

# Examining Inclusive Design in Public Spaces to Enhance Accessibility and Social Equity in Cities

OGUNNAIKE, ADEKUNLE O<sup>1</sup>, AYOBAMI M. FOLARIN.<sup>2</sup>, FAVOUR I. ILESANMI<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1, 2, 3</sup> Department of Architecture, College of postgraduate Studies, Caleb University, Imota, Lagos state

*Abstract-This paper investigates the principles and applications of inclusive design in public spaces, emphasizing its pivotal role in enhancing accessibility and promoting social equity within urban environments. As urbanization accelerates, many public spaces fail to accommodate the diverse needs of all users, particularly marginalized groups, including individuals with disabilities, the elderly, and low-income populations. Inclusive design addresses these challenges by creating environments that eliminate physical, social, and psychological barriers, fostering accessibility, usability, and a sense of belonging for all. The study draws on global case studies and academic literature to identify the barriers that hinder accessibility, analyze successful strategies for fostering inclusivity, and evaluate the impact of inclusive design on marginalized communities. Key barriers to accessibility, such as insufficient physical infrastructure, socio-economic disparities, and cultural insensitivity, are explored alongside innovative strategies for addressing them. These include participatory design processes, cultural and social sensitivity, and leveraging technology to create adaptive, user-centered spaces. The paper also examines how inclusive design contributes to broader urban development goals, including fostering social cohesion, enhancing economic participation, and improving community well-being. The findings provide actionable recommendations for urban planners, architects, and policymakers to implement inclusive design principles effectively. These include creating equitable distribution of public resources, integrating accessibility into urban planning frameworks, and prioritizing participatory approaches to ensure community engagement. Special attention is given to the interplay of socio-economic factors, cultural diversity, and urban policy frameworks in shaping inclusive urban spaces. By adapting global best practices to local contexts, this research aims to guide the*

*development of public spaces that are not only accessible but also equitable, culturally resonant, and sustainable. Ultimately, inclusive design is positioned as a critical pathway for achieving socially cohesive and resilient urban environments.*

*Indexed Terms- Accessibility, Inclusive Design, Social Equity, Sustainable Development, Urban Public Spaces.*

## I. INTRODUCTION

Inclusive design is a crucial approach in urban planning, focusing on creating public spaces that cater to the diverse needs of all individuals, regardless of age, ability, or socio-economic status (Ntakana, Mbanga, Botha & Ariyan., 2023). As cities rapidly urbanize, the demand for equitable and accessible public spaces has grown, underscoring the need for urban environments that promote inclusivity and community engagement. Inclusive design seeks to eliminate physical, social, and psychological barriers, ensuring that public spaces are functional, welcoming, and empowering for all users (Itair, Shahrour & Hijazi., 2023). By integrating accessibility and equity into urban planning, inclusive design not only enhances the usability of public spaces but also fosters social cohesion, economic participation, and improved quality of life. Globally, successful inclusive design projects have been linked to positive health outcomes, stronger community identities, and increased urban resilience (Pineo., 2022). The implementation of inclusive design goes beyond aesthetics and functionality, serving as a tool for addressing deeper socio-economic challenges in urban areas. Public spaces designed with inclusivity in mind contribute to reducing inequality by enabling marginalized groups to access shared resources, participate in community activities, and connect with broader social networks. Furthermore, inclusive design supports sustainable urban

development by accommodating diverse user needs, minimizing spatial exclusion, and fostering a sense of belonging (Carrera., 2022). In cities with diverse populations, inclusive public spaces can serve as platforms for cultural exchange, bridging divides and promoting mutual understanding. As cities face challenges such as aging populations, climate change, and increasing migration, inclusive design provides a pathway for creating adaptive and resilient urban spaces that meet evolving needs.

This review examines the principles and applications of inclusive design in public spaces, focusing on its role in enhancing accessibility and promoting social equity in urban areas. By analyzing global case studies and academic literature, this paper provides insights into the barriers that hinder accessibility, the strategies that have proven successful in fostering inclusivity, and the impact of inclusive design on marginalized communities. Drawing on secondary data from peer-reviewed journals, policy reports, and real-world projects, the study explores key factors such as socio-economic disparities, cultural diversity, and urban policy frameworks that influence the implementation of inclusive design. The findings aim to guide urban planners, architects, and policymakers in creating public spaces that are not only accessible but also equitable, contributing to more inclusive and socially cohesive cities. Special attention is given to how global best practices can be adapted to local contexts, offering actionable recommendations for urban environments worldwide.

#### Aim and Objectives

This paper aims to explore the role of inclusive design in enhancing accessibility and promoting social equity in public spaces. The objectives of the study are as follows:

- i. To examine the concept and principles of inclusive design as applied to urban public spaces.
- ii. To identify barriers to accessibility and equity in existing public spaces.
- iii. To analyze strategies and case studies of successful inclusive design implementations.
- iv. To provide actionable recommendations for integrating inclusive design principles into urban development practices.

#### Problem Statement

Many public spaces remain inaccessible and inequitable, particularly for marginalized and vulnerable populations, despite the growing emphasis on inclusivity in urban planning (Haque & Sharifi., 2024). Physical barriers, such as insufficient wheelchair access, and social barriers, like a lack of cultural relevance, continue to limit the usability of these spaces. Socio-economic disparities further exacerbate these challenges, disproportionately affecting individuals with disabilities, the elderly, and other underserved groups. While inclusive design principles have been successfully applied in certain global contexts, their practical implementation remains inconsistent, especially in rapidly urbanizing cities. This disconnect between theoretical ideals and real-world execution highlights the need for a comprehensive exploration of inclusive design strategies to improve accessibility and social equity in public spaces. Addressing this gap can help create urban environments that are more inclusive, enhance quality of life, and provide equitable access for all.

## II. LITERATURE REVIEW

#### Conceptualizing Inclusive Design and Accessibility

Inclusive design refers to the process of creating environments that accommodate the diverse needs of all individuals, regardless of physical abilities, age, or socio-economic background (Harris, Franz & O'Hara., 2023). This approach emphasizes usability and accessibility, ensuring that public spaces provide equitable opportunities for all users to engage and participate. Unlike universal design, which focuses broadly on designing for all, inclusive design prioritizes flexibility and adaptability to cater to specific user needs, addressing the unique challenges faced by marginalized groups (Patrick & Hollenbeck., 2021). This principle is particularly relevant in urban public spaces, where physical, social, and economic barriers often prevent equitable access and participation. Accessibility is a core tenet of inclusive design, defined as the ability of public spaces to be used effectively by people with varying needs and abilities. This concept extends beyond physical accessibility, such as ramps and elevators, to include cognitive, sensory, and social dimensions. In urban planning, accessibility involves creating spaces that are not only physically navigable but also culturally and socially inclusive, enabling diverse populations to feel welcomed and

engaged (Worsley & Bar-El., 2022). The integration of accessibility into public space design enhances social cohesion, economic participation, and community well-being, aligning with broader goals of sustainable urban development.

#### Theoretical Framework

The foundation of inclusive design in public spaces draws heavily on Universal Design Principles, which advocate for spaces that are inherently accessible and usable by all people, regardless of their abilities or circumstances (Erdtman, Rassmus-Gröhn & Hedvall., 2021). This framework emphasizes flexibility, simplicity, and equity in design, ensuring that public spaces can meet diverse user needs without requiring adaptation or specialized modifications. Social Equity Theory further supports inclusive design by highlighting the need for fairness in resource distribution and access, particularly for marginalized groups (Dastgoshade, Shafiee, Klibi & Shishebori., 2022). Together, these theories form a basis for understanding how design practices can promote both accessibility and social justice. Behavioral Urbanism provides an additional layer to the theoretical framework, focusing on how people interact with their built environments (Gil., 2020). This perspective examines how the design of public spaces influences behaviors, such as movement patterns, social interactions, and community engagement. By integrating principles of behavioral urbanism, inclusive design can create environments that encourage positive social behaviors and foster a sense of belonging. These theoretical perspectives collectively inform the strategies and best practices for achieving accessibility and equity in urban public spaces.

#### Historical Context and Evolution of Public Space Design

The design of public spaces has evolved significantly over time, influenced by changing societal values, technological advancements, and urban planning paradigms (Carmona., 2021). In early urban settlements, public spaces served as central gathering areas for trade, governance, and social interaction. These spaces were often limited in accessibility, reflecting the socio-economic hierarchies and cultural norms of the time. The Industrial Revolution brought about rapid urbanization, leading to the development of parks, plazas, and streets as essential components of urban infrastructure. However, many of these spaces

prioritized economic and aesthetic considerations over inclusivity and accessibility. In the late 20th century, the rise of human-centered design and sustainability in urban planning marked a shift toward more inclusive public spaces (Tosi, Becchimanzi & Pistolesi., 2021). Movements such as New Urbanism and Sustainable Urban Development emphasized walkability, connectivity, and accessibility as critical elements of urban design. These approaches aimed to create equitable public spaces that accommodate diverse populations and foster community engagement. Today, the evolution of public space design continues to be shaped by technological innovation, demographic changes, and a growing emphasis on social equity and environmental sustainability.

#### Barriers to Accessibility in Urban Public Spaces

Despite advancements in urban planning, many public spaces remain inaccessible to significant segments of the population (Abdulla & Abdelmonem., 2023). Physical barriers, such as the absence of ramps, elevators, or tactile paving, continue to hinder mobility for individuals with disabilities. Additionally, poorly maintained infrastructure, including broken pathways and inadequate signage, exacerbates accessibility challenges, particularly in rapidly urbanizing cities. Beyond physical obstacles, social and cultural barriers, such as exclusionary design practices and a lack of cultural sensitivity, further limit the usability of public spaces for marginalized groups. Economic disparities also play a significant role in perpetuating barriers to accessibility (Ciuffetelli & Conversano., 2021). In many cities, public spaces are designed and maintained with limited budgets, leading to compromises in quality and inclusivity. Moreover, privatization of urban spaces often prioritizes profit-driven developments over public access, excluding low-income individuals and communities. Addressing these barriers requires a holistic approach that considers the interplay of physical, social, and economic factors in public space design and management.

#### Inclusive Design Strategies: Best Practices from Global Case Studies

Global case studies provide valuable insights into successful strategies for implementing inclusive design in public spaces. For example, the redevelopment of Times Square in New York City transformed the area into a pedestrian-friendly

plaza, prioritizing accessibility and safety for diverse users (Hazarika., 2021). The project incorporated features such as wide walkways, ample seating, and clear signage, creating an environment that accommodates people of all abilities. Similarly, the High Line in New York demonstrates how abandoned infrastructure can be repurposed into accessible and inclusive public spaces, offering opportunities for recreation, cultural activities, and social interaction (Iwuanyanwu, Gil-Ozoudeh, Okwandu & Ike., 2024). In Europe, projects like the urban renewal of Barcelona's Superblocks have reimaged city layouts to prioritize pedestrians and cyclists over vehicles (Soussi & Kronkvist., 2024). These initiatives enhance accessibility by creating car-free zones and improving connectivity within neighborhoods. In developing countries, community-led projects, such as Nairobi's public space network, highlight the importance of participatory design in fostering inclusivity (Mwiti., 2020). These case studies underscore the potential of inclusive design to address local challenges while promoting global best practices.

#### Intersection of Accessibility and Social Equity

Accessibility and social equity are intrinsically linked, as inclusive public spaces play a critical role in reducing inequalities and fostering social cohesion (Winston., 2022). Accessible public spaces enable marginalized groups, such as individuals with disabilities, the elderly, and low-income communities, to participate fully in social, economic, and cultural activities. This participation promotes greater equity by providing equal opportunities for engagement and inclusion, regardless of socio-economic status or physical ability. Social equity extends beyond physical accessibility to include the cultural and psychological dimensions of public space design. Public spaces that reflect the cultural identities and values of diverse communities foster a sense of belonging and ownership among users (Klein, Dove & Felson., 2021). However, achieving this intersection of accessibility and equity requires deliberate efforts to address systemic inequalities in urban planning processes. By integrating accessibility into broader social equity goals, cities can create public spaces that not only meet diverse user needs but also contribute to more just and inclusive urban environments.

### III. METHODOLOGY

#### Approach to Data Collection and Review

This study employs a systematic review approach to collect and analyze secondary data on inclusive design in public spaces. Data were gathered from peer-reviewed journals, academic books, policy reports, and case studies focusing on accessibility and social equity in urban environments. A comprehensive search was conducted using keywords such as “inclusive design,” “accessibility in public spaces,” and “social equity in urban areas” across academic databases such as Google Scholar and Research gate. The review process involved identifying, categorizing, and synthesizing relevant studies to provide a holistic understanding of the topic.

#### Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria for Selected Studies

To ensure relevance and quality, studies were selected based on specific inclusion and exclusion criteria. The inclusion criteria focused on research published within the past five years, studies that directly addressed inclusive design and its applications in public spaces, and literature discussing barriers to accessibility and strategies for equity. Case studies from both developed and developing countries were included to provide a global perspective. Excluded studies were those lacking peer review, unrelated to urban public spaces, or focused solely on private infrastructure. This rigorous selection process ensured that the review incorporated credible and relevant sources that address the objectives of the study.

#### Analytical Framework for Thematic Analysis

Thematic analysis was used to identify and analyze patterns within the collected literature. Key themes, such as barriers to accessibility, inclusive design strategies, and the intersection of accessibility and social equity, were identified through an iterative coding process. The analysis was guided by frameworks like Universal Design Principles and Social Equity Theory to ensure alignment with the study's focus on accessibility and equity. Data were categorized into thematic clusters, enabling a structured comparison of findings across different contexts and case studies. This framework allowed for a nuanced understanding of how inclusive design can enhance accessibility and social equity in urban

public spaces, providing actionable insights for policymakers and urban planners.

#### Summary of Papers

This section, in Table 1, presents a summary of the 10 reviewed papers.

#### IV. FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION

Table 1: Summary of Reviewed Papers

S/N	Title, Year of Publication and Author(s)	Aim and Objectives	Findings and Conclusion
1	The Production of Disability Inclusive Public Spaces and Cities - Using Digital Tools and Participatory Practices (2017) by Rebernik	The research aims to develop a comprehensive model for disability-inclusive urban planning and design, utilizing digital tools to facilitate collaboration between disabled public space users and urban planning agents, and to explore user needs, digital tool effectiveness, and existing collaborative practices.	The study highlights a vision for a smart city that meets the needs of disabled citizens, proposes a 4-dimensional model for inclusive urban planning, introduces the Disability Inclusion Evaluation Tool (DIETool), and outlines a combined methodological approach integrating ethnography and post-occupancy evaluation to create cohesive, inclusive public spaces.
2	Centering Social Justice and Equity in Research on Accessibility to Public Buildings for Individuals with Mobility Disabilities: A scoping review (2024) by Mohapatra, Maiya, Ullas, Leno, Jo, Kinjawadekar and Nandineni	The research aims to explore how principles of social justice and equity are integrated into studies on the accessibility of public buildings for individuals with mobility disabilities, using a scoping review to assess relevant literature and develop themes through analysis.	The review found that systemic challenges, discriminatory practices, and societal attitudes contribute to the marginalization of individuals with mobility disabilities, highlighting the need for practical measures, research priorities, and policy changes. A 'Ten-step approach' is proposed to incorporate social justice and equity into accessibility research, emphasizing the importance of collaboration with diverse stakeholders to promote inclusion and social equity.
3	Universal and Inclusive Design in Public Open Spaces for Wellbeing-Oriented Cities: Design Strategies for the Case of Alexandria Public Beach (2024) by Abdelrazek, Barakat and Ibrahim	The paper aims to explore and understand inclusive design (ID) in public open spaces (POS) and to address various design aspects that contribute to resilient, well-being-oriented city planning in alignment with Goal 11 of the sustainable development goals (SDGs).	The study underscores the importance of integrating inclusive design principles in POS to address the needs of diverse users, supported by an analysis of a disability-friendly public beach in Alexandria. It concludes with strategies and recommendations for future urban design interventions to better align with user needs and promote resilience and inclusivity in city planning.

4	Visibility, democratic public space and socially inclusive cities (2020) by Sezer	The research aims to explore the concept of visibility as a tool to assess the democratic features of public spaces, focusing on how the presence and visibility of distinctive urban groups, such as immigrants, reflect their rights to participate in public life and contribute to socially inclusive cities.	The study finds that visibility can be effectively measured through the spatial and social characteristics of immigrant amenities and shows that decreasing visibility, such as that of Turkish amenities in Amsterdam, negatively impacts socio-cultural inclusion and democratic public space. It concludes that incorporating visibility into urban design and planning is crucial for promoting diversity, equity, and democratic streets, benefiting a range of urban groups and public events.
5	Spatial justice in public open space planning: Accessibility and inclusivity (2020) by Izzy, Jiemei and Edwin	The research aims to explore the concept of visibility as a tool to assess the democratic features of public spaces, focusing on how the presence and visibility of distinctive urban groups, such as immigrants, reflect their rights to participate in public life and contribute to socially inclusive cities.	The study finds that visibility can be effectively measured through the spatial and social characteristics of immigrant amenities and shows that decreasing visibility, such as that of Turkish amenities in Amsterdam, negatively impacts socio-cultural inclusion and democratic public space. It concludes that incorporating visibility into urban design and planning is crucial for promoting diversity, equity, and democratic streets, benefiting a range of urban groups and public events.
6	Inclusion, diversity, equity and accessibility in the built environment: A study of architectural design practice (2021) by Matteo and Clarkson	The research aims to explore the challenges and limitations professionals in the building industry face in adopting Inclusive Design and to identify strategies to enhance its use by incorporating physical, sensory, and cognitive needs into design practices.	The study finds that education and awareness are crucial to fostering an inclusive mindset among architectural design professionals. It concludes that strategies like mapping the user journey during the design phase and collecting post-occupancy feedback can promote a design process that upholds inclusion, diversity, equity, and accessibility in the built environment.
7	Towards healthy urbanism: inclusive, equitable and sustainable (THRIVES) – an urban design and planning framework from theory to praxis (2020) by Pineo	The research aims to promote a new framework, Towards Healthy uRbanism: InclusiVe Equitable Sustainable (THRIVES), to enhance understanding and integration of healthy, sustainable, equitable, and inclusive urban design and governance.	The study finds that by synthesizing research and practice and testing the framework in a participatory workshop, THRIVES can guide urban development to address environmental degradation and social injustices. If widely adopted, this framework may support sustainable development goals and improve human health and wellbeing in urban areas.

8	Building safer public spaces: Exploring gender difference in the perception of safety in public space through urban design interventions (2021) by Pablo, Arielle and Paz	The study aims to investigate whether specific design interventions—public toilets, solid walls, and graffiti removal—enhance or reduce women's perceptions of safety in public space.	The results show that public toilets do not affect perceived safety, graffiti removal has a weak significant effect, and removing solid walls significantly improves safety perceptions, particularly for women. This underscores the need for evidence-based urban design and planning policies that incorporate a gendered perspective to enhance safety effectively.
9	Accessibility and Street Network Characteristics of Urban Public Facility Spaces: Equity Research on Parks in Fuzhou City Based on GIS and Space Syntax Model (2020) by Huang, Chiou and Li	The study aims to explore the equity of urban green spaces in Fuzhou City by assessing the accessibility of parks using GIS, analyzing the spatial morphology of streets through space syntax, and examining their correlation.	The study highlights the uneven distribution of park accessibility in Fuzhou City and the significant correlation between park accessibility and street spatial morphology. These findings offer valuable insights for sustainable urban design and planning.
10	Cultural and social dimensions of green architecture: Designing for sustainability and community well-being (2024) by Iwuanyanwu, Gil-Ozoudeh, Okwandu and Ike	The study aims to explore how green architecture can integrate environmental sustainability with local cultural identities and social needs to enhance community well-being, by examining sustainability principles, cultural considerations, and social inclusivity.	The review finds that green architecture, by prioritizing health, wellness, and social cohesion, significantly contributes to community well-being. It concludes by advocating for a holistic approach that combines technological advancements and policy support to create culturally resonant, sustainable, and socially inclusive environments.

Source: Author's (2024)

#### Synthesis of Findings by Objectives

*To examine the concept and principles of inclusive design as applied to urban public spaces.*

Inclusive design principles focus on creating built environments that cater to a diverse range of users, considering physical, sensory, and cognitive needs (Mohapatra, et al., 2024). The importance of accessibility is highlighted as a fundamental component in urban public spaces, emphasizing that holistic mapping of user experiences during the design phase can foster inclusivity (Mohapatra, et al., 2024). Additionally, the concept of spatial justice emerges as essential for equitable public open spaces (Rebernik, 2017). Integrating sustainability with cultural and social dimensions further enhances community well-being, creating spaces that respect local traditions and resonate with community identities (Rebernik, 2017).

*To identify barriers to accessibility and equity in existing public spaces.*

Barriers to accessibility and equity in urban spaces include uneven distribution of public open spaces (POS), particularly impacting underrepresented and marginalized groups (Rebernik, 2017). The built environment often fails to consider women, the elderly, disabled, and economically disadvantaged populations, perpetuating social exclusion and health disparities (Zallio and Clarkson, 2021). Safety concerns are also a significant constraint for women accessing public spaces, with design interventions such as solid walls, graffiti, and public toilets playing varying roles in perceived safety (Jian, Luo and Chan, 2020). The limited adoption of inclusive design practices by the architectural community further compounds these barriers, as current practices do not fully address user diversity (Mohapatra, et al., 2024).

*To analyze strategies and case studies of successful inclusive design implementations.*

Case studies demonstrate that effective urban design incorporates participatory methods, involving community members in the development process to build social capital and ensure spaces meet their needs (Rebernik, 2017). Findings indicate that removing physical barriers like solid walls can significantly enhance the perception of safety, particularly for women (Jian, Luo and Chan, 2020). Successful integration of accessibility measures is seen in urban green space projects that use GIS accessibility indices and space syntax analysis to assess the correlation between park accessibility and street morphology, guiding sustainable urban design (Navarrete-Hernandez, Vetro and Concha, 2021). The adoption of frameworks such as the THRIVES model, which promotes inclusive, equitable, and sustainable urbanism, offers a pathway to reorient built environment practices toward health and social justice (Zallio and Clarkson, 2021).

*To provide actionable recommendations for integrating inclusive design principles into urban development practices.*

A key recommendation is the enhancement of education and awareness among design professionals, which is crucial for fostering an inclusive mindset (Mohapatra, et al., 2024). Participatory design approaches, where communities are engaged in the planning stages, are vital for creating culturally resonant spaces (Rebernik, 2017). Urban policies should prioritize evidence-based interventions that incorporate gender perspectives, such as the removal of solid barriers and improvement of lighting for safety (Jian, Luo and Chan, 2020). The development of inclusive evaluation frameworks, focusing on constructs like access, sociability, and social inclusion, can help assess the effectiveness of public spaces in serving all demographics equitably (Rebernik, 2017). Finally, leveraging technological advancements and integrating policy support are necessary steps to ensure that sustainable, inclusive, and community-oriented development becomes the norm (Rebernik, 2017).

#### Implication of the Findings

The synthesized findings from the various studies have significant implications for urban development, planning, and policy-making.

Addressing the objectives outlined, these implications provide a comprehensive understanding of how to advance inclusive and equitable design in public and urban spaces.

#### *Enhancing Inclusive Design Practices*

The findings reveal that inclusive design must move beyond basic accessibility to encompass the broader physical, sensory, and cognitive needs of diverse populations (Mohapatra, et al., 2024). This shift requires a holistic design approach that integrates participatory practices involving community input (Huang, Chiou and Li, 2020). Urban planners and architects are encouraged to incorporate user feedback during the design and post-occupancy phases (Sezer, 2020), which supports continuous improvement and fosters a sense of belonging within communities.

#### *Addressing Barriers to Accessibility and Equity*

The studies underscore persistent barriers to equitable access in public spaces, including uneven distribution of urban green spaces (Pineo, 2020) and the gender-specific safety concerns that limit women's use of public areas (Zallio and Clarkson, 2021). These barriers are compounded by socio-economic disparities and the lack of spatial justice, which impede access for marginalized groups (Rebernik, 2017, Jian, Luo and Chan, 2020). The findings suggest that policy interventions must prioritize inclusive and equitable distribution of resources, such as urban parks and public amenities, to mitigate these disparities.

#### *Effective Strategies for Inclusive Urban Spaces*

The evaluation of successful case studies highlights the importance of integrating cultural and social considerations with sustainability principles (Rebernik, 2017). For example, leveraging indigenous materials and traditional practices can enrich design outcomes and enhance community acceptance (Rebernik, 2017). Additionally, employing techniques like image-based trials to test the safety impact of design changes can inform evidence-based policy (Zallio and Clarkson, 2021). These strategies demonstrate that adaptable, context-specific solutions are more effective in addressing the varied needs of urban residents.

#### *Actionable Recommendations for Urban Development*



The implications point to several actionable recommendations for embedding inclusive design principles into urban planning. These include prioritizing education and awareness programs for stakeholders to cultivate an inclusive mindset (Sezer, 2020), adopting participatory design processes (Huang, Chiou and Li. 2020), and ensuring that design policies are informed by robust data and community input (Navarrete-Hernandez, Vetro and Concha, 2021). Moreover, the “THRIVES” framework (Jian, Luo and Chan, 2020) suggests that sustainable development can be aligned with health and well-being objectives by focusing on inclusive and equitable governance structures.

## CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

### Conclusion

The synthesis of findings from the reviewed studies underscores the critical importance of advancing inclusive design in urban development to foster equitable and accessible public spaces. While there are notable successes in implementing participatory and culturally sensitive practices, significant challenges remain. Barriers such as gender-specific safety concerns, unequal distribution of urban green spaces, and socio-economic disparities highlight the need for more comprehensive strategies. The research emphasizes that inclusive design should integrate accessibility with the broader physical, sensory, and cognitive needs of diverse populations, supported by ongoing community engagement and evidence-based policymaking. Aligning sustainable development goals with health, social justice, and cultural relevance is essential for achieving long-term positive outcomes in urban environments.

### Recommendations

- i. **Adopt Comprehensive Education and Training:** Introduce education and training programs for urban planners, architects, and policymakers to instill an inclusive design mindset, emphasizing accessibility, equity, and user-centric approaches.
- ii. **Implement Participatory Design Processes:** Encourage active involvement of community members at all stages of design and development to ensure that the outcomes meet local needs and foster ownership.
- iii. **Enhance Policy and Regulatory Frameworks:** Develop policies that prioritize inclusivity and equity, using frameworks such as THRIVES to align urban development with health and well-being goals.
- iv. **Utilize Evidence-Based Design Evaluations:** Incorporate image-based trials, post-occupancy feedback, and data-driven analysis to inform the design of public spaces and validate the effectiveness of interventions.
- v. **Promote Equitable Resource Distribution:** Ensure fair allocation of urban green spaces and public amenities, particularly in underserved areas, to improve overall community well-being.
- vi. **Integrate Cultural and Social Sensitivity:** Use indigenous materials and practices to resonate with local traditions and promote cultural acceptance, enhancing the sustainability and relevance of projects.
- vii. **Address Safety Concerns with a Gendered Perspective:** Design urban spaces with safety measures that take into account the specific needs of women and other vulnerable groups to encourage broader public use.
- viii. **Foster Interdisciplinary Collaboration:** Engage experts from various fields, including urban health, sociology, and environmental science, to create a well-rounded approach to inclusive urban design.

In implementing these recommendations, urban development practices can evolve to create more inclusive, accessible, and equitable environments that enhance community well-being and promote sustainable growth.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Abdelrazek, Shahira & Barakat, Hadeer & Ibrahim, Sara. (2024). Universal and Inclusive Design in Public Open Spaces for Wellbeing-Oriented Cities: Design Strategies for the Case of Alexandria Public Beach. *International Journal of Sustainable Development and Planning*. 19. 2037-2049. 10.18280/ijstdp.190604.

- [2] Abdulla, K., & Abdelmonem, G. (2023). Mapping safety, security and walkability of historical public open spaces in post-conflict Libya: tripoli as north african case study. *Journal of Contemporary Urban Affairs*, 7(2), 85-105.
- [3] Carmona, M. (2021). *Public places urban spaces: The dimensions of urban design*. Routledge.
- [4] Carrera, L. (2022). Designing inclusive urban places. *Italian Sociological Review*, 12(1), 141-141.
- [5] Ciuffetelli Parker, D., & Conversano, P. (2021, July). Narratives of systemic barriers and accessibility: Poverty, equity, diversity, inclusion, and the call for a post-pandemic new normal. In *Frontiers in Education* (Vol. 6, p. 704663). Frontiers Media SA.
- [6] Dastgoshade, S., Shafiee, M., Klibi, W., & Shishebori, D. (2022). Social equity-based distribution networks design for the COVID-19 vaccine. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 250, 108684.
- [7] Erdtman, E., Rassmus-Gröhn, K., & Hedvall, P. O. (2021). Universal design as guiding, striving and unifying: a qualitative study about how universal design is understood, practised and realised in contemporary Sweden. *Scandinavian Journal of Disability Research*, 23(1), 158-168.
- [8] Gil, J. (2020). City information modelling: A conceptual framework for research and practice in digital urban planning. *Built Environment*, 46(4), 501-527.
- [9] Haque, M. N., & Sharifi, A. (2024). Who are marginalized in accessing urban ecosystem services? A systematic literature review. *Land Use Policy*, 144, 107266.
- [10] Harris, E., Franz, A., & O'Hara, S. (2023). Promoting social equity and building resilience through value-inclusive design. *Buildings*, 13(8), 2081.
- [11] Hazarika, S. (2021). *'On Reclaiming the Streets for the People': Understanding Equity in Public Space Planning Strategies Through an Analysis of the Open Streets Program in New York City* (Doctoral dissertation, Columbia University).
- [12] Huang, Bo-Xun, Shang-Chia Chiou, and Wen-Ying Li. (2020). "Accessibility and Street Network Characteristics of Urban Public Facility Spaces: Equity Research on Parks in Fuzhou City Based on GIS and Space Syntax Model" *Sustainability* 12, no. 9: 3618. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su12093618>
- [13] Itair, M., Shahrour, I., & Hijazi, I. (2023). The use of the smart technology for creating an inclusive urban public space. *Smart Cities*, 6(5), 2484-2498.
- [14] Iwuanyanwu, O., Gil-Ozoudeh, I., Okwandu, A. C., & Ike, C. S. (2024). Cultural and social dimensions of green architecture: Designing for sustainability and community well-being. *International Journal of Applied Research in Social Sciences*, 6(8), 1951-1968.
- [15] Iwuanyanwu, O., Gil-Ozoudeh, I., Okwandu, A. C., & Ike, C. S. (2024). Cultural and social dimensions of green architecture: Designing for sustainability and community well-being. *International Journal of Applied Research in Social Sciences*, 6(8), 1951-1968.
- [16] Izzy Yi Jian, Jiemei Luo, Edwin H.W. Chan (2020). Spatial justice in public open space planning: Accessibility and inclusivity, Habitat International, Volume 97, 102122, ISSN 0197-3975, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.habitatint.2020.102122>.
- [17] Klein, W., Dove, M. R., & Felson, A. J. (2021). Engaging the unengaged: Understanding residents' perceptions of social access to urban public space. *Urban Forestry & Urban Greening*, 59, 126991.
- [18] Matteo Zallio, P. John Clarkson, (2021). Inclusion, diversity, equity and accessibility in the built environment: A study of architectural design practice, Building and Environment, Volume 206, 108352, ISSN 0360-1323, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.buildenv.2021.108352>.
- [19] Mohapatra, Sidhiprada & Maiya, G. & U Nayak, Ullas & Benny, Leno & Watson, Jo & Kinjawadekar, Amit & Nandineni, Rama. (2024). Centering Social Justice and Equity in Research on Accessibility to Public Buildings for Individuals with Mobility Disabilities: A scoping review. F1000Research. 13. 930. 10.12688/f1000research.153797.2.
- [20] Mwiti, B. K. (2020). *Bottom-up design approach: A community-led intervention in fighting lifestyle diseases within urban*

- informal settlements in Nairobi, Kenya* (Doctoral dissertation, University of Nairobi).
- [21] Ntakana, K., Mbanga, S., Botha, B., & Ariyan, L. (2023). Inclusive urban space production model for sustainable development in South Africa. *Heliyon*, 9(6).
- [22] Pablo Navarrete-Hernandez, Arielle Vetro, Paz Concha, (2021). Building safer public spaces: Exploring gender difference in the perception of safety in public space through urban design interventions, *Landscape and Urban Planning*, Volume 214, 104180, ISSN 0169-2046, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.landurbplan.2021.104180>.
- [23] Patrick, V. M., & Hollenbeck, C. R. (2021). Designing for all: Consumer response to inclusive design. *Journal of consumer psychology*, 31(2), 360-381.
- [24] Pineo, H. (2020). Towards healthy urbanism: inclusive, equitable and sustainable (THRIVES) – an urban design and planning framework from theory to praxis. *Cities & Health*, 6(5), 974–992. <https://doi.org/10.1080/23748834.2020.1769527>
- [25] Pineo, H. (2022). *Healthy urbanism: designing and planning equitable, sustainable and inclusive places*. Springer Nature.
- [26] Rebernik, N. (2017). The Production of Disability Inclusive Public Spaces and Cities - Using Digital Tools and Participatory Practices.
- [27] Sezer, Ceren. (2020). Visibility, democratic public space and socially inclusive cities. *Architecture and the Built Environment*. 10.59490/ABE.2020.16.4604.
- [28] Soussi, A., & Kronkvist, N. (2024). Unlocking Success: Exploring Key Factors in Sustainable Urban Mobility Initiatives in Europe.
- [29] Tosi, F., Becchimanzi, C., & Pistolesi, M. (2021). The role of Design for Health and of the Human-Centered Design approach for an ethical and conscious development of innovative Quality of Life Technologies. In *WORLD HERITAGE and DESIGN FOR HEALTH* (Vol. 8, pp. 193-202). Gangemi editore spa.
- [30] Winston, N. (2022). Sustainable community development: Integrating social and environmental sustainability for sustainable housing and communities. *Sustainable Development*, 30(1), 191-202.
- [31] Worsley, M., & Bar-El, D. (2022). Inclusive Making: designing tools and experiences to promote accessibility and redefine making. *Computer Science Education*, 32(2), 155-187.