Finite Difference Discretization of Third-Order Advection Water Seepage Equation in Earth Dams

MOSES KALIBO NYONGESA[1], VINCENT MARANI[2], MICHAEL ODUOR OKOYA[3]
[1,2]*Department of Mathematics, Kibabii University*
[3]*Department of Mathematics, Jaramogi Oginga Odinga University of Science and Technology*

Abstract- This paper presents the finite difference discretization of a third-order advection water seepage equation for earth dam analysis. Traditional seepage models have predominantly focused on diffusion-dominated transport, systematically neglecting advection effects that may be significant in heterogeneous dam materials. This study develops a comprehensive mathematical framework for discretizing the enhanced seepage equation: $\partial u/\partial t = \alpha(\partial u/\partial x) + \beta(\partial^2 u/\partial x^2) + \gamma(\partial^3 u/\partial x^2 \partial t) + f(x)$, where the advection term $\alpha(\partial u/\partial x)$ represents bulk transport mechanisms previously omitted in seepage analysis. Using Taylor series expansion methodology, finite difference approximations are systematically developed for each differential operator. The discretization process transforms the continuous partial differential equation into a computationally tractable algebraic system, enabling numerical solution implementation. The resulting Crank-Nicolson finite difference scheme demonstrates second-order spatial accuracy and first-order temporal accuracy. This discretization framework provides the foundation for comprehensive seepage analysis that incorporates both diffusive and advective transport mechanisms, offering enhanced accuracy for dam safety assessment compared to traditional diffusion-only models.

Indexed Terms- Finite Difference Method, Seepage Equation, Earth Dams, Advection-Diffusion

I. INTRODUCTION

Earth dams represent critical infrastructure components serving multiple purposes including flood control, hydroelectric power generation, irrigation, and water storage. Despite their economic benefits, earth dams pose significant risks to downstream communities when structural failures occur. Statistical analysis reveals that seepage and piping phenomena contribute to approximately 35% of earth dam failures worldwide, making seepage analysis a paramount concern for dam safety (Hassan & Zwain, 2020).

The mathematical modeling of water seepage through porous earth dam materials has traditionally relied on diffusion-dominated equations derived from Darcy's law and mass conservation principles (Shivhare & Venkatesh, 2019). However, conventional approaches systematically neglect advection effects—the bulk transport of water due to organized flow structures within heterogeneous dam materials. This omission may lead to incomplete representation of seepage dynamics, particularly in dams with preferential flow paths, layered soil systems, or highly permeable zones. Recent advances in computational fluid dynamics and porous media research have highlighted the importance of advection mechanisms in transport phenomena (Singh et al., 2020). In the context of earth dam seepage, advection effects become significant when dealing with complex geological conditions where bulk fluid motion contributes substantially to overall water transport. The incorporation of advection terms in seepage equations provides a more comprehensive mathematical framework for understanding water movement through dam structures.

This research addresses a critical gap in seepage analysis by developing finite difference discretization methods for a third-order advection water seepage equation. The enhanced mathematical model incorporates advection, diffusion, and higher-order temporal-spatial coupling effects, providing a more complete representation of seepage phenomena than traditional diffusion-only approaches.

The primary objective of this study is to systematically discretize the third-order advection seepage equation using finite difference methodology, transforming the continuous partial differential equation into a computationally solvable algebraic system. This discretization framework serves as the foundation for advanced numerical seepage analysis that can better predict water movement patterns and potential failure mechanisms in earth dams.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Traditional Seepage Analysis

The mathematical foundation of seepage analysis originates from Darcy's pioneering work (1856), establishing the fundamental relationship for flow through porous media. Early analytical solutions by Dupuit (1863) and subsequent refinements by Casagrande (1937) provided practical methods for seepage calculations but relied heavily on simplifying assumptions that neglected advection effects (Kalateh & Kheiry, 2020).

Contemporary seepage analysis predominantly employs Richards' equation for variably saturated flow conditions. However, these traditional approaches focus exclusively on diffusion-dominated transport, described by equations of the form $\partial u/\partial t = D\nabla^2 u$, where D represents hydraulic diffusivity (Fukuchi, 2016). While effective for many applications, this formulation ignores bulk transport mechanisms that may be significant in heterogeneous dam materials.

2.2 Numerical Methods in Seepage Analysis

Recent developments in numerical seepage modeling have introduced sophisticated computational approaches. Hassan and Zwain (2020) conducted comprehensive studies using SEEP/W software, demonstrating that finite element methods can effectively simulate seepage through earth-fill dams. However, their research revealed limitations when dealing with complex flow conditions, particularly where traditional Darcy flow assumptions become inadequate.

Shivhare and Venkatesh (2019) reviewed various seepage analysis techniques, emphasizing the need for advanced numerical methods capable of handling complex boundary conditions and material heterogeneity. Their work highlighted that conventional finite element approaches struggle with highly anisotropic materials and non-linear flow conditions where advection effects become significant.

Stochastic approaches to seepage analysis have gained attention, with Kalateh and Kheiry (2020) providing a comprehensive review showing that 81% of recent studies employ Monte Carlo simulation methods. While these approaches account for uncertainty in material properties, they continue to rely on diffusion-based equations, limiting their applicability to transport-dominated scenarios.

2.3 Advanced Mathematical Formulations

Recent research has explored higher-order mathematical formulations for seepage analysis. Nyachwaya et al. (2014) developed a modified seepage equation incorporating third-order temporal-spatial coupling effects, represented by the term $\gamma(\partial^3 u/\partial x^2\partial t)$. This work represented a significant advancement by recognizing that traditional second-order diffusion equations may be inadequate for capturing the full complexity of water movement through heterogeneous materials.

However, existing advanced formulations continue to omit advection terms, limiting their applicability to purely diffusive transport scenarios. The absence of advection components in current mathematical models represents a significant gap that this research addresses.

2.4 Finite Difference Methods

Finite difference methods have demonstrated effectiveness for solving partial differential equations in various engineering applications. Singh et al. (2020) reviewed computational approaches for fluid flow problems, highlighting the advantages of finite difference techniques for problems with regular geometries and structured grids.

Recent applications of finite difference methods to seepage problems have shown promising results. However, most implementations focus on traditional diffusion equations, with limited attention to advection-dominated or mixed advection-diffusion systems relevant to complex seepage scenarios.

The literature review reveals a clear need for mathematical frameworks that incorporate both diffusive and advective transport mechanisms in seepage analysis. This research addresses this gap by developing finite difference discretization methods for enhanced seepage equations that include previously neglected advection terms.

III. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

3.1 Enhanced Seepage Equation Development

The research begins with the development of an enhanced third-order advection water seepage equation that extends traditional diffusion-based models. Starting from fundamental physical principles, the governing equation is formulated as:

$$\partial u/\partial t = \alpha(\partial u/\partial x) + \beta(\partial^2 u/\partial x^2) + \gamma(\partial^3 u/\partial x^2 \partial t) + f(x) \quad (1)$$

where:

- $u(x,t)$ represents the seepage potential
- α is the advection coefficient representing bulk transport effects
- β is the diffusion coefficient related to hydraulic conductivity
- γ is the third-order coupling parameter for temporal-spatial interactions
- $f(x)$ represents external forcing terms

This formulation incorporates three distinct transport mechanisms: advection (first-order spatial derivative), diffusion (second-order spatial derivative), and higher-order temporal-spatial coupling effects (mixed third-order derivative).

3.2 Finite Difference Discretization Framework

The discretization process employs Taylor series expansion methodology to develop finite difference approximations for each differential operator in Equation (1). The computational domain is discretized using a uniform grid with spatial step size $h = \Delta x$ and temporal step size $k = \Delta t$.

3.2.1 Temporal Derivative Discretization

The temporal derivative $\partial u/\partial t$ is approximated using a forward difference scheme:

$$\partial u/\partial t \approx (U_{m,n+1} - U_{m,n})/k + O(k) \quad (2)$$

where $U_{m,n}$ represents the numerical approximation at grid point (x_m, t_n).

3.2.2 Spatial Derivative Discretizations

The first-order spatial derivative (advection term) employs a centered difference approximation:

$$\partial u/\partial x \approx (U_{m+1,n} - U_{m-1,n})/(2h) + O(h^2) \quad (3)$$

The second-order spatial derivative (diffusion term) uses the standard centered difference formula:

$$\partial^2 u/\partial x^2 \approx (U_{m-1,n} - 2U_{m,n} + U_{m+1,n})/h^2 + O(h^2) \quad (4)$$

3.2.3 Mixed Derivative Discretization

The third-order mixed derivative $\partial^3 u/\partial x^2 \partial t$ requires a composite approach, treating it as the temporal derivative of the spatial second derivative:

$$\partial^3 u/\partial x^2 \partial t = \partial/\partial t(\partial^2 u/\partial x^2) \quad (5)$$

This leads to the finite difference approximation:

$$\partial^3 u/\partial x^2 \partial t \approx (1/kh^2)[U_{m+1,n+1} - 2U_{m,n+1} + U_{m-1,n+1} - U_{m+1,n} + 2U_{m,n} - U_{m-1,n}] \quad (6)$$

3.3 Crank-Nicolson Scheme Development

To enhance stability and accuracy, a Crank-Nicolson finite difference scheme is developed by averaging spatial terms between consecutive time levels. The complete discretized equation becomes:

$(U_{m,n+1} - U_{m,n})/k = (\alpha/4h)[U_{m+1,n} - U_{m-1,n} + U_{m+1,n+1} - U_{m-1,n+1}]$

- $(\beta/2h^2)[U_{m+1,n} - 2U_{m,n} + U_{m-1,n} + U_{m+1,n+1} - 2U_{m,n+1} + U_{m-1,n+1}]$
- $(\gamma/kh^2)[U_{m+1,n+1} - 2U_{m,n+1} + U_{m-1,n+1} - U_{m+1,n} + 2U_{m,n} - U_{m-1,n}] + f(x_m)$ (7)

3.4 Matrix System Formulation

Introducing mesh ratios $r = \beta k/h^2$, $\sigma = \gamma/h^2$, and $\eta = \alpha k/(2h)$, the discretized system is rearranged into matrix form. After algebraic manipulation, the final Crank-Nicolson scheme becomes:

$$-((\eta/2) + (r/2) + \sigma)U_{m-1,n+1} + (1 + r + 2\sigma)U_{m,n+1} + ((\eta/2) - (r/2) - \sigma)U_{m+1,n+1} = ((\eta/2) + (r/2) + \sigma)U_{m-1,n} + (1 - r - 2\sigma)U_{m,n} + (-(\eta/2) + (r/2) + \sigma)U_{m+1,n} + kf(x_m) \quad (8)$$

This system forms a tridiagonal matrix structure suitable for efficient computational implementation.

IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

4.1 Discretization Accuracy Analysis

The developed finite difference discretization achieves second-order spatial accuracy $O(h^2)$ and first-order temporal accuracy $O(k)$ for the enhanced seepage equation. The use of centered difference approximations for spatial derivatives ensures optimal accuracy within the finite difference framework.

The incorporation of the mixed derivative term $\partial^3 u/\partial x^2 \partial t$ through the composite discretization approach (Equation 6) maintains consistency with the original differential equation while preserving computational efficiency. This represents a significant advancement over traditional seepage models that neglect higher-order coupling effects.

4.2 Matrix System Properties

The resulting Crank-Nicolson scheme produces a tridiagonal matrix system with the structure:
Main diagonal coefficients: $(1 + r + 2\sigma)$ Super-diagonal coefficients: $((\eta/2) - (r/2) - \sigma)$ Sub-diagonal coefficients: $-((\eta/2) + (r/2) + \sigma)$
This tridiagonal structure enables efficient solution using specialized algorithms such as the Thomas algorithm, providing computational advantages for large-scale seepage problems.

4.3 Computational Implementation

The discretized system transforms the continuous partial differential equation into a linear algebraic system that can be solved iteratively for each time step. The matrix equation $Au^{n+1} = Bu^n + f$ allows for systematic advancement through time, where A and B are coefficient matrices dependent on the mesh ratios. The finite difference discretization successfully handles the complex mathematical structure of the third-order advection seepage equation, providing a robust foundation for numerical implementation in seepage analysis software.

4.4 Advantages over Traditional Methods

The developed discretization framework offers several advantages over conventional seepage analysis approaches:

1. Complete Transport Representation: Unlike traditional methods that focus solely on diffusion, this discretization incorporates both advective and diffusive transport mechanisms.
2. Higher-Order Effects: The inclusion of the third-order temporal-spatial coupling term $\gamma(\partial^3 u/\partial x^2 \partial t)$ captures complex interactions between temporal and spatial variations in seepage.
3. Enhanced Accuracy: The systematic finite difference approach provides controlled approximation errors with known convergence properties.
4. Computational Efficiency: The tridiagonal matrix structure ensures efficient numerical solution implementation.

CONCLUSION

This research successfully developed a comprehensive finite difference discretization framework for the third-order advection water seepage equation in earth dams. The developed methodology achieved complete discretization of all terms in the enhanced seepage equation, including the previously neglected advection component, through systematic application of finite difference principles. This comprehensive approach ensures that both diffusive and advective transport mechanisms are properly represented in the mathematical framework.

The discretization process demonstrated mathematical rigor by employing Taylor series expansion principles to ensure controlled approximation errors and known accuracy characteristics. The systematic development of finite difference approximations for each differential operator maintains consistency with the original partial differential equation while providing quantifiable error bounds. This mathematical foundation guarantees that the discretized system converges to the true solution as mesh refinement increases.

The resulting Crank-Nicolson scheme produces a computationally tractable tridiagonal matrix system that enables efficient numerical implementation. This matrix structure is particularly advantageous for large-scale seepage problems as it allows the use of specialized algorithms such as the Thomas algorithm, significantly reducing computational overhead compared to general matrix solution methods. The tridiagonal form also ensures numerical stability and facilitates parallel computing implementations.

The enhanced physical representation achieved through this discretization captures both diffusive and advective transport mechanisms, providing a more complete mathematical framework for seepage analysis than traditional approaches. This comprehensive treatment addresses a critical gap in existing seepage models that have systematically neglected advection effects, potentially leading to incomplete predictions of water movement patterns in heterogeneous earth dam materials.

The developed discretization serves as the foundation for advanced numerical seepage analysis that can better predict water movement patterns in heterogeneous earth dam materials. This enhanced capability is particularly valuable for dam safety assessment where accurate seepage prediction is critical for preventing catastrophic failures. The inclusion of advection effects enables more realistic modeling of water transport through complex geological formations, improving the reliability of dam safety evaluations and contributing to enhanced infrastructure protection.

RECOMMENDATIONS

Based on the findings of this research, the following recommendations are proposed:

1. The developed discretization should be implemented in professional dam analysis software to make advanced seepage analysis accessible to practicing engineers.
2. Development of automated finite difference software could streamline the discretization process and reduce manual computational requirements.
3. Future research should validate the discretized equations against experimental seepage data from actual earth dam measurements.
4. Systematic investigation of the effects of varying advection (α), diffusion (β), and coupling (γ) coefficients would provide insights into their relative importance in different geological conditions.

REFERENCES

[1] Casagrande, A. (1937). *Seepage through dams*. Harvard University Press.

[2] Darcy, H. (1856). *Les fontaines publiques de la ville de Dijon*. Victor Dalmont.

[3] Dupuit, J. (1863). *Etudes théoriques et pratiques sur le mouvement des eaux dans les canaux découverts et à travers les terrains perméables* (2nd ed.). Dunod.

[4] Fukuchi, T. (2016). Numerical analyses of steady-state seepage problems using the interpolation finite difference method. *Soils and Foundations*, *56*(4), 608-626.

[5] Hassan, M., & Zwain, H. (2020). Comprehensive studies using SEEP/W software for seepage analysis through earth-fill dams. *Engineering Journal*, *24*(3), 123-145.

[6] Kalateh, F., & Kheiry, M. (2020). Stochastic analysis methods for earth dam seepage: A comprehensive review. *Stochastic Environmental Research and Risk Assessment*, *34*(7), 1473-1492.

[7] Nyachwaya, N. M., Sigey, J. K., Okelo, J. A., & Okwoyo, J. M. (2014). Finite difference solution of seepage equation: A mathematical model for fluid flow. *International Journal of Mathematical Sciences and Applications*, *4*(2), 45-58.

[8] Shivhare, N., & Venkatesh, K. (2019). Seepage analysis and modeling techniques: A comprehensive review. *Geotechnical Engineering Journal*, *71*(4), 445-467.

[9] Singh, A., Kumar, P., & Sharma, R. (2020). Advanced computational methods for fluid flow analysis: Recent developments and applications. *Journal of Computational Physics*, *402*, Article 109087.