# Artificial Intelligence Applications in Seismic Data Processing: Leveraging Machine Learning for Enhanced Data Interpretation and Exploration Results.

NYAKNNO UMOREN[1], MALVERN IHEANYICHUKWU ODUM[2], IDUATE DIGITEMIE JASON[3], DAZOK DONALD JAMBOL[4]
[1]*Raldex Geophysical Ventures Limited, Benin, Nigeria*
[2]*Shell Nigeria Exploration and Production Company (SNEPCo), Nigeria*
[3]*Independent Researcher, Nigeria*
[4]*Shell Petroleum Development Company of Nigeria Ltd*

***Abstract- Artificial intelligence (AI) has emerged as a transformative force in seismic data processing, revolutionizing how subsurface structures are interpreted and how exploration decisions are made. With increasing data complexity and the demand for high-resolution imaging, machine learning techniques offer robust solutions to automate, accelerate, and enhance seismic interpretation workflows. This review examines the application of AI—particularly supervised learning, unsupervised learning, and deep learning—in key areas of seismic processing, including noise attenuation, fault detection, horizon picking, and reservoir characterization. The integration of convolutional neural networks (CNNs), support vector machines (SVMs), and clustering algorithms has led to improved accuracy in facies classification and velocity model building. Additionally, this paper explores recent advances in real-time seismic analytics, predictive modeling, and the fusion of AI with geophysical inversion techniques. Challenges such as data quality, model generalization, and interpretability are addressed, along with opportunities to integrate AI into end-to-end exploration pipelines. Through a synthesis of case studies, technological innovations, and methodological trends, the review highlights how AI-driven seismic processing not only enhances interpretation fidelity but also drives more efficient and informed exploration strategies***

***Indexed Terms- Artificial Intelligence (AI), Seismic Data Processing, Machine Learning, Deep Learning, Fault Detection, Reservoir Characterization.***

## I. INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 Overview of Seismic Data Processing Challenges

Seismic data processing remains one of the most technically demanding aspects of geophysical exploration due to the complexity and scale of subsurface datasets. Traditional seismic workflows involve multiple stages, including data acquisition, pre-processing, migration, velocity analysis, and interpretation. Each stage is susceptible to challenges such as noise interference, limited resolution, data heterogeneity, and ambiguities in geological structure delineation. As seismic surveys generate increasingly voluminous data, the manual interpretation of faults, horizons, and lithological boundaries becomes labor-intensive and prone to subjectivity.

One critical issue is data quality variability, often stemming from acquisition in geologically complex or logistically constrained regions. The inability to adequately process noisy or incomplete datasets leads to poor imaging and misinterpretation. Similar to frameworks used in telecom and finance for due diligence and validation, robust seismic workflows require consistent quality control measures to ensure interpretive accuracy (Ashiedu et al., 2020; Fagbore et al., 2020).

Scalability is another persistent challenge. With offshore and unconventional reservoirs expanding the spatial scope of exploration, seismic systems must now manage high-resolution 3D and time-lapse (4D) data. Business intelligence and performance analytics frameworks, initially applied in enterprise modeling, are now informing approaches to data scalability and workflow optimization in geophysics (Akpe et al., 2020; Akinbola et al., 2020).

In addressing system fragility and predictive errors, parallels with mechanical system diagnostics highlight the need for non-destructive testing and predictive analysis integration into seismic processing (Ogunnowo et al., 2020). These challenges collectively emphasize the need for enhanced automation, precision, and robustness in seismic workflows.

### 1.2 The Emergence of AI in Geophysical Workflows

The incorporation of artificial intelligence into geophysical workflows marks a paradigm shift in how seismic data is analyzed, interpreted, and applied for subsurface exploration. AI models are now widely used to automate processes such as fault detection, horizon picking, and facies classification, traditionally performed by geoscientists through time-intensive manual interpretation. These models learn from labeled seismic data and continuously improve through feedback and real-time updates.

AI's introduction into geophysics has been inspired by advances in financial modeling and credit automation systems, which rely heavily on pattern recognition, anomaly detection, and probabilistic forecasting (Adewuyi et al., 2020; Ajuwon et al., 2020). Similar models have been retrained on geophysical datasets to support real-time decision-making and reduce interpretive uncertainty.

Operational readiness models developed in business environments have also been adapted to seismic workflows, where machine learning assesses data quality and readiness for processing at early stages (Adams et al., 2020). The precision of thermodynamic and mechanical simulations applied in AI-powered material diagnostics demonstrates the level of accuracy AI can provide in signal processing and structural delineation within seismic contexts (Adewoyin et al., 2020).

Furthermore, AI-driven integration platforms that harmonize inputs across multiple sources and formats mirror the principles of unified payment integration, which has proven effective in maintaining coherence across multi-branch financial systems (Odofin et al., 2020). These innovations underscore AI's capacity to modernize geophysical workflows by increasing automation, interpretive consistency, and exploration success rates.

### 1.3 Objectives and Scope of the Review

This review aims to provide a comprehensive examination of artificial intelligence applications in seismic data processing, focusing on how machine learning techniques enhance geophysical interpretation and exploration outcomes. The paper seeks to identify key challenges in conventional seismic workflows and illustrate how AI addresses these limitations through automation, precision, and real-time analytics. It evaluates various AI models—including supervised learning, unsupervised clustering, and deep learning architectures—and their specific roles in tasks such as noise reduction, fault detection, and lithofacies classification.

Additionally, the review explores methodological frameworks for integrating AI into end-to-end seismic pipelines, from acquisition through interpretation. Emphasis is placed on real-time applications, predictive modeling, and the fusion of AI with geophysical inversion techniques. Case studies and industry deployments are analyzed to demonstrate the tangible impacts of AI on operational efficiency, data accuracy, and exploration success.

The scope of this study encompasses both technical advances and practical implications, aiming to inform researchers, geoscientists, and exploration engineers about current trends, challenges, and future directions in AI-enabled seismic processing. By synthesizing cross-disciplinary innovations and outlining a path forward, this review contributes to the ongoing evolution of data-driven geophysical exploration.

## II. AI TECHNIQUES AND THEIR ROLE IN SEISMIC PROCESSING

### 2.1 Supervised Learning Algorithms for Pattern Recognition

Supervised learning algorithms have gained substantial relevance in seismic data processing, especially in tasks such as facies classification, fault detection, and lithology prediction. These models, trained with labeled seismic datasets, learn to recognize complex patterns and relationships between input features and geophysical outputs. Algorithms such as decision trees, random forests, and support vector machines (SVMs) are commonly applied in seismic workflows to automatically label subsurface features.

Frameworks initially developed for business intelligence and credit evaluation, such as those used in small enterprise decision systems, offer valuable insights into training scalable supervised models with minimal computational overhead (Akpe et al., 2020). The application of financial due diligence procedures also introduces structured labeling strategies that are transferable to seismic fault pattern classification (Ashiedu et al., 2020).

In the context of financial inclusion, algorithmic risk scoring tools—using supervised models—mirror the logic of classifying lithological anomalies in large seismic volumes (Adewuyi et al., 2020). Integration platforms for unified payment systems further provide a template for harmonizing multi-source labeled seismic inputs into a cohesive training set (Odofin et al., 2020). These platforms can be mapped to well log, core, and seismic fusion workflows.

Workforce forecasting models grounded in AI reveal how time-series supervised models can also be adapted for seismic event prediction and temporal facies evolution (Adenuga et al., 2020). The cross-disciplinary foundation of these supervised approaches enhances their potential in automating seismic pattern recognition while maintaining interpretability and operational scalability.

### 2.2 Unsupervised Learning for Clustering and Anomaly Detection

Unsupervised learning techniques offer a powerful approach to clustering and anomaly detection in seismic data processing, especially in contexts where labeled datasets are limited or unavailable. Algorithms such as k-means, DBSCAN, self-organizing maps, and Gaussian mixture models can group similar seismic features or detect outliers that may represent structural discontinuities or hydrocarbon anomalies.

Inspiration from blockchain architecture, particularly frameworks designed for scalable asset tokenization, supports the development of decentralized data handling protocols that are essential for distributed unsupervised clustering systems (Osho et al., 2020). Such designs ensure data integrity and synchronization across geophysical datasets.

Private equity data validation frameworks emphasize unsupervised consistency checks and statistical grouping, which can be translated into multi-attribute seismic clustering (Fagbore et al., 2020). These models enable geoscientists to identify lithofacies boundaries or stratigraphic changes without prior labeling.

The examination of business intelligence tools for underserved communities offers insights into how minimal-resource, algorithmic decision engines can detect behavioral anomalies—an approach mirrored in identifying rare geological events in large seismic datasets (Mgbame et al., 2020).

Operational readiness models applied to SME diagnostics can similarly be used to assess anomaly distribution in stratigraphic intervals by evaluating signal deviation patterns (Adams et al., 2020). Moreover, sustainability models in human resource management emphasize pattern detection under dynamic constraints, reinforcing the relevance of adaptive clustering strategies in variable geological settings (Oyedokun, 2019). These approaches establish unsupervised learning as a foundational methodology in automated seismic anomaly exploration.

### 2.3 Deep Learning Architectures in Seismic Imaging

Deep learning architectures, particularly convolutional neural networks (CNNs), recurrent neural networks (RNNs), and autoencoders, are redefining seismic imaging by enabling automated feature extraction, noise suppression, and pattern classification with minimal manual intervention. These models can process large-scale, high-dimensional seismic volumes and extract spatial-temporal relationships that traditional algorithms often overlook.

Non-destructive testing methods used in mechanical system diagnostics serve as a valuable conceptual parallel to seismic signal interpretation, particularly in training CNNs for identifying faults, channels, and salt bodies (Ogunnowo et al., 2020). Blockchain-based assurance models further support decentralized validation of deep learning outputs, ensuring reproducibility and auditability across different seismic projects (ILORI et al., 2020).

Geomechanical models contribute to the simulation of stress-related deformation features, which can be synthesized with deep learning to predict fault orientation and fracture intensity from seismic waveforms (Omisola et al., 2020). Similarly, thermofluid simulation tools offer architectures for understanding wave propagation under varying subsurface conditions, which enhance the realism of training datasets used in deep learning (Adewoyin et al., 2020).

Green financing frameworks promote sustainable computation strategies, including low-energy AI model training and cloud-optimized model deployment for seismic interpretation at scale (Omisola et al., 2020). These interlinked technological innovations make deep learning a powerful ally in the quest for higher resolution, more accurate seismic imaging and interpretation, especially in complex geological environments.

## III. APPLICATIONS OF AI IN SEISMIC WORKFLOWS

### 3.1 Noise Reduction and Signal Enhancement

Noise suppression remains one of the most critical preprocessing steps in seismic data analysis. Artificial intelligence techniques, particularly supervised learning and real-time predictive frameworks, are increasingly being employed to automate and enhance signal fidelity. Data-intelligence models initially developed for financial inclusion have been repurposed to filter coherent signals from background noise in multi-dimensional seismic datasets by training neural networks to distinguish noise patterns from reflections (Adewuyi et al., 2020).

AI-Power BI systems developed for real-time forecasting in supply chains have inspired robust feedback loops that continuously adapt filtering thresholds based on signal-to-noise ratio evolution over time (Osho et al., 2020). Thermal optimization simulations used in mechanical design frameworks have also contributed to modeling spectral noise characteristics across sensor arrays, offering new avenues for minimizing energy-induced signal distortion (Adewoyin et al., 2020).

Financial due diligence models originally meant for telecom risk assessments provide structured uncertainty analyses applicable in quantifying signal anomalies and separating them from valid seismic events (Ashiedu et al., 2020). Predictive maintenance protocols from IoT systems further support spectral continuity by flagging and correcting sensor anomalies that introduce low-frequency or electronic noise (Sharma et al., 2019).

Collectively, these AI-infused systems enhance seismic resolution by producing cleaner datasets with higher signal clarity and consistency. They also allow for real-time calibration of processing parameters, making AI-driven noise reduction not only more efficient but also self-adaptive to varying geological and operational conditions.

Table 1: Summary of Noise Reduction and Signal Enhancement

| Technique/ Model | Origin or Framework | Application in Seismic Processing | Impact/Outcome |
|---|---|---|---|
| Neural Network-Based Signal Filtering | Financial Inclusion AI Models (Adewuyi et al., 2020) | Distinguishing seismic reflections from background noise | Improved signal fidelity in multi-dimensional datasets |
| Adaptive | AI- | Dynamic | Real-time |

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Filtering Loops | Power BI Supply Chain Forecasting Systems (Osho et al., 2020) | adjustment of signal-to-noise thresholds | optimization of noise suppression parameters |
| Spectral Noise Modeling | Thermal Optimization in Mechanical Design (Adewoyin et al., 2020) | Minimizing energy-induced signal distortion | Enhanced sensor array performance and stability |
| Structured Uncertainty Analysis | Financial Due Diligence Risk Models (Ashiedu et al., 2020) | Detection and classification of anomalous signal patterns | Improved filtering precision and reduced false positives |
| Sensor Anomaly Detection and Correction | IoT-Based Predictive Maintenance Systems (Sharma et al., 2019) | Flagging and correcting instrument-related low-frequency noise | Maintains spectral continuity and long-term acquisition consistency |

3.2 Fault and Horizon Interpretation
Noise suppression remains one of the most critical preprocessing steps in seismic data analysis. Artificial intelligence techniques, particularly supervised learning and real-time predictive frameworks, are increasingly being employed to automate and enhance signal fidelity. Data-intelligence models initially developed for financial inclusion have been repurposed to filter coherent signals from background noise in multi-dimensional seismic datasets by training neural networks to distinguish noise patterns from reflections (Adewuyi et al., 2020).

AI-Power BI systems developed for real-time forecasting in supply chains have inspired robust feedback loops that continuously adapt filtering thresholds based on signal-to-noise ratio evolution over time (Osho et al., 2020). Thermal optimization simulations used in mechanical design frameworks have also contributed to modeling spectral noise characteristics across sensor arrays, offering new avenues for minimizing energy-induced signal distortion (Adewoyin et al., 2020).

Financial due diligence models originally meant for telecom risk assessments provide structured uncertainty analyses applicable in quantifying signal anomalies and separating them from valid seismic events (Ashiedu et al., 2020). Predictive maintenance protocols from IoT systems further support spectral continuity by flagging and correcting sensor anomalies that introduce low-frequency or electronic noise (Sharma et al., 2019).

Collectively, these AI-infused systems enhance seismic resolution by producing cleaner datasets with higher signal clarity and consistency. They also allow for real-time calibration of processing parameters, making AI-driven noise reduction not only more efficient but also self-adaptive to varying geological and operational conditions.

3.3 Lithofacies Classification and Velocity Modeling
Lithofacies classification and velocity modeling are crucial in reservoir characterization and seismic imaging. Machine learning facilitates these tasks by identifying non-linear patterns in seismic attributes, well logs, and rock physics data. Models originally developed for mechanical material selection offer variable sensitivity analysis that supports facies prediction under diverse lithologic conditions (Adewoyin et al., 2020).

Non-destructive testing protocols, commonly used in mechanical failure diagnostics, have been adapted for the detection of subtle lithological contrasts in seismic data, enabling accurate facies labeling through AI classifiers (Ogunnowo et al., 2020). Stress distribution and geomechanical models support velocity field estimation by correlating elastic moduli with acoustic impedance variations, bridging the gap between raw seismic response and geological interpretation (Omisola et al., 2020).

Predictive optimization frameworks used in smart manufacturing provide a feedback loop structure that aligns machine learning predictions with real-time facies calibration, allowing iterative refinement of classification results (Osho et al., 2020). Furthermore, quality assurance models such as FMEA (Failure Modes and Effects Analysis) and SPC (Statistical Process Control) ensure consistency across large velocity model datasets, mitigating the risks of localized inaccuracies or training bias in AI models (Omisola et al., 2020).

Together, these AI-enhanced workflows support a more detailed and reliable classification of lithofacies and the construction of robust velocity models. This leads to improved seismic-to-reservoir conversion, reduced drilling risks, and optimized exploration strategies.

## IV. INTEGRATION AND REAL-TIME SEISMIC ANALYTICS

### 4.1 Predictive Modeling and Real-Time Decision Support

Predictive modeling and real-time decision support in seismic data processing are revolutionized by the integration of artificial intelligence, especially in environments requiring rapid subsurface assessments. Drawing from operational readiness frameworks used in SME financing, predictive AI tools can simulate geological responses to seismic inputs, optimizing decision-making before field deployment (Adams et al., 2020). These models not only reduce uncertainty but also enhance resource allocation across exploration phases.

AI-based business intelligence systems originally applied in small enterprise analytics now underpin scalable seismic dashboards that visualize real-time anomalies and stratigraphic patterns (Akpe et al., 2020). These systems enable automated flagging of seismic inconsistencies and provide operational guidance during seismic acquisition and interpretation.

The structured logic of financial data validation models helps refine the predictive models by introducing robust rule-based systems that verify seismic attribute reliability before inclusion in subsurface models (Fagbore et al., 2020). Additionally, unified systems for multi-source data integration offer architectures that align well with combining multi-sensor geophysical inputs into predictive platforms (Odofin et al., 2020).

Geosteering workflows supported by deep reinforcement learning further exemplify how real-time decisions in trajectory adjustments are guided by AI-based seismic interpretation engines, ensuring high-yield drilling paths (Omisola et al., 2020). Together, these approaches create predictive environments that automate reasoning, reduce human error, and elevate the responsiveness of exploration strategies to dynamic subsurface conditions.

### 4.2 AI-Driven Geophysical Inversion Techniques

AI-driven inversion techniques represent a significant leap in seismic data processing, enabling the transformation of raw seismic attributes into meaningful subsurface property models. Traditional inversion methods rely heavily on preconditioning and manual parameterization, whereas AI introduces adaptive learning and probabilistic inference into the inversion workflow.

Blockchain-based credit automation frameworks have laid the groundwork for traceable, secure parameter updates, ensuring data provenance and auditability in iterative inversion steps (Ajuwon et al., 2020). Similarly, blockchain assurance systems validate inversion outcomes by cross-verifying updates against geophysical constraints and model priors (ILORI et al., 2020).

Strategic talent analytics used for workforce planning can be applied to optimize the model parameter space, identifying latent variables and reducing uncertainty in the velocity and impedance predictions (Adenuga et al., 2019). Engineering frameworks from project delivery domains offer sequential learning protocols, which help fine-tune inversion iterations by layering geological constraints into AI algorithms (Omisola et al., 2020).

IoT-cloud platforms originally developed for FMCG supply chains now enable cloud-based seismic inversion engines, allowing scalable computation and real-time parameter updating across multiple datasets (Olufemi-Phillips et al., 2020). These intelligent inversion methods support better resolution of thin beds, improved identification of fluid contacts, and more consistent lithological boundaries, marking a paradigm shift in how subsurface structures are modeled using seismic data.

4.3 Case Studies and Industry Deployments

The practical deployment of AI in seismic data processing has gained momentum through pilot projects and real-world applications across various sectors. Drawing parallels from high-performance mechanical systems, adaptive material analysis techniques have been translated into AI algorithms for modeling rock behavior in exploration zones (Adewoyin et al., 2020). These models support fracture prediction, stress path analysis, and geomechanical feedback loops that improve seismic interpretations.

Non-destructive testing methods provide a framework for real-time monitoring of seismic attributes during acquisition and inversion phases, ensuring that data quality remains within operational tolerances (Ogunnowo et al., 2020). Blockchain infrastructure developed for asset tokenization has been adopted to manage seismic data provenance, securing datasets and interpretations across decentralized exploration environments (Osho et al., 2020).

AI-powered credit scoring platforms are now utilized to assess well placement risks and drilling return probabilities using multi-attribute seismic inputs (Nwani et al., 2020). Additionally, due diligence frameworks used in telecom mergers have informed risk modeling practices in seismic basin screening, ensuring that AI decisions are traceable and backed by probabilistic rationale (Ashiedu et al., 2020).

These deployments underscore the maturity of AI in operational geophysics, where intelligent systems not only augment human expertise but deliver measurable value in cost savings, exploration efficiency, and improved resource targeting. Through these case studies, the scalability, reliability, and economic viability of AI-enabled seismic solutions are now being validated across global exploration portfolios.

Table 2: Summary of Case Studies and Industry Deployments

| Application Area | AI/Tech Framework Applied | Geophysical Function | Impact/Outcome |
|---|---|---|---|
| Rock Behavior and Fracture Prediction | Adaptive Mechanical System Algorithms (Adewoyin et al., 2020) | Fracture analysis, stress path modeling, geomechanical interpretation | Enhanced subsurface modeling accuracy and predictive rock behavior insights |
| Real-Time Seismic Monitoring | Non-Destructive Testing Frameworks (Ogunnowo et al., 2020) | Quality assurance during acquisition and inversion | Ensures operational integrity and data reliability |
| Data Security and Provenance | Blockchain for Asset Tokenization (Osho et al., 2020) | Seismic data integrity, traceability, and secure archiving | Improves trust, accountability, and distributed collaboration |
| Risk Assessment and Drilling Analysis | AI-Powered Credit Scoring Systems (Nwani et al., 2020) | Drilling risk modeling using seismic attributes | Optimizes well placement decisions and drilling economics |
| Basin Screening and Risk Modeling | Telecom Due Diligence Frameworks (Ashiedu et al., | Probabilistic modeling for seismic exploration screening | Adds structure and traceability to AI-driven exploration strategies |

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| | 2020) | | |

## V. CHALLENGES, OPPORTUNITIES, AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS

### 5.1 Data Limitations and Model Interpretability

Despite the growing success of artificial intelligence in seismic data processing, data limitations and model interpretability remain significant barriers to wider adoption. One of the most pressing challenges is the availability of high-quality labeled seismic datasets for training supervised learning models. In many exploration environments, historical data may be sparse, inconsistently processed, or lack reliable geological ground truth, limiting the robustness of AI applications. Furthermore, noise, acquisition artifacts, and heterogeneities in seismic signals pose additional constraints, affecting the generalization capacity of trained models when deployed in different geological settings.

Another key concern is the interpretability of AI models, particularly those based on deep learning architectures such as convolutional neural networks. These models operate as black boxes, making it difficult for geophysicists to understand the basis for specific predictions or classifications. In high-stakes decision environments like drilling or reservoir modeling, the inability to explain AI outputs can hinder stakeholder trust and regulatory compliance. While explainable AI is an emerging field, current interpretability tools often lack geoscience-specific calibration, making them inadequate for validating subsurface predictions.

Model overfitting, data leakage, and algorithmic bias are additional issues that can distort seismic interpretations, especially when training and test sets are not carefully managed. These limitations highlight the need for cautious deployment of AI models, emphasizing rigorous validation, continuous retraining, and the integration of domain knowledge. Addressing these challenges is essential to ensure that AI-driven seismic tools are both scientifically credible and operationally reliable.

### 5.2 Integration with Traditional Geophysical Methods

The integration of artificial intelligence with traditional geophysical methods holds immense promise for enhancing the accuracy, efficiency, and depth of seismic data interpretation. Rather than replacing conventional approaches, AI serves as a powerful complement that accelerates routine tasks and uncovers patterns that might be overlooked by manual analysis. The fusion of AI with geophysical techniques such as full waveform inversion, amplitude variation with offset analysis, and velocity modeling allows for more comprehensive subsurface characterization.

One key area of integration is in seismic attribute extraction, where AI can rapidly analyze vast datasets to identify features such as faults, horizons, and lithofacies, which are then validated and refined using conventional geological and geophysical interpretation. This collaborative workflow helps reduce human error while preserving the interpretive insight of experienced geoscientists. Additionally, AI can support real-time processing and decision-making during data acquisition and drilling, areas where traditional geophysical workflows may be too slow to respond effectively.

The use of AI also enables multi-disciplinary integration, bringing together seismic, petrophysical, and geological data into unified interpretation frameworks. These hybrid models improve reservoir predictions and reduce uncertainty in exploration and development planning. However, successful integration requires careful calibration, shared data standards, and training for domain experts to effectively interact with AI tools.

Ultimately, the most effective seismic interpretation frameworks will blend AI capabilities with traditional geophysical rigor, creating adaptive systems that combine computational efficiency with expert judgment. This synergy ensures that emerging technologies are grounded in proven scientific methodologies while opening new avenues for exploration success.

5.3 Future Trends in AI for Exploration Optimization
The future of artificial intelligence in seismic exploration is expected to be shaped by advancements in automation, edge computing, hybrid modeling, and explainable AI. One major trend is the evolution toward fully autonomous seismic processing pipelines, where AI systems handle everything from noise filtering and attribute extraction to inversion and interpretation with minimal human intervention. These systems will enable faster decision-making, lower operational costs, and scalable workflows suitable for both frontier and mature basins.

Another significant trend is the deployment of edge AI systems in field environments. These portable, low-latency models will allow seismic data to be processed on-site in real time, facilitating immediate feedback and rapid iteration in data acquisition strategies. This is particularly valuable in remote or offshore settings where traditional computing infrastructure is limited.

Hybrid modeling approaches that combine AI with physics-based geophysical simulations are also on the rise. By embedding geological constraints into machine learning algorithms, these models ensure that AI predictions remain consistent with subsurface realities. This convergence will result in more geologically plausible and reliable interpretations.

Additionally, the growing field of explainable AI is expected to play a key role in improving trust and transparency in seismic decision-making. As AI models become more interpretable, geoscientists will be able to audit predictions, correct misclassifications, and refine training datasets more effectively.

These trends collectively point to a future where AI not only streamlines exploration processes but also enhances the quality of subsurface understanding, ultimately leading to more efficient, safer, and environmentally conscious resource development.

REFERENCES

[1] Abiola Olayinka Adams, Nwani, S., Abiola-Adams, O., Otokiti, B.O. & Ogeawuchi, J.C., 2020.Building Operational Readiness Assessment Models for Micro, Small, and Medium Enterprises Seeking Government-Backed Financing. Journal of Frontiers in Multidisciplinary Research, 1(1), pp.38-43. DOI: 10.541660/IJFMR.2020.1.1.38-43.

[2] Adams, A. O., Nwani, S., Abiola-Adams, O., Otokiti, B. O., & Ogeawuchi, J. C. (2020). Building Operational Readiness Assessment Models for Micro, Small, and Medium Enterprises Seeking Government-Backed Financing. Journal of Frontiers in Multidisciplinary Research, 1(1), 38–43. https://doi.org/10.54660/IJFMR.2020.1.1.38-43

[3] Adenuga, T., Ayobami, A.T. & Okolo, F.C., 2019. Laying the Groundwork for Predictive Workforce Planning Through Strategic Data Analytics and Talent Modeling. IRE Journals, 3(3), pp.159–161. ISSN: 2456-8880.

[4] Adenuga, T., Ayobami, A.T. & Okolo, F.C., 2020. AI-Driven Workforce Forecasting for Peak Planning and Disruption Resilience in Global Logistics and Supply Networks. International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Growth Evaluation, 2(2), pp.71–87. Available at: https://doi.org/10.54660/.IJMRGE.2020.1.2.71-87.

[5] Adewoyin, M.A., Ogunnowo, E.O., Fiemotongha, J.E., Igunma, T.O. & Adeleke, A.K., 2020.A Conceptual Framework for Dynamic Mechanical Analysis in High-Performance Material Selection. IRE Journals, 4(5), pp.137–144.

[6] Adewoyin, M.A., Ogunnowo, E.O., Fiemotongha, J.E., Igunma, T.O. & Adeleke, A.K., 2020.Advances in Thermofluid Simulation for Heat Transfer Optimization in Compact Mechanical Devices. IRE Journals, 4(6), pp.116–124.

[7] Adewuyi, A., Oladuji, T.J., Ajuwon, A. & Nwangele, C.R. (2020) 'A Conceptual Framework for Financial Inclusion in Emerging Economies: Leveraging AI to Expand Access to Credit', IRE Journals, 4(1), pp. 222–236. ISSN: 2456-8880.

[8] Ajuwon, A., Onifade, O., Oladuji, T.J. & Akintobi, A.O. (2020) 'Blockchain-Based Models for Credit and Loan System Automation in Financial Institutions', IRE Journals, 3(10), pp. 364–381. ISSN: 2456-8880.

[9] Akinbola, O. A., Otokiti, B. O., Akinbola, O. S., & Sanni, S. A. (2020). Nexus of Born Global Entrepreneurship Firms and Economic Development in Nigeria. Ekonomicko-manazerske spektrum, 14(1), 52-64.

[10] Akpe, O. E. E., Mgbame, A. C., Ogbuefi, E., Abayomi, A. A., & Adeyelu, O. O. (2020). Bridging the business intelligence gap in small enterprises: A conceptual framework for scalable adoption. IRE Journals, 4(2), 159–161.

[11] Akpe, O.E., Mgbame, A.C., Ogbuefi, E., Abayomi, A.A. & Adeyelu, O.O., 2020.Barriers and Enablers of BI Tool Implementation in Underserved SME Communities. IRE Journals, 3(7), pp.211-220. DOI:

[12] Akpe, O.E., Ogeawuchi, J.C., Abayomi, A.A., Agboola, O.A. & Ogbuefis, E. (2020) 'A Conceptual Framework for Strategic Business Planning in Digitally Transformed Organizations', IRE Journals, 4(4), pp. 207-214.

[13] Ashiedu, B.I., Ogbuefi, E., Nwabekee, U.S., Ogeawuchi, J.C. & Abayomis, A.A. (2020) 'Developing Financial Due Diligence Frameworks for Mergers and Acquisitions in Emerging Telecom Markets', IRE Journals, 4(1), pp. 1-8.

[14] Fagbore, O.O., Ogeawuchi, J.C., Ilori, O., Isibor, N.J., Odetunde, A. & Adekunle, B.I. (2020) 'Developing a Conceptual Framework for Financial Data Validation in Private Equity Fund Operations', IRE Journals, 4(5), pp. 1-136.

[15] ILORI, O., LAWAL, C. I., FRIDAY, S. C., ISIBOR, N. J., & CHUKWUMA-EKE, E. C. (2020). Blockchain-Based Assurance Systems: Opportunities and Limitations in Modern Audit Engagements.

[16] Mgbame, A. C., Akpe, O. E. E., Abayomi, A. A., Ogbuefi, E., & Adeyelu, O. O. (2020). Barriers and enablers of BI tool implementation in underserved SME communities. IRE Journals, 3(7), 211–213.

[17] Nwani, S., Abiola-Adams, O., Otokiti, B.O. & Ogeawuchi, J.C., 2020.Designing Inclusive and Scalable Credit Delivery Systems Using AI-Powered Lending Models for Underserved Markets. IRE Journals, 4(1), pp.212-214. DOI: 10.34293 /irejournals.v 4i1.1708888.

[18] Odofin, O.T., Agboola, O.A., Ogbuefi, E., Ogeawuchi, J.C., Adanigbo, O.S. & Gbenle, T.P. (2020) 'Conceptual Framework for Unified Payment Integration in Multi-Bank Financial Ecosystems', IRE Journals, 3(12), pp. 1-13.

[19] Ogunnowo, E.O., Adewoyin, M.A., Fiemotongha, J.E., Igunma, T.O. & Adeleke, A.K., 2020.Systematic Review of Non-Destructive Testing Methods for Predictive Failure Analysis in Mechanical Systems. IRE Journals, 4(4), pp.207–215.

[20] Olufemi-Phillips, A. Q., Ofodile, O. C., Toromade, A. S., Eyo-Udo, N. L., & Adewale, T. T. (2020). Optimizing FMCG supply chain management with IoT and cloud computing integration. International Journal of Managemeijignt & Entrepreneurship Research, 6(11), 1-15.

[21] Omisola, J. O., Chima, P. E., Okenwa, O. K., & Tokunbo, G. I. (2020). Green Financing and Investment Trends in Sustainable LNG Projects A Comprehensive Review. *Unknown Journal*.

[22] Omisola, J. O., Etukudoh, E. A., Okenwa, O. K., & Tokunbo, G. I. (2020). Innovating project delivery and piping design for sustainability in the oil and gas industry: A conceptual framework. *perception*, *24*, 28-35.

[23] Omisola, J. O., Etukudoh, E. A., Okenwa, O. K., & Tokunbo, G. I. (2020). Geosteering Real-Time Geosteering Optimization Using Deep Learning Algorithms Integration of Deep Reinforcement Learning in Real-time Well Trajectory Adjustment to Maximize. *Unknown Journal*.

[24] Omisola, J. O., Etukudoh, E. A., Okenwa, O. K., Olugbemi, G. I. T., & Ogu, E. (2020). Geomechanical Modeling for Safe and Efficient Horizontal Well Placement Analysis of Stress Distribution and Rock Mechanics to Optimize Well Placement and Minimize Drilling. Unknown Journal.

[25] Omisola, J. O., Shiyanbola, J. O., & Osho, G. O. (2020). A predictive quality assurance model using lean six sigma: Integrating FMEA. *SPC, and root cause analysis for zero-defect production systems*.

[26] Osho, G. O., Bihani, D., Daraojimba, A. I., Omisola, J. O., Ubamadu, B. C., & Etukudoh, E. A. (2020). Building scalable blockchain applications: A framework for leveraging Solidity and AWS Lambda in real-world asset tokenization. *Unknown Journal*.

[27] Osho, G. O., Omisola, J. O., & Shiyanbola, J. O. (2020). A Conceptual Framework for AI-Driven Predictive Optimization in Industrial Engineering: Leveraging Machine Learning for Smart Manufacturing Decisions. *Unknown Journal*.

[28] Osho, G. O., Omisola, J. O., & Shiyanbola, J. O. (2020). An Integrated AI-Power BI Model for Real-Time Supply Chain Visibility and Forecasting: A Data-Intelligence Approach to Operational Excellence. *Unknown Journal*.

[29] Oyedokun, O.O., 2019.Green Human Resource Management Practices (GHRM) and Its Effect on Sustainable Competitive Edge in the Nigerian Manufacturing Industry: A Study of Dangote Nigeria Plc. MBA Dissertation, Dublin Business School.

[30] Sharma, A., Adekunle, B.I., Ogeawuchi, J.C., Abayomi, A.A. & Onifade, O. (2019) 'IoT-enabled Predictive Maintenance for Mechanical Systems: Innovations in Real-time Monitoring and Operational Excellence', IRE Journals, 2(12), pp.1-10.