From Uniformity to Uniqueness: Implementing Learner-Centered Differentiation Strategies in Science Teaching

ALICE TAMBAMA[1], TICHAONA REMIAS[2]
[1]*Harnett Central Middle School*
[2]*Western Governors University*

Abstract- This article examines how differentiated instruction (DI) can be used in science classrooms to ensure learner-centered science classrooms that would support students with various needs. Differentiation entails modifying the subject matter, teaching strategy, and evaluation by considering the students' preparedness level, interests, and learning characteristics. By examining the theoretical frameworks, such as the Zone of Proximal Development and the Differentiated Instruction Model by Tomlinson, the journal discusses various aspects in which differentiation can make science accessible to every child, including students with disabilities and high achievers. It demonstrates the relevance of teacher reflection and formative assessment in leading the instructions so that the teaching methods become adaptive and sensitive to student progress. The case studies in real-life classrooms indicate how the flexible grouping, differentiated tasks, and assessment forms stimulate collaborative work, interest, and better comprehension of scientific knowledge. The implementation challenges of DI are also described in the article, including time constraints, lack of resources, and systemic barriers such as prescribed curriculum and standardized examinations. The teachers, school administrators, and policymakers are given the recommendations to facilitate the successful integration of DI in terms of professional development, resource allocation, and planning time. The conclusion highlights the importance of further investigation of long-term effects of DI on student performance, concerning diverse learners, and promotes the active action of education stakeholders already on the promotion of inclusive classrooms in science classes. This work will help DI guarantee equity of access to high-quality science education among all students to achieve academic performance and self-realization advances.

I. INTRODUCTION

In recent years, we have witnessed a remarkable change in science teaching, shifting from traditional uniform instruction to a more individualized and learner-centered teaching . The conventional approach follows the one-size-fits-all principle, in which students are instructed in the same material using similar methods because of their personal needs and capabilities (Mae & Adlaon, 2025). Nevertheless, given the current increase in classroom diversity in the backgrounds of learners, their skills, and their learning styles, it is quite apparent that this method does not always meet the requirements. This challenge can be addressed by implementing learner-centered differentiation, which provides a more flexible, individualized model of teaching where the instructor can adjust their delivery to suit the students' needs. With differentiation, science teachers can make their students feel more included in the classroom by incorporating their diverse gifts and talents, weaknesses, and interests to deliver a more exciting and efficient learning environment in the long run.

Differentiation is vital in science education because it creates equity, engagement, and academic achievement among learners. Because of science's highly complex and abstract nature, it will be very challenging for different learners (learners with diverse cognitive abilities, language knowledge, and prior knowledge) (Basanta, 2024). Through the customization of the instruction in the classroom and other environments to match the needs of the diverse student population, differentiated instruction will provide a meaningful science experience to all students, including struggling and high-achieving students. Besides assisting students in properly comprehending scientific concepts, this individual form of teaching contributes to students' critical thinking, conceptualizing, and problem-solving capabilities and increases their interest in the subject. Differentiation promotes further attachment of students to science, fosters a better and more inclusive learning environment, and enhances a healthier learning environment.

This article examines the advantages and approaches of using learner-centered differentiation in science classrooms. It makes an outstanding note of what educators might do to reflect the needs of different students by modifying content, processes, and assessment, as well as the relevance of teacher reflection, formative assessment, and flexible grouping (Aliyeva, 2021). A differentiated instructional practice is fundamental to establishing inclusive science classrooms because it provides equitable learning access, meets everyone's needs, and increases engagement, critical thinking, and student success.

II. THEORETICAL FOUNDATION OF LEARNER-CENTERED DIFFERENTIATION

Learner-centered differentiation is the teaching technique that individualizes instruction according to the students' needs, giving every student an equal chance to perform well. Concerning science learning, differentiation is making variations in the content, the instructional format, and the assessment strategy to suit the different levels of preparedness, preferences, interests, and intellectual ability. In contrast to the homogeneous teaching instructions applied when teaching a group of learners and requiring the same instructional form, learner-centered differentiation recognizes that learners have their learning profile and a specialized approach should be given to them to learn most comprehensively (Basanta, 2024). Cognitive ability, background knowledge, learning preference, and motivational differences may also be found in these profiles and could significantly impact student interaction with and understanding science. Teachers can use variety in instruction to be more responsive to such differences, thus varying the accessibility and relevance of science content to be accessible and adaptive to each student, irrespective of any background.

In the science classrooms, differentiated instruction can vary a lot. The teachers can present various points of entry into scientific content through the provision of reading material on different levels of difficulties to those who have more or less ready students and when it happens, the simplification of the texts will be supplied to the former and more advanced readings to the latter (Gentry et al., 2023). Teaching with a conglomeration of approaches like inquiry-based teaching, direct instruction, and experimentation also involves science teaching, and this fits the different learning habits and talents. Also, they can allow students to express what they have learned in various forms: reports, oral reports, group activities, or digital media. This variability ensures that all students get the desired degree of challenge and support, irrespective of their respective needs regarding learning.

Several theories of education and models justify the usage of differentiated instruction in science classrooms. The Zone of Proximal Development (ZPD) proposed by Lev Vygotsky is one of the most influential frameworks as it states that learning most effectively takes place when the students are led through tasks where they are at the edge of what they are currently able to do with the assistance of a teacher or a peer (Xue, 2023). This perfectly fits in a science classroom using the concept of differentiation, where the teacher introduces proper scaffolding so that learners can move away from what they already understand to more difficult concepts. A teacher can determine students' readiness level and offer customized child support in their zone of proximal development without leaving students alone as they get to grips with it and begin to be responsible. This will eliminate dropped students again and will challenge all learners well.

Another prominent model is the Differentiated Instruction Model by Carol Ann Tomlinson, which stresses that teaching results in content, process, and product differentiations according to the needs of the individual students. The framework suggested by Tomlinson is meant to push teachers to engage students actively in learning by providing them with different learning opportunities (Tomlinson, 2017). To give an example in a science classroom, teachers could differentiate reading content into tiers, adopt a combination of instructional styles, and create assessments that accommodate student strengths. Students can express their knowledge through group work, practical sessions, or reports, which they do as per their learning habits.

According to the theory of multiple intelligences promoted by Howard Gardner, students acquire knowledge differently depending on their intelligence type, including linguistic, logical-mathematical, musical, spatial, and interpersonal intelligences, among others. Following this theory, differentiated instruction allows students to attain and exhibit their learning opportunities in various ways (Mehiri, 2020). An example is a teacher in the teaching field of science who may use a mixture of activities that touch on multiple intelligences, like experiments involving kinesthetics, discussions with the verbal learner, and constructing visual diagrams with spatial learners. The teachers can also enhance the learning process by acknowledging the different strengths possessed by the students.

Identifying and addressing individual learner differences as a science teaching cry is essential. Each student has various backgrounds, sets of knowledge, experiences, and interests that affect how the student responds and makes sense of scientific concepts in the classroom. Differentiating instruction will allow science teachers to provide all students with generated, well-challenged educational materials and have the desire to further the learning process. Differentiation aims to support academic and emotional needs as students will be more willing to learn the material that is very much related to their abilities and interests (Alabi, 2024). More than that, when they understand complex scientific concepts, they will feel good about science, be willing to act more effectively, and be interested in learning. Finally, differentiated instruction of learning science in science education can guarantee that every student, no matter what starting point, who has the potential to succeed, is empowered.

III. KEY STRATEGIES FOR IMPLEMENTING DIFFERENTIATED INSTRUCTION IN SCIENCE CLASSROOMS

Different mathematics instruction in science classrooms refers to the adjustment of content, instructional procedures, and evaluations to suit the needs of the students. Teachers can also differentiate the content through scaffolding to offer simplified materials to learners with difficulties and learners requiring more complex readings by providing them instead (Andrean, 2023). This will help ensure that every student, regardless of their readiness level, can participate in the learning process in the field of science. Also, tiered assignments are all.

Teaching strategies to differentiate the process include flexible grouping where students are organized by their ability, interest, or learning style. For example, students could be split by interest when learning about the solar system; students could be allowed to study planets or exoplanets. Project-based learning (PBL) is another way to enable students to practice applying scientific ideas in real-life situations and become more engaged (Rochester, 2021). For example, while learning renewable energy, students may develop ideas for sustainable solutions to their schools. Also, an instructor can provide different guidance levels, enabling weak students to be guided and independent learners to study independently.

Product differentiation goes hand in hand with the students being provided with various options for displaying their learning, through research papers and presentations, or by way of models. Rubrics based on different formats are fair to all students without omitting the individual strengths. Group work, such as the group experiment, promotes collaboration and suits various learning profiles (Abdallah & Kaabi, 2024). To facilitate differentiation, the digital space and online tools teachers use include interactive simulations, virtual laboratories, and formative assessment to track the development. Frequent feedback allows teachers to correct instructions in real time so that every student can get the particular challenge and support. Students can also better understand abstract concepts; graphic organizers are one example of a scaffolding technique that makes them more engaged and productive in their learning.

IV. TEACHER REFLECTION AND FORMATIVE ASSESSMENT AS CORE COMPONENTS

A significant feature of adequately differentiated instructions is teacher reflection. The continuous process of self-reflection will enable an educator to evaluate the success of their instructional approach and find ways to improve to ensure that the differentiation process will legitimately respond to the needs of the diverse learners (Pang, 2020). Reflective practice creates a situation in which teachers not only reflect upon their lessons and the students' reactions, but also on the consequences of the choices they make in their teaching. Through such a continuous practice, teachers will be able to discover regularities in student performance and involvement, the instances when some methods fail to provide the necessary effect, and make changes to benefit lecturers.

For example, a lesson teacher may consider which students found the content most difficult, why, or which exercises interest them most. This reflection helps teachers adjust subsequent classes so that they can pay more attention to the needs of every student. For example, if a teacher realizes that the less ready students need assistance in understanding some concepts in science, they may apply individual scaffolding or review how information is delivered. Similarly, reflection would help teachers evaluate how they implement flexible grouping so that students remain grouped in an affordable arrangement that will enable them to work together and learn together (Aryal, 2024). Reflective practice, therefore, assists teachers in being sensitive to the changing needs of their students, thus making differentiation meaningful and successful during the learning process.

The formative assessment is a key in guiding differentiated instruction as it gives the teacher continuous and real-time information regarding students' comprehension and growth. In contrast to summative tests, which only indicate the level of learning at the end of a unit or course, formative assessments check the progress during the learning experience, allowing teachers to observe students and implement changes when necessary (Dolin et al., 2018). The teachers can use these tests to know the students' learning level and pace, and essential interventions may be made for them when needed.

Teachers may use these formative assessments to determine which students require supplemental or enrichment, and act on that information. As an illustration, if a teacher observes that a group of students has trouble with specific scientific principles on a long-term basis, they can alter the lesson plan and offer more specific instruction, remediation, or differentiated activities. When students complete a complex task successfully, it is possible to provide them with even more challenging tasks that will require them to master the material on their own (Mariia et al., 2023). Formative assessment keeps instruction exciting and sensitive to each student's needs; in this regard, it has continued to provide an inclusive and equitable learning environment.

Formative assessments also have several common examples that science teachers can use in teaching practice to address student learning and give directions referring to this learning when planning. Exit tickets refer to short written responses, which the students fill out after a lesson to indicate their grasp of information (Basco, 2021). These may be only mere prompts, like asking, What is the most significant lesson on a particular day? Or what is one question that you still haven't answered about the topic? Exit tickets give the teachers an insightful analysis of what students have learned, as they can detect what they might not understand, often well before students finish the lesson. For example, when more than one student expresses a lack of understanding of a particular scientific concept, the instructor can explore the area of confusion in the following lesson.

Another effective formative assessment tool involves short quizzes, which are known as low-stakes quizzes. Quizzes assist the teachers in evaluating whether the students understand the essential concepts and where they lack information (Moreira & Lucia, 2024). To illustrate, a teacher could give a short test about the periodic table following a class about atomic composition and test whether students could correctly recall the properties of elements. The teacher can use the results to know who might require extra practice or individual attention and who can proceed to the next, more complex learning level.

Peer assessments can help a student to measure the other students' work, enabling collaboration and a great understanding of the learning process. Peer assessment may be conducted in the science classroom regarding group projects, lab reports, or presentations (Yin et al., 2022). For example, students may evaluate the work of other group members after a group experiment, to show the teacher the level of involvement students show towards their studies and cooperation with peer participants. Peer assessment also makes students learn to assess themselves and their learning and to understand scientific concepts better, taking the opinions of their classmates into account.

V. ENHANCING COLLABORATIVE LEARNING

Flexible grouping is a dynamic teaching method wherein the groups of students are regularly changed depending on abilities, interests, or learning profiles. Flexible grouping is more varied than the adoption of static groups that do not change with time and the needs of students (Rochester, 2021). This practice will encourage teamwork since learners can cooperate with other students, improving their social and academic growth. It is a solution that helps remove the boundaries of socialization, sharing of different ideas, and the chance to learn amongst the various students.

Grouping could be done based on ability by grouping students with the same level of preparedness, so that grouped students can receive specific support, or based on their interests, which involve grouping students by their areas of interest, such as environmental science. Grouping based on learning profiles considers students' preferences to learn through ways that may be natural to them, e.g., practical work or visual presentation (Guo et al., 2022). Flexible grouping has been conducted to increase student engagement, exposure to other learning styles, and provision of differentiated instructions. It also promotes teamwork where students can learn how to work in groups and assist their team members, developing the necessary human relationships. Through this constant mix-up of the groups, the teachers can form a dynamic, integrated classroom setting that makes the positive learning outcomes more attainable and fosters a communal atmosphere.

Flexible grouping would also help instill a growth mindset since pupils in the group will be compelled to stretch beyond what they think they already know and seek out the company of diverse peers. With regular rearranging of the students, they will be less prone to slide into a rigid role or develop immersion into a specific group dynamic, feeling dependent on it. This interchangeability fosters flexibility and makes them view their peers as a desirable source of learning. Besides, students become more responsible in their learning since they understand the various grouping peculiarities regarding collaboration and learning. Through the partnership with peers, the students will be introduced to new thinking patterns, and their assumptions and knowledge of scientific ideas can be extended. Finally, flexible grouping supports students' academic and social development in acquiring the necessary skills that can be put into practice.

VI. CHALLENGES AND BARRIERS TO IMPLEMENTATION

Utilization of learner-centered differentiation in science classrooms has several practical limitations. Another significant obstacle is time because, to provide differentiated instructions, a teacher must plan a lesson, create additional lesson plans, assessment tools, and develop instructional resources (Omid et al., 2023). Time is also a factor, and teachers may be unable to spend much time on differentiation due to reduced instructional periods and the need to get through a wide curriculum. An absence of resources is also a hindering factor in ensuring effective differentiation, especially in science education, because science education requires specialized materials and tools to enable hands-on activities and experiments. If they do not have enough resources, teachers can doubt their ability to ensure that various learning experiences are available.

Many students in a classroom are another hurdle, and the personalization of approaches and individual assistance is complex. In that environment, the formative assessment is more challenging to implement and to interpret. Differentiated instruction is further complicated by curricula rigidity, standardized tests, and policies in favor of standard to high achievement (Xu & Harfitt, 2018). The standardized tests strongly imply sameness in content coverage, which does not allow flexibility of approach among teachers in narrowing down lessons and reaching the diverse learners in the classroom. Teachers must receive ongoing professional development in differentiated instruction to surmount these challenges. Implementing training using practical strategies or reflective practices is essential to assist teachers in changing their strategy according to the needs of students (Geel et al., 2022). There is no guarantee that, without proper professional assistance, teachers will be able to apply differentiation successfully, which results in variations in practices and the persistence of traditional practices.

Teachers and school leaders must cooperate to succeed in defeating these obstacles. There is a significant burden that the individual teachers must meet, and in this regard, strategies, resources, and successful practices should be shared to help reduce the burden put on individuals (Petro, Fortunate, et al., 259). School administrators can provide this by giving organized teacher collaboration meetings, regular planning meetings, or peer observation sessions. Also, a school culture promoting differentiation may help encourage teachers to be interested in practicing and improving these practices. By creating a more encouraging network where teachers can develop issues and resolutions, schools can establish a long-lasting and more successful response to learner-centered differences, so that all students can access personalized instruction.

VII. CASE STUDIES AND REAL-WORLD EXAMPLES

Differentiated instruction has been used to teach the concept of cell division (mitosis and meiosis) in Ms. Thompson's high school biology classroom. To distinguish the contents, she offered stratified reading material to the students; some were provided with detailed chapters, and others with simplified texts and videos with illustrations. Ms. Thompson applied flexible grouping when differentiating processes: visual learners built interactive models, kinesthetic learners made chromosome models out of playdough, whereas verbal learners learned about processes and delivered presentations (Sanchez et al., 2020). Students were asked to develop posters, videos, or PowerPoint presentations to differentiate the product and demonstrate their knowledge. This style brought out the students at different levels and increased their knowledge.

Mr. Rodriguez used differentiated instructions in a chemistry class in middle school while teaching the periodic table and chemical bonding. He offered differentiated reading content: high achievers could read in-depth articles, while others could read simplified content and visual aids. Students in the laboratory were subjected to diverse levels of chemical reactions; hence, the diversity of abilities was warranted. On differentiation in products, the products included writing lab reports, creating molecule models, and producing digital presentations (Wardani et al., 2024). Mr. Rodriguez created a dynamic classroom where students could learn based on their learning styles by letting several students show how they learned and present their learning.

The differentiated approach taken by Mr. Rodriguez also targeted students with different academic levels, facilitated critical thinking, and practical learning. He also empowered students to engage in scientific studies by experimentation, including a bit of direct teaching and inquiry teaching. This practice enabled students to study the material profoundly, resulting in a feeling of research and ownership of understanding. Moreover, Mr. Rodriguez allowed students to choose their methods of showing their experience, allowing them to express their knowledge to fit their strengths and interests, significantly raising student engagement and confidence.

VIII. RECOMMENDATIONS FOR EFFECTIVE IMPLEMENTATION

To successfully implement differentiated instruction into teaching science, teachers are expected to start with an evaluation of need and the learning profile of the diverse students (Petro, Fortunate, et al., 213). This assists in catering individual teaching strategies to suit individual students at their level of comprehension. They can start by differentiating just one aspect of their teaching. Content or assessments, and build on it later, as they grow more confident. Providing readers with tiers of materials will enable them to read the content independently. When a teacher feels more inspired, they can implement such strategies as flexible grouping and allow students more choices in terms of the way they are expected to show what they have learned (Pozas et al., 2019). Quizzes and exit tickets are also formative assessments and serve the purposes of progress monitoring and interventions in instruction based on student needs. Student autonomy should also be encouraged by choosing a project and assignments.

Policymakers and administrators must also provide constant professional learning on teaching differentiated instruction guidelines, so that the teaching faculty will be familiar with the skills. Evidence-based methods, planning, workshops, and strategies are essential for professional development. Schools must provide teachers with appropriate planning time and resources to avoid limitations in implementing differentiation due to resource limitations, technology, and instructional materials (Ambon et al., 2024). Still, some work needs to be done to determine the long-term result of differentiated instruction, especially with students with disabilities and English language learners. Measures involving how digital tools can increase differentiation in the science classroom and what obstacles teachers face in implementing such measures should also be introduced into research, providing a better ground for development.

One must acknowledge the importance of constant feedback and adjustment to have a successful differentiated instruction in place. A reflective practice pattern needs to be established by the management, whereby teachers would not just assess according to the results given by students, but would also be in a position to determine the effectiveness of their instructional methods. Such a feedback loop can include student reflections and peer evaluations, further explaining how successful differentiation is in the classroom. Quarterly consultation enables teachers to effectively intervene in their practices to provide students' needs and challenges. This is an ongoing process and the major contributor to having an all-inclusive and dynamic learning environment.

CONCLUSION

This article demonstrated the significance of learner-centered differentiation in science learning, emphasizing critical strategies, including content, process, and product differentiation. The instructional strategy aims to prepare lessons that are flexible to the varying levels of readiness of the students, learner and student interests, and their learning profiles. We discussed the possibilities of flexible grouping, using formative assessments in collaboration and engagement, and giving real-time feedback to teachers to drive their instruction. The positive outcomes of differentiated instructions are clear because all students differing in their background and abilities should have an opportunity to access the science curriculum in a certain way that suits them the most, thus having more opportunities to succeed and live in a more inclusive classroom.

Differentiated instruction is crucial in the contemporary science world because there is always a possibility to make sure that each student has access to meaningful learning experiences, which consider individual needs. With diversity in the classroom, the current teaching method is no longer good enough. With differentiation, flexibility is given to all learners, including the disabled and the high achievers. The practice of differentiation is equal and inclusive by addressing the needs of students on an individual basis and strengthening their interaction with scientific concepts, promoting lasting service to future challenges in science and society. Teachers, administrators, and policymakers must ensure that they take initiatives to establish differentiated science classrooms. Teachers should be assisted through ongoing professional development, provision of suitable resources, and planning time to make differentiation possible. Policymakers and administrators must focus on inclusion and flexibility in education systems so that no student is deprived of the chance to make it in science. When we all come together, we will create an educational environment enabling all learners to succeed.

REFERENCES

[1] Abdallah, A., & Kaabi, A. (2024). Cutting-Edge Innovations in Teaching, Leadership, Technology, and Assessment. In the *Advances in Educational Technologies and instructional design book series*. IGI Global. https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3693-0880-6

[2] Alabi, M. (2024, October 20). *The Role of Learning Styles in Effective Teaching and Learning*. ResearchGate; unknown. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/385177679_The_Role_of_Learning_Styles_in_Effective_Teaching_and_Learning

[3] Aliyeva, A. (2021). Differentiated Instruction, Perceptions and Practices. *Springer EBooks*, 67–97. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-65543-3_4

[4] Ambon, J., Bity Salwana Alias, Aan Komariah, & Azlin Norhaini Mansor. (2024). The impact of continuous professional development on teaching quality: a systematic review. *International Journal of Evaluation and Research in Education (IJERE)*, *13*(6), 3838–3847. https://doi.org/10.11591/ijere.v13i6.30427

[5] Andrean, C. (2023). DESIGNING AND ADAPTING MATERIALS FOR DIFFERENTIATED INSTRUCTION IN ENGLISH LANGUAGE CLASSROOMS: A LITERATUREREVIEW. *TELL-US JOURNAL*, *9*(3)666690.https://doi.org/10.22202/tus.2023.v9i3.6996

[6] Aryal, M. (2024). Reflective Teaching and Practices in the Classroom. *Journal of Multidisciplinary Research Advancements*, *2*(1), 26–31. https://doi.org/10.3126/jomra.v2i1.66635

[7] Basanta Raj Dhakal. (2024). Differentiated Instruction: Tailoring Learning for Diverse Learners. *ResearchGate*, *40*(54), 167–176. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/384760792_Differentiated_Instruction_Tailoring_Learning_for_Diverse_Learners

[8] Basco, R. (2021). Exit Slips As a Predictor of Academic Performance. *Journal of Education Management and Development Studies*, *1*(2), 52–61. https://doi.org/10.52631/jemds.v1i2.31

[9] Dolin, J., Black, P. J., Harlen, W., & Andrée Tiberghien. (2018). *Exploring Relations Between Formative and Summative Assessment*. ResearchGate;unknown.https://www.researchgate.net/publication/330993554_Exploring_Relations_Between_Formative_and_Summative_Assessment

[10] Geel, M. van, Keuning, T., & Safar, I. (2022). How teachers develop skills for implementing differentiated instruction: Helpful and hindering factors. *Teaching and Teacher Education Leadership and Professional Development*, *1*, 100007–100007. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tatelp.2022.100007

[11] Gentry, R., Sallie, A., & Sanders, C. (2023). *Differentiated Instructional Strategies to Accommodate Students with Varying Needs and LearningStyles*.https://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/ED545458.pdf

[12] Guo, Y., Chen, Y., Xie, Y., & Ban, X. (2022). An Effective Student Grouping and Course Recommendation Strategy Based on Big Data in Education. *Information*, *13*(4),197.https://doi.org/10.3390/info13040197

[13] Mae, S., & Adlaon, M. S. (2025). A Systematic Review of Innovative Teaching Strategies in Science: Exploring Hands-on Learning, Technology Integration, and Student-Centered Approaches. *Acta Pedagogia Asiana*, *4*(2), 101–114. https://doi.org/10.53623/apga.v4i2.645

[14] Mária Babinčáková, Mária Ganajová, & Bernard, P. (2023). Introduction of Formative Assessment Classroom Techniques (FACTs) to School Chemistry Teaching: Teachers' Attitudes, Beliefs, and Experiences. *Journal of*

Chemical Education, *100*(9),3276–3290. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jchemed.3c00591

[15] MEHIRI, R. (2020). Gardner's Multiple Intelligences Theory: Implications for Teachers and Students. *ALTRALANG Journal*, *2*(01), 259–275. https://doi.org/10.52919/altralang.v2i01.64

[16] Moreira, H., & Lucia, M. (2024). Promoting Formative Assessment with Quizizz: A Classroom Action Research Study. *Ciencia Latina Revista Científica Multidisciplinar*, *8*(2), 590604.https://doi.org/10.37811/cl_rcm.v8i2.10511

[17] Omid Tajik, Noor, S., & Jawad Golzar. (2023). Investigating Differentiated Instruction and the Contributing Factors to Cater to EFL Students' Needs at the Collegial Level. *Research Square (ResearchSquare)*.https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-3513269/v1

[18] Pang, N. (2020). Teachers' Reflective Practices in Implementing Assessment for Learning Skills in Classroom Teaching. *ECNU Review of Education*, *5*(3),470490.https://doi.org/10.1177/2096531120936290

[19] Petro, Fortunate, et al. "Transforming Teaching Paradigms: Preparing Pre-Service Teachers for Learner-Centred Approaches in Teacher Colleges in Zimbabwe." Pedagogics, Curriculum Transformation, and the Future of Teachers' Colleges in Zimbabwe (2025): 259.

[20] Petro, Fortunate, et al. "From Classrooms to Communities: How Colleges Empower Zimbabwean Teacher Educators as Catalysts for transformative Change in Communities." Pedagogics, Curriculum Transformation, and the Future of Teachers' Colleges in Zimbabwe (2025): 213.

[21] Pozas, M., Letzel, V., & Schneider, C. (2019). Teachers and differentiated instruction: exploring differentiation practices to address student diversity. *Journal of Research in Special Educational Needs*, *20*(3), 217–230. https://doi.org/10.1111/1471-3802.12481

[22] Rochester, N. (2021). *Differentiated Instruction and Flexible Grouping in Elementary Differentiated Instruction and Flexible Grouping in Elementary Grades Grades*. https://scholarworks.waldenu.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=12022&context=dissertations

[23] Sanchez, M. S., Garcia, G. S., & Tondo, J. E. (2020). Differentiated Teaching Strategies of Selected Topics in Biology. *International Multidisciplinary Research Journal*, *2*(3), 155–163. https://doi.org/10.54476/iimrj346

[24] Tomlinson, C. A. (2017). Differentiated Instruction. *Routledge EBooks*, 279–292. https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315639987-26

[25] Wardani, S. F., Yamtinah, S., Mulyani, B., Susilowati, E., Ulfa, M., Masykuri, M., & Shidiq, A. S. (2024). Differentiated Learning: Analysis of Students' Chemical Literacy on Chemical Bonding Material through Culturally Responsive Teaching Approach Integrated with Ethnochemistry. *Jurnal Penelitian Pendidikan IPA*, *10*(4),1747–1759. https://doi.org/10.29303/jppipa.v10i4.6167

[26] Xu, Y., & Harfitt, G. (2018). Is assessment for learning feasible in large classes? Challenges and coping strategies from three case studies. *Asia-Pacific Journal of Teacher Education*, *47*(5), 472–486. https://doi.org/10.1080/1359866x.2018.1555790

[27] Xue, Z. (2023). Exploring Vygotsky's Zone of Proximal Development in Pedagogy: A Critique of a Learning Event in the Business/Economics Classroom. *International Journal of Education and Humanities*, *9*(3), 166–168. https://doi.org/10.54097/ijeh.v9i3.10506

[28] Yin, S., Chen, F., & Chang, H. (2022). Assessment as Learning: How Does Peer Assessment Function in Students' Learning? *Frontiers in Psychology*, *13*. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.912568