Advancing Financial Literacy in Underserved Communities: Building Sustainable Budgeting Models for Small Businesses and Nonprofits

VIMBAI HLAHLA [1], MUNASHE NAPHTALI MUPA[2], CATHERINE DANDA[3]
[1]*Institute of Energy Management of Africa*
[2]*Hult International Business School*
[3]*University of Northern Iowa*

***Abstract-** The study aims to understand how technology, ethics, and inclusivity can contribute to financial literacy and budgeting in underserved populations. As artificial intelligence (AI) becomes increasingly relevant, new prospects have presented themselves to the realm of individuals and small businesses to have access to personalized financial instruments. This paper examines how finance assistants based on AI and automated budgeting tools can promote decision-making with the adequate knowledge, as well as how to solve ethical and governance issues associated with them. At the same time, it explores impediments like linguistic exclusion, digital inaccessibility, and insufficiency of institutional trust that still influence at-risk communities, particularly immigrants and low-income communities. Using the comparative thematic analysis of AI-based and community-based financial architecture, such as participatory budgeting and programs supporting SME empowerment, the present paper singles out innovative practices that contribute to increasing financial autonomy. It concludes by suggesting integrative policies that would require the fusion of AI literacy, ethical monitoring, and inclusive design. Such cross-sector plans are important in bringing a sense of equity, accountability, and responsiveness in digital and traditional financial literacy practices to various needs of the communities.*

I. INTRODUCTION

Small businesses and nonprofit organizations in the United States are extremely important drivers in the economic and social development of the country and especially in underserved communities. Nevertheless, a substantial number of them cannot overcome the financial instability they face because of the lack of inclusion into the financial literacy resources and the unproductive behavior of related budgeting (Das, 2024; Aguilar et al., 2024). Financial exclusion, particularly in immigrant communities, low-income families, and non-English speakers, continues to be a significant obstacle to economic engagement and organizational viability (Andreuccetti, 2024). Failure to have such culturally and linguistically sensitive financial education exposes a large number of these individuals and organizations to poor cash flow management, cycles of debt, and bankrupt enterprises.

The existing financial environment is being swept in great turmoil with the insertion of artificial intelligence (AI) and digital technologies. Since this transformation has the potential to scale access to budget-related support and customized financial advice, it brings ethical questions concerning data confidentiality and privacy and discrimination of the algorithm, together with accessibility (Agarwal, Ray, and Varghese, 2024; Aror and Mupa, 2025). Furthermore, major events like the WorldCom accounting scandal remind Americans of the prevalent dangers of losing financial ethics and governance and, in so doing, worsening distrust in the financial system in already disenfranchised groups (Aror and Naphtali, 2025).

This paper discusses how the multidimensional challenges of sustainable budgeting can be resolved with the help of: 1) the AI-enhanced tools and 2) the community-based financial literacy programs. It seeks to determine evidence-based systems that enable small businesses and nonprofits to enhance their abilities to manage their finances in underserved communities in the United States. The research also examines the ways through which technological innovations, policy interventions, and inclusive educational processes can be amalgamated to establish financial resilience. This paper will make contributions to the existing debates on fair economic growth and the future of financial literacy with regard to digitally diverse and changing demographics through a combination of interdisciplinary research and case studies.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

Financial Literacy in Underserved Populations

Highly vulnerable groups in the United States, such as immigrants, individuals living in the rural areas, and the ethnic and linguistic minorities, have particularly deep-rooted access issues to financial literacy that undermine their economic opportunities to access the economy on equal terms. Andreuccetti (2024) explains that the various problems faced by immigrants and/or the non-English-speaking people are the inability to gain available programs of financial education that are culturally sound and linguistically recruited. Most of the educational platforms and financial institutions continue using English-focused resources, disregarding this multicultural and multilingual environment. This discrimination does not only restrict the monetary potential of the individuals under discount but further restricts the development of businesses as well as nonprofit organizations run by the immigrant community serving these members.

Advancing this point, Awaluddin et al. (2025) highlight the importance of grassroots-based financial literacy programs focused on local demands, especially when it comes to the development of small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs). Their analysis of community-based training practice proves that as the questions of literacy become contextualized and are offered in the forms of the local languages, inclusive approaches, and relatable case examples, the level of participation and retention grows considerably. These results lead to the conclusion that cultural consistency and a sense of financial edification among the poor are the foundation to the success of these financial education interventions.

The synthesis of all these studies indicates that there is a weakness in the conventional financial education programs: they are too centralized and unrelated to the real lives of marginalized communities. Whereas Andreuccetti (2024) emphasizes the linguistic and information barriers existing within the formal institution, the respective article by Awaluddin et al. (2025) claims that localized interventions, which are sustained by community structures, are more effective in filling the knowledge-practice gap in terms of financial knowledge and its application in practice. This discrepancy demands a two-pronged approach: reinforcing formal systems and enhancing grassroots-based solutions that are locally grounded.

Budgeting and Cash Flow in SMEs and Nonprofits

Building sustainable budgets and managing cash flows are key to the survival and growth of small businesses and nonprofits, but it is an area of serious weakness in most underserved communities. Babina (2025) is arguing something coherent regarding the idea of using participatory budgeting in order to make the financial decision-making within the organizations more democratic. Established in the field of education, this model involves participants/stakeholders in budget allocation decisions, improving transparency, accountability, and trust. In the case of nonprofits and smaller businesses, particularly those relying on the support of the community at large, these participatory strategies may translate into improved donor relations as well as improved internal solidarity.

Nevertheless, there are also other obstacles to budgeting systems other than design and his involvement, which is ethical governance. Day-to-day management practices and misguiding internal control were the main factors that caused the WorldCom case, as observed by Aror and Naphtali (2025), to end in a crippling disaster. Although the nature of this corporate scandal might be imagined to be remote to the nonprofit field, the principle of ethical breakdown is approachable to all types of organizations. Micro-organizations, which usually have no official oversight mechanisms, are especially likely to endure mismanagement and a lack of transparency. The case of WorldCom explains why strong financial governance is essential within even resource-scarce environments.

In comparison, Babina (2025) favors the involvement of budgetary within the financial decision-making process, whereas Aror and Naphtali (2025) are cautious of undesired consequences related to unrestricted financial power. Collectively, they offer both sides of the same coin: participatory systems will guard against financial misconduct, as oversight responsibility is decentralized, and financial misbehavior is commonly rooted in and exacerbated by centralized financial control at the core. The combination of these views reinforces the claim of ethical, inclusive budgetary models involving not only the structural protection but also that of the community.

Role of Financial Inclusion and Community-Based Education

Community-based education has become one of the main approaches that may be used to increase financial inclusion within underserved communities. Das (2024) also affirms that financial education programs should be strictly limited to such measures as the improvement of the whole social development within informational practices that can affect the reduction of the poverty rates and income inequality. One of the supporting points of his work is that financial literacy is not only an individual technical competence but also a structural facilitator, which enables people to move within sophisticated systems, get access to credit, and be engaged in the economic life.

Awaluddin et al. (2025), nevertheless, offer a more focused point of view with regard to their empirical account on SME development. Their arguments include the direct relation of education to business activities, providing practical tools that give budget allocations, bookkeeping, and easy cash flow management. According to their results, excessively academic or theoretical strategies of addressing the issue will tend to alienate the customers, especially under low-income conditions where the first survival needs dominate the plans of their future financial benefit.

Noteworthy, Das (2024) contextualizes financial literacy as a development necessity that has systematic repercussions, whereas Awaluddin et al. (2025) envision it as a locally focused, practical experience. The macro-analysis by Das is policy- and infrastructure-oriented, as opposed to the micro-level, situation-sensitive intervention of Awaluddin et al. The two sides are equally correct, but their effectiveness lies in their application. A national financial inclusion plan cannot be successful without the involvement of grassroots, and likewise, community programs cannot be sustainable without the involvement of institutions.

The gap between these approaches needs to be bridged with integrated models, which integrate the top-down policy assistance and bottom-up educational involvement. As an example, locally led mechanisms of delivery can be introduced into state-funded programs, whereby the strategic frameworks are to be implemented with the help of known and reliable channels within the community. This requires integration that is crucial in realizing long-term, inclusive results in financial literacy and economic empowerment.

III. THEORETICAL AND CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK

The study is grounded in the two overlapping theories, the financial empowerment theory and corporate governance ethics that are further developed using a modern perspective of the technological invention, especially the use of AI. These models facilitate a detailed analysis of how the community-based financial literacy program interacts with larger structural and ethical circumstances within the financial systems.

Deep down, the theory of financial empowerment focuses on the notion that the community and the individual attain agency and better well-being once subjects have access to a combination of financial tools, knowledge, and support networks. Agarwal et al. (2024) suggest an artificial intelligence-powered expansion of this theory with an example of how artificial intelligence can make personalized financial advice more democratic, automate the process of budgeting assistance, and modify the learning process based on the behavioral patterns of users. The innovation is especially important to the marginalized groups, as the conventional education channels are not necessarily available. The introduction of the AI thus provides a scalable model of the aforementioned “financial inclusion via personalization” that questions the traditional approach to financial literacy fixation of the one-size-fits-all model.

Employing this lens of empowerment is also a corporate risk and ethics governance system, which is an attack on the state of financial decision-making and accountability structure within the private sector and that of the nonprofits. Aror and Naphtali (2025) emphasize the failures of governance found in the historical scandals, like WorldCom, as it shows the critical need for open budgeting and ethical risk management, particularly in organizations safeguarding the community. In the meantime, Aror and Mupa (2025) contribute to this criticism by correlating unethical practices in governance with systemic inefficiencies and the heightened vulnerability of low-income players, who most commonly bear the brunt of unworthy deployment in the matter of financial management.

Combined, these frameworks highlight how individual financial competence and institutional integrity are mutually supportive. A budget initially needs to be combined with financial literacy, and it is incomplete without accountable, inclusive, and transparent systems. As an example, participatory budgeting, as advocated in the model offered by Babina (2025), represents a viable plan in which community members with power and ethical organizations share value creation.

In such a way, the study considers a twofold idea of education and AI as empowerment as well as ethical governance and accountability. This conceptual synergy will allow a holistic exploration of how underserved communities can be supplemented not only based on the transfer of knowledge but also on the ethical application of technology-based systems that can be used to reinforce sustainable financial practices.

IV. METHODOLOGY

This examination uses qualitative comparative thematic research to discuss the role of financial literacy and budgeting behavior relative to sustainable community development, especially with the augmentations of technology and grass-roots involvement. Peer-reviewed academic sources, policy papers, and case-based studies represent a broad scope of research done by scholars and released between 2019 and 2025.

The research follows a multi-phase process. A scoping study was firstly performed, during which potential models and frameworks of financial empowerment in different settings, including low-income and middle-income, were identified. The preference was made to literature discussing the role of AI-based financial models and community-based budgeting systems. As a case in point, Agarwal et al. (2024) give a clear picture of how the application of artificial intelligence gives rise to individual financial education and budgeting services, including the underserved communities. Their model shows how digital ecosystems have the capacity to close financial literacy gaps at scale.

The participatory and culturally based frameworks outlined by Awaluddin et al. (2025), in their turn, provide the information on how community-led budgeting could increase collective agency, transparency, and trust. Their piece of work is more especially pertinent in the assessment of the social aspects of an educational experience of finances in communal and rural settings. These two conflicting but complementary approaches were chosen to undergo the comparative thematic analysis in order to define the overlapping themes, tensions, and chances to integrate the approaches.

Analysis of data was done by encoding the important themes, which are accessibility, scalability, ethical accountability, and knowledge transfer. The paper provides a balanced analysis of the ways in which various models can be applied by comparing AI-powered and community-based approaches and thus contrasting similarities in core principles and disagreements in outcomes.

This methodology is flexible and provides depth to understanding the dynamic nature of financial literacy and budgeting even as it demands the historic/portable nature of every model in relation to particular populations and development agendas.

V. KEY CHALLENGES IN FINANCIAL LITERACY FOR UNDERSERVED COMMUNITIES

Regardless of the increased consciousness of the necessity of financial literacy, underserved groups still experience institutional difficulties resulting in reduced access to efficient financial education and resources. Access to a language, particularly among immigrants, has been one of the thorniest concerns. As Andreuccetti (2024) notes, financial products and services tend to be presented in national languages that are dominant, thus not being accessible to a great number of migrants and refugees. Such an absence of culturally and linguistically inclusive materials results in misinformed monetary judgments and additional stigmatization.

The absence of ethical trust in financial institutions, especially in the communities that had historically been excluded, is another major obstacle. According to Aror and Naphtali (2025), distrust can very well be based on the previous experiences of exploitation, discrimination, or being left out of the formal banking system. This distrust applies also to more recent technology-oriented financial services that may add to the risks because of a lack of transparency on how the algorithms work and safeguards to govern the systems.

Technological inaccessibility further complicates efforts to promote financial literacy. A large number of low-income families do not have access to a reliable internet connection or digital devices and the digital literacy skills required to use digital financial tools. The misuse or misalignment of the AI-powered platforms can lead to new exclusions even when such tools are developed. According to Aror and Mupa (2025), the unquestioning promulgation of AI in financial services must be avoided, as bias in algorithms and non-transparency of data exploitation may enable dominant groups to further solidify the status quo as opposed to fixing the issue. These obstacles demonstrate that financial literacy programs cannot be limited to general education models. To be truly effective, they need to deal with cultural, ethical, and infrastructural aspects. Unless a design is deliberate and inclusive, well-meaning interventions can become so inaccessible as to be completely exclusionary of the communities they are supposed to empower.

VI. EVIDENCE-BASED BUDGETING AND CASH FLOW MODELS

The present-day level of budgeting in underserved populations is becoming more evidence-based by means of combining the conventional financial management with the modern technology aspects. These models involve attention to accurate tracking, realistic forecasting, and inclusion of participation to ensure long-term financial health and community empowerment.

Among the most powerful innovations of the last years is the usage of AI-based financial advisors that can provide you with real-time budgetary recommendations, mechanizedsavings options, and even prediction-based spending forecasts. Agarwal et al. (2024) claim that using AI-driven platforms such as these can assist users (especially those with low-income backgrounds) to organize their personal cash flow more efficiently since such platforms provide users with contextualized prompts informed by data. Such systems ease the mental effort required with manual budgeting and may be particularly helpful to communities in which financial literacy is being established. But the distribution is uneven, and there are still fears related to bias and algorithm discrimination as well as privacy.

As opposed to individually oriented AI systems, community participatory budgeting systems also rely on community collective decision-making about financial choices and local knowledge. Her practice case study is about participatory budgeting, in which the members of the community directly determine the disposition of portions of funds in the general budgets (Babina, 2025). This is not only a democratic but also an educational process, which has an educational impact on the raising of finances in the population. Participatory budgeting is more time- and facilitation-demanding than automated systems yet is also associated with the advantage of ensuring social cohesion and transparency.

Although automated budgeting systems are convenient, they are usually not as culturally sensitive and devoid of accountability checks and balances as participatory models. The AI systems are usually run off some predetermined parameters that may not capture the reality of underserved communities, including informal economies of labor and shared household costs. Furthermore, Aror and Mupa (2025) caution that when financial decision-making processes are left up to AI, they will be subject to compliance and ethical blind spots, especially when AI is trained on non-inclusive data sets and is not regulated.

However, AI has proven to aid in financial compliance and transparency, at least among the small organizations in nonprofits that have to work with tight margins. Through automated audit trails, detection of unusual transactions, and prediction of cash flow irregularities, AI technology can assist the community finance leaders to make a diligent decision without losing compliance with regulatory bodies. Such functions are particularly useful in areas where there is a lack of financial experts or where human monitoring is weak and spotty.

The best way to move forward can be the hybrid budgeting models merging the precision and scalability of AI with the trust-building and inclusivity of participatory methods. As an example, artificial intelligence tools may supplement the community-based budgeting process by visualizing the trade-offs or preimagining future budgetary scenarios given proposed spending. Technology in such models is not a replacement for human judgment and community agency.

Finally, budgetary practices targeted at underserved populations should be evidence-based yet humanistic. At an individual or community level, the tools that emphasize transparency, accountability, and accessibility, with cultural sensitivity, will more readily form sustainable economic empowerment.

VII. CASE STUDIES AND APPLICATIONS

Examination of real-life applications of financial literacy and budgeting interventions is a critical way into how theory can be used to push practice along in grassroots communities that are in short supply. Among the numerous examples, one case study carried out by Awaluddin et al. (2025) focuses on grassroots financial literacy programs to empower small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs) in Indonesia. The program structure contributes to its usability, as multilingual materials and face-to-face classes are provided to consider the needs of low-literacy participants. Consequently, the SMEs that were taking part in the program experienced quantitative enhancements in microcredit accessibility, inventory management, and financial planning. The researchers note that culturally and linguistically competent strategies increase program access and retention, especially in poor or immigrant-intensive neighborhoods.

On the contrary, the international trend towards the popularization of such financial management tools as artificial intelligence (AI) hints at the presence of a technologically centered path to accomplishing comparable results. Agarwal et al. (2024) capture the embedding of AI-enabled finance assistants, e.g., mobile applications with natural-language processing (NLP) to assist people to make budgets, monitor their expenditure, and get personal financial advice. Such instruments have particularly paid off in urban and semi-urban communities that can access smartphones. The real-time alerting, predictive cash flow analysis capabilities, and fraud monitoring features accorded to its users are advantages that cannot be beaten by manual methods. Nevertheless, the digital divide has also been a significant obstacle; most communities that lack a stable internet connection or digital skills tend to miss out on these developments.

Another important difference between the AI-oriented and grassroots systems is the origin and character of the financial advice. Whereas AI tools provide automated data-driven explanations, community-based solutions, like the ones reported by Awaluddin et al. (2025), operate based on interpersonal trust, local knowledge, and community problem-solving. Meanwhile, community-based models like the ones reported by Awaluddin et al. (2025) can be based on interpersonal trust, local knowledge, and collective problem-solving. As an example, in the program in Indonesia, budgeting classes occurred in community centers and were facilitated by locally active individuals who were aware of the daily struggles of the participants. Such a model of trust is very different from and opposed to an algorithmic and potentially black box or culturally ill-fitting system.

Another relevant case is that of participatory budgeting referred to by Babina (2025), whereby the community members engage in sharing or distributing the available budget allocated in the community. In different municipalities, this model has been adapted in a manner that enables transparency and involvement of citizens. In low-income areas, participatory budgeting workshops are another technique that gives the residents of these areas practical experience in financial decision-making and trade off analysis. These exercises do not only make public finance demystified but also build a sense of shared ownership and responsibility. Nevertheless, those models are both time- and resource-consuming, with low scalability outside of the institutional settings.

In comparison, the use of AI-based budget monitoring systems is emerging to be adopted into local governments and NGOs, embodying efficiency. Aror and Mupa (2025) provide accounts of how certain companies have implemented the use of AI tools to track their expenses, raise red flags on compliance risks, and anticipate future funding shortfalls. One of the strengths of these systems is speed and pattern recognition, and therefore a faster reaction towards financial abnormalities. Nevertheless, they are not without limitations. Ethical risks of over-relying on algorithms that are not transparent through ethical protection may continue to promote biases or omit more context-sensitive factors when adapting to the human facilitators.

Combined, these cases imply that the effective financial empowerment of underserved groups might necessitate hybrid options. These programs can integrate the communal benefits of community-based deliveries and the analytical power of AI tools. An example of this would be the use of finance assistants in the community centers where there is already a trained staff that can help navigate the users and close the technological and trusted gap between them. This is a hybrid policy recommendation put forth by Awaluddin et al. (2025), who are insistent that AI tools should be localized as opposed to the ideal of scale across applications.

Finally, the existence of case studies in the context of different socioeconomic conditions proves that there is no model that will be equally well accepted. Financial services designed with the help of AI have enormous potential opportunities in terms of efficiency and individuality but run the risk of isolating those who do not have access to digital resources. Concurrently, grassroots movements develop human capacity and trust, but not that they can easily scale and/or be resource-intensive. The comparative review of these frameworks advocates the pluralistic outlook: financial inclusion must be adapted to the local community conditions and levels of infrastructure development, cultural principles, and values. With the development of both AI and participatory models, a combination of the two approaches could produce the outcomes that would be the most equitable and sustainable.

VIII. POLICY IMPLICATIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The combination of artificial intelligence, ethics, and inclusive access provides a beneficial perspective in which policymakers should realize the differences in financial literacy. As evidenced in the study by Aror and Mupa (2025), the introduction of AI into financial systems with negligent approaches to technology can make the existing inequalities even more substantial and provide an unethical way of its use. Hence, a key suggestion is the introduction of guidelines that facilitate AI literacy as well as ones that ensure ethical governance of financial education efforts. This would entail the transparency of algorithms employed by financial applications, legally binding action against the misuse of data, and educational courses on the ability of the user to think critically about a piece of financial advice generated by AI (Agarwal et al., 2024).

The language remains a systemic impediment, particularly to immigrants and people who are not English speaking. Andreuccetti (2024) highlights the fact that linguistic exclusion is one of the unexpressed reasons for financial disempowerment. As a reaction, national financial literacy policies need to implement multilingual standards of communication and culturally specific teaching resources. This implies the investment in interpreters, translations, and outreach that is community-based, which takes the learners where they belong, both physically and conceptually.

In development terms, such models as the ones reviewed by Awaluddin et al. (2025) provide an attractive blueprint. Small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs): Their case study of the rural community found financial literacy interventions led by the community with the use of digital support tools highly contributed to financial planning and improvements of operations. Such models should be scaled across the nation from the perspectives of policymakers, especially with the help of a public-private tie-up and collaboration on the part of nonprofits to ensure ownership of the local area.

Moreover, AI-powered personal finance tools must be democratized. This would involve state funding to make AI assistants more accessible to low-income users and include digital learning courses to help them see through the mysticism of operation. Simultaneously, community participatory budgeting platforms (Babina, 2025) may be included in civic financial education programs so that participants of the latter could engage in the process of decision-making and resource allocation.

Additionally, policy must prioritize cross-sector partnerships. Synergizing ethics, inclusivity, and technological innovation Financial institutions, technology companies, educational organizations, and community groups must co-innovate a National Framework of Ethical Financial Empowerment: a blueprint that builds on continuous ethics, inclusivity, and technological innovation.

CONCLUSION

This study confirms once again that the principles of ethics, AI, and inclusivity should develop the future of financial literacy frameworks. The constantly rising digital and globalized world, however, demands no more than that budgeting be a manual ability that can be taught and no longer prescribes how financial literacy relies exclusively on the responsibility of the needs with zero blame on the part of the government or the society. Rather, what is required is a transformational change systemically with a technological understanding as well as a human-based understanding.

Among the underserved groups, there remains a big challenge of language barriers, the lack of ethical trust, and technological exclusion. However, solutions are within reach. Ethical applied procedures can promote access and customization in the integration of AI in the field of financial education. In the interim, community-based paradigms, as manifest in studies by Awaluddin et al. (2025), portray the fact that empowerment is more effective when it is locally location-based and culturally responsive.

Inclusive ecosystems are highly scalable, and therefore evidence-based approaches to taking budgeting to the next level through artificially intelligent or community-led budgeting strategies have vast potential when introduced on such platforms. Policy needs to change in order to promote these innovations through solid governance, equal access, and cross-sector collaboration over time.

As such, financial literacy should not be restrictively positioned as an educational goal but as a multidimensional policy of socializing and economic stability. Only in combination with ethical standards and inclusive design can AI become a powerful enabler. Therefore, the research and practice moving forward should focus on the development of financially empowered communities in which technology is not a means of replacing human agency but instead enhancing it.

REFERENCES

[1] Adebiyi, O., Nwokedi, A.O. and Mupa, M.N. (2025). An Analysis of Financial Strategies, and Internal Controls for the Sustainability of SMME'S in the United States. [online] 8(7), pp.340–356. Available at: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/388080729_An_Analysis_of_Financial_Strategies_and_Internal_Controls_for_the_Sustainability_of_SMME%27S_in_the_United_States.

[2] Agarwal, V., Ray, R. and Varghese, N. (2024). *An AI-Powered Personal Finance Assistant: Enhancing Financial Literacy and Management*. [online] ResearchGate. doi:https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.10706.57280.

[3] Aguilar, T., Chavez, M., Cristhel, M., Rayos, Remoquin, K., Cristina, M. and Melo, F. (2024). Cash Management Practices and the Financial Performance of Micro-Enterprises. *American International Journal of Business Management*, [online] 07. Available at: https://www.aijbm.com/wp-content/uploads/2024/12/U712208219.pdf.

[4] Andreuccetti, J. (2024). Understanding Financial Literacy in Immigrants and Non-English Speakers in the United States. [online] SR Online: Showcase(Equinox 2024). doi:https://doi.org/10.70121/001c.124916.

[5] Andreuccetti, J. (2024). Understanding Financial Literacy in Immigrants and Non-English Speakers in the United States. [online] SR Online: Showcase(Equinox 2024). doi:https://doi.org/10.70121/001c.124916.

[6] Aror Tina, A. and Naphtali, M.M., 2025. WorldCom and the collapse of ethics: A case study in accounting fraud and corporate governance failure.

[7] Aror, T.A. and Mupa, M.N. (2025). Risk and compliance paper what role does Artificial Intelligence (AI) play in enhancing risk management practices in corporations? *World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews*, 27(1), pp.1072–1080. doi:https://doi.org/10.30574/wjarr.2025.27.1.2607.

[8] Awaluddin, S.P., Paula, E.W., Tamriesfatno, S., Adijah, A.A.S. and Khair, A.U. (2025). Empowering Communities through Financial Literacy Initiatives for SME Development. *Golden Ratio of Community Services and Dedication*, [online] 5(2), pp.10–21. doi:https://doi.org/10.52970/grcsd.v5i2.589.

[9] Babina, Y.V. (2025). Student initiative budgeting in the context of increasing financial inclusion. *Professional education in the modern world*, [online] 14(4), pp.633–646. doi:https://doi.org/10.20913/2618-7515-2024-4-9.

[10] Babina, Y.V. (2025). Student initiative budgeting in the context of increasing financial inclusion. *Professional education in the modern world*, [online] 14(4), pp.633–646. doi:https://doi.org/10.20913/2618-7515-2024-4-9.

[11] Das, S. (2024). Financial Literacy and Education in Enhancing Financial Inclusion and Poverty Alleviation. *Sustainable finance*, pp.127–144. doi:https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-67523-2_8.

[12] Das, S. (2024). Financial Literacy and Education in Enhancing Financial Inclusion and Poverty Alleviation. *Sustainable finance*, pp.127–144. doi:https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-67523-2_8.

[13] Govindharaj, Y. (2025). *AI-Enabled Sustainable Growth: Merging Green Economy, Organic Trade, Financial Inclusion, and Digital Literacy for Future-Ready Business Models -An Assessment*. [online] Available at: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/394224835_AI-Enabled_Sustainable_Growth_Merging_Green_Economy_Organic_Trade_Financial_Inclusion_And_Digital_Literacy_For_Future-Ready_Business_Models_-An_Assessment.

[14] Iqbal, K. (2024). Empowering Rural Communities Through Financial Literacy and Micro-Budgeting Training. *Assoeltan: Indonesian Journal of Community Research and Engagement*, 3(1), pp.21–31. doi:https://doi.org/10.70610/assoeltan.v3i1.805.

[15] Joy, B., Gadga, V., Elly, B. and Mio, J. (2025). *Examining the Role of Financial Literacy Programs in Enhancing the Impact of Financial Inclusion...* [online] ResearchGate. Available at: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/387998601_Examining_the_Role_of_Financial_Literacy_Programs_in_Enhancing_the_Impact_of_Financial_Inclusion_Initiatives_on_Small_and_Medium_Enterprises_SMEs_in_Underserved_Urban_Areas.

[16] Kuteesa, K.N., Akpuokwe, C.U. and Udeh, C.A. (2024). Empowering marginalized communities through legal and financial literacy: The development and impact of the LEFL-Framework. *International Journal of Scholarly Research and Reviews*, 4(2), pp.024–040. doi:https://doi.org/10.56781/ijsrr.2024.4.2.0030.

[17] Kyeyune, G.N. and Ntayi, J.M. (2025). Empowering rural communities: the role of financial literacy and management in sustainable development. *Frontiers in Human Dynamics*, 6. doi:https://doi.org/10.3389/fhumd.2024.1424126.

[18] Laghari, F. and Ahmed, F. (2023). Cash flow management and its effect on firm performance: Empirical evidence on non-financial firms of China. *PLoS ONE*, [online] 18(6). doi:https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0287135.

[19] Lanciano, E., Previati, D.A., Ricci, O. and Santilli, G. (2025). *Sustainable Finance and Impact Investments in Micro-Small and Medium Enterprises: The Role of Financial Literacy*. [online] doi:https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-81178-4_2.

[20] Mahesha, V. (2023). Financial Literacy For Empowering Financially Marginalized People Of Backward Areas. [online] 25, pp.7–12. doi:https://doi.org/10.9790/487X-2508040712.

[21] Mohamadzade, S., Sedighi, M. and Zamani, M.S. (2018). An Efficient and Exact Synthesis of N-Qubit Diagonal Ring Gates into Clifford and T Gates. *2018 Iranian Conference on Electrical Engineering (ICEE)*, [online] pp.1647–1651. doi:https://doi.org/10.1109/ICEE.2018.8472618.

[22] Molchan, S. (2022). Importance of Developing Financially Literate Families and Communities: Opportunities for FCS Educators. *Journal of Educational Research and Practice*, 12(1). doi:https://doi.org/10.5590/jerap.2022.12.1.05.

[23] Mupa, M.N., Chiganze, F.R., Mpofu, T.I. and Mubvuta, M. (2024a). The Evolving Role of Management Accountants in Risk Management and Internal Controls in the Energy Sector. *ResearchGate*, [online] 8(2), pp.859–881. Available at: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/384055180_The_Evolving_Role_of_Management_Accountants_in_Risk_Management_and_Internal_Controls_in_the_Energy_Sector.

[24] Mupa, M.N., Pamful, E.E., Nnaji, J.C. and Adu-Boahen, J. (2024b). The Nature and Effect of Government Policies and Financial Institutions' Structure on The Growth and Development of Startups: An Introduction. [online] 8(2), pp.253–272. Available at: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/383023434_The_Nature_and_Effect_of_Government_Policies_and_Financial_Institutions%27_Structure_on_The_Growth_and_Development_of_Startups_An_Introduction.

[25] Mustapha, D. and Adeleye, A. (2022). Advancing cybersecurity awareness programs: A model for sustainable digital literacy in underserved communities. *Open Access Research Journal of Multidisciplinary Studies*, [online] 3(2), pp.111–128. doi:https://doi.org/10.53022/oarjms.2022.3.2.0048.

[26] Narku, T., Urefe, N.O., Biney, N.E. and Obeng, N.S. (2024). Comprehensive financial strategies for achieving sustainable growth in small businesses. *Finance & Accounting Research Journal*, 6(8), pp.1349–1374. doi:https://doi.org/10.51594/farj.v6i8.1376.

[27] Ogundeji, I.A., Ibeh, A.I., Ewim, C.P.M. and Achumie, G.O., 2025. Legal Empowerment and Financial Literacy: A Transformative Framework for Socioeconomic Equity in Underserved Communities. *Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports*, *19*(3), pp.201-214.

[28] Oladunni, A.T., Vincent, O.O., Adiat, R.K., Bello, O. and Oremeyi, C. (2025). An exploratory analysis of the impact of financial literacy on the profitability of small- scale businesses in Suburban Lagos, Nigeria. *World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews*, [online] 25(01), pp.1191–1201. doi:https://doi.org/10.30574/wjarr.2025.25.1.0174.

[29] Oluoch, J. (2016). The Impact of Cash Management Practices on Performance of SMEs: A Survey of SMEs in Eldoret Central Business District. *IOSR Journal of Economics and Finance*, 7(6), pp.1-07. doi:https://doi.org/10.9790/5933-0706030107.

[30] Opeyemi, A.O., Ewim, S.E., Sam-Bulya, N.J. and Ogundipe, B.T., 2024. Leveraging AI-driven financial literacy and tax compliance solutions to foster economic growth in underserved communities and small businesses. *International Journal of Advanced Economics*.

[31] Shafik, R., Wheeldon, A. and Yakovlev, A. (2020). Explainability and Dependability Analysis of Learning Automata based AI Hardware. *Newcastle University ePrints (Newcastle Univesity)*. doi:https://doi.org/10.1109/iolts50870.2020.9159725.

[32] Xu, X. (2018). Assessing a Community-Based Financial Literacy Program: A Case Study in California's Silicon Valley. *Journal of Financial Counseling and Planning*, 29(1), pp.142–153. doi:https://doi.org/10.1891/1052-3073.29.1.142.

[33] Zhuwankinyu, E.K., Mupa, M.N. and Tafirenyika, S. (2025). Graph-based security models for AI-driven data storage: A novel approach to protecting classified documents. *World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews*, 26(2), pp.1108–1124. doi:https://doi.org/10.30574/wjarr.2025.26.2.1631.