© JUL 2020 | IRE Journals | Volume 4 Issue 1 | ISSN: 2456-8880

Design Framework for Continuous Monitoring Systems in Industrial Methane Surveillance

SEMIU TEMIDAYO FASASI[1], OLUWAPELUMI JOSEPH ADEBOWALE[2], ABDULMALIQ ABDULSALAM[3], ZAMATHULA QUEEN SIKHAKHANE NWOKEDIEGWU[4]
[1]*Independent Researcher, Nigeria*
[2]*Independent Researcher, USA*
[3]*Independent Researcher, Durban, South Africa*
[4]*Department of Chemical and Petroleum Engineering, University of Lagos, Nigeria*

Abstract- ***Methane emissions from industrial activities represent a significant challenge for environmental sustainability and climate change mitigation. This paper presents a comprehensive design framework for continuous methane monitoring systems tailored to industrial surveillance needs. The framework emphasizes a modular architecture that enhances flexibility and scalability, integrating advanced sensor technologies with robust hardware-software interfacing. Key design considerations include reliable data acquisition, real-time processing, and secure communication protocols to ensure timely and accurate leak detection. The framework also addresses critical aspects of data management, including storage strategies, quality assurance, and validation to maintain data integrity. Analytical approaches for anomaly detection and trend analysis are incorporated to facilitate rapid identification of leaks and support long-term emission control efforts. By uniting technological and operational perspectives, the proposed framework offers a practical blueprint for developing effective, adaptable monitoring solutions. Its implementation promises to improve industrial methane surveillance by enabling prompt response to emissions, supporting regulatory compliance, and advancing sustainability goals. The paper concludes with suggestions for future advancements in sensor technology, data analytics, and system interoperability, encouraging continued innovation in this vital area.***

Index Terms : Methane Monitoring, Continuous Surveillance, Sensor Integration, Data Analytics, Industrial Emissions, Environmental Sustainability

I. INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

Methane is a potent greenhouse gas with a global warming potential significantly higher than carbon dioxide over a 20-year period. Industrial activities, particularly those involving oil and gas extraction, processing, and distribution, contribute substantially to methane emissions (Howarth, 2015, Balcombe et al., 2018). These emissions pose critical environmental challenges by accelerating climate change and deteriorating air quality. Monitoring methane leaks is therefore essential not only for environmental protection but also for operational efficiency and regulatory compliance within industries (Balcombe et al., 2017, Howarth, 2015).

The detection and quantification of methane emissions have traditionally relied on periodic manual inspections and handheld detectors. While useful, these methods often fail to provide timely and continuous data, resulting in undetected leaks that can persist for long periods (Molnár, 2018). As global efforts to curb greenhouse gases intensify, there is an increasing demand for robust surveillance systems capable of continuous monitoring. Such systems can deliver real-time data, enabling prompt detection and response to leaks, thereby reducing overall emissions (Howarth et al., 2011, Le Fevre, 2017).

Continuous monitoring systems offer the advantage of persistent surveillance, providing detailed temporal and spatial emission profiles. This capability supports better decision-making, maintenance scheduling, and risk management within industrial settings. The motivation behind developing an effective design framework is to guide the integration of sensing technologies, data handling, and communication mechanisms, ensuring that surveillance systems are reliable, scalable, and suitable for complex industrial environments (Stern, 2020, Raimi, 2020).

1.2 Challenges in Methane Surveillance

Despite advances in sensor technologies, methane surveillance faces several significant challenges. One primary limitation is the variability of industrial environments, which often include harsh conditions such as extreme temperatures, humidity, and corrosive substances that can impair sensor performance. Ensuring sensor durability and accuracy under these conditions remains a complex issue, affecting the overall reliability of continuous monitoring systems (Burnham et al., 2012).

Traditional methods, such as periodic manual inspections, suffer from temporal gaps, making it difficult to capture transient or intermittent leaks. Continuous systems must overcome issues related to sensor drift, false alarms, and data overload (Sachedina and Mohany, 2018, Zaman et al., 2020). The integration of multiple sensors also requires synchronization and calibration to avoid inconsistencies, which complicates system design. Additionally, the wide geographical spread of industrial sites demands communication infrastructures capable of transmitting data over long distances without loss or delay (Belić, 2006).

Another critical challenge is the processing and interpretation of the large volumes of data generated by continuous monitoring (Colombo et al., 2009). Efficient algorithms are needed to filter noise, validate readings, and identify genuine leaks in real time. Privacy and security concerns regarding sensitive industrial data further complicate implementation. Addressing these challenges is essential to developing monitoring systems that are not only technically feasible but also economically viable and operationally effective (Sachedina and Mohany, 2018, Zaman et al., 2020).

1.3 Objectives

The primary objective of this paper is to propose a comprehensive design framework for continuous methane surveillance systems tailored to industrial applications. The framework aims to unify essential components, including sensing technologies, system architecture, data management, and communication protocols, to create a cohesive and functional monitoring solution. By outlining key design considerations, the paper seeks to assist engineers and decision-makers in developing systems that meet the operational demands of industrial methane surveillance.

A significant contribution of this work lies in its structured approach to addressing the multifaceted nature of continuous monitoring. It highlights best practices for sensor integration, modular system design, and data handling strategies that collectively enhance system robustness and scalability. Furthermore, it emphasizes the importance of real-time data analytics as an integral component of effective leak detection and management, rather than a mere add-on feature.

This paper also contributes to the broader field of environmental monitoring by providing a template adaptable to various industrial settings. It bridges gaps in existing literature by consolidating design principles with practical considerations, offering a pathway for future research and development. Ultimately, the framework supports efforts to mitigate methane emissions through improved detection capabilities, contributing to global sustainability goals and industrial safety standards.

II. FUNDAMENTAL PRINCIPLES OF CONTINUOUS MONITORING SYSTEMS

2.1 Core Components and Technologies

Continuous methane monitoring systems are composed of several essential components that work together to ensure reliable detection and reporting. The core element is the sensor itself, which detects methane concentration in the environment (Okuh et al., Adewoyin et al., 2020b). These sensors convert the presence of methane gas into measurable electrical or optical signals. Complementing the sensors are data acquisition units, which serve as intermediaries by collecting raw sensor data, converting analog signals into digital formats, and preparing the information for processing or transmission (ADEWOYIN et al., 2020a, EYINADE et al., 2020).

Communication interfaces are critical in linking the monitoring units to centralized control systems or cloud platforms. These interfaces may employ wired connections, such as Ethernet or industrial field buses, or wireless technologies, including cellular networks, Wi-Fi, or low-power wide-area networks (LPWAN). The choice of communication method depends on factors like site topology, data transmission needs, and power availability. Together, these components form a functional system that continuously captures, transmits, and processes data for methane surveillance (Odedeyi et al., 2020, OGUNNOWO et al., 2020).

Beyond these hardware elements, power management and system integration modules also play vital roles. Many monitoring systems rely on battery power or renewable sources like solar panels to operate autonomously in remote locations. System integration ensures that sensors, data acquisition, and communication units interact seamlessly, facilitating scalable and maintainable monitoring infrastructures (Ogunnowo, Okuh et al.).

2.2 Data Acquisition and Sensor Technologies

The selection of sensor technology is paramount to the performance of continuous methane monitoring systems. Several sensing techniques are utilized, each with unique advantages and constraints. Catalytic sensors are widely used for their cost-effectiveness and sensitivity to methane; they detect gas by oxidizing combustible components and measuring the resultant heat. However, they require oxygen presence and frequent calibration to maintain accuracy (Gbabo et al., Gbabo et al.).

Infrared absorption sensors represent another prominent technology, operating by measuring the absorption of infrared light at methane-specific wavelengths. These sensors offer high selectivity, long-term stability, and resistance to interference from other gases, making them suitable for industrial applications. Tunable diode laser absorption spectroscopy (TDLAS) is an advanced infrared-based method providing highly sensitive and fast measurements, though typically at a higher cost. (Jun et al., 2011, Massie et al., 2006)

Other emerging technologies include metal-oxide semiconductor sensors and photoacoustic detectors, which offer potential benefits in terms of size and power consumption. Choosing the appropriate sensor depends on application-specific requirements such as detection limits, response time, environmental conditions, and cost constraints. Understanding the characteristics of these technologies is crucial for designing effective methane surveillance systems (Campanella et al., 2019, Leis et al., 2014).

2.3 Real-time Data Processing Requirements

Timely data processing is a cornerstone of effective continuous monitoring, enabling prompt detection and response to methane leaks. Raw sensor signals often contain noise and fluctuations caused by environmental factors or sensor drift, necessitating initial signal conditioning steps such as filtering, amplification, and normalization. These processes enhance data quality and reliability before further analysis (Boulart, 2008, Campanella et al., 2019).

Real-time processing also involves data validation to identify outliers or false alarms and data compression techniques to manage bandwidth and storage limitations. Efficient algorithms must be implemented to detect anomalies indicative of leaks rapidly, often requiring edge computing capabilities directly at the sensor node or local gateway. This minimizes latency and reduces reliance on continuous cloud connectivity (Son, 2019).

Furthermore, continuous monitoring systems must balance processing speed with computational resources and power consumption, especially in remote or battery-powered deployments(Jiang and Claudel, 2017). The design framework should therefore incorporate strategies for optimizing processing workflows while maintaining the accuracy and responsiveness necessary for industrial methane surveillance. Real-time data processing ensures the system's operational effectiveness and supports timely decision-making(Kim et al., 2009, Mekid et al., 2019).

III. FRAMEWORK ARCHITECTURE FOR SYSTEM DESIGN

3.1 Modular System Architecture

A modular system architecture is fundamental to building flexible and scalable continuous methane monitoring solutions. By breaking down the system into distinct, interchangeable modules, such as sensing units, data acquisition, communication interfaces, and processing platforms, the design allows individual components to be developed, upgraded, or replaced without disrupting the entire system. This modularity supports easier maintenance, rapid adaptation to emerging technologies, and customization to suit different industrial environments (Shah, 2019, Shah, 2020).

Scalability is enhanced through this approach by enabling systems to grow incrementally; additional sensor modules or communication nodes can be integrated as monitoring needs expand. This is particularly important for large industrial sites or geographically dispersed facilities, where a rigid, monolithic design would be cost-prohibitive and inflexible. Furthermore, modular architectures facilitate fault isolation, allowing malfunctioning units to be identified and serviced promptly, thus increasing overall system reliability (Lu et al., 2020).

The modular concept also supports parallel development and integration of hardware and software components. Standardized interfaces between modules promote interoperability and reduce integration complexity. This design principle aligns with modern industrial automation trends, emphasizing plug-and-play capabilities and seamless interoperability, ultimately enabling robust and adaptable methane surveillance systems (Levinson et al., 2018).

3.2 Integration of Hardware and Software

The integration of hardware sensors with software platforms is a critical aspect of continuous methane monitoring system design. Hardware components, such as sensors and data acquisition units, collect environmental data, but the true value emerges when this raw data is processed, visualized, and analyzed through software applications. Software platforms enable real-time monitoring, alarm generation, data storage, and reporting functionalities essential for effective surveillance.

Interfacing hardware with software requires well-defined communication protocols and middleware that translate sensor outputs into formats readable by software systems. Data is often transmitted to centralized servers or cloud platforms where advanced analytics and machine learning algorithms may operate. These platforms provide user interfaces allowing operators to visualize methane concentration trends, respond to alerts, and perform historical data analysis (Luo et al., 2018).

Additionally, software integration facilitates system configuration, calibration management, and remote diagnostics, reducing the need for on-site interventions. Modern architectures increasingly employ IoT frameworks, leveraging APIs and microservices to enable flexible interaction between hardware and software layers. The tight coupling of these elements ensures the system operates as a cohesive whole, supporting timely detection and mitigation of methane leaks (Ngu et al., 2016, Mottola and Picco, 2011).

3.3 Communication and Networking Protocols

Effective communication and networking protocols are essential for transmitting data from distributed methane sensors to centralized monitoring stations or cloud services (Ometov et al., 2019). These protocols ensure data integrity, security, and timely delivery, forming the backbone of continuous monitoring systems. Commonly used wired protocols include Ethernet and industrial field buses such as Modbus or Profibus, which are favored for their reliability and high data throughput in controlled environments (Ometov et al., 2019).

Wireless communication technologies are increasingly employed to address challenges related to site topology, cost, and mobility. Cellular networks (e.g., 4G/5G) offer broad coverage, while LPWAN technologies such as LoRaWAN and NB-IoT provide long-range, low-power communication suitable for remote or extensive industrial sites. Wi-Fi is another option for local area connectivity, but it may face interference and limited range issues (Klein et al., 2017, Klein et al., 2018).

Protocol standardization promotes interoperability between heterogeneous devices and systems. Secure data transmission protocols such as MQTT and HTTPS are widely adopted, supporting encrypted communication and authentication mechanisms. Designing an efficient communication network involves selecting appropriate protocols based on bandwidth, latency, power consumption, and security requirements, ensuring that the methane monitoring system functions reliably under diverse operational conditions.

IV. DATA MANAGEMENT AND ANALYTICS

4.1 Data Storage and Handling

Effective data management is a cornerstone of continuous methane monitoring systems, given the large volumes of data generated over time. Choosing appropriate storage solutions is crucial to ensure accessibility, security, and scalability. Structured data formats such as time-series databases are commonly used because they efficiently handle sequential sensor readings indexed by timestamps. These databases support fast querying and are optimized for storing continuous streams of sensor data.

Beyond format selection, the system must incorporate mechanisms for data compression and archiving to manage storage costs while preserving data integrity. Cloud-based storage solutions offer flexibility and virtually unlimited capacity, allowing data to be accessed remotely and enabling integration with advanced analytics platforms. However, local storage is often necessary in scenarios where network connectivity is intermittent or data privacy concerns exist (Karani and Jewasikiewitz, 2007, Kim et al., 2014).

Data handling also involves designing reliable data pipelines that facilitate smooth data ingestion, transformation, and retrieval. Automated backup and disaster recovery plans safeguard against data loss, ensuring continuous system operation. Together, these strategies form a robust foundation for managing the vast and complex datasets essential for methane surveillance and long-term environmental monitoring (VanderZaag et al., 2013).

4.2 Data Quality and Validation

Ensuring the accuracy and reliability of methane monitoring data is fundamental to making informed decisions. Raw sensor data is susceptible to noise, drift, and interference, which can lead to false positives or missed detections if not properly addressed. Data validation techniques are employed to identify anomalies, outliers, and inconsistencies before data is used for analysis or reporting (Ward et al., 2020).

Common approaches include threshold checks, where data outside expected concentration ranges is flagged; temporal consistency checks that compare sequential readings for abrupt, unrealistic changes; and cross-validation between multiple sensors measuring the same area to corroborate results. Calibration routines, both automatic and manual, are integrated to correct sensor drift and maintain accuracy over time (Adegboye et al., 2019).

Advanced statistical methods and machine learning algorithms also contribute to improving data quality by identifying patterns indicative of sensor malfunction or environmental interference. By implementing rigorous validation protocols, monitoring systems enhance confidence in the data, reducing false alarms and ensuring that detected methane emissions represent genuine events (Lewis et al., 2018).

4.3 Analytics for Anomaly Detection and Trend Analysis

Analytics form the critical layer that transforms raw data into actionable insights for methane surveillance. Anomaly detection algorithms are designed to identify deviations from normal methane concentration patterns that may indicate leaks or abnormal emissions. Basic methods involve setting fixed thresholds or control limits, triggering alerts when sensor readings exceed these predefined values. More sophisticated approaches utilize statistical process control, moving averages, or machine learning models that learn typical emission behaviors and detect subtle changes over time. These techniques improve detection sensitivity while minimizing false alarms, especially in complex industrial environments where background methane levels may fluctuate (Larrañaga et al., 2018).

Trend analysis complements anomaly detection by tracking emission patterns over extended periods. By analyzing historical data, operators can identify persistent leaks, seasonal variations, or operational factors influencing methane release. Visual analytics tools such as dashboards and heatmaps aid in interpreting these trends, supporting proactive maintenance and strategic decision-making aimed at reducing emissions and enhancing environmental compliance (Jin, 2017).

CONCLUSION

The proposed design framework for continuous methane monitoring systems integrates essential components and principles that collectively enable robust, flexible, and scalable surveillance solutions. Key features include a modular system architecture that facilitates easy upgrades and maintenance, comprehensive integration of hardware and software to enable real-time data acquisition and processing, and the adoption of reliable communication protocols to ensure seamless data transmission. The framework emphasizes the importance of sensor selection tailored to industrial conditions and incorporates data management strategies that handle vast volumes of sensor data efficiently.

Additionally, the framework underlines the critical role of data quality assurance and validation techniques in maintaining the reliability of monitoring outputs. It also supports analytics capabilities that enable prompt anomaly detection and long-term trend analysis, empowering operators to respond swiftly to methane leaks and optimize emission control efforts. By addressing both technological and operational considerations, the framework provides a coherent blueprint that balances performance, scalability, and cost-effectiveness. Overall, this structured approach offers a comprehensive guide to designing continuous monitoring systems that meet the rigorous demands of industrial methane surveillance. It aligns system design with practical challenges, fostering improved environmental compliance and operational safety through enhanced detection capabilities.

Implementing the proposed framework has significant implications for advancing methane surveillance practices in industrial settings. By enabling continuous, real-time monitoring, the framework enhances the ability to detect leaks promptly, reducing emission durations and associated environmental impacts. The modular and scalable design allows industries to adapt monitoring systems to diverse site conditions and evolving regulatory requirements, supporting flexible deployment across various scales of operation.

Improved data quality and robust analytics facilitate more accurate identification of emission sources, enabling targeted maintenance and operational interventions. This proactive approach not only helps in minimizing methane losses but also supports compliance with increasingly stringent environmental regulations and corporate sustainability goals. Furthermore, the integration of communication technologies ensures reliable data flow even in remote or challenging locations, broadening the applicability of continuous monitoring. Ultimately, the framework contributes to a shift from periodic, manual inspections toward automated, data-driven methane management. This transformation enhances operational efficiency, reduces environmental risks, and strengthens industrial accountability, positioning continuous monitoring as a cornerstone of modern methane emission control strategies.

Future research and development should focus on refining sensor technologies to improve sensitivity, durability, and cost-effectiveness under harsh industrial conditions. Advances in miniaturization and energy-efficient designs will support more widespread deployment and autonomous operation, especially in remote locations. Additionally, the integration of emerging communication technologies, such as next-generation low-latency networks, promises to enhance data transmission reliability and speed.

On the data analytics front, further exploration of machine learning and artificial intelligence techniques can improve leak detection accuracy, reduce false positives, and provide predictive insights into emission patterns. Developing standardized protocols for data interoperability and security will be vital to facilitate seamless integration across heterogeneous systems and protect sensitive industrial data. Moreover, interdisciplinary collaboration between engineers, environmental scientists, and policymakers will be essential to translate technological advances into practical solutions that align with regulatory frameworks and sustainability targets. Continued efforts in these areas will strengthen the effectiveness and adoption of continuous methane monitoring systems, contributing meaningfully to global emission reduction initiatives.

REFERENCES

[1] ADEGBOYE, M. A., FUNG, W.-K. & KARNIK, A. 2019. Recent advances in pipeline monitoring and oil leakage detection technologies: Principles and approaches. Sensors, 19, 2548.

[2] ADEWOYIN, M. A., OGUNNOWO, E. O., FIEMOTONGHA, J. E., IGUNMA, T. O. & ADELEKE, A. K. 2020a. Advances in Thermofluid Simulation for Heat Transfer Optimization in Compact Mechanical Devices.

[3] ADEWOYIN, M. A., OGUNNOWO, E. O., FIEMOTONGHA, J. E., IGUNMA, T. O. & ADELEKE, A. K. 2020b. A Conceptual Framework for Dynamic Mechanical Analysis in High-Performance Material Selection.

[4] BALCOMBE, P., ANDERSON, K., SPEIRS, J., BRANDON, N. & HAWKES, A. 2017. The natural gas supply chain: the importance of methane and carbon dioxide emissions. ACS Sustainable Chemistry & Engineering, 5, 3-20.

[5] BALCOMBE, P., SPEIRS, J. F., BRANDON, N. P. & HAWKES, A. D. 2018. Methane emissions: choosing the right climate metric and time horizon. Environmental Science: Processes & Impacts, 20, 1323-1339.

[6] BELIĆ, D. S. 2006. Global warming and greenhouse gases. Facta universitatis-series: Physics, Chemistry and Technology, 4, 45-55.

[7] BOULART, C. 2008. Methane in deep sea Hydrothermal Plumes. Development of a new in-situ methane sensing technology. University of Southampton.

[8] BURNHAM, A., HAN, J., CLARK, C. E., WANG, M., DUNN, J. B. & PALOU-RIVERA, I. 2012. Life-cycle greenhouse gas emissions of shale gas, natural gas, coal, and petroleum. Environmental science & technology, 46, 619-627.

[9] CAMPANELLA, C. E., DE CARLO, M., CUCCOVILLO, A., DE LEONARDIS, F. & PASSARO, V. M. 2019. Methane gas photonic sensor based on resonant coupled cavities. Sensors, 19, 5171.

[10] COLOMBO, A. F., LEE, P. & KARNEY, B. W. 2009. A selective literature review of transient-based leak detection methods. Journal of hydro-environment research, 2, 212-227.

[11] EYINADE, W., EZEILO, O. J. & OGUNDEJI, I. A. 2020. A Treasury Management Model for Predicting Liquidity Risk in Dynamic Emerging Market Energy Sectors.

[12] GBABO, E. Y., OKENWA, O. K. & CHIMA, P. E. Constructing AI-Enabled Compliance Automation Models for Real-Time Regulatory Reporting in Energy Systems.

[13] GBABO, E. Y., OKENWA, O. K. & CHIMA, P. E. Integrating CDM Regulations into Role-Based Compliance Models for Energy Infrastructure Projects.

[14] HOWARTH, R. W. 2015. Methane emissions and climatic warming risk from hydraulic fracturing and shale gas development: implications for policy. Energy and Emission Control Technologies, 45-54.

[15] HOWARTH, R. W., SANTORO, R. & INGRAFFEA, A. 2011. Methane and the greenhouse-gas footprint of natural gas from shale formations: A letter. Climatic change, 106, 679-690.

[16] JIANG, J. & CLAUDEL, C. 2017. A high performance, low power computational platform for complex sensing operations in smart cities. HardwareX, 1, 22-37.

[17] JIN, C. 2017. Methodology on Exact Extraction of Time Series Features for Robust Prognostics and Health Monitoring. University of Cincinnati.

[18] JUN, L., QIULIN, T., WENDONG, Z., CHENYANG, X., TAO, G. & JIJUN, X. 2011. Miniature low-power IR monitor for methane detection. Measurement, 44, 823-831.

[19] KARANI, P. & JEWASIKIEWITZ, S. M. 2007. Waste management and sustainable development in South Africa. Environment, Development and Sustainability, 9, 163-185.

[20] KIM, J.-Y., CHU, C.-H. & SHIN, S.-M. 2014. ISSAQ: An integrated sensing systems for real-time indoor air quality monitoring. IEEE Sensors Journal, 14, 4230-4244.

[21] KIM, Y., SCHMID, T., CHARBIWALA, Z. M. & SRIVASTAVA, M. B. ViridiScope: design and implementation of a fine grained power monitoring system for homes. Proceedings of the 11th international conference on Ubiquitous computing, 2009. 245-254.

[22] KLEIN, L., RAMACHANDRAN, M., VAN KESSEL, T., NAIR, D., HINDS, N., HAMANN, H. & SOSA, N. Wireless sensor networks for fugitive methane emissions monitoring in oil and gas industry. 2018 IEEE International Congress on Internet of Things (ICIOT), 2018. IEEE, 41-48.

[23] KLEIN, L. J., VAN KESSEL, T., NAIR, D., MURALIDHAR, R., HINDS, N., HAMANN, H. & SOSA, N. Distributed wireless sensing for fugitive methane leak detection. 2017 IEEE International Conference on Big Data (Big Data), 2017. IEEE, 4583-4591.

[24] LARRAÑAGA, P., ATIENZA, D., DIAZ-ROZO, J., OGBECHIE, A., PUERTO-SANTANA, C. E. & BIELZA, C. 2018. Industrial applications of machine learning, CRC press.

[25] LE FEVRE, C. 2017. Methane Emissions: from blind spot to spotlight.

[26] LEIS, J., BUTTSWORTH, D., SNOOK, C. & HOLMES, G. 2014. Detection of potentially explosive methane levels using a solid-state infrared source. IEEE Transactions on Instrumentation and Measurement, 63, 3088-3095.

[27] LEVINSON, R., FRANK, J. D., IATAURO, M., KNIGHT, C. D., SWEET, A., AASENG, G. B., SCOTT, M., OSSENFORT, J., SOEDER, J. & NGO, T. Development and testing of a vehicle management system for autonomous spacecraft habitat operations. 2018 AIAA SPACE and Astronautics Forum and Exposition, 2018. 5148.

[28] LEWIS, A., PELTIER, W. R. & VON SCHNEIDEMESSER, E. 2018. Low-cost sensors for the measurement of atmospheric composition: overview of topic and future applications.

[29] LU, H., TANG, S.-Y., YUN, G., LI, H., ZHANG, Y., QIAO, R. & LI, W. 2020. Modular and integrated systems for nanoparticle and microparticle synthesis—a review. Biosensors, 10, 165.

[30] LUO, H., WU, K., RUBY, R., LIANG, Y., GUO, Z. & NI, L. M. 2018. Software-defined architectures and technologies for underwater wireless sensor networks: A survey. IEEE Communications Surveys & Tutorials, 20, 2855-2888.

[31] MASSIE, C., STEWART, G., MCGREGOR, G. & GILCHRIST, J. R. 2006. Design of a portable optical sensor for methane gas detection. Sensors and Actuators B: Chemical, 113, 830-836.

[32] MEKID, S., BOUHRAOUA, A. & BAROUDI, U. 2019. Battery-less wireless remote bolt

tension monitoring system. Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing, 128, 572-587.

[33] MOLNÁR, A. 2018. Leaking away the future: the role of methane emission and natural gas supply chains in global warming. Energy Policy Studies.

[34] MOTTOLA, L. & PICCO, G. P. 2011. Programming wireless sensor networks: Fundamental concepts and state of the art. ACM Computing Surveys (CSUR), 43, 1-51.

[35] NGU, A. H., GUTIERREZ, M., METSIS, V., NEPAL, S. & SHENG, Q. Z. 2016. IoT middleware: A survey on issues and enabling technologies. IEEE Internet of Things Journal, 4, 1-20.

[36] ODEDEYI, P. B., ABOU-EL-HOSSEIN, K., OYEKUNLE, F. & ADELEKE, A. K. 2020. Effects of machining parameters on Tool wear progression in End milling of AISI 316. Progress in Canadian Mechanical Engineering, 3.

[37] OGUNNOWO, E. O. A Conceptual Framework for Digital Twin Deployment in Real-Time Monitoring of Mechanical Systems.

[38] OGUNNOWO, E. O., ADEWOYIN, M. A., FIEMOTONGHA, J. E., IGUNMA, T. O. & ADELEKE, A. K. 2020. Systematic Review of Non-Destructive Testing Methods for Predictive Failure Analysis in Mechanical Systems.

[39] OKUH, C. O., NWULU, E. O., OGU, E., IFECHUKWUDE, P., EGBUMOKEI, I. N. D. & DIGITEMIE, W. N. Creating a Sustainability-Focused Digital Transformation Model for Improved Environmental and Operational Outcomes in Energy Operations.

[40] OKUH, C. O., NWULU, E. O., OGU, E., IFECHUKWUDE, P., EGBUMOKEI, I. N. D. & DIGITEMIE, W. N. An Integrated Lean Six Sigma Model for Cost Optimization in Multinational Energy Operations.

[41] OMETOV, A., BEZZATEEV, S., VOLOSHINA, N., MASEK, P. & KOMAROV, M. 2019. Environmental monitoring with distributed mesh networks: An overview and practical implementation perspective for urban scenario. Sensors, 19, 5548.

[42] RAIMI, D. 2020. The greenhouse gas effects of increased US oil and gas production. Energy Transitions, 4, 45-56.

[43] SACHEDINA, K. & MOHANY, A. 2018. A review of pipeline monitoring and periodic inspection methods. Pipeline Sci. Technol, 2, 187-201.

[44] SHAH, Y. T. 2019. Modular systems for energy and fuel recovery and conversion, CRC Press.

[45] SHAH, Y. T. 2020. Modular systems for energy usage management, CRC Press.

[46] SON, D. J. 2019. Development of a fast methane sensor based on wavelength modulation spectroscopy for exhaust methane emission measurement. University of British Columbia.

[47] STERN, J. P. 2020. Methane Emissions from Natural Gas and LNG Imports: an increasingly urgent issue for the future of gas in Europe, OIES Paper: NG.

[48] VANDERZAAG, A., MACDONALD, J., EVANS, L., VERGÉ, X. & DESJARDINS, R. 2013. Towards an inventory of methane emissions from manure management that is responsive to changes on Canadian farms. Environmental Research Letters, 8, 035008.

[49] WARD, R., RIVETT, M., SMEDLEY, P., ALLEN, G., LEWIS, A., PURVIS, R., JORDAN, C., TAYLOR-CURRAN, H., DARAKTCHIEVA, Z. & BAPTIE, B. 2020. Recommendations for Environmental Baseline Monitoring in areas of shale gas development.

[50] ZAMAN, D., TIWARI, M. K., GUPTA, A. K. & SEN, D. 2020. A review of leakage detection strategies for pressurised pipeline in steady-state. Engineering Failure Analysis, 109, 104264.