

# A Systems Thinking Model for Energy Policy Design in Sub-Saharan Africa

MOHAMMED LAWAL GIWAH<sup>1</sup>, ZAMATHULA SIKHAKHANE NWOKEDIEGWU<sup>2</sup>, EMMANUEL AUGUSTINE ETUKUDOH<sup>3</sup>, EBIMOR YINKA GBABO<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Kwara State Government - Ilorin, Nigeria

<sup>2</sup>Independent Researcher, Durban, South Africa

<sup>3</sup>Independent Researcher, Nigeria

<sup>4</sup>Rolls Royce SMR, UK

*Abstract-Sub-Saharan Africa faces persistent energy challenges marked by uneven access, infrastructural deficits, and fragmented governance, which hinder sustainable development and equitable growth. Traditional linear policy approaches have proven inadequate in addressing the complex and interconnected nature of energy systems in the region. This paper proposes a systems thinking model tailored to the unique socio-political and environmental context of Sub-Saharan Africa's energy sector. Drawing on theoretical insights from systems thinking, complexity science, and policy design theory, the study identifies critical structural constraints, including institutional fragmentation, infrastructural inequities, and political economy dynamics that impede effective reform. The proposed model emphasizes holistic policy mapping and stakeholder integration, adaptive management through feedback loops and data flows, and the identification of strategic leverage points for systemic transformation. By fostering inclusivity, continuous learning, and targeted interventions, the framework advances a coherent and adaptive approach to energy policy design. The paper concludes with strategic implications highlighting how systems thinking can support resilient, inclusive, and sustainable energy futures, and offers practical recommendations for policymakers, reform practitioners, and international partners. This approach aligns with regional and global development agendas and provides a pathway for overcoming entrenched challenges in Sub-Saharan Africa's energy governance landscape.*

**Indexed Terms-** Systems Thinking, Energy Policy, Sub-Saharan Africa, Adaptive Management, Policy Design, Sustainable Development

## I. INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 Energy Policy Challenges in Sub-Saharan Africa

Sub-Saharan Africa faces a profound and persistent energy challenge, shaped by both historical inequalities and current structural deficiencies. Colonially inherited infrastructures were designed to serve urban elites and extractive industries, leaving rural populations largely disconnected from national energy grids. Post-independence development agendas often failed to reorient this legacy, resulting in uneven energy access that persists today [1, 2]. As of recent estimates, over 560 million people in the region still lack access to electricity, and a significant portion of the population relies on traditional biomass for cooking, which has harmful health and environmental implications. This foundational disparity undermines socioeconomic development, limits industrial competitiveness, and exacerbates poverty cycles [3].

The region's energy policy environment is further constrained by fragmented regulatory frameworks and institutional inefficiencies. Many countries operate under outdated energy laws that do not reflect the realities of decentralized energy production, renewable integration, or climate resilience [4]. Overlapping mandates among ministries, lack of coordination between national and local authorities, and bureaucratic inertia hinder policy coherence and innovation. Regulatory bodies often lack the autonomy, technical capacity, or resources to enforce standards or drive reform, leading to weak investment climates and stagnation in both grid and off-grid sectors [5, 6].

Infrastructural limitations exacerbate these policy failures. National grids are often dilapidated, loss-prone, and concentrated in capital regions, while rural electrification projects face logistical, technical, and financing challenges [7, 8]. Moreover, energy planning is frequently decoupled from broader development strategies, failing to align with urbanization trends, demographic pressures, or environmental goals. These interlocking issues highlight the inadequacy of linear or siloed policy approaches and underscore the need for a

more integrated and adaptive model, one that can account for complexity, feedback, and long-term sustainability [9].

### 1.2 Why Systems Thinking Matters

Systems thinking offers a paradigm shift in how energy policy can be conceptualized and implemented in Sub-Saharan Africa. At its core, systems thinking views problems not in isolation but as part of interconnected wholes. It emphasizes relationships, feedback loops, unintended consequences, and emergent patterns [10, 11]. This approach is especially relevant to energy systems, which intersect with water, agriculture, climate, health, and education in dynamic and often nonlinear ways. Traditional policy frameworks, which often rely on compartmentalized analysis and sector-specific interventions, struggle to manage this complexity, resulting in policies that are reactive, fragmented, or quickly obsolete [12].

One of the defining principles of systems thinking is the recognition of interdependence. For example, an increase in electrification may strain water resources if energy is generated through hydroelectric dams or cooling-dependent thermal plants [13, 14]. Similarly, subsidies for fossil fuels might boost short-term energy access while undermining climate goals and discouraging private investment in renewables. Systems thinking enables policymakers to anticipate such trade-offs and design strategies that balance multiple objectives across time and sectors. It also encourages adaptive learning, where policy is treated as an iterative process, constantly informed by real-world outcomes and stakeholder feedback [15].

Furthermore, systems thinking fosters a mindset of inclusivity and collaboration. Energy transitions require the alignment of diverse actors, including governments, communities, private investors, international donors, and civil society [16]. Systems thinking supports the creation of governance structures that reflect this diversity, encouraging co-design, mutual accountability, and shared learning. In the context of Sub-Saharan Africa's energy crisis, this perspective is not a theoretical luxury; it is a practical necessity. Without a systems-oriented approach, policies will continue to fall short of addressing root causes, reinforcing the very fragmentation they aim to solve [17, 18].

### 1.3 Research Aim

This research aims to develop a systems thinking model tailored to the unique energy policy challenges of Sub-Saharan Africa. It seeks to provide a conceptual and

practical framework that allows policymakers to understand the interconnections within the energy ecosystem better, identify leverage points for effective intervention, and design policies that are both adaptive and inclusive. Unlike conventional policy models that treat variables in isolation, the proposed approach emphasizes feedback dynamics, cross-sectoral interactions, and continuous learning. It is grounded in the recognition that energy policy is not merely a technical issue but a complex social, political, and ecological challenge requiring a holistic response.

The relevance of this work is underscored by the region's urgent need to accelerate access to affordable, reliable, and sustainable energy. Achieving this goal is central to broader development agendas, including poverty alleviation, industrial growth, climate resilience, and human capital development. The systems thinking model contributes to these objectives by equipping decision-makers with tools to navigate complexity and uncertainty, enabling more coherent and coordinated policy design. It also aligns with international frameworks such as the UN Sustainable Development Goals and the African Union's Agenda 2063, both of which emphasize integrated, people-centered development.

Moreover, the proposed model responds to a growing consensus that policy innovation must evolve beyond static planning tools. In fast-changing environments, marked by demographic shifts, technological disruption, and climate volatility, resilience and adaptability are key. By articulating a systems thinking model that is context-aware and grounded in the realities of Sub-Saharan Africa, this research offers both a theoretical contribution and a practical roadmap for transforming energy governance in ways that are inclusive, forward-looking, and sustainable.

## II. THEORETICAL FOUNDATIONS AND CONCEPTUAL TOOLS

### 2.1 Systems Thinking in Public Policy

Systems thinking emerged in the mid-20th century as a response to the limitations of reductionist approaches in understanding complex phenomena. Rooted in fields such as cybernetics, ecology, and organizational theory, it evolved as a framework to study wholes rather than isolated parts. In public policy, systems thinking challenges linear cause-and-effect models by emphasizing the interdependence of policy elements and actors within dynamic environments. This paradigm has gained prominence as governments face

increasingly complex, “wicked” problems that transcend traditional sectoral boundaries [19, 20].

Central to systems thinking are several key components. Feedback loops describe circular cause-and-effect processes, where outputs of a system are fed back as inputs, either reinforcing (positive feedback) or balancing (negative feedback) changes within the system. Boundaries define what is included or excluded in the system analysis, shaping the scope of policy considerations and stakeholder involvement [21]. Leverage points refer to strategic areas within a system where targeted interventions can produce significant change. Understanding these components enables policymakers to anticipate unintended consequences, identify systemic bottlenecks, and design interventions with greater efficacy [22].

In governance, systems thinking has been applied to improve coordination, policy coherence, and adaptive capacity. It encourages multi-level governance that bridges local, national, and regional actors and fosters participatory approaches that integrate diverse perspectives. For Sub-Saharan Africa’s energy challenges, where fragmented institutions and complex socio-technical factors prevail, systems thinking offers a powerful tool to reconcile competing interests and optimize resource allocation for sustainable outcomes [23, 24].

## 2.2 Complexity and Energy Systems

Energy systems epitomize complexity, characterized by intricate interactions among technical, environmental, economic, and social components. The generation, transmission, and consumption of energy are deeply embedded within ecological constraints and socio-economic structures, making energy policy outcomes inherently uncertain and dynamic. For example, shifts in energy supply sources influence carbon emissions, which in turn affect climate patterns that impact agriculture and livelihoods. This interconnectedness underscores the importance of considering energy policy within a broader systemic context [25].

Moreover, energy systems are influenced by equity considerations, including access disparities, affordability, and social inclusion. In Sub-Saharan Africa, rural populations often face limited energy access, while urban centers may enjoy higher reliability. These spatial and social inequalities affect economic opportunities and quality of life, demanding policies that balance technical efficiency with social justice. The complex adaptive nature of energy systems means that

policy interventions can have cascading effects, some predictable and others emergent, requiring continuous monitoring and adjustment [26, 27].

Recognizing these dynamics, policymakers must move beyond static planning models to embrace approaches that accommodate complexity. This includes acknowledging nonlinear relationships, delayed effects, and feedback among system elements. It also entails integrating cross-sectoral data and stakeholder inputs to capture diverse system behaviors. By appreciating the complexity of energy systems, Sub-Saharan African policymakers can design more resilient, equitable, and environmentally sustainable energy strategies [28].

## 2.3 Policy Design Theory

Policy design theory provides frameworks for systematically formulating, implementing, and evaluating public policies. It emphasizes the importance of clear problem definition, selection of appropriate instruments, and iterative processes to adapt to changing contexts. The theory acknowledges that policy design is both a technical and political exercise, shaped by institutional capacities, stakeholder interests, and socio-economic realities [29, 30].

Traditional policy design often follows a linear cycle: agenda setting, formulation, implementation, and evaluation. However, contemporary approaches recognize the need for flexibility and learning, particularly in complex sectors like energy. Adaptive policy design incorporates mechanisms for feedback, experimentation, and revision, enabling policies to evolve in response to new information and outcomes. This aligns closely with systems thinking by treating policies as dynamic components within broader governance systems [31].

In the energy sector, effective policy design must integrate diverse instruments such as regulations, market incentives, public investments, and social programs. The design process should consider contextual factors, including technological feasibility, financial constraints, and socio-political acceptability. Importantly, it should foster collaboration among government agencies, private sector actors, and civil society to ensure legitimacy and shared ownership. By embedding adaptability and learning, policy design theory equips Sub-Saharan African governments to navigate uncertainty and drive sustainable energy transitions [32].

### III. STRUCTURAL CONSTRAINTS AND POLICY FRAGMENTATION

#### 3.1 Institutional Weaknesses and Regulatory Gaps

Institutional weaknesses and regulatory fragmentation remain significant barriers to effective energy policy in Sub-Saharan Africa. Many countries suffer from overlapping mandates among ministries, agencies, and regulatory bodies tasked with different aspects of energy governance. This multiplicity of actors often leads to confusion, duplication of efforts, and gaps in accountability. For example, responsibilities for rural electrification, renewable energy promotion, and tariff regulation may be split between entities with limited coordination, resulting in inefficient resource allocation and policy incoherence [33].

Fragmented oversight exacerbates these issues by weakening enforcement and allowing contradictory policies to coexist. In some cases, regulatory frameworks have not kept pace with evolving energy landscapes, such as the rise of decentralized renewable energy technologies and private sector participation. The absence of clear jurisdictional boundaries often leaves critical functions under-monitored or neglected altogether. This undermines investor confidence, complicates project approvals, and diminishes the capacity to respond swiftly to emerging challenges [34, 35].

Furthermore, policy incoherence, where objectives conflict or fail to align with broader development goals, limits the transformative potential of energy reforms. For instance, subsidies for fossil fuels may persist despite national commitments to climate action, reflecting competing priorities within fragmented governance structures. Addressing these institutional and regulatory gaps requires deliberate efforts to streamline mandates, strengthen coordination mechanisms, and develop integrated policy frameworks that support coherent and sustainable energy transitions [36].

#### 3.2 Infrastructure and Access Inequities

Infrastructure deficits and unequal energy access represent core challenges for Sub-Saharan Africa's energy sector. Urban areas tend to benefit from more reliable grid connections and modern energy services, while rural communities are often left with limited or no access. This urban-rural divide perpetuates socioeconomic disparities, restricting rural development and exacerbating poverty. Traditional grid expansion is frequently slow and cost-intensive, particularly in sparsely populated or geographically

challenging regions, prompting the need for alternative solutions such as off-grid and mini-grid systems [36]. However, off-grid energy deployment faces its own set of challenges. Financial barriers remain significant, as high upfront costs and limited access to credit deter private investment and consumer adoption. Additionally, insufficient regulatory support and a lack of technical capacity hinder the scaling of decentralized energy technologies. Maintenance and supply chain issues further threaten the sustainability of off-grid systems, leading to high failure rates and user dissatisfaction [1, 37].

These infrastructural and access inequities undermine national energy goals and the broader agenda of inclusive development. Overcoming them requires integrated strategies that combine infrastructure investments with policy reforms, financing innovations, and capacity-building initiatives. By addressing these structural gaps, governments can improve energy access equitably and lay the foundation for sustainable economic growth [38].

#### 3.3 Political Economy of Energy Reform

The political economy surrounding energy reform in Sub-Saharan Africa presents complex challenges that often impede progress. Vested interests, including powerful state-owned enterprises, incumbent utilities, and influential political actors, may resist reforms that threaten their control or revenue streams. This rent-seeking behavior manifests through lobbying against liberalization, delays in deregulation, or preferential treatment of certain companies, undermining competition and innovation [39, 40].

Reform resistance is also linked to fears of social unrest, particularly where energy subsidies or pricing policies affect vulnerable populations. Policymakers may be reluctant to remove subsidies or introduce cost-reflective tariffs due to potential political backlash. Moreover, the distribution of energy benefits is often uneven, reinforcing patronage networks and entrenching elite dominance over resources. This politicization of energy governance reduces transparency and weakens institutional effectiveness [41].

Understanding these political economy dynamics is crucial for designing feasible and sustainable reforms. It requires strategies that balance technical efficiency with social acceptability, such as phased reforms, stakeholder engagement, and targeted social protection measures. Furthermore, building coalitions among reform champions, civil society, and international

partners can help counteract resistance and foster broader ownership of energy transition agendas [42].

#### IV. KEY COMPONENTS OF A SYSTEMS THINKING MODEL

##### 4.1 Holistic Policy Mapping and Stakeholder Integration

A core element of applying systems thinking to energy policy design in Sub-Saharan Africa is holistic policy mapping. This involves comprehensively identifying and analyzing the multiple sectors, institutions, and actors that influence the energy landscape. Energy systems intersect with water management, environmental protection, economic development, and social equity, requiring policy frameworks that reflect these interdependencies. By mapping policies and stakeholders across these domains, decision-makers can avoid siloed approaches that lead to fragmented or contradictory outcomes.

Stakeholder integration is crucial to this process. Effective energy governance demands alignment among diverse actors, including government agencies, private sector firms, international donors, local communities, and civil society organizations. Systems thinking encourages inclusive engagement mechanisms that bring these stakeholders together in dialogue and collaborative decision-making. Such integration fosters mutual understanding, builds trust, and aligns priorities, thereby enhancing the legitimacy and feasibility of policy interventions.

Network governance emerges as a natural consequence of this integration. Instead of hierarchical, command-and-control structures, governance becomes more distributed and interconnected, enabling flexible responses to emerging challenges. By facilitating multi-sectoral coordination and shared accountability, network governance supports resilience and adaptability within complex energy systems. Holistic mapping and stakeholder integration thus lay the groundwork for coherent and collaborative energy policy design.

##### 4.2 Feedback Loops, Data Flows, and Adaptive Management

Incorporating feedback loops is essential for managing the complexity inherent in energy systems. Feedback loops provide continuous information about the effects of policy actions, enabling timely adjustments to improve effectiveness and reduce unintended consequences. Positive feedback can reinforce beneficial changes, while negative feedback can

counteract deviations from desired outcomes. Understanding and harnessing these loops allows policymakers to anticipate systemic behaviors and steer interventions more strategically.

Data flows underpin the operation of feedback mechanisms. Reliable, real-time data collection on energy production, consumption, distribution, and socio-economic impacts is critical for informed decision-making. Advances in digital technologies, such as smart grids and remote sensing, offer unprecedented opportunities to gather and analyze this information. Integrating these data streams into policy processes supports transparency, accountability, and evidence-based governance.

Adaptive management builds on feedback and data to create dynamic policy cycles. Rather than rigid plans, adaptive management embraces learning and flexibility, continuously refining policies in response to new insights and changing conditions. This approach is particularly important in the fast-evolving energy sector, where technological innovation, climate variability, and socio-political shifts require responsive governance. By institutionalizing monitoring, evaluation, and iterative policy adjustment, adaptive management enhances the resilience and sustainability of energy systems.

##### 4.3 Identifying Leverage Points for Transformative Change

Systems thinking emphasizes the strategic identification of leverage points, specific areas within a complex system where targeted interventions can yield disproportionate impacts. In the context of Sub-Saharan Africa's energy policy, recognizing these points allows reformers to focus limited resources and political capital on actions that catalyze systemic transformation rather than isolated improvements [43].

Leverage points may include institutional reforms that streamline regulatory oversight and enhance coordination, thereby resolving fragmentation. They could also involve technological innovations that unlock renewable energy potentials or digital tools that improve grid management and data transparency. Additionally, changing narratives and social norms around energy use and governance can serve as powerful leverage points to shift public expectations and political will [44].

Effective leverage point identification requires a deep understanding of system structure, dynamics, and stakeholder interests. It involves mapping feedback

loops and power relations to detect where small shifts can trigger cascading changes. By focusing on these strategic entry points, policymakers can design interventions that are both efficient and transformative, accelerating progress towards sustainable and inclusive energy futures [45].

## CONCLUSION

This paper has critically examined the multifaceted challenges facing energy policy design in Sub-Saharan Africa, highlighting structural constraints such as institutional fragmentation, infrastructural inequities, and complex political economy dynamics. It underscored the limitations of traditional, linear policy approaches in addressing the interconnected and dynamic nature of energy systems in the region. Drawing upon the principles of systems thinking and policy design theory, the study offered a comprehensive theoretical foundation that appreciates feedback loops, interdependencies, and adaptive governance as central to effective policy formulation and implementation.

Building on this foundation, the paper proposed a systems thinking model comprising three key components: holistic policy mapping with stakeholder integration, mechanisms for feedback and adaptive management, and the strategic identification of leverage points for transformative change. This framework serves as both an analytical tool and a practical guide to navigating the complexity of energy governance. By emphasizing inclusivity, continuous learning, and targeted interventions, the model advances a more coherent, responsive, and sustainable approach to energy policy design. Collectively, these contributions provide a robust conceptual roadmap for overcoming entrenched barriers and fostering innovation in Sub-Saharan Africa's energy sector.

The adoption of systems thinking in energy policy design holds significant promise for advancing sustainable and inclusive outcomes across Sub-Saharan Africa. By moving beyond fragmented, sector-specific interventions, this approach encourages policymakers to recognize and address the interlinked social, environmental, and economic dimensions of energy access and use. Such holistic consideration enhances the likelihood that policies will be resilient to shocks, adaptable to technological change, and equitable in their distributional effects.

Furthermore, systems thinking supports the integration of diverse stakeholder perspectives, fostering collaboration among the government, private sector,

civil society, and local communities. This inclusivity is essential for building legitimacy, trust, and ownership, critical factors in implementing complex reforms in politically and socially diverse contexts. The framework's emphasis on feedback and adaptive management enables ongoing policy refinement in response to real-world dynamics, strengthening governance capacity and facilitating continuous improvement.

Ultimately, embedding systems thinking in energy policy can catalyze transformative shifts towards low-carbon, accessible, and efficient energy systems that underpin broader development goals. It aligns closely with regional and global agendas, such as the Sustainable Development Goals and the African Union's energy initiatives, positioning Sub-Saharan Africa to navigate the complexities of energy transitions successfully.

To operationalize the systems thinking model, policymakers should prioritize institutional reforms that clarify mandates, enhance coordination, and foster multi-sectoral collaboration. Establishing inter-agency platforms and participatory governance structures will be key to integrating diverse perspectives and aligning policy objectives. Additionally, governments must invest in data infrastructure and digital tools that enable real-time monitoring, evaluation, and adaptive management of energy initiatives.

Reform practitioners should emphasize capacity-building programs that equip stakeholders with systems thinking competencies, enabling them to understand and manage complexity effectively. Encouraging collaborative learning networks across countries and sectors can accelerate the diffusion of best practices and innovations. It is also crucial to identify and target leverage points strategically, directing resources to interventions with the greatest potential systemic impact.

International partners and donors can support these efforts by aligning technical assistance and funding with the principles of systems thinking, promoting long-term institutional strengthening rather than isolated projects. Civil society organizations should continue advocating for inclusive and transparent energy governance, empowering marginalized groups, and ensuring accountability. Through coordinated and sustained action, Sub-Saharan African nations can embed systems thinking into their energy policy processes, fostering more resilient and equitable energy futures.

## REFERENCES

- [1] J. Gregory and B. K. Sovacool, "Rethinking the governance of energy poverty in sub-Saharan Africa: reviewing three academic perspectives on electricity infrastructure investment," *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, vol. 111, pp. 344-354, 2019.
- [2] A. Eberhard, V. Foster, C. Briceño-Garmendia, F. Ouedraogo, D. Camos, and M. Shkaratan, "Underpowered: the state of the power sector in Sub-Saharan Africa," 2008.
- [3] I. Baptista, "Space and energy transitions in sub-Saharan Africa: understated historical connections," *Energy Research & Social Science*, vol. 36, pp. 30-35, 2018.
- [4] S. N. Acharya, "Perspectives and problems of development in Sub-Saharan Africa," *World Development*, vol. 9, no. 2, pp. 109-147, 1981.
- [5] N. Van de Walle, "The institutional origins of inequality in Sub-Saharan Africa," *Annual Review of Political Science*, vol. 12, no. 1, pp. 307-327, 2009.
- [6] T. V. Mphigalale, "Infrastructure investment in Sub-Saharan Africa: Opportunities, risks and prospects for economic development," 2020.
- [7] T. Addison, "Underdevelopment, transition and reconstruction in sub-Saharan Africa," 1998.
- [8] O. B. Arewa, "Constructing Africa: Chinese investment, infrastructure deficits, and development," *Cornell Int'l LJ*, vol. 49, p. 101, 2016.
- [9] S. Oliete Josa and F. Magrinyà, "Patchwork in an interconnected world: the challenges of transport networks in Sub-Saharan Africa," *Transport Reviews*, vol. 38, no. 6, pp. 710-736, 2018.
- [10] I. Baptista and J. Plananska, "The landscape of energy initiatives in sub-Saharan Africa: Going for systemic change or reinforcing the status quo?," *Energy policy*, vol. 110, pp. 1-8, 2017.
- [11] E. S. Sanneh, "Systems thinking for sustainable development," *Cham: Springer International Publishing*, 2018.
- [12] G. Austin, "10 Sub-Saharan Africa," *A History of the Global Economy: 1500 to the Present*, p. 316, 2016.
- [13] A. Paxton and L. J. Frost, "Using systems thinking to train future leaders in global health," *Global Public Health*, vol. 13, no. 9, pp. 1287-1295, 2018.
- [14] P. I. Okoye, "A novel systems approach to energy poverty in sub-Saharan Africa: A South African informal settlement case study," University of Pretoria, 2020.
- [15] J. Glenn, K. Kamara, Z. A. Umar, T. Chahine, N. Daulaire, and T. Bossert, "Applied systems thinking: a viable approach to identify leverage points for accelerating progress towards ending neglected tropical diseases," *Health research policy and systems*, vol. 18, pp. 1-15, 2020.
- [16] G. Silvestri *et al.*, "Transition management for improving the sustainability of WASH services in informal settlements in Sub-Saharan Africa—An exploration," *Sustainability*, vol. 10, no. 11, p. 4052, 2018.
- [17] S. Deets, V. Rodgers, S. Erzurumlu, and D. Nersessian, "Systems thinking as a tool for teaching undergraduate business students humanistic management," *Humanistic Management Journal*, vol. 5, pp. 177-197, 2020.
- [18] G. A. Hurst *et al.*, "International perspectives on green and sustainable chemistry education via systems thinking," *Journal of Chemical Education*, vol. 96, no. 12, pp. 2794-2804, 2019.
- [19] J. Seppänen, "Systems ideology in human and social sciences," *Systems: New paradigms for the human sciences*, pp. 180-302, 1998.
- [20] E. Laszlo and A. Laszlo, "The contribution of the systems sciences to the humanities," *Systems Research and Behavioral Science: The Official Journal of the International Federation for Systems Research*, vol. 14, no. 1, pp. 5-19, 1997.
- [21] M. K. Kmon, "Systems Thinking in the Forest Service: a Framework to Guide Practical Application for Social-Ecological Management in the Enterprise Program," Portland State University, 2016.
- [22] M.-O. Olsson and G. Sjöstedt, "Systems and systems theory," *Systems approaches and their application: examples from Sweden*, pp. 3-29, 2005.
- [23] C. Pahl-Wostl, "A conceptual framework for analysing adaptive capacity and multi-level learning processes in resource governance regimes," *Global environmental change*, vol. 19, no. 3, pp. 354-365, 2009.
- [24] E. Ostrom and M. A. Janssen, "Multi-level governance and resilience of social-ecological systems," *Globalisation, poverty and conflict*, vol. 239, 2004.
- [25] M. Brockhaus, H. Djoudi, and H. Kambire, "Multi-level governance and adaptive capacity in

- West Africa," *International Journal of the Commons*, vol. 6, no. 2, pp. 200-232, 2012.
- [26] S. Basu, C. S. Bale, T. Wehnert, and K. Topp, "A complexity approach to defining urban energy systems," *Cities*, vol. 95, p. 102358, 2019.
- [27] C. S. Bale, L. Varga, and T. J. Foxon, "Energy and complexity: New ways forward," *Applied Energy*, vol. 138, pp. 150-159, 2015.
- [28] J. Hodbod and W. N. Adger, "Integrating social-ecological dynamics and resilience into energy systems research," *Energy Research & Social Science*, vol. 1, pp. 226-231, 2014.
- [29] S. Junginger, "Design and innovation in the public sector: Matters of design in policy-making and policy implementation," *Annual Review of Policy Design*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 1-11, 2013.
- [30] P. J. May, "Policy design and implementation," *The Sage handbook of public administration*, pp. 279-291, 2012.
- [31] K. B. Smith and C. W. Larimer, *The public policy theory primer*. Routledge, 2018.
- [32] S. H. Linder and B. G. Peters, "From social theory to policy design," *Journal of Public Policy*, vol. 4, no. 3, pp. 237-259, 1984.
- [33] F. Fischer and G. J. Miller, *Handbook of public policy analysis: theory, politics, and methods*. Routledge, 2017.
- [34] A. G. Dagnachew, A. F. Hof, M. R. Roelfsema, and D. P. van Vuuren, "Actors and governance in the transition toward universal electricity access in Sub-Saharan Africa," *Energy Policy*, vol. 143, p. 111572, 2020.
- [35] P. Newell and H. Bulkeley, "Landscape for change? International climate policy and energy transitions: evidence from sub-Saharan Africa," *Climate Policy*, vol. 17, no. 5, pp. 650-663, 2017.
- [36] N. Sackeyfio, Sackeyfio, and Pusca, *Energy Politics and Rural Development in Sub-Saharan Africa*. Springer, 2018.
- [37] N. Avila, J. P. Carvalho, B. Shaw, and D. M. Kammen, "The energy challenge in sub-Saharan Africa: A guide for advocates and policy makers," *Generating Energy for Sustainable and Equitable Development, Part*, vol. 1, pp. 1-79, 2017.
- [38] I. H. Rehman *et al.*, "Understanding the political economy and key drivers of energy access in addressing national energy access priorities and policies," *Energy Policy*, vol. 47, pp. 27-37, 2012.
- [39] Y. Mulugetta and L. Agbemabiese, "Sustainable energy systems in Africa," *Transformational Infrastructure for Development of a Wellbeing Economy in Africa*, vol. 14, p. 73, 2019.
- [40] Y. Omorogbe and A. Oloro, *Ending Africa's Energy Deficit and the Law: Achieving Sustainable Energy for All in Africa*. Oxford university press, 2018.
- [41] A. Estache, Q. Wodon, and K. Lomas, *Infrastructure and poverty in sub-Saharan Africa*. Springer, 2014.
- [42] B. W. Tarekegne, "Designing for Just Energy Access: Electrification Planning in Sub-Saharan Africa," Michigan Technological University, 2020.
- [43] K. M. Chan *et al.*, "Levers and leverage points for pathways to sustainability," *People and Nature*, vol. 2, no. 3, pp. 693-717, 2020.
- [44] F. M. Y. Roxas, J. P. R. Rivera, and E. L. M. Gutierrez, "Locating potential leverage points in a systems thinking causal loop diagram toward policy intervention," *World Futures*, vol. 75, no. 8, pp. 609-631, 2019.
- [45] R. J. Murphy and P. Jones, "Leverage analysis: A method for locating points of influence in systemic design decisions," *FormAkademisk-forskningstidsskrift for design og designdidaktik*, vol. 13, no. 2, pp. 1-25, 2020.