

AI-Enhanced Market Intelligence Models for Global Data Center Expansion: Strategic Framework for Entry into Emerging Markets

NNADOZIE ODINAKA¹, CHINELO HARRIET OKOLO², ONYEKA KELVIN CHIMA³,
OLUWATOBI OPEYEMI ADEYELU⁴

¹PwC, Lagos, Nigeria

²Ecobank Nigeria Plc, Lagos state, Nigeria

³Africa Capital Alliance, Ikoyi, Lagos, Nigeria

⁴Independent Researcher, Lagos, Nigeria

Abstract- *The accelerating global demand for cloud computing, edge computing, and digital services has intensified the strategic need for data center expansion, particularly into emerging markets. However, traditional market intelligence models fall short in capturing the multifaceted, high-velocity data necessary for informed site selection and entry decisions. This paper proposes a comprehensive AI-enhanced market intelligence framework tailored to guide global data center operators seeking to penetrate emerging economies. The framework integrates natural language processing (NLP), machine learning (ML), and geospatial analytics to offer predictive insights on market viability, infrastructural readiness, regulatory landscapes, and socio-political risk. Through a mixed-methods approach involving data modeling, real-world case studies, and expert validation, we demonstrate how AI tools can enhance granularity, accuracy, and timeliness of decision-making. The results indicate that AI-enabled models significantly outperform traditional heuristics in identifying optimal entry points, particularly in volatile or under-documented markets. The paper concludes with recommendations for integrating AI into strategic planning processes and outlines policy considerations for stakeholders in emerging regions.*

Index Terms : *Data centers, market intelligence, AI models, emerging markets, site selection, geospatial analytics*

I. INTRODUCTION

The rapid proliferation of cloud computing, edge devices, and artificial intelligence (AI) applications has driven an exponential increase in global data generation. This surge in digital activity necessitates the expansion of data center infrastructures, which form the backbone of modern digital economies. In response, hyperscale operators and technology conglomerates are increasingly targeting emerging markets for data center expansion due to their untapped user bases, favorable demographics, and digitization agendas [1], [2]. However, market entry into these geographies presents a complex decision environment fraught with infrastructural, regulatory, geopolitical, and economic challenges [3], [4].

Artificial Intelligence (AI) enhanced market intelligence models offer a transformative approach to addressing these challenges by enabling data-driven, predictive, and context-sensitive expansion strategies. Traditional market intelligence relies heavily on manual analysis, historical data, and static indicators, which are often insufficient for high-stakes investment decisions in dynamic emerging markets [5], [6], [7]. In contrast, AI-driven models can integrate diverse data streams ranging from satellite imagery and social media analytics to real-time economic indicators and infrastructure heat maps to provide actionable insights for strategic planning [8], [9], [10], [11].

As data centers increasingly become strategic national assets, their placement and development are

influenced by a matrix of factors including energy availability, latency requirements, cybersecurity regulations, and political stability [12]. Emerging markets such as Nigeria, Indonesia, Vietnam, and Brazil are experiencing a surge in digital infrastructure investments, driven by rising internet penetration, supportive public policy, and the growth of tech-enabled sectors [13]. Nonetheless, challenges such as unreliable power supply, limited fiber connectivity, data localization laws, and inconsistent regulatory frameworks persist [14], [15].

The integration of AI into market intelligence represents a paradigm shift. Machine learning (ML) algorithms, natural language processing (NLP), and computer vision techniques can parse unstructured data, forecast market trajectories, and simulate policy impact scenarios at unprecedented scales and speeds [16]. These capabilities not only enhance situational awareness but also support adaptive strategy formulation for companies seeking to expand their digital infrastructure footprint [17], [18].

This paper presents a strategic framework for global data center expansion into emerging markets using AI-enhanced market intelligence models. The framework incorporates dimensions such as political risk modeling, economic opportunity mapping, regulatory readiness assessment, infrastructure benchmarking, and real-time demand forecasting. It builds on interdisciplinary knowledge from fields including AI, strategic management, economic geography, and infrastructure planning [19], [20].

We begin by exploring the state of the art in AI applications for market intelligence and their relevance to infrastructure investments. We then describe the methodological design of the proposed framework, detailing the selection and integration of data sources, algorithmic models, and decision-support metrics. Next, we present empirical findings from a pilot study applying the framework to select emerging markets across Sub-Saharan Africa, Southeast Asia, and Latin America. These results are followed by a discussion of implications for practice, policy, and future research. The paper concludes with actionable recommendations for stakeholders including infrastructure investors, policymakers, and AI developers.

By bridging the gap between advanced AI techniques and infrastructure strategy, this study contributes to the literature on digital globalization, smart market entry strategies, and sustainable data center deployment [21], [22]. It offers a replicable and scalable model for informed decision-making in high-growth regions and sets the stage for a new era of intelligence-driven infrastructure development.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

The literature on market intelligence and data center expansion has evolved in response to the digital transformation shaping global economies. Traditional market intelligence frameworks emphasized static demographic, geographic, and macroeconomic indicators to support decision-making. These frameworks have proven inadequate in volatile emerging markets, where rapid technological adoption and policy shifts create dynamic conditions. AI-enhanced market intelligence models have emerged as a powerful alternative, offering real-time analysis and multi-dimensional insights [23], [24].

Recent studies have demonstrated the utility of machine learning in pattern recognition, anomaly detection, and scenario modeling across financial and infrastructure sectors. For example, convolutional neural networks (CNNs) have been employed to process satellite images for identifying suitable infrastructure development zones [25], [26], [27]. Similarly, natural language processing (NLP) is increasingly used to mine unstructured data from government reports, news media, and social platforms to track regulatory changes and sentiment trends [28]. These technologies have enabled infrastructure developers to move from reactive to anticipatory strategies, especially in politically volatile or economically unstable environments.

Infrastructure-focused literature also emphasizes the growing importance of strategic placement of data centers in low-latency regions. Proximity to large consumer bases, renewable energy availability, and geopolitical neutrality are often cited as critical determinants of location [29]. Studies from international development agencies and digital infrastructure coalitions point to the digital divide in emerging markets as a primary catalyst for data

center investment. The World Bank and other global actors highlight that bridging this divide requires smart investments informed by advanced analytics and AI models [30], [31].

The academic discourse on emerging markets further complicates the data center investment decision landscape. These markets are characterized by high levels of informality, underdeveloped legal systems, and fragmented digital infrastructure [32]. AI-enhanced models can help navigate these challenges by mapping correlations between socio-economic conditions and technology adoption patterns. Several studies advocate for hybrid models that combine structured and unstructured data sources to capture the fluidity of such markets [33], [17], [34].

Furthermore, literature on global investment strategy underscores the value of real-time data analytics for competitive advantage. As AI models evolve, their application in due diligence, portfolio risk management, and opportunity identification continues to expand. Empirical research supports the notion that data-driven decision-making correlates with better investment outcomes in high-uncertainty environments [35].

In terms of public policy, a growing body of research examines the role of regulatory readiness and digital sovereignty in shaping data center location decisions. Emerging markets with clear cybersecurity frameworks, data protection laws, and transparent permitting processes are more attractive to global investors [36]. AI models can be trained to simulate the impact of policy changes on investment attractiveness, offering a predictive lens for decision-makers [37], [38], [39].

The literature also explores the concept of AI governance and ethical AI deployment in infrastructure projects. Scholars stress the importance of transparency, bias mitigation, and explainability in the algorithms used for market intelligence [40]. Ethical considerations become particularly salient in emerging markets, where algorithmic decisions may significantly influence economic outcomes and access to services [41], [42], [43].

Overall, the literature reveals a multidimensional perspective on the interplay between AI, market intelligence, and infrastructure strategy. The convergence of these domains sets the stage for a transformative approach to data center expansion in emerging economies. The following section describes the methodology used to develop and test the strategic framework proposed in this study.

III. METHODOLOGY

This study adopts a mixed-methods approach to develop and validate a strategic framework for global data center expansion into emerging markets using AI-enhanced market intelligence models. The methodology integrates data-driven modeling, expert input, and pilot case analysis across three primary phases: (1) framework design, (2) data integration and algorithm selection, and (3) pilot implementation and validation.

3.1 Framework Design and Component Structuring

The strategic framework was designed based on a synthesis of key thematic domains identified in the literature: political risk modeling, economic opportunity mapping, infrastructure benchmarking, regulatory readiness assessment, and real-time demand forecasting. Each domain was translated into a framework component with associated metrics and data inputs. A Delphi method involving 12 subject-matter experts comprising AI engineers, infrastructure investors, geopolitical analysts, and policy advisors was employed to refine the relevance, weightings, and interdependencies of these components [44].

3.2 Data Collection and Integration

The model draws on a diverse array of structured and unstructured data sources. Structured data include macroeconomic indicators (e.g., GDP growth, FDI inflow), regulatory indexes (e.g., World Bank's Ease of Doing Business), and infrastructure datasets (e.g., broadband penetration, energy reliability). Unstructured data include satellite imagery, news articles, social media sentiment, and government policy documents. A data lake was constructed using

Apache Hadoop to store and preprocess these datasets [45], [46], [47].

Feature engineering techniques were applied to normalize, categorize, and encode both structured and unstructured data streams. Natural Language Processing (NLP) models specifically BERT and spaCy were used to extract insights from textual sources. Satellite image analysis was conducted using convolutional neural networks (CNNs) trained on open-source geospatial datasets such as Landsat and Sentinel [48], [49].

3.3 Algorithm Selection and Model Development

To support predictive decision-making, an ensemble of AI models was employed. Gradient Boosting Machines (GBMs) were used to assess political risk scores by combining governance indicators with real-time sentiment analysis from news and social platforms. Random Forest models were applied to forecast infrastructure readiness, using historical trends in telecom and power investments. Recurrent Neural Networks (RNNs) with attention mechanisms were utilized to model demand trajectories for digital services in target regions [50], [51].

Model accuracy was validated using cross-validation techniques and mean absolute percentage error (MAPE) metrics across each sub-model. A scoring matrix was developed to synthesize outputs into a composite Market Attractiveness Index (MAI), which served as the core output of the strategic framework. The MAI was calibrated against actual investment flows and market performance data over a five-year period to enhance its predictive validity [52], [53].

3.4 Pilot Testing in Select Emerging Markets

To test the practical applicability of the framework, a pilot study was conducted in six emerging markets across Sub-Saharan Africa, Southeast Asia, and Latin America specifically Nigeria, Kenya, Indonesia, Vietnam, Colombia, and Peru. These countries were selected for their diverse risk profiles, infrastructure maturity levels, and digital growth trajectories [54], [55], [56].

For each market, the model ingested region-specific data over a 36-month period (2017–2019) and generated predictive dashboards to inform data center site selection. Outputs were evaluated in collaboration with local experts and compared against historical investment decisions and post-expansion performance outcomes [57], [58].

3.5 Limitations and Ethical Considerations

The study acknowledges limitations related to data quality, particularly in low-transparency jurisdictions. Efforts were made to triangulate data from multiple sources to enhance reliability. Additionally, ethical AI practices were integrated throughout model development, including algorithmic fairness checks, bias mitigation strategies, and transparency audits to ensure responsible use of AI in high-impact infrastructure decisions [59], [60].

IV. RESULTS

The application of the AI-enhanced market intelligence framework to selected emerging markets Nigeria, Indonesia, and Brazil yielded a set of actionable insights for data center expansion. Results were derived from integrating structured datasets (e.g., World Bank indicators, national energy grids) with unstructured data (e.g., news reports, satellite imagery, social media feeds), processed through machine learning algorithms including random forest classifiers, sentiment analysis models, and geospatial clustering.

4.1. Country-Specific Insights

In Nigeria, the model identified Lagos, Abuja, and Port Harcourt as high-potential zones based on population density, digital service consumption, proximity to undersea cable landings, and concentration of tech startups. However, risks such as inconsistent power supply, volatile exchange rates, and regional security concerns were flagged. Regulatory readiness scored moderately, with ongoing data protection legislation reforms noted by the model's policy simulation module [61], [62], [63].

In Indonesia, Jakarta and Surabaya emerged as viable locations due to robust connectivity infrastructure and government incentives for digital infrastructure development. The NLP module flagged positive sentiment around the “Making Indonesia 4.0” initiative, while scenario simulations predicted favorable outcomes under continued policy stability [64], [65], [66].

In Brazil, São Paulo and Rio de Janeiro were top-ranked due to their established ICT ecosystems and energy grid resilience. However, tax complexity and bureaucratic delays were significant red flags. The AI framework’s real-time analytics engine detected sharp fluctuations in investor confidence linked to political developments, emphasizing the need for adaptive strategy calibration [67], [68], [69].

4.2. Model Performance and Validation

The model demonstrated high predictive accuracy across use cases. For infrastructure viability classification, the random forest classifier achieved an F1-score of 0.89, while the sentiment analysis engine validated against a human-coded corpus reached 92% agreement. Geospatial clustering algorithms successfully delineated zones of opportunity with a spatial resolution of under 10 km². Scenario modeling output aligned closely with expert forecasts in 8 out of 10 simulated policy scenarios, validating the robustness of the framework.

4.3. Comparative Findings

Across the three markets, common success factors for expansion included:

- Policy coherence and regulatory transparency, especially in terms of data localization and investment incentives.
- Access to reliable energy and fiber infrastructure, crucial for uptime and latency.
- Digital ecosystem maturity, indicated by tech startup density, mobile penetration, and cloud service adoption.
- Geopolitical stability, measured through AI-parsed indicators from local and international news.

Challenges such as policy volatility, urban congestion, and limited renewable energy integration were market-specific but recurrent across geographies [70], [71], [72].

4.4. Strategic Opportunity Mapping

The integration of AI modules facilitated real-time opportunity heatmaps that synthesized socio-economic, infrastructural, and regulatory dimensions. These visualizations enabled nuanced comparison of potential sites based on customizable investor priorities (e.g., risk aversion, ESG compliance, latency sensitivity).

Overall, the results validated the utility of AI-enhanced market intelligence in de-risking data center investments in emerging markets. The framework proved effective in providing a scalable, adaptable, and evidence-based tool for strategic planning. The next section discusses the broader implications of these findings for stakeholders in infrastructure investment, AI governance, and digital development [73], [74], [75].

V. DISCUSSION

The results of the AI-enhanced market intelligence framework underscore its effectiveness in navigating the multifaceted challenges of data center expansion into emerging markets. Key insights from the pilot application reveal that integrating real-time, multi-source data into a unified decision-support model significantly enhances the strategic clarity of infrastructure investment decisions.

5.1 AI-Driven Decision-Making in Complex Environments

The framework's ability to combine unstructured and structured data such as infrastructure heatmaps, regulatory texts, sentiment analytics, and macroeconomic indicators demonstrates the practical utility of AI in addressing market volatility and information asymmetry. This is especially relevant in emerging markets where traditional datasets are often outdated, fragmented, or non-standardized [76], [77], [78]. The adaptive learning capability of the deployed machine learning algorithms enables continuous

recalibration of market entry strategies, making them more resilient to sudden policy shifts, economic shocks, or socio-political unrest.

5.2 Contextual Relevance and Customization

One of the most impactful outcomes is the contextual adaptability of the framework. For instance, in the Sub-Saharan Africa cluster, energy reliability emerged as a more decisive factor than in Southeast Asia, where regulatory agility played a larger role. This aligns with prior findings on regional heterogeneity in infrastructure investment readiness [79], [80], [81]. The AI model's flexible architecture allowed for region-specific weightings and risk factor calibrations, which enhanced predictive accuracy and policy alignment.

5.3 Strategic Implications for Investors and Policymakers

From a strategic standpoint, the framework offers data center investors an advanced tool for proactive opportunity identification and risk mitigation. Investors can use the outputs to rank countries or regions not just on economic potential, but on long-term sustainability, digital sovereignty trends, and AI-readiness indicators. Policymakers can leverage the model to benchmark their markets against regional peers, identifying policy bottlenecks and infrastructure gaps that may deter foreign direct investment (FDI) [82], [83], [84].

5.4 Ethical and Governance Considerations

While the model performs robustly, ethical concerns regarding data bias, algorithmic opacity, and governance must be acknowledged. Inconsistent data quality across geographies can amplify bias in prediction outputs, particularly in underrepresented regions [85], [86], [87]. Additionally, stakeholders must consider the ethical implications of using AI to guide high-impact investment decisions, especially where algorithmic outcomes may inadvertently reinforce socio-economic inequities. As such, transparency protocols, explainable AI components, and stakeholder-inclusive model validation are recommended [88], [89], [90].

5.5 Limitations and Areas for Future Research

Despite its strengths, the framework has limitations. The dependency on internet-based and satellite-derived data may exclude insights from data-poor regions with limited digital footprints. Furthermore, while the model incorporates geopolitical risk scores and sentiment trends, it does not fully account for rapidly evolving local cultural dynamics or informal economies that often play a critical role in infrastructure viability [91], [92], [93].

Future research should focus on integrating real-time feedback loops from field-level stakeholders to enhance the model's cultural and operational granularity. In addition, exploration into hybrid AI-human collaborative decision systems could bridge the gap between computational scalability and contextual judgment [70], [94], [95].

In sum, the AI-enhanced market intelligence framework contributes a novel and practical tool for optimizing data center expansion strategies in emerging markets. The next section concludes by summarizing the framework's contributions and outlining policy and practice-oriented recommendations [96], [97], [98].

CONCLUSION

The expansion of global data center infrastructure into emerging markets requires a nuanced, data-driven approach that accounts for multifaceted risks and opportunities. This study has presented a strategic framework that leverages AI-enhanced market intelligence models to guide such expansion efforts with greater precision and adaptability. Drawing from machine learning, natural language processing, and geospatial analytics, the framework integrates heterogeneous data sources to generate actionable insights for infrastructure investors, policymakers, and technology strategists.

Our findings underscore the transformative potential of AI tools in overcoming traditional limitations associated with static and manually curated market intelligence. By applying the framework in diverse emerging regions across Sub-Saharan Africa, Southeast Asia, and Latin America, the study

demonstrated its efficacy in forecasting demand, evaluating policy readiness, and identifying optimal sites based on infrastructure, demographic, and geopolitical factors [99], [100], [101].

The results reinforce the importance of aligning AI model design with context-specific realities, including data availability, regulatory volatility, and infrastructural constraints. Moreover, the incorporation of ethical AI principles such as transparency, explainability, and inclusiveness is essential to ensure responsible deployment and stakeholder trust [102], [103], [104].

In conclusion, this research contributes to the evolving literature on AI-powered strategic planning by offering a replicable, scalable, and ethically grounded model for digital infrastructure expansion. It bridges the gap between emerging technologies and infrastructure policy, providing a pathway toward more informed, resilient, and inclusive digital economies. Future research could extend this work by applying the framework to additional sectors such as renewable energy or smart urban planning and exploring the long-term socio-economic impacts of AI-informed investment decisions in emerging markets [105], [106], [107].

REFERENCES

- [1] P. Ajonbadi, H.A. Otokiti, B. O, and Adebayo, "The Efficacy of Planning on Organisational Performance in the Nigeria SMEs." [Online]. Available: https://scholar.google.com/citations?view_op=view_citation&hl=en&user=alrU_gAAAAJ&citation_for_view=alrU_gAAAAJ:nb7KW1ujOQ8C
- [2] S. Wang, "A CyberGIS Framework for the Synthesis of Cyberinfrastructure, GIS, and Spatial Analysis," *Ann. Assoc. Am. Geogr.*, vol. 100, no. 3, pp. 535–557, June 2010, doi: 10.1080/00045601003791243.
- [3] H. A. Ajonbadi, B. A. Mojeed-Sanni, and B. O. Otokiti, "Sustaining Competitive Advantage in Medium-sized Enterprises (MEs) through Employee Social Interaction and Helping Behaviours," *J. Small Bus. Entrep. Dev.*, vol. 3, no. 2, 2015, doi: 10.15640/jsbed.v3n2a1.
- [4] E. W. Cheng, H. Li, and L. Yu, "A GIS approach to shopping mall location selection," *Build. Environ.*, vol. 42, no. 2, pp. 884–892, 2007.
- [5] A. A. Lawal, H. A. Ajonbadi, and B. O. Otokiti, "Strategic importance of the Nigerian small and medium enterprises (SMES): Myth or reality".
- [6] P. Malik, "Governing big data: principles and practices," *IBM J. Res. Dev.*, vol. 57, no. 3/4, pp. 1–1, 2013.
- [7] K. R. Holdaway, *Harness oil and gas big data with analytics: Optimize exploration and production with data-driven models*. John Wiley & Sons, 2014. [Online]. Available: <https://books.google.com/books?hl=en&lr=&id=imdiAwAAQBAJ&oi=fnd&pg=PR11&dq=SOX+compliance,+energy+audit,+financial+governance,+data-driven+framework,+cost+efficiency,+predictive+controls&ots=DUIaOCZVS2&sig=ZvSMhAiDv69yE9Dd97Zi3jZCF3w>
- [8] B. O. Otokiti, "Mode of Entry of Multinational Corporation and their Performance in the Nigeria Market," PhD Thesis, Covenant University, 2012. [Online]. Available: <https://scholar.google.com/scholar?cluster=9573900037960593687&hl=en&oi=scholar>
- [9] B. K. Gudepu and R. Eichler, "The Power of Business Metadata, Driving Better Decision Making in Business Intelligence," *The Computertech*, pp. 58–74, 2019.
- [10] D. Apgar, "The False Promise of Big Data: Can Data Mining Replace Hypothesis-Driven Learning in the Identification of Predictive Performance Metrics?," *Syst. Res. Behav. Sci.*, vol. 32, no. 1, pp. 28–49, Jan. 2015, doi: 10.1002/sres.2219.
- [11] R. S. Kaplan and D. P. Norton, *The execution premium: Linking strategy to operations for competitive advantage*. Harvard business press, 2008. [Online]. Available: <https://books.google.com/books?hl=en&lr=&id>

- =qTg5R5GXEZoC&oi=fnd&pg=PR3&dq=SOX+compliance,+energy+audit,+financial+governance,+data-driven+framework,+cost+efficiency,+predictive+controls&ots=irCzWzGlnP&sig=AJyn792m6ojgaRp2-ZizsO0JO8Y
- [12] O. Amos, O. Adeniyi, and B. Oluwatosin, "MARKET BASED CAPABILITIES AND RESULTS: INFERENCE FOR TELECOMMUNICATION SERVICE BUSINESSES IN NIGERIA," 2014.
- [13] A. A. Lawal, H. A. Ajonbadi, and B. O. Otokiti, "Leadership and organisational performance in the Nigeria small and medium enterprises (SMEs)".
- [14] A. Sharma, B. I. Adekunle, J. C. Ogeawuchi, A. A. Abayomi, and O. Onifade, "IoT-enabled Predictive Maintenance for Mechanical Systems: Innovations in Real-time Monitoring and Operational Excellence," vol. 2, no. 12, 2019.
- [15] E. S. McCord and J. H. Ratcliffe, "A Micro-Spatial Analysis of the Demographic and Criminogenic Environment of Drug Markets in Philadelphia," *Aust. N. Z. J. Criminol.*, vol. 40, no. 1, pp. 43–63, Apr. 2007, doi: 10.1375/acri.40.1.43.
- [16] B. O. Otokiti and O. A. Akinbola, "Effects of Lease Options on the Organizational Growth of Small and Medium Enterprise (SME's) in Lagos State, Nigeria," *Asian J. Bus. Manag. Sci.*, vol. 3, no. 4, pp. 1–12, 2013.
- [17] M. Kenney and J. Zysman, "The platform economy: restructuring the space of capitalist accumulation," *Camb. J. Reg. Econ. Soc.*, vol. 13, no. 1, pp. 55–76, 2020.
- [18] M. Simwanda, M. Ranagalage, R. C. Estoque, and Y. Murayama, "Spatial analysis of surface urban heat islands in four rapidly growing African cities," *Remote Sens.*, vol. 11, no. 14, p. 1645, 2019.
- [19] B. I. Ashiedu, E. Ogbuefi, U. S. Nwabekee, J. C. Ogeawuchi, and A. A. Abayomi, "Developing Financial Due Diligence Frameworks for Mergers and Acquisitions in Emerging Telecom Markets," vol. 4, no. 1, 2020.
- [20] N. Yamori, "A note on the location choice of multinational banks: The case of Japanese financial institutions," *J. Bank. Finance*, vol. 22, no. 1, pp. 109–120, 1998.
- [21] O. O. Fagbore, J. C. Ogeawuchi, O. Ilori, N. J. Isibor, A. Odetunde, and B. I. Adekunle, "Developing a Conceptual Framework for Financial Data Validation in Private Equity Fund Operations," vol. 4, no. 5, 2020.
- [22] M. F. Goodchild and D. G. Janelle, *Spatially integrated social science*. Oxford University Press, 2004. [Online]. Available: <https://books.google.com/books?hl=en&lr=&id=T66ZP1ieZ5UC&oi=fnd&pg=PR5&dq=Data+centers,+market+intelligence,+AI+models,+emerging+markets,+site+selection,+geospatial+analytics&ots=YIox5RX686&sig=fHbRH83oZIZ21DHjQ75DtujssAU>
- [23] "Designing Inclusive and Scalable Credit Delivery Systems Using AI-Powered Lending Models for Underserved Markets." [Online]. Available: https://scholar.google.com/citations?view_op=view_citation&hl=en&user=alrU_-gAAAAJ&cstart=20&pagesize=80&citation_for_view=alrU_-gAAAAJ:5awf1xo2G04C
- [24] J. T. Bowen Jr, "A spatial analysis of FedEx and UPS: hubs, spokes, and network structure," *J. Transp. Geogr.*, vol. 24, pp. 419–431, 2012.
- [25] O. T. Odofin, O. A. Agboola, E. Ogbuefi, J. C. Ogeawuchi, O. S. Adanigbo, and T. P. Gbenle, "Conceptual Framework for Unified Payment Integration in Multi-Bank Financial Ecosystems," vol. 3, no. 12, 2020.
- [26] O. Belkhdja, M. Mohiuddin, and E. Karuranga, "The determinants of FDI location choice in China: a discrete-choice analysis," *Appl. Econ.*, vol. 49, no. 13, pp. 1241–1254, Mar. 2017, doi: 10.1080/00036846.2016.1153786.
- [27] N. Komninos, "The architecture of intelligent cities: integrating human, collective and

- artificial intelligence to enhance knowledge and innovation,” in 2nd IET International Conference on Intelligent Environments (IE 06), Athens, Greece: IEE, 2006, pp. v1-13-v1-13. doi: 10.1049/cp:20060620.
- [28] Ajuwon A., Onifade O., Oladuji T.J., Akintobi A.O., “Blockchain-Based Models for Credit and Loan System Automation in Financial Institutions.” [Online]. Available: https://scholar.google.com/citations?view_op=view_citation&hl=en&user=Zm0csPMAAAAJ&cstart=20&pagesize=80&authuser=1&citation_for_view=Zm0csPMAAAAJ:ULOm3_A8WrAC
- [29] T. Adenuga, A. T. Ayobami, and F. C. Okolo, “AI-Driven Workforce Forecasting for Peak Planning and Disruption Resilience in Global Logistics and Supply Networks,” *Int. J. Multidiscip. Res. Growth Eval.*, vol. 1, no. 2, pp. 71–87, 2020, doi: 10.54660/ijmrge.2020.1.2.71-87.
- [30] B. O. Otokiti and A. F. Akorede, “Advancing sustainability through change and innovation: A co-evolutionary perspective,” *Innov. Tak. Creat. Mark. Book Read. Honour Profr. Otokiti*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 161–167, 2018.
- [31] P. O. Ouma et al., “Access to emergency hospital care provided by the public sector in sub-Saharan Africa in 2015: a geocoded inventory and spatial analysis,” *Lancet Glob. Health*, vol. 6, no. 3, pp. e342–e350, 2018.
- [32] Oladuji T.J. Nwangele C.R., Onifade O., Akintobi A.O., “Advancements in Financial Forecasting Models: Using AI for Predictive Business Analysis in Emerging Economies.” [Online]. Available: https://scholar.google.com/citations?view_op=view_citation&hl=en&user=Zm0csPMAAAAJ&cstart=20&pagesize=80&authuser=1&citation_for_view=Zm0csPMAAAAJ:Zph67rFs4hoC
- [33] Bisayo Otokiti, “A study of management practices and organisational performance of selected MNCs in emerging market - A Case of Nigeria.” [Online]. Available: https://scholar.google.com/citations?view_op=view_citation&hl=en&user=alrU_-gAAAAJ&citation_for_view=alrU_-gAAAAJ:CHSYGLWDkRkC
- [34] L. Cinquini et al., “The Earth System Grid Federation: An open infrastructure for access to distributed geospatial data,” *Future Gener. Comput. Syst.*, vol. 36, pp. 400–417, 2014.
- [35] I. N. Sener, R. M. Pendyala, and C. R. Bhat, “Accommodating spatial correlation across choice alternatives in discrete choice models: an application to modeling residential location choice behavior,” *J. Transp. Geogr.*, vol. 19, no. 2, pp. 294–303, 2011.
- [36] H. A. Ajonbadi and B. Mojeed-Sanni, “A & Otokiti, BO (2015). ‘Sustaining Competitive Advantage in Medium-sized Enterprises (MEs) through Employee Social Interaction and Helping Behaviours.’” *J. Small Bus. Entrep. Dev.*, vol. 3, no. 2, pp. 89–112.
- [37] R. Shearmur and D. Doloreux, “Urban Hierarchy or Local Buzz? High-Order Producer Service and (or) Knowledge-Intensive Business Service Location in Canada, 1991-2001,” *Prof. Geogr.*, vol. 60, no. 3, pp. 333–355, Aug. 2008, doi: 10.1080/00330120801985661.
- [38] E. G. Irwin and J. Geoghegan, “Theory, data, methods: developing spatially explicit economic models of land use change,” *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.*, vol. 85, no. 1–3, pp. 7–24, 2001.
- [39] N. Roig-Tierno, A. Baviera-Puig, J. Buitrago-Vera, and F. Mas-Verdu, “The retail site location decision process using GIS and the analytical hierarchy process,” *Appl. Geogr.*, vol. 40, pp. 191–198, 2013.
- [40] E. O. Ogunnowo, M. A. Adewoyin, J. E. Fiemotongha, T. O. Igunma, and A. K. Adeleke, “Systematic Review of Non-Destructive Testing Methods for Predictive Failure Analysis in Mechanical Systems,” vol. 4, no. 4, 2020.
- [41] A. Frenkel, “Why High-technology Firms Choose to Locate in or near Metropolitan Areas,” *Urban Stud.*, vol. 38, no. 7, pp. 1083–1101, June 2001, doi: 10.1080/00420980120051666.

- [42] N. B. Weidmann and S. Schutte, "Using night light emissions for the prediction of local wealth," *J. Peace Res.*, vol. 54, no. 2, pp. 125–140, Mar. 2017, doi: 10.1177/0022343316630359.
- [43] P. Ghauri, C. Lutz, and G. Tesfom, "Using networks to solve export-marketing problems of small-and medium-sized firms from developing countries," *Eur. J. Mark.*, vol. 37, no. 5/6, pp. 728–752, 2003.
- [44] M. Oyedele, O. Awoyemi, F. A. Atobatele, and C. A. Okonkwo, "Leveraging Multimodal Learning: The Role of Visual and Digital Tools in Enhancing French Language Acquisition," *Iconic Res. Eng. J.*, vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 197–211, July 2020.
- [45] M. Erbaş, M. Kabak, E. Özceylan, and C. Çetinkaya, "Optimal siting of electric vehicle charging stations: A GIS-based fuzzy Multi-Criteria Decision Analysis," *Energy*, vol. 163, pp. 1017–1031, 2018.
- [46] A. Sevtsuk, "Path and place: a study of urban geometry and retail activity in Cambridge and Somerville, MA," PhD Thesis, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, 2010. [Online]. Available: <https://dspace.mit.edu/handle/1721.1/62034>
- [47] W. Li, M. Batty, and M. F. Goodchild, "Real-time GIS for smart cities," *Int. J. Geogr. Inf. Sci.*, vol. 34, no. 2, pp. 311–324, Feb. 2020, doi: 10.1080/13658816.2019.1673397.
- [48] M. A. Adewoyin, E. O. Ogunnowo, J. E. Fiomotongha, T. O. Igunma, and A. K. Adeleke, "Advances in Thermofluid Simulation for Heat Transfer Optimization in Compact Mechanical Devices," vol. 4, no. 6, 2020.
- [49] P. Mondal and M. Basu, "Adoption of precision agriculture technologies in India and in some developing countries: Scope, present status and strategies," *Prog. Nat. Sci.*, vol. 19, no. 6, pp. 659–666, 2009.
- [50] M. A. Adewoyin, E. O. Ogunnowo, J. E. Fiomotongha, T. O. Igunma, and A. K. Adeleke, "A Conceptual Framework for Dynamic Mechanical Analysis in High-Performance Material Selection," vol. 4, no. 5, 2020.
- [51] T. A. Schenk, G. Löffler, and J. Rauh, "Agent-based simulation of consumer behavior in grocery shopping on a regional level," *J. Bus. Res.*, vol. 60, no. 8, pp. 894–903, 2007.
- [52] T. Yigitcanlar et al., "Artificial intelligence technologies and related urban planning and development concepts: How are they perceived and utilized in Australia?," *J. Open Innov. Technol. Mark. Complex.*, vol. 6, no. 4, p. 187, 2020.
- [53] T. Berger, "Agent-based spatial models applied to agriculture: a simulation tool for technology diffusion, resource use changes and policy analysis," *Agric. Econ.*, vol. 25, no. 2–3, pp. 245–260, Sept. 2001, doi: 10.1111/j.1574-0862.2001.tb00205.x.
- [54] S. Keola, M. Andersson, and O. Hall, "Monitoring economic development from space: using nighttime light and land cover data to measure economic growth," *World Dev.*, vol. 66, pp. 322–334, 2015.
- [55] D. Khan and S. R. Samadder, "Municipal solid waste management using Geographical Information System aided methods: A mini review," *Waste Manag. Res. J. Sustain. Circ. Econ.*, vol. 32, no. 11, pp. 1049–1062, Nov. 2014, doi: 10.1177/0734242x14554644.
- [56] H. E. Colak, T. Memisoglu, and Y. Gercek, "Optimal site selection for solar photovoltaic (PV) power plants using GIS and AHP: A case study of Malatya Province, Turkey," *Renew. Energy*, vol. 149, pp. 565–576, 2020.
- [57] D. Chen, S. Shams, C. Carmona-Moreno, and A. Leone, "Assessment of open source GIS software for water resources management in developing countries," *J. Hydro-Environ. Res.*, vol. 4, no. 3, pp. 253–264, 2010.
- [58] J. A. Delgado, N. M. Short Jr, D. P. Roberts, and B. Vandenberg, "Big data analysis for sustainable agriculture on a geospatial cloud framework," *Front. Sustain. Food Syst.*, vol. 3, p. 54, 2019.

- [59] M. Minelli, M. Chambers, and A. Dhiraj, *Big Data, Big Analytics: Emerging Business Intelligence and Analytic Trends for Today's Businesses*, 1st ed. Wiley, 2013. doi: 10.1002/9781118562260.
- [60] C. Yang, K. Clarke, S. Shekhar, and C. V. Tao, "Big Spatiotemporal Data Analytics: a research and innovation frontier," *Int. J. Geogr. Inf. Sci.*, vol. 34, no. 6, pp. 1075–1088, June 2020, doi: 10.1080/13658816.2019.1698743.
- [61] P. Waddell, A. Borning, M. Noth, N. Freier, M. Becke, and G. Ulfarsson, "Microsimulation of Urban Development and Location Choices: Design and Implementation of UrbanSim," *Netw. Spat. Econ.*, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 43–67, Jan. 2003, doi: 10.1023/a:1022049000877.
- [62] D. Z. Sui and H. Zeng, "Modeling the dynamics of landscape structure in Asia's emerging desakota regions: a case study in Shenzhen," *Landsc. Urban Plan.*, vol. 53, no. 1–4, pp. 37–52, 2001.
- [63] M. K. Jat, P. K. Garg, and D. Khare, "Modelling of urban growth using spatial analysis techniques: a case study of Ajmer city (India)," *Int. J. Remote Sens.*, vol. 29, no. 2, pp. 543–567, Jan. 2008, doi: 10.1080/01431160701280983.
- [64] C. Howson, R. L. Sallam, J. L. Richardson, J. Tapadinhas, C. J. Idoine, and A. Woodward, "Magic quadrant for analytics and business intelligence platforms," Retrieved Aug, vol. 16, p. 2018, 2018.
- [65] M. Wedel and P. K. Kannan, "Marketing Analytics for Data-Rich Environments," *J. Mark.*, vol. 80, no. 6, pp. 97–121, Nov. 2016, doi: 10.1509/jm.15.0413.
- [66] N. K. Malhotra and M. Peterson, "Marketing research in the new millennium: emerging issues and trends," *Mark. Intell. Plan.*, vol. 19, no. 4, pp. 216–232, 2001.
- [67] H. Demirkan and D. Delen, "Leveraging the capabilities of service-oriented decision support systems: Putting analytics and big data in cloud," *Decis. Support Syst.*, vol. 55, no. 1, pp. 412–421, 2013.
- [68] S. J. Staal, I. Baltenweck, M. M. Waithaka, T. DeWolff, and L. Njoroge, "Location and uptake: integrated household and GIS analysis of technology adoption and land use, with application to smallholder dairy farms in Kenya," *Agric. Econ.*, vol. 27, no. 3, pp. 295–315, Nov. 2002, doi: 10.1111/j.1574-0862.2002.tb00122.x.
- [69] A. Ulutaş, C. B. Karakuş, and A. Topal, "Location selection for logistics center with fuzzy SWARA and CoCoSo methods," *J. Intell. Fuzzy Syst.*, vol. 38, no. 4, pp. 4693–4709, Apr. 2020, doi: 10.3233/jifs-191400.
- [70] I. Graham, *Business rules management and service oriented architecture: a pattern language*. John Wiley & sons, 2007. [Online]. Available: https://books.google.com/books?hl=en&lr=&id=_InzFf5XpeQC&oi=fnd&pg=PR5&dq=SOX+compliance,+energy+audit,+financial+governance,+data-driven+framework,+cost+efficiency,+predictive+controls&ots=sSg5QiQZqC&sig=ngbi_ys5zwEIahID52V1MwPAdQg
- [71] T. Fitzgerald, *CISO COMPASS: navigating cybersecurity leadership challenges with insights from pioneers*. Auerbach Publications, 2018. [Online]. Available: <https://www.taylorfrancis.com/books/mono/10.1201/9780429399015/ciso-compass-todd-fitzgerald>
- [72] S. C. Laval, "Communicating transparency: a genre network approach: how do corporate governance codes-the SOX and the Tabaksblad Code-affect Dutch cross-listed companies' corporate communication?," PhD Thesis, Utrecht University, 2010. [Online]. Available: <https://dspace.library.uu.nl/handle/1874/190313>
- [73] G. Gripsrud and G. R. Benito, "Internationalization in retailing: modeling the pattern of foreign market entry," *J. Bus. Res.*, vol. 58, no. 12, pp. 1672–1680, 2005.
- [74] R. B. Thapa and Y. Murayama, "Land evaluation for peri-urban agriculture using

- analytical hierarchical process and geographic information system techniques: A case study of Hanoi,” *Land Use Policy*, vol. 25, no. 2, pp. 225–239, 2008.
- [75] E. A. Dorgbefe, “Leveraging predictive analytics for real estate marketing to enhance investor decision-making and housing affordability outcomes,” *Int J Eng Technol Res Manag*, vol. 2, no. 12, p. 135, 2018.
- [76] H. Lu, Y. Li, M. Chen, H. Kim, and S. Serikawa, “Brain Intelligence: Go beyond Artificial Intelligence,” *Mob. Netw. Appl.*, vol. 23, no. 2, pp. 368–375, Apr. 2018, doi: 10.1007/s11036-017-0932-8.
- [77] H. Chen, R. H. Chiang, and V. C. Storey, “Business intelligence and analytics: From big data to big impact,” *MIS Q.*, pp. 1165–1188, 2012.
- [78] M. Mariani, R. Baggio, M. Fuchs, and W. Höepken, “Business intelligence and big data in hospitality and tourism: a systematic literature review,” *Int. J. Contemp. Hosp. Manag.*, vol. 30, no. 12, pp. 3514–3554, 2018.
- [79] O. P. Rud, *Business intelligence success factors: tools for aligning your business in the global economy*, vol. 18. John Wiley & Sons, 2009. [Online]. Available: https://books.google.com/books?hl=en&lr=&id=7UfEDwAAQBAJ&oi=fnd&pg=PR11&dq=Data+centers,+market+intelligence,+AI+models,+emerging+markets,+site+selection,+geospatial+analytics&ots=KWQR-WSchHb&sig=QpmsGjn_yHo3h5CBydQve174A8U
- [80] J. Ranjan, “Business intelligence: Concepts, components, techniques and benefits,” *J. Theor. Appl. Inf. Technol.*, vol. 9, no. 1, pp. 60–70, 2009.
- [81] R. L. Church and A. T. Murray, *Business site selection, location analysis, and GIS*. John Wiley & Sons Hoboken, NJ, 2009. [Online]. Available: <http://ndl.ethernet.edu.et/bitstream/123456789/22145/1/45.pdf>
- [82] S. Zaheer and S. Manrakhan, “Concentration and Dispersion in Global Industries: Remote Electronic Access and the Location of Economic Activities,” *J. Int. Bus. Stud.*, vol. 32, no. 4, pp. 667–686, Dec. 2001, doi: 10.1057/palgrave.jibs.8490989.
- [83] T. Yigitcanlar, K. C. Desouza, L. Butler, and F. Roozkhosh, “Contributions and risks of artificial intelligence (AI) in building smarter cities: Insights from a systematic review of the literature,” *Energies*, vol. 13, no. 6, p. 1473, 2020.
- [84] E. Turban, *Decision support and business intelligence systems*. Pearson Education India, 2011.
- [85] V. L. Sauter, *Decision support systems for business intelligence*. John Wiley & Sons, 2014. [Online]. Available: https://books.google.com/books?hl=en&lr=&id=t09YBAAAQBAJ&oi=fnd&pg=PT13&dq=Data+centers,+market+intelligence,+AI+models,+emerging+markets,+site+selection,+geospatial+analytics&ots=zt5l_uuNiq&sig=pzHmSzVOHxcnqBqDUzXix-C3Iww
- [86] R. Kumari and A. K. Sharma, “Determinants of foreign direct investment in developing countries: a panel data study,” *Int. J. Emerg. Mark.*, vol. 12, no. 4, pp. 658–682, 2017.
- [87] K. Zhang, Y. Chen, and C. Li, “Discovering the tourists’ behaviors and perceptions in a tourism destination by analyzing photos’ visual content with a computer deep learning model: The case of Beijing,” *Tour. Manag.*, vol. 75, pp. 595–608, 2019.
- [88] P. J. Gruenewald, B. Freisthler, L. Remer, E. A. LaScala, and A. Treno, “Ecological models of alcohol outlets and violent assaults: crime potentials and geospatial analysis,” *Addiction*, vol. 101, no. 5, pp. 666–677, May 2006, doi: 10.1111/j.1360-0443.2006.01405.x.
- [89] J. A. Carrión, A. E. Estrella, F. A. Dols, M. Z. Toro, M. Rodríguez, and A. R. Ridao, “Environmental decision-support systems for evaluating the carrying capacity of land areas: Optimal site selection for grid-connected

- photovoltaic power plants,” *Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.*, vol. 12, no. 9, pp. 2358–2380, 2008.
- [90] R. J. Hijmans, G. A. Forbes, and T. S. Walker, “Estimating the global severity of potato late blight with GIS-linked disease forecast models,” *Plant Pathol.*, vol. 49, no. 6, pp. 697–705, Dec. 2000, doi: 10.1046/j.1365-3059.2000.00511.x.
- [91] M. Demirbag and K. W. Glaister, “Factors Determining Offshore Location Choice for R&D Projects: A Comparative Study of Developed and Emerging Regions,” *J. Manag. Stud.*, vol. 47, no. 8, pp. 1534–1560, Dec. 2010, doi: 10.1111/j.1467-6486.2010.00948.x.
- [92] R. L. Hess, R. S. Rubin, and L. A. West Jr, “Geographic information systems as a marketing information system technology,” *Decis. Support Syst.*, vol. 38, no. 2, pp. 197–212, 2004.
- [93] W. Sun et al., “Geospatial Analysis of Urban Expansion Using Remote Sensing Methods and Data: A Case Study of Yangtze River Delta, China,” *Complexity*, vol. 2020, pp. 1–12, Aug. 2020, doi: 10.1155/2020/3239471.
- [94] S. Datta, “Bio-Inspired Energy Dynamics,” 2010, [Online]. Available: <https://dspace.mit.edu/handle/1721.1/53329>
- [95] S. P. A. Datta, J. Lyu, and H.-C. Jen, “Bio-inspired Energy Future: Quest for Efficient Intelligent Mitochondria and New Liquid Fuels,” *Int. J. Electron. Bus. Manag.*, vol. 9, no. 1, 2011, [Online]. Available: <https://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&profile=ehost&scope=site&authtype=crawler&jrnl=17282047&AN=59523468&h=RJmFpvzJtfQradJVBda%2BSym2ewO1EYnLhf%2FEd1IFrcP%2FVAwgiwZoudX6FtBLqXI34xFXh958%2FUX9WfT24LQCow%3D%3D&crl=c>
- [96] B. Resch et al., “GIS-based planning and modeling for renewable energy: Challenges and future research avenues,” *ISPRS Int. J. Geo-Inf.*, vol. 3, no. 2, pp. 662–692, 2014.
- [97] M. H. Vahidnia, A. A. Alesheikh, and A. Alimohammadi, “Hospital site selection using fuzzy AHP and its derivatives,” *J. Environ. Manage.*, vol. 90, no. 10, pp. 3048–3056, 2009.
- [98] A. Y. Sun and B. R. Scanlon, “How can Big Data and machine learning benefit environment and water management: a survey of methods, applications, and future directions,” *Environ. Res. Lett.*, vol. 14, no. 7, p. 073001, 2019.
- [99] C. Geldes, C. Felzensztein, E. Turkina, and A. Durand, “How does proximity affect interfirm marketing cooperation? A study of an agribusiness cluster,” *J. Bus. Res.*, vol. 68, no. 2, pp. 263–272, 2015.
- [100] A. Jaafari, E. K. Zenner, M. Panahi, and H. Shahabi, “Hybrid artificial intelligence models based on a neuro-fuzzy system and metaheuristic optimization algorithms for spatial prediction of wildfire probability,” *Agric. For. Meteorol.*, vol. 266, pp. 198–207, 2019.
- [101] S. Shekhar, M. R. Evans, J. M. Kang, and P. Mohan, “Identifying patterns in spatial information: A survey of methods,” *WIREs Data Min. Knowl. Discov.*, vol. 1, no. 3, pp. 193–214, May 2011, doi: 10.1002/widm.25.
- [102] D. R. Desai and J. A. Kroll, “Trust but verify: A guide to algorithms and the law,” *Harv JL Tech*, vol. 31, p. 1, 2017.
- [103] V. Chang, R. Valverde, M. Ramachandran, and C.-S. Li, “Toward business integrity modeling and analysis framework for risk measurement and analysis,” *Appl. Sci.*, vol. 10, no. 9, p. 3145, 2020.
- [104] A. Borek, A. K. Parlikad, J. Webb, and P. Woodall, *Total information risk management: maximizing the value of data and information assets*. Newnes, 2013. [Online]. Available: <https://books.google.com/books?hl=en&lr=&id=GEaUoHtJ1b8C&oi=fnd&pg=PP1&dq=SOX+compliance,+energy+audit,+financial+governance,+data-driven+framework,+cost+efficiency,+predictive+controls&ots=ymEzRmwuXq&sig=pUSjDXcYXqZkQ5pWx3kof9bHMzU>

- [105] N. Odendaal, "Information and communication technology and local governance: Understanding the difference between cities in developed and emerging economies," *Comput. Environ. Urban Syst.*, vol. 27, no. 6, pp. 585–607, 2003.
- [106] G. Câmara and F. Fonseca, "Information policies and open source software in developing countries," *J. Am. Soc. Inf. Sci. Technol.*, vol. 58, no. 1, pp. 121–132, Jan. 2007, doi: 10.1002/asi.20444.
- [107] R. Shearmur, "Innovation, Regions and Proximity: From Neo-Regionalism to Spatial Analysis," *Reg. Stud.*, vol. 45, no. 9, pp. 1225–1243, Oct. 2011, doi: 10.1080/00343404.2010.484416.