History of Modern Accounting: A Self Audit Accounting System (SAAS)

TRI JUNARSO
PT Wisesa Latih Indonesia

Abstract- ***Modern accounting has moved beyond the static, periodic audit paradigm toward real time, self verifying systems. This article traces the evolution of accounting from double entry bookkeeping to the contemporary Self Audit Accounting System (SAAS), a framework that fuses two critical engines: the Financial Matrix—an automated, ledger wide balance verification engine—and the Braille Index—an AI driven anomaly detection algorithm. By embedding continuous validation and predictive risk analytics into the financial reporting pipeline, SAAS elevates data integrity, strengthens corporate governance, and restores confidence among shareholders and other stakeholders. The paper presents a benchmark comparison with legacy systems, illustrates a practical implementation, and delivers a board room ready risk dashboard.***

Index Terms- ***Self Audit Accounting, Financial Matrix, Braille Index, Continuous Assurance, Corporate Governance, AI Based Anomaly Detection, Financial Reporting.***

The last century has witnessed three revolutions in accounting practice:

1. Mechanistic Automation (1950-1970) – Mainframe-driven batch processing of ledgers.
2. Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP) Integration (1990-2005) – Real-time data sharing across finance, procurement, and inventory.
3. Intelligent Self-Audit (2010-present) – Continuous, AI-assisted validation embedded directly in the accounting engine.

The third wave addresses the fundamental limitation of traditional audits: *they are retrospective, intermittent, and costly*. Modern enterprises demand assurance that every transaction is instantly reconciled and that emerging risks are flagged before they propagate through the financial statements. The Self-Audit Accounting System (SAAS) fulfills this demand by applying continuous cross-module validation (Financial Matrix) and predictive anomaly detection (Braille Index).

I. INTRODUCTION

II. DEFINITION OF CORE CONCEPTS

Term	Formal Definition	Role in SAAS
Self-Audit Accounting System (SAAS)	An integrated accounting architecture that automatically validates every journal entry across all financial statements and continuously monitors data for statistical anomalies using machine-learning models.	Provides *real-time* assurance and early-warning signals.
Financial Matrix	A rule-based engine that enforces the accounting equation (Assets = Liabilities + Equity) at the transaction level, propagating changes instantly across the Balance Sheet, Income Statement, Cash Flow Statement, and supporting schedules.	Guarantees debit-credit integrity, validates capital spending, depreciation, revenue recognition, etc.
Braille Index	An AI/ML-powered anomaly detection algorithm (named for its “touch-sensing” of irregularities)	Highlights risk patterns such as revenue-delivery mismatches, expense

Term	Formal Definition	Role in SAAS
	that analyses multi-dimensional financial data streams to surface outliers, hidden risks, and potential misclassifications.	spikes, tax asset anomalies, and inventory discrepancies.
Governance-Driven Visibility	The systematic exposure of financial processes, controls, and risk indicators to internal and external stakeholders through dashboards and audit trails.	Improves compliance, behavior, and stakeholder confidence.

III. HISTORICAL CONTEXT

Era	Accounting Paradigm	Limitations	Transition Trigger
Double-Entry (1494-1950)	Manual ledgers, periodic trial balances	Human error, time-lag, lack of scalability	Advent of computers
Batch Automation (1950-1990)	Mainframe processing, periodic reconciliation	Inflexibility, batch latency, siloed data	Emergence of relational databases
ERP Integration (1990-2010)	Integrated modules (SAP, Oracle), real-time posting	Complex customizations, still periodic audit cycles	AI/ML breakthroughs & regulatory pressure (e.g., SOX, IFRS 15)
SAAS (2010-present)	Continuous self-audit, AI anomaly detection	Early adoption cost, data-quality prerequisites	Need for instantaneous risk insight and stakeholder trust

Tri Junarso's seminal work *"AI Based Financial System: The Way Financial Matrix and Braille Index Improve Financial Reporting"* (APIC, 2018) documents the decisive shift from periodic external assurance to embedded internal assurance, establishing SAAS as a *benchmark* for modern finance functions.

IV. ARCHITECTURAL OVERVIEW OF SAAS

4.1 Financial Matrix Engine

1. Event Listener – Captures every posting (debit or credit) in the General Ledger (GL).
2. Rule Repository – Stores accounting policies (e.g., "Capital expenditures must increase a corresponding asset and cash outflow").
3. Propagation Engine – Auto-updates related line items:
   - *Balance Sheet* (Assets, Liabilities, Equity)
   - *Income Statement* (Revenue, Expense, Depreciation)
   - *Cash Flow Statement* (Operating, Investing, Financing)
4. Validation Layer – Executes a full-matrix equality check:

$\forall$ Transaction t, $\sum$debitst=$\sum$creditst$\forall$Transaction t, debits$\sum$t=credits$\sum$t

Any mismatch raises an immediate exception, preventing the posting.

4.2 Braille Index Engine

Step	Description
Data Ingestion	Streams GL, sub-ledger, contract, and operational data (e.g., delivery schedules).
Feature Engineering	Constructs time-series, categorical, and relational features (e.g., "Revenue per unit shipped").
Model Ensemble	Combines Isolation Forest, Gradient Boosted Trees, and LSTM-based sequence detectors.
Scoring & Thresholding	Assigns an anomaly score (0–1); scores > 0.85 trigger alerts.
Explainability Layer	Uses SHAP values to point auditors to the most influential variables

Step	Description
	(e.g., "Expense spike driven by a single vendor").

The Braille Index provides a risk surface that surface-level financial statements cannot reveal, enabling proactive audit planning and dynamic control remediation.

V. BENCHMARKING SAAS VS. TRADITIONAL ERP AUDITS

Metric	Traditional ERP Audits (Quarterly)	SAAS (Continuous)
Cycle Time	30-45 days (post-close)	Near-instant (< 5 seconds per entry)
Error Detection Rate	0.5 % of transactions (sample-based)	99.9 % of violations caught at entry
Audit Cost (per annum)	$1.2 M (external audit fees)	$0.4 M (system licensing, internal oversight)
Stakeholder Confidence Index (survey, 1-10)	6.8	8.9
Regulatory Findings	Avg. 2.3 per year (SOX)	< 0.2 per year

Source: Junarso (2018); internal case study, Global Manufacturing Co., 2022.

VI. PRACTICAL EXAMPLE

Company: *Nimbus Solar Ltd.* (mid-size renewable-energy developer)

Event	Financial Matrix Action	Braille Index Alert
CapEx – Purchase of solar panel array ($10 M)	Debit *Asset PP&E* 10 M;Credit∗Cash∗10 M;Credit∗Cash∗10 M → Immediate matrix validation confirms assets rise and cash falls; depreciation schedule auto-created (MACRS).	– No anomaly (score = 0.12).
Revenue Recognition – 30 % of the contracted power delivered (recognize $3 M)	Debit *Accounts Receivable* 3 M;Credit∗Revenue∗3 M;Credit∗Revenue∗3 M; Matrix ensures that cumulative revenue aligns with contract accrual schedule.	Braille Index flags a delivery-revenue mismatch (score = 0.93) because the physical delivery data log shows only 20 % delivered; finance team initiates investigation.
Expense Spike – Unusual consulting fees ($500 k in one week)	Debit *Consulting Expense* 500 k;Credit∗Cash∗500 k;Credit∗Cash∗500 k; Matrix verifies expense classification is permissible under policy.	Braille Index raises Expense Spike Detection (score = 0.88) pointing to a new vendor code; flagged for deeper audit.

Through these instantaneous checks, Nimbus avoided a potential premature revenue overstatement and a mis-classified expense that could have triggered regulatory scrutiny.

VII. GOVERNANCE AND BEHAVIORAL IMPACT

1. Transparency – Real-time dashboards make the financial health of the organization visible to the board, CFO, and auditors.

2. Accountability – Employees receive immediate feedback when a posting violates matrix rules, reinforcing adherence to policy.
3. Risk Culture – Early detection of anomalies reduces the temptation to conceal errors, fostering a "no-surprises" mentality.
4. Stakeholder Trust – Higher visibility and lower audit findings translate into improved credit ratings and lower cost of capital.

Tri Junarso (2006) argues that *"corporate governance is not merely a set of controls; it is a philosophy that shapes behaviour across the organization"*—a principle that SAAS operationalizes through embedded, automated governance mechanisms.

VIII. RISK DASHBOARD – BOARDROOM-READY INFOGRAPHIC

Note: The illustration below is a textual description of a color-coded dashboard that can be rendered in Power BI, Tableau, or any enterprise visualization tool.

Dashboard Component	Visual Cue	Metric	Interpretation
Overall Risk Heatmap	3×3 grid (Red-Amber-Green)	*Composite Risk Score* (0–100)	Red > 70 (critical), Amber = 40-70 (watch), Green < 40 (acceptable).
Financial Matrix Health	Gauge (0-100)	% of entries passing matrix validation	> 99.9 % = Green; 98-99.9 % = Amber; < 98 % = Red.
Braille Index Anomaly Count	Bar chart (weekly)	Number of alerts > 0.85	Trend line; spikes trigger escalation.
Top 5 Anomaly Types	Pareto chart	Revenue-Delivery Mismatch, Expense Spike, Deferred Tax Asset Flag, Inventory Discrepancy, Cash-Flow Volatility	Helps prioritize audit focus.
Compliance Score	Stacked donut (SOX, IFRS, Local GAAP)	% of controls fully automated	Green = fully automated, Amber = manual checkpoints, Red = gaps.
Actionable Alerts	Table (color-coded rows)	Alert ID, Category, Impact, Owner, Due Date	Exportable to workflow system (e.g., ServiceNow).

Color Scheme:

- Red – Immediate remediation required (risk > 70).
- Amber – Monitor; corrective actions pending.
- Green – Within tolerance; no action.

Presentation Flow:

1. Executive Summary – One-page heatmap with the composite risk score.
2. Deep Dive Tabs – Separate pages for Matrix Health, Anomaly Details, Compliance Gaps.
3. Drill-through – Clicking an alert opens the underlying transaction list, model explanation (SHAP plot), and audit trail.

This dashboard converts the complex data outputs of the Financial Matrix and Braille Index into a concise,

decision-oriented visual that can be presented at quarterly board meetings or during regulator briefings.

CONCLUSION

The Self-Audit Accounting System represents the logical culmination of three decades of accounting innovation. By marrying deterministic, rule-based validation (Financial Matrix) with probabilistic, AI-driven risk detection (Braille Index), SAAS delivers continuous assurance, dramatically reduces audit cost, and reinforces a governance culture that aligns behaviour with organizational objectives. Companies that adopt SAAS stand to gain measurable benefits in compliance, risk mitigation, and stakeholder confidence—key differentiators in today's data-driven economy.

REFERENCES

[1] Junarso, T. (2018). *AI Based Financial System: The Way Financial Matrix and Braille Index Improve Financial Reporting*. APIC Proceedings, 12(4), 187-203.

[2] Junarso, T. (2006). *Comprehensive Approach to Corporate Governance: A Philosophy for Corporations*. iUniverse, Inc.

[3] International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS) 15, "Revenue from Contracts with Customers," IFRS Foundation, 2018.

[4] Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, Pub. L. 107-204, 116 Stat. 745.

[5] G. Cohen, A. Kaplan, "Continuous Auditing and the Future of Financial Controls," *Journal of Accounting Research*, vol. 58, no. 2, 2020, pp. 345-376.