spatial variation in residential satisfaction can only be adequately addressed through participatory planning and context-sensitive housing strategies tailored to the specificities of each locality.

Identification of Gaps in Literature

Despite a growing body of empirical studies on housing quality and satisfaction in Nigerian cities, significant gaps remain in understanding the spatial dimensions of residential satisfaction, particularly within the context of Ibadan's complex urban structure. Firstly, most studies tend to focus on general housing conditions or the performance of isolated housing estates without examining spatial variation across multiple Local Government Areas (LGAs). For instance, while Jimoh and Famewo (2021) assess housing quality and rental values in Ibadan North LGA, their findings are geographically narrow and fail to capture satisfaction disparities in other parts of the city, such as urban fringes or peri-urban LGAs. This limits the generalizability of their conclusions and constrains policy formulation to fragmented data.

Secondly, while numerous studies acknowledge the importance of socio-economic and infrastructural factors in determining housing satisfaction, there is a lack of integrated spatial analysis that reveals how these factors operate differently across urban space. Ajom et al. (2022), for example, evaluated public housing satisfaction in Calabar but did not explore spatial heterogeneity or apply spatial analytics that would identify local patterns and disparities. Similarly, Effe and Wokekoro (2021) noted dissatisfaction due to inadequate infrastructure in Abia State's federal housing estates but did not connect this to broader urban spatial planning or variation between administrative districts. This oversight leaves a critical gap in using spatial tools such as GIS to inform location-sensitive urban housing policies.

Thirdly, while housing policy critiques like that of Oshikoya and Ifediora (2021) stress the need for placebased planning, empirical backing for such claims within the Ibadan metropolitan area remains scarce. There is insufficient evidence showing how satisfaction levels differ between high-density urban cores and lower-density suburban or semi-rural LGAs, or how these differences should inform differentiated policy strategies. The lack of spatially disaggregated data collection further exacerbates this issue, making it difficult to develop equity-driven housing interventions responsive to the needs of diverse communities.

Moreover, there is a methodological gap in how residential satisfaction is measured across urban areas. While many studies adopt survey-based approaches, few integrate these with spatial mapping techniques that can visually represent disparities and enable planners to target low-performing zones. The absence of such approaches, especially in a polycentric city like Ibadan, weakens the potential for spatial equity in housing policy implementation.

In summary, current literature lacks a comprehensive, spatially focused analysis of residential satisfaction across Ibadan's LGAs. There is a clear need for research that not only captures subjective satisfaction levels but also situates these findings within the spatial realities of urban infrastructure, socio-economic diversity, and housing delivery systems. This study addresses these gaps by offering a spatial analysis of residential satisfaction, which can inform localized urban housing policy interventions tailored to the distinct needs of different LGAs in Ibadan.

III. METHODOLOGY

This study adopts a Systematic Literature Review (SLR) approach as its research methodology. An SLR is a methodical and reproducible process for identifying, evaluating, and synthesizing scholarly research to answer specific research questions with transparency and rigor (Dewey & Drahota, 2016). This methodology is particularly relevant for investigating the spatial dynamics of residential satisfaction across

urban localities, as it enables the consolidation of diverse evidence related to housing quality, neighborhood characteristics, service provision, and governance disparities within rapidly urbanizing Nigerian cities such as Ibadan. By employing a systematic review strategy, the study minimizes selection bias, ensures the use of validated empirical sources, and enhances the reliability of insights that inform spatially responsive urban housing policy.

A total of twenty peer-reviewed journal articles, policy reports, and empirical case studies were selected based on their relevance to housing satisfaction, spatial inequality, local governance, and urban planning. Sources were drawn primarily from Sub-Saharan African contexts particularly Nigeria with supplemental studies from comparable urban environments in developing countries. Comprehensive database searches were conducted via Google Scholar, JSTOR, Scopus, ScienceDirect, and African Journals Online (AJOL) using targeted keywords such as: "residential satisfaction," "housing quality in Ibadan," "spatial disparity in housing," "urban inequality," "housing policy in Nigeria," "socio-economic housing determinants," and "public housing and community development."

The selection process followed a three-phase screening strategy: initial screening by title, relevance filtering through abstracts, and final selection based on full-text appraisal. The inclusion criteria emphasized methodological rigor, geographical relevance to Nigeria (particularly Oyo State and urban centers like Ibadan), empirical clarity, and thematic consistency with spatial housing variation. Quality assurance was guided by the standards of Ajom et al. (2022), Jimoh & Famewo (2021), and Onifade (2021), whose works underscore the interplay between neighborhood attributes, infrastructural services, and residential contentment.

IV. FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION

The analysis of existing studies reveals that residential satisfaction in Ibadan is shaped by spatial disparities across Local Government Areas (LGAs), influenced by variations in infrastructure, environmental quality, and governance. Onifade (2021) found that perceptions of neighborhood safety, social cohesion, and cleanliness significantly predicted satisfaction, while Jimoh and Famewo (2021) highlighted the role of service provision such as electricity and road access. Satisfaction levels tend to be higher in central LGAs like Ibadan North and Ibadan North-East, which benefit from better infrastructure and access to social amenities (Olatunji, 2022; Jiboye, 2020). In contrast, peripheral LGAs often suffer from overcrowding, poor spatial planning, and deteriorating environmental conditions. Makinde (2020) and Lanrewaju (2024) argue that these spatial inequalities stem from centralized housing policies that fail to reflect localized needs. They advocate for a decentralized, aligns approach that participatory housing interventions with the unique socio-spatial realities of each LGA. Moreover, Azeez (2016) and Akande (2021) noted that income levels and proximity to employment centers further influence satisfaction, with wealthier residents generally experiencing better housing outcomes.

In underserved LGAs, housing dissatisfaction is exacerbated by informal expansions, poor affordability, and weak maintenance of public

infrastructure. Adetayo (2020) and Idakwoji (2022) observed that unregulated structural extensions often arise due to design inflexibility and limited access to affordable rental units, resulting in unsafe and congested living conditions. Similarly, Adekunle (2022) and Olatunji (2021) reported that infrastructure neglect such as broken drainage and insufficient lighting contributes to declining satisfaction, particularly in low-income areas. Bosikun (2019) emphasized the lack of maintenance frameworks in public housing projects, which accelerates their deterioration. Furthermore, studies by Ajom et al. (2022) and Akinyemi (2020) concluded that residents' housing satisfaction also depends on their involvement in housing-related decisions and access to urban services. Thus, to address the spatial variation in residential satisfaction across Ibadan, housing policies must shift from generalized models to spatiallyresponsive strategies that emphasize equitable infrastructure delivery, policy decentralization, and active community engagement to foster inclusive urban development.

	[[
S/N	Title of Article	Aims &	Methodology	Results	Limitation of
	& Author's &	Objectives			study
	Year				
1		The study aimed	Data were	The study found	The study was
	Azeez, T.,	to examine the	gathered using	significant	limited to
	Adeleye, O., &	spatial variation	a structured	spatial	selected
	Olayiwola, L.	in residents'	questionnaire	differences in	political wards
	(2016). Spatial variation in	accessibility to	administered	land accessibility	within Ibadan
	residents'	land for housing	to 405	across residential	metropolis,
	accessibility to	development in	household	zones, with land	which may not
	land for housing	Ibadan	heads selected	cost, income, and	fully represent
	development in	metropolis,	through	acquisition time	the entire city
	Ibadan	Nigeria. It sought	systematic	being key factors	or other
	metropolis, Oyo state, Nigeria.	to assess how	random	in high and	Nigerian urban
	state, Nigeria.	different socio-	sampling from	medium-density	contexts.
		economic and	six wards in	areas. Regression	Additionally, it
		spatial factors	varying	analysis showed	focused solely
		influence land	residential	high explanatory	on residential
		access across	densities. Both	power with R ²	accessibility to
		high, medium,	descriptive	values of 88.8%,	land without
		and low	and inferential	88.3%, and	covering

Table 3: Analysis of Research Articles Relating to Building Performance

		residential	statistics,	88.1% in high,	broader land-
		densities.	including	medium, and	use dynamics
		densities.	linear	low-density areas	such as
			regression,	respectively.	commercial or
			were used to		industrial
			analyze the		access.
-			data.		
2	Makinde, O. O.	The study aimed	Primary data	Findings showed	The study
	(2020). Design	to assess how	were gathered	that design	focused
	Factors as	design factors	through	features such as	primarily on
	Determinants of	influence	questionnaire	building	low-density
	Neighborhood	neighbourhood	surveys and	alignment, space	neighbourhoods
	Quality in the	quality in urban	direct	enclosure, and	in Ibadan,
	Urban Area of	areas of Ibadan,	observation,	green space	potentially
	Ibadan, Nigeria.	Nigeria. It sought	using	protection were	limiting
		to understand	systematic	widely	generalizability
		both expert and	sampling (1 in	acknowledged by	across other
		resident	every 5	residents.	urban contexts.
		perceptions of	buildings).	Statistical	It also
		design elements	The data were	analysis revealed	emphasized
		like layout,	analyzed using	significant	perceptual and
		aesthetics, and	percentages,	positive	physical design
		connectivity to	correlation,	correlations	factors,
		inform future	and multiple	between	excluding
		planning	regression to	neighbourhood	broader
		decisions.	identify	quality and	socioeconomic
			relationships	factors like	or governance
			between	legibility,	dimensions
			design	consistency, and	influencing
			characteristics	territoriality (p <	neighbourhood
			and	0.05).	quality.
			neighbourhood		1 5
			quality.		
3	Bosikun, T.,	The study aimed	A total of 302	The findings	The study was
Ĩ	Sanni, O., &	to investigate	structured	revealed that	geographically
	Olasemojo, O. A	how residents'	questionnaires	most residents	limited to
	Study Of	socio-economic	were	were highly	Apete, which
	Residents'socio-	status (SES)	administered	educated and	may not fully
1	Economic Status	influences land	to household	economically	represent
	On Land Use	use conversion in	heads	stable, often	broader urban
	Conversion In	Apete, Ibadan. It	(representing	converting land	trends across
1	Apete, Ibadan,	focused on	5% of the	to maximize	Ibadan or other
1	-			financial returns.	
	Nigeria.	identifying the	population), with 292 valid		Nigerian cities. It also relied
		relationship		The regression	
		between SES	responses used	analysis showed	solely on self-
		factors and	for analysis.	that socio-	reported data
		patterns of land	Quantitative	economic factors	without
		transformation	data were	accounted for	triangulation

		from lower to	analyzed using	82.1% of the	through official
		higher economic	descriptive	variation in land	land use
		uses.	statistics and	use conversion	records or
		uses.	regression	within the	spatial analysis.
			analysis to	community.	spatial allalysis.
			determine the	community.	
			contribution of		
			SES to land		
		TTI / 1 / 1	use changes.	TT1 (* 1'	T 1 1
4	ADETAYO, O.	The study aimed	The study	The findings	The study was
	D.,	to evaluate	utilized both	showed that	confined to two
	OLUGBAMILA,	housing quality	primary and	housing quality	local
	O. B.,	in selected	secondary	in the study areas	government
	AFOLABI, H.,	suburban areas of	data, including	was generally	areas in Ibadan,
	&	Ibadan and	questionnaire	poor, with	limiting the
	IsaacOLAJIDE,	analyze the	surveys and	significant	generalizability
	O. Evaluation of	influence of	expert	disparities tied to	of the findings
	housing Quality	residents' socio-	assessments	income levels,	across the
	in Selected	economic and	using a penalty	quality of	wider
	suburban Areas	neighbourhood	scoring	materials,	metropolis or
	of Ibadan,	characteristics on	method across	facilities, and	other Nigerian
	Nigeria.	that quality. It	11 purposively	neighbourhood	suburban
		sought to provide	selected	services.	contexts. It also
		insights that can	communities.	ANOVA results	relied on
		guide policy and	Systematic	confirmed a	subjective
		improve housing	random	significant	assessments,
		conditions in	sampling was	relationship	which may not
		suburban	used to select	between housing	fully capture
		environments.	480 household	quality and	long-term
			heads, and the	factors such as	structural or
			data were	socio-economic	technical
			analyzed using	status, spatial	housing quality
			descriptive	adequacy, and	aspects.
			statistics and	infrastructural	1
			ANOVA.	availability.	
5	Olatunji, S. A.,	The study aimed	Using	Findings	The study was
_	& Yoade, A. O.	to examine the	stratified	revealed	based primarily
	(2022). Impact	impact of socio-	sampling, 15	inadequate	on residents'
	of	economic factors	political wards	infrastructure	subjective
	Socioeconomic	on residents'	were selected	facilities such as	perceptions of
	Factors on	quality of life	across five	water, drainage,	quality of life,
	Residents'	(QoL) in Ibadan	local	electricity, and	which may
	Quality of Life	metropolis. It	government	waste disposal,	introduce bias
	in Metropolitan	sought to	areas	which	or overlook
	Ibadan, Nigeria.	generate policy-	representing	significantly	objective
		relevant data to	core,	affected	environmental
		guide sustainable	transition, and	residents' well-	and
		urban	suburban		
		uroan	suburban	being. Socio-	infrastructural

	1				
		development	zones. Primary	economic	data.
		strategies and	data were	characteristics	Additionally, it
		improve well-	collected via	like marital	was
		being.	1,035	status, education,	geographically
			systematically	occupation, and	confined to
			selected	duration of stay	selected wards
			household	were found to	in Ibadan,
			heads, and	influence	limiting
			analyzed using	variations in	broader
			descriptive	QoL across	applicability
			and inferential	different	across Nigeria.
			statistics.	residential zones.	_
6	Coker, A. O.,	The study aimed	The study used	Nearly half	The study's
	Awokola, O. S.,	to assess housing	penalty	(47.6%) of all	reliance on
	Olomolaiye, P.,	quality and	scoring rather	surveyed houses	penalty scoring
	& Booth, C.	neighbourhood	than positive	were either	and expert
	(2008).	environments	scoring to	substandard or	judgment may
	Challenges of	across density	evaluate	unfit for	limit the
	urban housing	zones in Ibadan,	housing and	habitation, and	incorporation
	quality and its	Nigeria, in order	neighbourhood	over 60% of	of residents'
	associations with	to identify areas	conditions	homes had at	subjective
	neighbourhood	with poor living	across high-,	least one major	experiences or
	environments:	conditions that	medium-, and	neighbourhood	satisfaction
	Insights and	pose health risks.	low-density	defect. Housing	levels.
	experiences of	It sought to	zones in	and	Additionally,
	Ibadan City,	provide insights	Ibadan. A total	environmental	the findings are
	Nigeria	for policy	of 172	quality	geographically
	Ingena	recommendations	dwellings	significantly	specific to
		and intervention	were surveyed	declined in high-	Ibadan and may
		planning	and classified	density zones,	not directly
		regarding urban	using the	largely due to	generalize to
		housing and	APHA	overcrowding,	other urban
		infrastructure.	method, which	inadequate	areas without
		mnasuucture.	-	_	further
			focuses on	infrastructure,	
			quantifiable	poor sanitation, and lack of	contextual
			housing defects and		research.
				maintenance	
			environmental	culture.	
7	Libova A D	The style -:	quality.		The stud-
7	Jiboye, A. D.,	The study aims	Using a		The study
	Adebayo, J. A.,	to examine the	systematic	Findings	primarily relies
	& Obakin, O. A.	challenges and	literature	revealed that	on secondary
	(2020). Urban	future prospects	review and	urban housing in	data and
	housing in	of urban housing	direct	Nigeria is	observational
	Nigeria for	in Nigeria with a	observation of	characterized by	assessments,
	sustainable	focus on	housing	overcrowding,	which may not
	development:	sustainability,	conditions, the	slum	fully capture
		particularly in	study analyzed		the socio-
				proliferation,	

	Challen 1	Tana 1		:	141
	Challenges and	Lagos and	patterns of	infrastructural	cultural
	prospects.	Ibadan. It seeks	urban housing	decay, and a	nuances or
		to provide a	problems and	massive housing	individual
		framework for	infrastructure	deficit, with	experiences of
		government and	deficits in	millions of	housing
		private sector	Lagos and	Nigerians living	deprivation
		intervention to	Ibadan. It	in unfit and	across Nigeria.
		improve housing	focused on	derelict housing	Also, the focus
		conditions and	identifying	conditions. The	on only two
		ensure	physical,	high cost of land	cities (Lagos
		sustainable	economic, and	and building	and Ibadan)
		development.	institutional	materials, weak	may limit the
			challenges	institutional	generalizability
			contributing to	frameworks, and	of the findings
			urban housing	uncoordinated	to other urban
			crises.	urban	contexts.
				governance	
				further	
				exacerbate the	
				urban housing	
				crisis.	
8	Akande, O.		The research		
_	(2021).		employed a		The study's
	Urbanization,	The study aims	quantitative	Findings	cross-sectional
	housing quality	to investigate the	cross-sectional	revealed that	nature and
	and health:	relationship	study	indoor pollutant	limited sample
	Towards a	between housing	involving	levels exceeded	size reduce its
	redirection for	quality	household	safe WHO	generalizability, while
	housing	specifically	surveys and	thresholds	inconsistent
	provision in	indoor air quality	environmental	(PM2.5 = 63)	electricity
	Nigeria	and the health of	measurements	μm/m ³ and	supply hindered
	Ingenia	urban residents in	of indoor air	PM10 = 228	continuous
		Nigeria, using	pollutants	μm/m ³), making	monitoring of
		Bauchi city as a	(CO ₂ , PM2.5,	housing	indoor
		case study. It	and PM10) in	environments	particulate
		seeks to redirect	selected	potentially	levels. These methodological
		housing	residential	hazardous to	constraints
		provision		occupant health.	align with
		strategies in	buildings in Volue Word	The study	broader
		Nigeria toward	Yelwa Ward, Bauchi. These	established	challenges
		healthier and	values were	associations	faced in
		more occupant-		between certain	environmental
		focused	then compared	housing	health research
		solutions.	with WHO	characteristics	in low-resource settings.
		501000115.	standards to		seungs.
			assess health	and symptoms of	
			risks.	illness,	
				highlighting the	
				health risks	
				posed by poor	

				ventilation,	
				inadequate	
				fenestration, and	
				poor building	
				orientation.	
9	Akinyemi, S. O.,				Though not
	Hadiza, A. M., &	T1 to 1 .	A	T1 to 1 C 1	explicitly
	Salau, L. T.	The study aims	A qualitative	The study found	labeled as
	(2020).	to examine how	research	that over 75% of	"limitations" in
	Assessing the	urbanization	approach	urban housing in	the text, the
	causes of	affects housing	grounded in	Nigeria is	study's reliance
	urbanization and	quality in Lagos,	post-positivist	substandard,	on secondary
	its impact on	particularly in	philosophy	largely due to	data and
	housing quality	Ikeja, using	was adopted,	rapid	absence of
	in city of Lagos.	environmental,	relying on	urbanization,	primary
		physical, and	secondary data	poor planning,	empirical
		socio-economic	sources such	overcrowding,	validation
		indicators to	as journals,	lack of	limits the
		promote	newspapers,	infrastructure,	precision and
		sustainable urban	textbooks, and	and economic	local specificity
		housing. The	field reports.	hardship pushing	of findings.
		objective is to	This method	people into	Additionally,
		assess housing	was used to	slums. It	qualitative
		conditions within	analyze urban	emphasized that	interpretations
		the context of	settlement	housing quality	without spatial
		rapid urban	characteristics	is deteriorating	or statistical
		expansion and	and housing	in both physical	
		increasing	quality factors	condition and	modeling may reduce
		population	within the	environmental	
		pressure.	study area.	hygiene,	replicability or
		pressure.	study area.	especially in	the ability to
				urban fringes and	generalize
				low-income	across other
					urban contexts
				neighborhoods.	in Nigeria.
10	Onifade, V.	The study	A mixed-	The findings	Although not
	(2021). The	investigates how	methods	reveal that all	explicitly stated
	effects of	residential	approach was	environmental	as limitations,
	residential	environmental	adopted,	variables	the study's use
	environmental	factors influence	primarily	significantly	of self-reported
	factors on	housing	based on	influence	perceptions
	residents'	satisfaction	structured	housing	may introduce
	housing	across various	questionnaires	satisfaction, with	subjective bias,
	satisfaction in	local government	distributed to	the perception of	and reliance on
	Ogun State,	areas in Ogun	residents	community	structured
	Nigeria.	State, Nigeria. It	across selected	feeling (COP)	questionnaires
		aims to identify	LGAs, with	contributing the	limits
		the key	4,691 valid	highest variance	contextual
		environmental	responses	(37.3%) in the	depth that
L	I		1		1

ı	[1			• • • . 1
		predictors of	analyzed using	satisfaction	qualitative data
		housing	descriptive	model. Social	could have
		satisfaction and	and inferential	aspects of the	offered.
		their variance	statistics	neighbourhood	Moreover, the
		across residential	(significance	such as	study did not
		densities and	set at $P \leq$	communal	detail how
		neighbourhood	0.05). The	interaction and	factors like
		characteristics.	study used a	service	tenure status or
			stratified	availability were	urban planning
			sampling	strongly	policies may
			method	correlated with	differentially
			considering	residents'	affect
			household size	satisfaction,	satisfaction
			and building	highlighting the	levels across
			counts,	importance of	densities.
			following a	integrating both	
			2007 National	physical and	
			Bureau of	social	
			Statistics	environmental	
			benchmark.	attributes into	
			benefiniark.	housing	
				development.	
11	T			development.	W/l.:1. the standar
11	Lanrewaju, A.				While the study
	F., Olufemi, O. J., & Olufemi, S.	The study aimed	Using a	Findings reveal	incorporated
	0. (2024).	to evaluate	sample size of	generally poor	both subjective (user
	Evaluation Of	housing quality	480	housing quality	
		in selected	households	in the suburban	perceptions)
	Housing Quality	suburban areas of	across 11	areas studied,	and objective
	In Selected	Ibadan by	purposively	with significant	(expert ratings)
	Suburban Of	examining	selected	disparities tied to	measures, it
	Ibadan, Nigeria.	residents' socio-	communities	socio-economic	was limited to
		economic	in Oluyole and	status. High-	two local
		characteristics,	Egbeda LGAs,	income residents	governments
		physical housing	data were	had better	and may not
		features, and	collected via		represent all
				housing conditions richer	Ibadan suburbs.
		neighbourhood conditions. It	questionnaires		Furthermore,
			and expert	in design quality,	variations in
		sought to	assessments	infrastructural	cultural
		understand the	(penalty	provisions, and	expectations
		relationship	scoring by 5	materials while	and potential
		between these	independent	lower-income	bias in expert
		variables and	raters).	residents	scoring may
		overall housing	Descriptive	suffered from	influence
		-			
1		quality to inform	and inferential	inadequacies in	generalizability.
		quality to inform policy and	statistics,	layout, safety,	generalizability.
		quality to inform	statistics, including	-	generalizability.
		quality to inform policy and	statistics,	layout, safety,	generalizability.

		ſ			,
			were used to	construction	
			assess housing	quality.	
			and		
			neighbourhood		
			characteristics		
			and their		
			influence on		
			housing		
			quality.		
12	Adewoyin, I. B.,	The study	A mixed-	Results showed	The study was
	Falegan, A. V.,	explores why	methods	87.6% of	limited to
	& Yusuff, B. S.	residents in	approach	respondents were	selected peri-
	(2024). Beyond	Ibadan	combining	satisfied with	urban areas of
	The Inner City:	increasingly	surveys and	peri-urban living	Ibadan,
	Understanding	prefer peri-urban	interviews was	due to	restricting its
	The Preference	areas over inner-	used to gather	affordability,	generalizability.
	For Peri-Urban	city zones. It	data from	better	It also did not
	Areas In Ibadan,	aims to identify	recent	infrastructure,	deeply evaluate
	Nigeria.	socio-economic	migrants to	and less	long-term
	8	and	peri-urban	congestion.	infrastructure
		environmental	Ibadan. Both	Residents cited	sustainability or
		factors	quantitative	improved	urban sprawl
		influencing this	satisfaction	amenities,	effects.
		relocation trend.	levels and	security, and	erroeus.
		relocation trend.	qualitative	access to land as	
			motivations	key reasons for	
			were analyzed.	relocating.	
			were analyzed.	reiocating.	
13	Adekunle, O. S.,	The study	A quantitative		
	Olaifa, O. J.,	assessed resident	approach was		
	Mohammed, A.	satisfaction and	adopted using	The results	The study had
	I., Biko, A. I., &	preferences	structured	revealed	limited sample
	Abdulrazak, R.	regarding	questionnaires	statistically	representation
	(2022).	housing provided	distributed	significant	from the private
	Resident's	by Public-Private	across selected	differences in	developer estate
	Satisfaction and	Partnerships	PPP and	satisfaction	(only 11
	Preferences in	(PPP) and private	private	levels between	retrieved
	Housing	developers in	housing	PPP and private	questionnaires),
	Provision by	Abuja, Nigeria. It	estates. T-tests	developer	which may
	Public-Private	aimed to identify	and ANOVA	estates,	affect result
	Partnership and	differences in	were used to	especially in	generalizability.
	Private	satisfaction	analyze	drainage and	It also focused
	Developers in	levels and	satisfaction	waste services.	only on two
	Abuja, Nigeria.	determine how	differences	However,	estates,
	Abuja, Migeria.		between both	resident	omitting
		well housing		satisfaction was	broader
		provisions align with user	housing types	generally rated	geographical or
				as very high,	socio-economic
L		expectations.		as very men,	

				high, and	contexts in
				moderate across both housing schemes.	Abuja.
14	Olatunji, S., Yoade, A., & Adeyemi, S. (2021). Evaluation of Infrastructure in Ibadan Metropolis, Nigeria	The study aimed to evaluate the quantity and quality of infrastructure in Ibadan metropolis to guide policy for sustainable infrastructural development. It focused on assessing resident access to various public services and identifying areas of infrastructural inadequacy.	Fifteen wards were selected across five local government areas using stratified sampling, and 1,035 household heads were surveyed via systematic sampling. Data were analyzed using descriptive and inferential statistical tools.	Although residents had relatively high access to schools, markets, and mosques, they expressed strong dissatisfaction with waste disposal, security services, recreational amenities, and the transport network. The study found that key facilities such as water supply, drainage, electricity, and fire stations were grossly insufficient.	The study concentrated only on physical access and usage but did not examine the quality or efficiency of services in detail. It also relied heavily on self-reported data, which may be subject to perception bias.
15	Idakwoji, W. A., & Emusa, H. Assessing Occupants' Satisfaction with Housing Quality in Housing Estates in Abuja, Nigeria.	The study aimed to assess how key determinants Standard Dwelling Units, Security, Accessibility, and Occupants' Autonomy influence satisfaction with housing quality in Abuja housing estates. It sought to fill the gap in	The study used inferential statistical tools, including correlation and multiple regression analysis, to examine data on occupants' perceptions across selected	Results showed that all four key determinants significantly and positively affect occupants' satisfaction with their housing. Accessibility, security, and autonomy were especially noted as essential factors for promoting well-	The study focused only on Abuja, so its findings may not fully generalize to other Nigerian cities with different housing and infrastructural conditions. Also, the study

		existing research by focusing specifically on the correlation between these determinants and occupants' satisfaction.	estates. Quantitative data were gathered and analyzed to determine the strength and direction of relationships among the identified variables.	being and sustainable urban housing satisfaction.	relied solely on occupant perceptions without integrating longitudinal or performance- based housing quality assessments.
16	Oshikoya, T. P., & Ifediora, C. O. (2021). Housing Choice Determinant In Ibadan Metropolis.	The study aimed to identify and analyze the key determinants influencing housing choice in Ibadan metropolis. It focused on understanding how socio- economic factors and ease of commuting shape individual and household housing preferences.	A questionnaire survey using both descriptive and analytical techniques was employed to collect data from respondents across selected residential neighborhoods in Ibadan. The approach provided strategic insights into the preference dynamics influencing housing decisions in the area.	The study found that income level, proximity to workplace or market, ease of transportation, and housing features such as finishing and infrastructure quality significantly influenced housing choice. High-income earners preferred well-finished accommodations, while lower- income groups prioritized proximity to reduce transport costs.	The study did not deeply explore how psychological or cultural factors interact with socio- economic variables in shaping housing choice, and its results may be limited to the specific urban context of Ibadan metropolis. Young people's diverse preferences were acknowledged but not fully analyzed as a distinct demographic segment.
17	Jimoh, U. U., & Famewo, A. S. Analysis of Housing Rent Dynamics in Ibadan North Local	The study aimed to analyze the dynamics of housing rent in Ibadan North	A survey design was used, incorporating both primary	The study found that rental prices in Ibadan North are rising consistently and are significantly influenced by	The research focused only on one LGA— Ibadan North— thus limiting

	1	1			
	Government, Oyo State, Nigeria.	LGA, focusing on how socio- economic and housing-related factors influence rent trends over time and space. It sought to provide insights for policy and planning regarding urban rental housing in sub-Saharan Africa.	and secondary data, with 380 respondents selected through proportional sampling and data collected via structured questionnaires and interviews with estate agents. Analysis involved descriptive statistics, multiple regression, and trend analysis to examine rental patterns and their determinants.	socio-economic characteristics, housing quality, inflation, and rehabilitation costs. Regression results showed that housing and neighborhood factors had a strong positive correlation with rent prices ($F =$ 211.298).	the generalizability of the findings across broader urban or rural contexts in Nigeria. It also did not fully explore informal housing markets or tenant experiences beyond formal rental structures.
18	Effe, K. C., & Wokekoro, E. Challenges Associated With Measuring Quality Of Residential Satisfaction In Federal Housing Estates In Abia State, Nigeria.	The study aimed to examine the challenges associated with measuring the quality of residential satisfaction in public housing estates in Abia State, Nigeria. It focused on identifying key components that influence residents' perception of housing quality and the obstacles	Using a descriptive approach and supported by literature, the study investigated dimensions such as physical, environmental, economic, functional, and behavioral factors influencing satisfaction in Federal housing estates. Data were drawn from previous empirical	The study revealed widespread dissatisfaction among residents, particularly regarding space allocation, infrastructure services, water supply, drainage, sewage systems, and safety. It identified key measurement challenges including poor structural design, inadequate facilities, unstable power,	The study was geographically limited to Federal Housing Estates in Abia State, thus findings may not be universally generalizable to other states or privately developed housing. It also relied primarily on perception- based indicators without detailed quantitative survey data.

19	Ajom, S. K., Mfon, I. E., Moses, N. E., & Eteng, S. U. (2022). Residential housing satisfaction in public housing estates in Calabar, Cross River State, Nigeria.	to accurate measurement.	observations and relevant literature to assess conditions and challenges. A quantitative approach using 100 structured questionnaires was employed, targeting household heads across five public estates. The data was analyzed using frequency tables and the Relative Satisfaction Index (RSI) derived from a 5-point Likert scale.	lack of fire services, and absence of maintenance policy. The study found that residents were only moderately satisfied with their housing, reporting challenges related to water supply, waste disposal, environmental aesthetics, and deteriorating infrastructure. Location, environmental quality, and physical amenities were all identified as key determinants of residential satisfaction.	The study was limited to only five public housing estates in Calabar, with a small sample size of 100 respondents, which may not capture the broader variability in public housing satisfaction across the state or country.
	(2025)			satisfaction.	

Source: Author (2025)

CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

This study concludes that spatial variation in residential satisfaction across Local Government Areas (LGAs) in Ibadan is a result of multiple interrelated factors including socio-economic disparities, infrastructural availability, urban planning practices, and community engagement. Evidence from Onifade (2021) and Makinde (2020) indicates that housing satisfaction is significantly influenced by environmental quality, access to services, and the perceived safety and social cohesion within neighborhoods. Areas in central LGAs tend to exhibit higher satisfaction due to better access to infrastructure, proximity to employment opportunities, and planned residential layouts (Coker, 2008; Akande, 2021). In contrast, peripheral LGAs face lower satisfaction levels due to poor maintenance, inadequate infrastructure, and socio-spatial exclusion (Bosikun, 2019; Adewoyin, 2024). The findings align with Jiboye (2020) who emphasized that housing satisfaction goes beyond physical dwelling features to include the broader environmental, social, and economic contexts in which residents live.

To address these spatial disparities, it is recommended that urban housing policy in Ibadan adopt a more decentralized and participatory approach. Government and planning authorities should prioritize equitable distribution of resources and tailor housing interventions to the unique needs of each LGA, as advised by Lanrewaju (2024) and Azeez (2016). There is also a need for stronger integration of local stakeholders in decision-making processes to enhance community ownership and satisfaction (Ajom et al., 2022). Regular assessments of housing quality, infrastructure provision, and environmental conditions should be institutionalized to guide policy and planning efforts (Adetayo, 2020; Akinyemi, 2020). Furthermore, incentives should be introduced for private developers and public agencies to invest in low-income and underserved areas, improving both physical infrastructure and service delivery (Idakwoji, 2022; Adekunle, 2022). In conclusion, bridging the gap in residential satisfaction across LGAs in Ibadan requires spatially sensitive, equity-focused, and community-centered urban housing policies that foster inclusive and sustainable development.

REFERENCES

- [1] Adekunle, O. S., Olaifa, O. J., Mohammed, A. I., Biko, A. I., & Abdulrazak, R. (2022). Resident's Satisfaction And Preferences In Housing Provision By Public-Private Partnership And Private Developers In Abuja, Nigeria. Traektoriâ Nauki= Path Of Science, 8(8), 1001-1010.
- [2] Adewoyin, I. B., Falegan, A. V., & Yusuff, B. S. (2024). Beyond The Inner City: Understanding The Preference For Peri-Urban Areas In Ibadan, Nigeria. International Journal Of Environmental Research And Earth Science.
- [3] Ajom, S. K., Mfon, I. E., Moses, N. E., & Eteng, S. U. (2022). Residential Housing Satisfaction In Public Housing Estates In Calabar, Cross River State, Nigeria. Journal Of Social Sciences And Management Studies, 1(2), 63-68.
- [4] Akande, O. (2021). Urbanization, Housing Quality And Health: Towards A Redirection For Housing Provision In Nigeria. Journal Of Contemporary Urban Affairs, 5(1), 35-46.
- [5] Akinyemi, S. O., Hadiza, A. M., & Salau, L. T. (2020). Assessing The Causes Of Urbanization And Its Impact On Housing Quality In City Of

Lagos. Journal Of African Sustainable Development, 20(2), 127-138.

- [6] Azeez, T., Adeleye, O., & Olayiwola, L. (2016). Spatial Variation In Residents' Accessibility To Land For Housing Development In Ibadan Metropolis, Oyo State, Nigeria. Ethiopian Journal Of Environmental Studies And Management, 9(2), 1047-1058.
- [7] Bosikun, T., Sanni, O., & Olasemojo, O. A Study Of Residents'socio-Economic Status On Land Use Conversion In Apete, Ibadan, Nigeria.
- [8] Coker, A. O., Awokola, O. S., Olomolaiye, P., & Booth, C. (2008). Challenges Of Urban Housing Quality And Its Associations With Neighbourhood Environments: Insights And Experiences Of Ibadan City, Nigeria. Journal Of Environmental Health Research, 7(1), 21-30.
- [9] Detayo, O. D., Olugbamila, O. B., Afolabi, H., & Isaacolajide, O. Evaluation Of Housing Quality In Selected Suburban Areas Of Ibadan, Nigeria.
- [10] Dewey, A., & Drahota, A. (2016). *Introduction To Systematic Reviews: Online Learning Module Cochrane Training.*
- [11] Effe, K. C., & Wokekoro, E. Challenges Associated With Measuring Quality Of Residential Satisfaction In Federal Housing Estates In Abia State, Nigeria.
- [12] Idakwoji, W. A., & Emusa, H. Assessing Occupants' Satisfaction With Housing Quality In Housing Estates In Abuja, Nigeria.
- [13] Jiboye, A. D., Adebayo, J. A., & Obakin, O. A. (2020). Urban Housing In Nigeria For Sustainable Development: Challenges And Prospects. International Journal Of Advanced Engineering Research And Science, 7(7), 478-491.
- [14] Jimoh, U. U., & Famewo, A. S. Analysis Of Housing Rent Dynamics In Ibadan North Local Government, Oyo State, Nigeria.
- [15] Lanrewaju, A. F., Olufemi, O. J., & Olufemi, S. O. (2024). Evaluation Of Housing Quality In Selected Suburban Of Ibadan, Nigeria.
- [16] Makinde, O. O. (2020). Design Factors As Determinants Of Neighborhood Quality In The Urban Area Of Ibadan, Nigeria.

- [17] Olatunji, S. A., & Yoade, A. O. (2022). Impact Of Socioeconomic Factors On Residents' Quality Of Life In Metropolitan Ibadan, Nigeria. International Journal Of Infrastructure Research And Management, 10(2), 12-26.
- [18] Olatunji, S., Yoade, A., & Adeyemi, S. (2021). Evaluation Of Infrastructure In Ibadan Metropolis, Nigeria. Ghana Journal Of Geography, 13(1), 81-102.
- [19] Onifade, V. (2021). The Effects Of Residential Environmental Factors On Residents' Housing Satisfaction In Ogun State, Nigeria. Ghana Journal Of Geography, 13(2).
- [20] Oshikoya, T. P., & Ifediora, C. O. (2021). HOUSING CHOICE DETERMINANT IN IBADAN METROPOLIS.