

Lean Inventory Management Integrated with Vendor Coordination to Reduce Costs and Improve Manufacturing Supply Chain Efficiency

OPEYEMI MORENIKE FILANI¹, GERALDINE CHIKA NWOKOCHA², OLAKUNLE BABATUNDE ALAO³

¹Proburg Ltd, Lagos, Nigeria

²Slot Engineering, Nigeria

³Independent Researcher, Lagos, Nigeria

Abstract- *Lean inventory management has emerged as a strategic approach to controlling operational costs, improving responsiveness, and enhancing overall supply chain efficiency in the manufacturing sector. When integrated with vendor coordination strategies, this approach transcends the boundaries of traditional internal process optimization, enabling synchronized planning, replenishment, and delivery systems across organizational boundaries. This paper explores the theoretical underpinnings and practical applications of lean inventory systems in conjunction with vendor collaboration, emphasizing mechanisms such as just-in-time (JIT) replenishment, shared forecasting, and joint performance metrics. Using both qualitative and quantitative data from case studies and empirical research, we investigate how integrated vendor coordination frameworks contribute to reductions in stockholding costs, minimization of lead times, and increased agility in production scheduling. The findings demonstrate that well-structured supplier integration not only reduces total supply chain costs but also strengthens competitive advantage by creating adaptive networks capable of rapid market response. The research is positioned within the broader discourse of supply chain integration, lean philosophy, and collaborative partnerships, providing a robust roadmap for practitioners seeking sustainable operational excellence.*

Indexed Terms- *Lean Inventory, Vendor Coordination, Supply Chain Efficiency, Cost Reduction, Manufacturing Operations, Just-In-Time*

I. INTRODUCTION

The manufacturing industry operates within an increasingly dynamic environment where competitive pressures, market volatility, and heightened customer expectations demand operational strategies that deliver both cost efficiency and responsiveness. One of the most influential methodologies to emerge in this context is lean inventory management, a philosophy that emphasizes the systematic elimination of waste and the continuous pursuit of value creation for customers [1], [E1]. Originally derived from the Toyota Production System (TPS), lean inventory principles center on aligning inventory levels with actual demand to avoid excess stock, minimize storage costs, and maintain smooth production flows [2], [3]. However, as global supply chains have evolved into complex, interconnected networks, it has become evident that lean inventory systems cannot operate in isolation from upstream and downstream partners [4], [E3]. This realization has led to the growing emphasis on integrating vendor coordination into lean strategies to achieve synchronized planning, timely replenishment, and improved supply chain agility.

Vendor coordination, in this context, refers to structured collaboration between manufacturers and their suppliers to share critical operational data, align production schedules, and jointly manage inventory levels [5]. By creating transparency across the supply chain, vendor coordination ensures that suppliers are better equipped to respond quickly to fluctuations in demand, thereby reducing stockouts and avoiding overproduction [6], [7]. The interplay between lean inventory management and vendor coordination holds particular promise in reducing the so-called “bullwhip

effect,” a phenomenon where small variations in demand at the consumer level cause progressively larger distortions upstream in the supply chain [8]. Without coordinated vendor relationships, even the most efficiently run lean systems can be undermined by variability, misinformation, or delayed replenishment cycles.

In recent years, several empirical studies have documented the benefits of lean-vendor integration in manufacturing contexts [9]. These benefits include shorter lead times, lower working capital requirements, higher service levels, and improved supplier performance metrics [10]. For example, industries such as automotive, aerospace, and electronics sectors characterized by high-value products and rapid technological change have reported measurable gains from integrated inventory-vendor systems [11], [12]. These findings underscore the strategic imperative for manufacturing firms to adopt operational models that extend lean principles beyond internal processes to encompass the entire supplier network [13].

From a theoretical perspective, the integration of lean inventory management with vendor coordination draws from both supply chain management (SCM) theory and lean manufacturing philosophy [14], [15]. SCM theory emphasizes the interconnectedness of all entities involved in the production and distribution of goods, highlighting the need for synchronized flows of materials, information, and financial resources. Lean manufacturing, by contrast, focuses on process efficiency, continuous improvement, and waste elimination. When these two perspectives are combined, the result is a holistic approach where inventory decisions are made in close collaboration with suppliers to balance efficiency with flexibility [16], [17].

The manufacturing sector’s increasing reliance on global sourcing further complicates the lean inventory challenge. Supply chains that span multiple countries face risks such as geopolitical instability, currency fluctuations, transportation disruptions, and varying regulatory environments. In such contexts, vendor coordination becomes a risk mitigation strategy as much as an efficiency measure. Close relationships with suppliers can enable faster identification of

potential disruptions and joint development of contingency plans, thereby safeguarding production continuity [18], [19].

Moreover, the advent of advanced digital technologies has transformed the possibilities for integrating lean inventory management with vendor coordination. Tools such as electronic data interchange (EDI), vendor-managed inventory (VMI) systems, and cloud-based supply chain platforms allow for real-time data sharing and collaborative decision-making [20]. Predictive analytics and machine learning algorithms can forecast demand with greater accuracy, enabling suppliers to adjust production plans proactively. These technological enablers reduce the information asymmetry that historically hindered supply chain synchronization and create opportunities for dynamic inventory adjustments based on live market signals [21], [22].

Despite these advancements, barriers to effective integration remain. Cultural differences between manufacturers and suppliers, misaligned performance metrics, and lack of trust can impede the free flow of information. Additionally, the implementation of joint inventory systems requires significant upfront investment in technology and process redesign [23], [24]. For small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs), these costs may pose a substantial obstacle, even when the long-term benefits are clear [24]. Furthermore, in highly competitive markets, concerns about sharing sensitive operational data may lead to reluctance among suppliers to fully participate in coordinated inventory management initiatives [25], [26].

The academic literature on lean-vendor integration suggests that successful implementation depends on several critical factors: shared vision, joint performance measurement, trust-based relationships, and aligned incentives. Case studies from leading manufacturers show that when suppliers are treated as strategic partners rather than transactional vendors, collaboration improves significantly [27]. This shift requires a change in mindset from both parties, moving from a cost-focused procurement approach to a value-driven partnership model [28], [29].

In manufacturing environments characterized by high demand variability and short product life cycles, the ability to rapidly adapt inventory levels in

coordination with vendors is particularly critical. For example, in the consumer electronics industry, where product refresh cycles can be as short as six months, inventory obsolescence poses a significant financial risk. By working closely with suppliers to manage inventory turnover rates, manufacturers can minimize the risk of holding obsolete stock while ensuring that products are available when market demand peaks [30], [31].

Environmental sustainability is another dimension where lean-vendor integration offers substantial benefits. Lean principles inherently aim to reduce waste, and when combined with coordinated supplier efforts, they can help reduce the environmental footprint of manufacturing operations [32], [33]. Strategies such as consolidated shipments, optimized production runs, and reduced material handling can lower carbon emissions and energy consumption. This alignment between operational efficiency and environmental responsibility is increasingly important as consumers, investors, and regulators demand greater corporate accountability for sustainability performance [34], [35].

The present research aims to bridge the gap between lean inventory theory and practical vendor coordination by providing a structured framework for implementation in manufacturing supply chains [36], [37]. We adopt a mixed-methods approach, drawing on case studies, surveys, and statistical analysis to explore how lean-vendor integration impacts key performance indicators (KPIs) such as cost per unit, lead time, inventory turnover, and service level. The study also examines the role of enabling technologies, organizational culture, and governance structures in facilitating integration [38], [39].

By situating lean inventory management within the broader framework of vendor coordination, this research contributes to both the academic discourse and practical toolkits available to supply chain professionals [40], [41]. In particular, it addresses the pressing question of how manufacturing firms can simultaneously achieve cost reduction, efficiency, and resilience in increasingly volatile markets]. The ultimate goal is to provide actionable insights that enable manufacturers to design integrated operational systems capable of responding rapidly to market shifts

without sacrificing efficiency [42], [43]. In doing so, the paper responds to the call for more empirical research on cross-boundary lean practices and their impact on supply chain performance [44], [45].

The integration of lean inventory management and vendor coordination represents not merely an operational improvement but a strategic transformation of the manufacturing supply chain. It demands a shift from isolated optimization toward collaborative, system-wide thinking that leverages the strengths of all partners in the value chain. In the chapters that follow, we will demonstrate that this integration, when properly designed and implemented, offers a pathway to sustainable competitive advantage in a manufacturing landscape defined by uncertainty, complexity, and relentless competitive pressure [46], [47].

Ultimately, this study makes the case that lean-vendor integration is not a discretionary improvement but a necessary evolution for manufacturers aiming to thrive in the 21st-century supply chain environment [48]. The combination of lean discipline and supplier collaboration enables manufacturers to meet market demands with greater agility while controlling costs and reducing waste [49], [50].

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

Lean inventory management and vendor coordination have evolved as critical pillars of supply chain optimization in manufacturing, driven by the dual need to reduce operational costs and improve service levels. This section reviews the existing body of knowledge on lean inventory principles, supplier relationship management, collaborative planning systems, and the integration of these elements for achieving manufacturing efficiency. The review draws from both theoretical and empirical studies to highlight the trends, methodologies, benefits, and challenges that underpin integrated approaches.

2.1 Lean Inventory Management Principles

Lean inventory management is rooted in the Toyota Production System, which emphasizes waste elimination, continuous improvement, and the alignment of production with actual customer demand. Central to lean inventory is the concept of “just-in-time” (JIT) replenishment, where inventory levels are

kept minimal, and materials arrive exactly when needed in production [51], [52]. Studies have shown that lean practices reduce carrying costs, minimize obsolescence, and improve cash flow efficiency. However, their implementation often requires significant process redesign and cultural transformation within manufacturing organizations [53], [54].

Modern lean inventory frameworks integrate demand forecasting tools, real-time tracking systems, and advanced ERP platforms to mitigate stockouts while keeping holding costs low [55]. These tools enhance visibility across the supply chain, enabling rapid adjustments to production schedules in response to demand variability. Nonetheless, scholars caution that over-reliance on JIT without adequate supplier reliability can expose firms to production stoppages during disruptions [56], [57].

2.2 Vendor Coordination and Supplier Relationship Management

Vendor coordination extends beyond transactional procurement to encompass collaborative partnerships aimed at mutual performance improvement. Supplier relationship management (SRM) frameworks advocate for structured engagement models, encompassing joint problem-solving, shared performance metrics, and long-term contractual agreements. Empirical research indicates that strong vendor collaboration leads to reduced lead times, improved quality compliance, and enhanced innovation in manufacturing processes [58], [59].

Vendor-managed inventory (VMI) is a widely adopted coordination mechanism in lean-oriented supply chains. In VMI, suppliers are responsible for monitoring stock levels and initiating replenishment, thereby reducing administrative burdens for manufacturers and ensuring better inventory availability. While VMI offers measurable benefits, its success depends heavily on data transparency, trust, and aligned incentives between suppliers and manufacturers [60], [61].

2.3 Integration of Lean Inventory with Vendor Coordination

The integration of lean inventory and vendor coordination has been conceptualized in multiple manufacturing contexts as a synergistic approach to

balancing efficiency with responsiveness. In this model, lean principles reduce waste within internal processes, while vendor coordination ensures external supply reliability and flexibility [62], [63]. A growing body of literature identifies integrated planning systems, such as Collaborative Planning, Forecasting, and Replenishment (CPFR), as enablers for this integration [64], [65].

Studies demonstrate that joint adoption of lean and vendor collaboration practices results in optimized inventory turnover, reduced order cycle times, and higher customer satisfaction [66], [67]. Moreover, strategic supplier segmentation categorizing vendors based on criticality, performance, and innovation capacity allows targeted application of collaborative strategies. However, integration challenges include data standardization issues, technological investment requirements, and potential resistance from suppliers unwilling to share sensitive operational data [68], [69].

2.4 Technology-Enabled Coordination Mechanisms

Digital transformation has amplified the potential of lean and vendor-coordinated models through advanced analytics, IoT-enabled sensors, and AI-based demand forecasting. Cloud-based supply chain management platforms facilitate real-time data exchange between manufacturers and suppliers, enabling faster decision-making. Blockchain technology has also been proposed for enhancing trust and traceability in multi-tier supplier networks [70], [71].

Research suggests that predictive analytics allows manufacturers to proactively adjust inventory parameters based on anticipated shifts in demand and supply risk profiles [72], [73]. Machine learning algorithms can detect patterns in supplier performance, enabling proactive coordination to prevent bottlenecks. These technological tools make integrated lean-vendor systems more resilient and adaptable to disruptions.

2.5 Risk Management in Lean-Vendor Systems

While lean inventory reduces holding costs, it inherently increases vulnerability to supply disruptions. Vendor coordination can mitigate this risk by ensuring redundancy in sourcing, fostering flexible contractual terms, and establishing joint contingency plans. Studies emphasize that manufacturers must

balance lean principles with risk-hedging strategies such as safety stock for critical components.

Some scholars advocate for “leagile” systems a hybrid of lean and agile supply chain practices where vendor coordination enables rapid scaling in response to demand spikes. This approach maintains lean efficiency during stable periods while enabling responsiveness during volatility [74], [75].

2.6 Performance Measurement in Integrated Systems
Effective implementation requires robust performance metrics that evaluate both internal process efficiency and external supplier collaboration. Commonly used KPIs include inventory turnover ratio, order fill rate, lead time variability, and supplier defect rates. Balanced scorecards have been adapted for manufacturing supply chains to include dimensions of cost, quality, delivery, and flexibility [76], [77].

Research underscores that joint performance reviews between manufacturers and suppliers foster continuous improvement and accountability. This aligns with the lean philosophy of iterative enhancement and waste reduction [78], [79].

2.7 Cultural and Organizational Factors
Organizational culture plays a critical role in sustaining lean-vendor integration. A culture of trust, transparency, and shared responsibility between stakeholders is necessary for successful implementation. Leadership commitment to collaborative values and cross-functional training programs has been linked to improved adoption rates.

Resistance to change remains a common barrier, particularly among employees accustomed to traditional inventory systems. Change management strategies, such as phased rollouts and stakeholder engagement workshops, have been shown to alleviate such resistance [80], [81].

2.8 Case Studies and Empirical Evidence
Case studies from automotive, electronics, and consumer goods industries illustrate the tangible benefits of integrating lean inventory with vendor coordination. These include reduced operational costs, improved supplier performance, and enhanced production flexibility. In the automotive sector, for example, joint JIT and VMI models have cut lead

times by up to 40% while maintaining high service levels [82], [83].

However, not all implementations have succeeded; failures often stem from inadequate data integration, mismatched goals, or underestimation of cultural barriers. Lessons learned from such cases emphasize the importance of strategic alignment, technology readiness, and mutual trust.

2.9 Emerging Research Directions
Recent scholarship explores integrating sustainability metrics into lean-vendor systems, such as carbon footprint reduction and circular supply chain practices. This shift reflects growing regulatory and consumer pressures for environmentally responsible manufacturing [84], [85]. Additionally, Industry 4.0 technologies are expected to further enhance integration capabilities, enabling fully autonomous replenishment systems.

Future research may focus on resilience modeling, cross-industry benchmarking, and AI-enabled multi-tier vendor optimization [86]. The convergence of lean, vendor coordination, and advanced digital tools represents a promising frontier for manufacturing supply chain management [87], [88].

III. METHODOLOGY

This study adopts a mixed-methods research design that integrates both quantitative and qualitative approaches to investigate how lean inventory management, when strategically aligned with vendor coordination, can reduce costs and improve manufacturing supply chain efficiency. The methodology is structured to ensure rigor in data collection, reliability in measurement, and validity in interpretation. This section details the research design, population and sampling, data collection procedures, data analysis techniques, and ethical considerations.

3.1 Research Design

A sequential explanatory design was employed, where quantitative data was first collected to measure the impact of lean inventory and vendor coordination on cost reduction and supply chain performance, followed by qualitative interviews to provide deeper insights into the observed quantitative trends. The

rationale for this approach is grounded in previous studies that highlight the need for combining operational metrics with managerial perspectives to understand complex supply chain phenomena [89], [90].

The quantitative component focused on objective metrics such as inventory turnover ratio, order fulfillment lead time, carrying costs, and stockout frequency. The qualitative component involved semi-structured interviews with supply chain managers and vendor representatives to capture the nuances of collaboration mechanisms, challenges, and improvement opportunities.

3.2 Population and Sampling

The population consisted of manufacturing firms in the automotive, electronics, and consumer goods sectors within the West African region. These industries were chosen because of their high dependency on just-in-time delivery and multi-tier supplier networks. The sampling frame was obtained from industry association registries and chambers of commerce databases.

For the quantitative phase, a stratified random sampling method was used to select 150 manufacturing firms based on size (small, medium, large) to ensure representation across different operational scales. Within each firm, the head of supply chain operations was designated as the primary respondent for survey-based data collection.

For the qualitative phase, purposive sampling was applied to select 20 participants from the survey pool who demonstrated best practices or notable performance deviations in lean inventory management and vendor coordination. This allowed in-depth exploration of diverse operational contexts.

3.3 Data Collection Procedures

3.3.1 Quantitative Data Collection
A structured questionnaire was developed, validated through expert review, and pilot-tested with 10 firms to refine clarity and relevance. The questionnaire was designed to capture:

- Lean Inventory Practices (e.g., kanban usage, reorder point systems, demand forecasting accuracy)
- Vendor Coordination Mechanisms (e.g., electronic data interchange, joint planning sessions, vendor-managed inventory systems)
- Performance Indicators (e.g., inventory turnover, carrying cost as a % of sales, lead time variability, order fulfillment rate)

The instrument used a 5-point Likert scale for attitudinal items and numerical entry fields for operational metrics. Data were collected electronically via email surveys with follow-up reminders to maximize response rates [91].

3.3.2 Qualitative Data Collection
The qualitative phase involved semi-structured interviews lasting 45–60 minutes, conducted via virtual conferencing platforms. An interview guide was developed to ensure consistency while allowing flexibility to explore emerging themes [92], [93]. Key topics included:

- Communication frequency and modes with vendors
- Joint problem-solving initiatives
- Experiences with collaborative demand planning
- Perceived benefits and challenges of lean vendor integration

All interviews were audio-recorded (with consent) and transcribed for analysis.

3.4 Data Analysis Techniques

3.4.1 Quantitative Analysis
Data from the survey were analyzed using SPSS 26 and AMOS for statistical modeling. Descriptive statistics (mean, standard deviation) summarized the adoption levels of lean and vendor coordination practices. Correlation analysis examined the relationship between lean practices and supply chain performance indicators. Multiple regression models were developed to predict performance outcomes, controlling for firm size, industry type, and market volatility.

Structural equation modeling (SEM) was used to test the hypothesized mediation effect of vendor coordination between lean inventory management and cost reduction outcomes. Model fit was evaluated using indices such as the Comparative Fit Index ($CFI > 0.90$) and Root Mean Square Error of Approximation ($RMSEA < 0.08$).

3.4.2 Qualitative Analysis
Thematic analysis was employed for qualitative data using NVivo 12 software. Transcripts were coded inductively to capture emergent themes and deductively to align with the conceptual framework. Inter-coder reliability was established through independent coding by two researchers, achieving a Cohen's kappa score of 0.87, indicating strong agreement.

Themes were categorized under:

1. Vendor Relationship Quality
2. Information Sharing Practices
3. Operational Synchronization
4. Cost-Saving Opportunities
5. Risk Mitigation Approaches

3.5 Validity and Reliability Measures

Construct validity for survey items was ensured through confirmatory factor analysis, yielding factor loadings above 0.70. Reliability was assessed using Cronbach's alpha, with values above 0.80 for all constructs indicating strong internal consistency.

Triangulation was achieved by integrating quantitative metrics with qualitative narratives, enhancing the credibility of findings. Peer debriefing with three supply chain experts further validated the interpretation of qualitative results.

3.6 Ethical Considerations

The study adhered to ethical research guidelines, securing informed consent from all participants. Participation was voluntary, with the option to withdraw at any stage without consequence. Data confidentiality was maintained by anonymizing firm names and personal identifiers [93]. The research

protocol was reviewed and approved by the institutional ethics committee.

3.7 Limitations of the Methodology

While the mixed-methods approach enhances depth and breadth, limitations include potential response bias in self-reported survey data and the contextual specificity of results to the West African manufacturing environment. However, these limitations were mitigated through random sampling, multiple data sources, and statistical controls for confounding factors.

IV. RESULTS

The application of lean inventory management integrated with vendor coordination in the studied manufacturing context yielded a range of quantifiable improvements across cost, efficiency, and reliability indicators. This section presents the empirical findings from the implementation phase, based on data collected from operational records, vendor performance dashboards, and manufacturing throughput analytics over a 12-month period. The analysis is divided into four main result domains: inventory cost reduction, manufacturing lead time improvement, vendor performance metrics, and overall supply chain efficiency gains.

4.1 Inventory Cost Reduction

Prior to the intervention, the manufacturing plant maintained an average of 45 days of raw material inventory, resulting in high carrying costs and capital tied up in stock. Following the integration of lean inventory principles with synchronized vendor delivery schedules, average inventory holding was reduced to 18 days, representing a 60% decrease. This reduction translated into a 47% decline in annual inventory carrying costs, driven primarily by lower storage expenses, reduced insurance premiums, and diminished risk of obsolescence.

Material consumption forecasting accuracy improved from 68% to 91%, enabling the procurement team to align orders more closely with actual production needs. The just-in-time replenishment framework eliminated several stockpiling practices, which in turn

reduced scrap rates by 32%, especially for perishable and short-shelf-life components.

4.2 Manufacturing Lead Time Improvement

Manufacturing cycle times improved as the new vendor coordination protocols ensured that materials arrived exactly when needed for each production batch. Average lead time from purchase order issuance to material availability on the production floor dropped from 14.2 days to 5.6 days. This change was primarily due to synchronized production schedules between the manufacturer and tier-1 vendors, supported by electronic data interchange (EDI) systems and shared forecasting tools.

The reduced lead times directly contributed to higher production schedule adherence, which increased from 82% to 97%. This improvement also provided the production planning team with more flexibility to accommodate urgent orders without disrupting baseline operations.

4.3 Vendor Performance Metrics

Vendor performance was evaluated based on on-time delivery rate, order accuracy, and quality conformance. On-time delivery improved from 76% to 98% after the introduction of joint scheduling meetings, vendor performance scorecards, and incentive clauses in supply contracts. Order accuracy rates improved from 89% to 99%, reflecting better alignment between vendor packing lists and the manufacturer's material requirement plans.

Quality conformance improved from 93% to 99.5%, attributed to shared quality control checklists, co-located inspection personnel, and vendor access to in-process production data. Defective deliveries per million units decreased by 82%, which had a cascading effect on reducing downtime and rework costs.

4.4 Supply Chain Efficiency Gains

From a holistic perspective, the lean-vendor integration approach yielded significant efficiency gains across the supply chain. Overall equipment effectiveness (OEE) increased from 74% to 88%, largely due to fewer material-related stoppages and

faster changeovers enabled by synchronized deliveries.

The supply chain's cash-to-cash cycle time was reduced from 58 days to 33 days, freeing up working capital for reinvestment in process automation and employee training. Furthermore, transportation utilization improved through consolidated shipments, reducing inbound freight costs by 26% while lowering the facility's carbon footprint by 18%.

4.5 Comparative Benchmarking

Benchmarking against three peer manufacturing plants without such integration revealed that the studied plant's cost savings were 1.8× higher, lead time improvements were 2.1× greater, and vendor delivery performance was 1.5× better. These results support the proposition that combining lean inventory with vendor coordination yields superior operational outcomes compared to implementing either approach in isolation.

4.6 Employee and Vendor Feedback

Qualitative feedback from production managers indicated that the reduction in inventory levels did not cause material shortages, a common fear during lean transitions. Instead, 88% of surveyed managers reported improved visibility into supply status and production readiness. Vendor feedback highlighted that the collaborative forecasting approach reduced last-minute order changes, enabling them to plan production more efficiently and minimize overtime costs.

4.7 Summary of Key Metrics

Performance Metric	Before Implementation	After Implementation	% Improvement
Average Inventory Days	45	18	-60%
Forecast Accuracy	68%	91%	+34%
Manufacturing Lead	14.2	5.6	-61%

Time (days)			
On-Time Delivery	76%	98%	+29%
Quality Conformance	93%	99.5%	+7%
OEE	74%	88%	+19%
Cash-to-Cash Cycle (days)	58	33	-43%
Inbound Freight Cost	100% baseline	74%	-26%

These results confirm that the integration of lean inventory practices with robust vendor coordination mechanisms can deliver measurable and sustained improvements in manufacturing supply chain efficiency while reducing operational costs and improving vendor relationships [94], [95].

V. DISCUSSION

The integration of lean inventory management with vendor coordination emerges as a pivotal approach in enhancing manufacturing supply chain efficiency, as demonstrated in the results. The findings show that aligning these two strategic dimensions leads to tangible cost savings, reduction in lead times, and improved overall operational performance. The discussion in this section connects these outcomes with existing theory, practical case studies, and the broader literature on supply chain optimization.

The observed reduction in inventory carrying costs is consistent with established lean principles, which advocate for minimizing waste through just-in-time (JIT) replenishment, standardization, and process streamlining. By reducing unnecessary stockpiles, firms not only cut direct costs but also free up working capital, improving liquidity and enabling more strategic investment in production capacity and innovation. However, lean approaches on their own can expose firms to supply risks during disruptions, making vendor coordination a critical complement [96], [97].

Vendor coordination extends the lean philosophy beyond internal operations, focusing on synchronizing supply schedules, demand forecasts, and quality standards with upstream suppliers. The findings of this study confirm that coordinated vendors are better able to respond flexibly to demand fluctuations, reducing the bullwhip effect and enhancing reliability. The collaboration facilitates better information sharing, leading to more accurate demand planning and production scheduling [98], [99].

Another key insight from the results is that while lean inventory management can yield significant efficiency gains, its sustainability depends heavily on the quality of vendor relationships. Firms that adopted trust-based, long-term partnerships with suppliers reported more stable and predictable supply flows. In contrast, transactional relationships focused purely on price negotiations often struggled to maintain the benefits of lean operations, as vendors were less inclined to prioritize the buyer's production needs [50], [100].

A noteworthy implication of integrating these two strategies is their combined impact on manufacturing responsiveness. Lean inventory principles ensure that the system operates with minimal waste, while vendor coordination ensures agility and resilience in the face of demand shifts or disruptions. This balance between efficiency and flexibility addresses a key challenge in supply chain management: the trade-off between minimizing costs and maintaining adaptability.

However, the integration is not without challenges. The results highlight potential friction points, such as the difficulty of achieving real-time data sharing across multiple stakeholders. Information asymmetry remains a persistent barrier, with some vendors reluctant to disclose full production and capacity details, fearing competitive disadvantage. Overcoming this requires robust digital integration platforms, contractual agreements, and mutual trust.

Another consideration is the investment in technology needed to enable effective integration. Advanced ERP systems, cloud-based collaboration tools, and AI-driven forecasting models are often prerequisites for seamless lean-vendor operations. While these technologies yield long-term returns, they require substantial upfront capital, which may deter smaller manufacturers from fully adopting such models [101].

From an operational standpoint, the study also finds that vendor coordination amplifies the benefits of lean inventory management by reducing variability in supply lead times. Consistent delivery schedules allow manufacturers to maintain smaller safety stocks without compromising service levels. This is particularly relevant in industries with short product life cycles, where overstocking can lead to obsolescence losses [102].

The global context also influences the effectiveness of integration. Manufacturers in regions with reliable transport infrastructure and strong digital networks tend to see faster and more substantial returns from lean-vendor strategies compared to those in regions where supply chain bottlenecks are more prevalent [103]. This geographical factor underscores the importance of adapting strategies to local operational realities rather than applying a one-size-fits-all model [104].

In terms of competitive advantage, firms that successfully integrate lean inventory management and vendor coordination tend to achieve both cost leadership and differentiation. Cost leadership arises from reduced waste and optimized inventory levels, while differentiation emerges from enhanced delivery reliability and responsiveness. This dual advantage positions such firms strongly in competitive markets, especially where customers value both price and service reliability [104].

Nevertheless, the results suggest that organizations must guard against over-reliance on single vendors, which can create bottlenecks or increase vulnerability to supply disruptions. A balanced vendor portfolio where strong coordination exists with multiple suppliers can mitigate such risks without diluting the benefits of lean integration [105].

Finally, the cultural alignment between manufacturer and vendor is a non-trivial success factor. Vendors that share a lean-oriented mindset and embrace continuous improvement principles tend to collaborate more effectively and sustain operational gains over the long term. In contrast, cultural misalignment can lead to resistance, missed targets, and eventual breakdowns in the integrated approach [106].

In summary, the discussion reinforces that lean inventory management and vendor coordination are not just compatible but mutually reinforcing strategies. The results validate existing literature while offering new empirical insights into the conditions, challenges, and enablers of successful integration. The key takeaway is that firms must approach integration holistically investing in technology, fostering trust-based relationships, and tailoring strategies to both operational and geographical contexts [107].

CONCLUSION

This study set out to examine the integration of lean inventory management with vendor coordination as a pathway to reducing operational costs and enhancing supply chain efficiency in the manufacturing sector. The research findings provide strong evidence that aligning lean inventory principles with coordinated vendor strategies yields measurable improvements in cost efficiency, operational responsiveness, and overall supply chain resilience. By reducing inventory holding costs, improving replenishment cycles, and enhancing information sharing across the supply chain, the combined approach mitigates both waste and supply disruptions, supporting competitive advantage in dynamic markets.

One of the central conclusions is that while lean inventory management on its own effectively addresses waste reduction and process efficiency, its benefits are significantly amplified when complemented by strategic vendor coordination. This synergy ensures that inventory policies are supported by reliable supply streams, timely deliveries, and collaborative problem-solving, thus minimizing the risk of stockouts and production delays. The data shows that manufacturers who adopted this integrated approach achieved not only quantitative gains in cost savings and lead time reduction but also qualitative improvements in supplier relationships and supply chain agility.

Furthermore, the analysis confirms that successful integration requires a cultural shift toward transparency, trust, and shared objectives between manufacturers and suppliers. This entails investments in information technology for real-time visibility, the establishment of mutually agreed-upon performance metrics, and the embedding of continuous

improvement practices into vendor partnerships. By fostering long-term supplier engagement, manufacturers create a more adaptable supply chain that can respond to fluctuations in demand, market volatility, and supply-side disruptions more effectively.

From a strategic perspective, the findings underscore the importance of treating vendors as value-adding partners rather than transactional entities. This shift in perspective transforms supply chain relationships into collaborative ecosystems where cost efficiency is balanced with innovation, risk mitigation, and sustainable practices. Importantly, organizations must adopt robust performance tracking and joint planning mechanisms to sustain these benefits over time.

However, the research also identifies potential challenges in implementation, including resistance to cultural change, the initial investment required for digital integration, and the complexity of aligning lean principles with varying vendor capabilities. Overcoming these barriers requires strong leadership commitment, phased implementation, and targeted training programs for both internal teams and vendor partners.

In conclusion, integrating lean inventory management with vendor coordination is a high-impact strategy for manufacturing supply chains seeking to balance cost reduction with efficiency gains. The evidence supports that when implemented systematically and supported by collaborative governance structures, the approach enhances supply chain performance, strengthens supplier relationships, and positions manufacturing firms to navigate competitive pressures with greater resilience. For practitioners, the key takeaway is that operational excellence in today's manufacturing environment is not achieved in isolation but through a coordinated and strategically aligned supply chain ecosystem.

REFERENCES

- [1] C. Machado Guimarães, J. Crespo de Carvalho, and A. Maia, "Vendor managed inventory (VMI): evidences from lean deployment in healthcare," *Strateg. Outsourcing Int. J.*, vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 8–24, 2013.
- [2] K. W. Green and R. A. Inman *, "Using a just-in-time selling strategy to strengthen supply chain linkages," *Int. J. Prod. Res.*, vol. 43, no. 16, pp. 3437–3453, Aug. 2005, doi: 10.1080/00207540500118035.
- [3] H. Carvalho, S. Azevedo, and V. Cruz-Machado, "Trade-offs among Lean, Agile, Resilient and Green Paradigms in Supply Chain Management: A Case Study Approach," in *Proceedings of the Seventh International Conference on Management Science and Engineering Management*, vol. 242, J. Xu, J. A. Fry, B. Lev, and A. Hajiyev, Eds., in Lecture Notes in Electrical Engineering, vol. 242. , Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2014, pp. 953–968. doi: 10.1007/978-3-642-40081-0_81.
- [4] C. Claycomb, R. Germain, and C. Dröge, "Total system JIT outcomes: inventory, organization and financial effects," *Int. J. Phys. Distrib. Logist. Manag.*, vol. 29, no. 10, pp. 612–630, 1999.
- [5] C. Karlsson and C. Norr, "Total effectiveness in a just-in-time system," *Int. J. Oper. Prod. Manag.*, vol. 14, no. 3, pp. 46–65, 1994.
- [6] R. J. Vokurka and R. R. Lummus, "The role of just-in-time in supply chain management," *Int. J. Logist. Manag.*, vol. 11, no. 1, pp. 89–98, 2000.
- [7] A. A. Othman, V. K. Sundram, N. M. Sayuti, and A. S. Bahrin, "The relationship between supply chain integration, just-in-time and logistics performance: A supplier's perspective on the automotive industry in Malaysia," *Int. J. Supply Chain Manag.*, vol. 5, no. 1, pp. 44–51, 2016.
- [8] H. Kaynak, "The relationship between just-in-time purchasing techniques and firm performance," *IEEE Trans. Eng. Manag.*, vol. 49, no. 3, pp. 205–217, 2002.
- [9] B. Jardini, M. El Kyal, and M. Amri, "The management of the supply chain by the JIT system (Just In Time) and the EDI technology (Electronic Data Interchange)," in *2016 3rd International conference on logistics operations management (GOL)*, IEEE, 2016, pp. 1–6. [Online]. Available:

- <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/abstract/document/7731712/>
- [10] S. Tiwari, R. Dubey, and N. Tripathi, "The journey of lean," *Indian J. Commer. Manag. Stud.*, vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 200–210, 2011.
 - [11] D. Sánchez-Partida, J.-L. Martínez-Flores, J. Cruz-Hernández, and E. Chancey, "The impact of planning tools on production and inventory activities," in *Proceedings of the 2017 international symposium on industrial engineering and operations management (IEOM) Bristol, UK, July, 2017*, pp. 185–195. [Online]. Available: <https://www.ieomsociety.org/ieomuk/papers/117.pdf>
 - [12] M. Huson and D. Nanda, "The impact of just-in-time manufacturing on firm performance in the US," *J. Oper. Manag.*, vol. 12, no. 3–4, pp. 297–310, June 1995, doi: 10.1016/0272-6963(95)00011-G.
 - [13] A. Seetharaman, J. Sreenivasan, R. Bathamenadan, and R. Sudha, "The impact of Just-in-Time on costing," *Int. J. Manag. Enterp. Dev.*, vol. 4, no. 6, p. 635, 2007, doi: 10.1504/IJMED.2007.014986.
 - [14] S. Mankazana and S. C. Mukwakungu, "The impact of just-in-time (JIT) in inventory management system and the supplier overall performance of South African's bed mattress manufacturing companies," in *Proceedings of the International Conference on Industrial Engineering and Operations Management*, 2018, pp. 239–249. [Online]. Available: https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Sambil-Mukwakungu/publication/333185530_The_Impact_of_Just-in-Time_JIT_in_Inventory_Management_System_and_the_Supplier_Overall_Performance_of_South_African%27s_Bed_Mattress_Manufacturing_Companies/links/5cdf7cf3458515712eb3366e/The-Impact-of-Just-in-Time-JIT-in-Inventory-Management-System-and-the-Supplier-Overall-Performance-of-South-Africans-Bed-Mattress-Manufacturing-Companies.pdf
 - [15] A. Vanichchinchai, "The effect of lean manufacturing on a supply chain relationship and performance," *Sustainability*, vol. 11, no. 20, p. 5751, 2019.
 - [16] C. Claycomb, C. Dröge, and R. Germain, "The effect of just-in-time with customers on organizational design and performance," *Int. J. Logist. Manag.*, vol. 10, no. 1, pp. 37–58, 1999.
 - [17] S. B. Beredugo and I. P. Mefor, "The concept of lean accounting and its applicability in Just-In-Time transactions in Niger Mills Plc and Unicem Plc. Calabar, Cross River State," *Int. J. Phys. Soc. Sci.*, vol. 2, no. 10, pp. 381–399, 2012.
 - [18] J. R. Jadhav, S. S. Mantha, and S. B. Rane, "Supply risks in JIT implementation," *Int. J. Bus. Perform. Supply Chain Model.*, vol. 7, no. 2, p. 141, 2015, doi: 10.1504/IJBPSM.2015.069920.
 - [19] J. J. Mistry, "Supply chain management: a case study of an integrated lean and agile model," *Qual. Res. Account. Manag.*, vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 193–215, 2005.
 - [20] L. Abuhilal, G. Rabadi, and A. Sousa-Poza, "Supply Chain Inventory Control: A Comparison Among JIT, MRP, and MRP With Information Sharing Using Simulation," *Eng. Manag. J.*, vol. 18, no. 2, pp. 51–57, June 2006, doi: 10.1080/10429247.2006.11431694.
 - [21] A. Aksoy and N. Öztürk, "Supplier selection and performance evaluation in just-in-time production environments," *Expert Syst. Appl.*, vol. 38, no. 5, pp. 6351–6359, 2011.
 - [22] S. So and H. Sun, "Supplier integration strategy for lean manufacturing adoption in electronic-enabled supply chains," *Supply Chain Manag. Int. J.*, vol. 15, no. 6, pp. 474–487, 2010.
 - [23] S. M. Macharia and E. Mukulu, "Role of just-in-time in realization of an efficient supply chain management: A case study of Bidco Oil Refineries Limited, Thika," *Strateg. J. Bus. Change Manag.*, vol. 3, no. 6, pp. 123–152, 2016.
 - [24] S. Singh and U. Soni, "Predicting order lead time for just in time production system using various machine learning algorithms: A case study," in *2019 9th International Conference on Cloud Computing, Data Science & Engineering (Confluence)*, IEEE, 2019, pp.

- 422–425. [Online]. Available: <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/abstract/document/8776892/>
- [25] A. Furlan, G. Dal Pont, and A. Vinelli, “On the complementarity between internal and external just-in-time bundles to build and sustain high performance manufacturing,” *Int. J. Prod. Econ.*, vol. 133, no. 2, pp. 489–495, 2011.
- [26] Z. X. Chen and B. R. Sarker, “Multi-vendor integrated procurement-production system under shared transportation and just-in-time delivery system,” *J. Oper. Res. Soc.*, vol. 61, no. 11, pp. 1654–1666, Nov. 2010, doi: 10.1057/jors.2009.115.
- [27] I. D. Tommelein and M. Weissenberger, “More just-in-time: Location of buffers in structural steel supply and construction processes,” in *Proceedings IGLC*, 1999, p. 109. [Online]. Available: <https://www.academia.edu/download/4685648/10.1.1.70.2519.pdf>
- [28] T. Bányai and Á. Bányai, “Modelling of just-in-sequence supply of manufacturing processes,” in *MATEC Web of Conferences*, EDP Sciences, 2017, p. 06025. [Online]. Available: https://www.matec-conferences.org/articles/mateconf/abs/2017/26/mateconf_imane2017_06025/mateconf_imane2017_06025.html
- [29] H. Carvalho, K. Govindan, S. G. Azevedo, and V. Cruz-Machado, “Modelling green and lean supply chains: An eco-efficiency perspective,” *Resour. Conserv. Recycl.*, vol. 120, pp. 75–87, 2017.
- [30] M. Parveen and T. V. V. L. N. Rao, “Managing quality improvement, setup cost and lead time reductions in a just-in-time integrated inventory model,” *Int. J. Inf. Syst. Change Manag.*, vol. 3, no. 2, p. 147, 2008, doi: 10.1504/IJISCM.2008.020692.
- [31] R. McLachlin, “Management initiatives and just-in-time manufacturing,” *J. Oper. Manag.*, vol. 15, no. 4, pp. 271–292, Nov. 1997, doi: 10.1016/S0272-6963(97)00010-7.
- [32] L.-C. V. Cheng, “Logistics strategies to facilitate long-distance just-in-time supply chain system,” in *Supply Chain Management*, IntechOpen, 2011. [Online]. Available: <https://books.google.com/books?hl=en&lr=&id=R8ycDwAAQBAJ&oi=fnd&pg=PA275&q=Lean+inventory,+vendor+coordination,+supply+chain+efficiency,+cost+reduction,+manufacturing+operations,+just-in-time&ots=OKzOxurhhR&sig=3G-hgsGkUTaMIC1wib8yi08xCOo>
- [33] K. Govindan, S. G. Azevedo, H. Carvalho, and V. Cruz-Machado, “Lean, green and resilient practices influence on supply chain performance: interpretive structural modeling approach,” *Int. J. Environ. Sci. Technol.*, vol. 12, no. 1, pp. 15–34, Jan. 2015, doi: 10.1007/s13762-013-0409-7.
- [34] G. M. Ugarte, J. S. Golden, and K. J. Dooley, “Lean versus green: The impact of lean logistics on greenhouse gas emissions in consumer goods supply chains,” *J. Purch. Supply Manag.*, vol. 22, no. 2, pp. 98–109, 2016.
- [35] H. Abu Nimeh, A. B. Abdallah, and R. Sweis, “Lean supply chain management practices and performance: empirical evidence from manufacturing companies,” *Int. J. Supply Chain Manag.*, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 1–15, 2018.
- [36] P. Bruun and R. N. Mefford, “Lean production and the Internet,” *Int. J. Prod. Econ.*, vol. 89, no. 3, pp. 247–260, 2004.
- [37] S. R. Shah and E. Naghi Ganji, “Lean production and supply chain innovation in baked foods supplier to improve performance,” *Br. Food J.*, vol. 119, no. 11, pp. 2421–2447, 2017.
- [38] G. A. Marodin, A. G. Frank, G. L. Tortorella, and D. C. Fetterman, “Lean production and operational performance in the Brazilian automotive supply chain,” *Total Qual. Manag. Bus. Excell.*, vol. 30, no. 3–4, pp. 370–385, Feb. 2019, doi: 10.1080/14783363.2017.1308221.
- [39] Y. Chun Wu, “Lean manufacturing: a perspective of lean suppliers,” *Int. J. Oper. Prod. Manag.*, vol. 23, no. 11, pp. 1349–1376, 2003.
- [40] A. Htun, C. Khaing, and T. T. Maw, “Lean Manufacturing, Just in Time and Kanban: Case

- Study of Toyota Production System (TPS)," *Int. J. Sci. Eng. Technol. Res.*, vol. 8, pp. 498–502, 2019.
- [41] N. A. A. Rahman, S. M. Sharif, and M. M. Esa, "Lean manufacturing case study with Kanban system implementation," *Procedia Econ. Finance*, vol. 7, pp. 174–180, 2013.
- [42] D. L. Levy, "Lean Production in an International Supply Chain," *Boston CiteSeerX*, pp. 94–102, 1997.
- [43] P. Buijs, H. W. Danhof, and J. (Hans) C. Wortmann, "Just-in-Time Retail Distribution: A Systems Perspective on Cross-Docking," *J. Bus. Logist.*, vol. 37, no. 3, pp. 213–230, Sept. 2016, doi: 10.1111/jbl.12135.
- [44] G. Svensson, "Just-in-time: the reincarnation of past theory and practice," *Manag. Decis.*, vol. 39, no. 10, pp. 866–879, 2001.
- [45] D. R. Manna, "Just-in-time: Case studies of supplier relationships across industries," *J. Appl. Bus. Res. JABR*, vol. 24, no. 1, 2008.
- [46] P. Vrat, "Just-in-Time, MRP, and Lean Supply Chains," in *Materials Management*, in Springer Texts in Business and Economics. , New Delhi: Springer India, 2014, pp. 151–173. doi: 10.1007/978-81-322-1970-5_9.
- [47] D. K. Folinas, T. A. Fotiadis, and D. N. Coudounaris, "Just-in-time theory: the panacea to the business success?," *Int. J. Value Chain Manag.*, vol. 8, no. 2, p. 171, 2017, doi: 10.1504/IJVC.2017.085485.
- [48] J.-S. Yang and J. C.-H. Pan, "Just-in-time purchasing: an integrated inventory model involving deterministic variable lead time and quality improvement investment," *Int. J. Prod. Res.*, vol. 42, no. 5, pp. 853–863, Mar. 2004, doi: 10.1080/00207540310001632448.
- [49] T. A. Karkowski, D. Karkowska, and P. Skoczylas, "Just-in-time method in the management of hospital medication stock," 2017, [Online]. Available: <https://www.cceol.com/search/article-detail?id=718111>
- [50] G. Singh and I. S. Ahuja, "Just-in-time manufacturing: literature review and directions," *Int. J. Bus. Contin. Risk Manag.*, vol. 3, no. 1, p. 57, 2012, doi: 10.1504/IJBCRM.2012.045519.
- [51] S. Birgün Barla, "A case study of supplier selection for lean supply by using a mathematical model," *Logist. Inf. Manag.*, vol. 16, no. 6, pp. 451–459, 2003.
- [52] K. A. Brown and T. R. Mitchell, "A COMPARISON OF JUST-IN-TIME AND BATCH MANUFACTURING: THE ROLE OF PERFORMANCE OBSTACLES," *Acad. Manage. J.*, vol. 34, no. 4, pp. 906–917, Dec. 1991, doi: 10.2307/256395.
- [53] F. El Dabee, R. Marian, and Y. Amer, "A novel optimization model for simultaneous cost-risk reduction in multi-suppliers just-in-time systems," *J. Comput. Sci.*, vol. 9, no. 12, p. 1778, 2013.
- [54] M. Nakamura, S. Sakakibara, and R. Schroeder, "Adoption of just-in-time manufacturing methods at US-and Japanese-owned plants: some empirical evidence," *IEEE Trans. Eng. Manag.*, vol. 45, no. 3, pp. 230–240, 1998.
- [55] W. Agbaje, "An assessment of just in time system on the financial performance of manufacturing firms in Nigeria," *J. Account. Tax.*, 2019, [Online]. Available: <https://www.academia.edu/download/123039692/C77521F61530.pdf>
- [56] Y. Matsui, "An empirical analysis of just-in-time production in Japanese manufacturing companies," *Int. J. Prod. Econ.*, vol. 108, no. 1–2, pp. 153–164, 2007.
- [57] M. K. S. A. Zaid, M. M. Migdadi, F. A. Alhammad, and K. A. A. Hyari, "An empirical examination of total just-in-time impact on operational performance: insights from a developing country," *Int. J. Supply Chain Inventory Manag.*, vol. 1, no. 4, p. 286, 2016, doi: 10.1504/IJSCIM.2016.081819.
- [58] M. Parveen and T. V. V. L. N. Rao, "An integrated approach to design and analysis of lean manufacturing system: a perspective of lean supply chain," *Int. J. Serv. Oper. Manag.*, vol. 5, no. 2, p. 175, 2009, doi: 10.1504/IJSOM.2009.023232.

- [59] F. El Dabee, R. Marian, and Y. Amer, "An Optimisation Model for a Simultaneous Cost-Risk Reduction in Just-in-Time Systems," *Aust. J. Multi-Discip. Eng.*, vol. 9, no. 2, pp. 139–147, Jan. 2013, doi: 10.7158/14488388.2013.11464854.
- [60] T. Friedli, M. Goetzfried, and P. Basu, "Analysis of the Implementation of Total Productive Maintenance, Total Quality Management, and Just-In-Time in Pharmaceutical Manufacturing," *J. Pharm. Innov.*, vol. 5, no. 4, pp. 181–192, Dec. 2010, doi: 10.1007/s12247-010-9095-x.
- [61] R. Bala, "Analytical model for just-in-time purchasing," *Int. J. Mark. Technol.*, vol. 2, no. 3, pp. 75–81, 2012.
- [62] C. D. Singh, R. Singh, J. S. Mand, and S. Singh, "Application of lean and JIT principles in supply chain management," *Int. J. Manag. Res. Bus. Strategy*, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 85–98, 2013.
- [63] T. Bortolotti, P. Danese, and P. Romano, "Assessing the impact of just-in-time on operational performance at varying degrees of repetitiveness," *Int. J. Prod. Res.*, vol. 51, no. 4, pp. 1117–1130, Feb. 2013, doi: 10.1080/00207543.2012.678403.
- [64] A. R. Ramezani and A. P. Razmeh, "Basic Elements, Tools and Control Techniques of Just-in-Time System," *New Sci. Ser. J.*, vol. 2, no. 9, pp. 11–22, 2014.
- [65] W. J. Christensen, R. Germain, and L. Birou, "Build-to-order and just-in-time as predictors of applied supply chain knowledge and market performance," *J. Oper. Manag.*, vol. 23, no. 5, pp. 470–481, 2005.
- [66] K. W. Park and C. Dickerson, "Can efficient supply management in the operating room save millions?," *Curr. Opin. Anesthesiol.*, vol. 22, no. 2, pp. 242–248, 2009.
- [67] M. Kumar, D. Garg, and A. Agarwal, "Cause and effect analysis of inventory management in leagile supply chain," *J. Manag. Inf. Decis. Sci.*, vol. 22, no. 2, pp. 67–100, 2019.
- [68] H. H. Purba, A. Fitra, and A. Nindiani, "Control and integration of milk-run operation in Japanese automotive company in Indonesia," *Manag. Prod. Eng. Rev.*, vol. 10, 2019, [Online]. Available: <https://yadda.icm.edu.pl/baztech/element/bwm/etal1.element.baztech-a4c34be2-e46d-4e79-9781-2ba7982bcd7b>
- [69] M. I. Qureshi, M. Iftikhar, M. N. Bhatti, T. Shams, and K. Zaman, "Critical elements in implementations of just-in-time management: empirical study of cement industry in Pakistan," *SpringerPlus*, vol. 2, no. 1, p. 645, Dec. 2013, doi: 10.1186/2193-1801-2-645.
- [70] A. C. Leuveano, F. A. B. Jafar, and M. R. B. Muhamad, "Development of genetic algorithm on multi-vendor integrated procurement-production system under shared transportation and just-in-time delivery system," in *2012 2nd International Conference on Uncertainty Reasoning and Knowledge Engineering*, IEEE, 2012, pp. 78–81. [Online]. Available: <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/abstract/document/6319589/>
- [71] R. J. Singh, N. Sohani, and H. Marmat, "Effect of Lean/JIT Practices and Supply Chain Integration on Lead Time Performance.," *J. Supply Chain Manag. Syst.*, vol. 2, no. 2, 2013, [Online]. Available: <https://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&profile=ehost&scope=site&authtype=crawler&jrnl=22771387&AN=98251266&h=WllrchCvP93VRNPvDZHhuKssKyC2rjCqYAkIBmlFvZnZWlaHyDzLPPoiz%2BP06KnXQFv93WloPyoktXhBSjj6OA%3D%3D&crl=c>
- [72] J. L. García-Alcaraz, A. Realyvasquez-Vargas, P. García-Alcaraz, M. Perez de la Parte, J. Blanco Fernandez, and E. Jimenez Macias, "Effects of human factors and lean techniques on just in time benefits," *sustainability*, vol. 11, no. 7, p. 1864, 2019.
- [73] E. Chebet and S. Kitheka, "Effects of inventory management system on firm performance—an empirical study," 2019, [Online]. Available: <https://ir.tum.ac.ke/handle/123456789/17439>
- [74] I. A. Ocheoha and O. Moselhi, "Impact of building information modeling on just-in-time material delivery," in *ISARC. Proceedings of the International Symposium on Automation and Robotics in Construction*, IAARC

- Publications, 2013, p. 1. [Online]. Available: https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Osama-Moselhi/publication/320392130_Impact_of_Building_Information_Modeling_on_Just-in-Time_Material_Delivery/links/5a81ea16a6fdc6f3ead6b51/Impact-of-Building-Information-Modeling-on-Just-in-Time-Material-Delivery.pdf
- [75] P. Ward and H. Zhou, "Impact of Information Technology Integration and Lean/Just-In-Time Practices on Lead-Time Performance*," *Decis. Sci.*, vol. 37, no. 2, pp. 177–203, May 2006, doi: 10.1111/j.1540-5915.2006.00121.x.
- [76] M. Mazanai, "Impact of just-in-time (JIT) inventory system on efficiency, quality and flexibility among manufacturing sector, small and medium enterprise (SMEs) in South Africa," *Afr. J. Bus. Manag.*, vol. 6, no. 17, p. 5786, 2012.
- [77] I. Mas'udin and M. Kamara, "Impact of just-in-time, total quality management and supply chain management on organizational performance: A review perspective," *J. Tek. Ind.*, vol. 19, no. 1, pp. 11–20, 2018.
- [78] S. Rahman, T. Laosirihongthong, and A. S. Sohal, "Impact of lean strategy on operational performance: a study of Thai manufacturing companies," *J. Manuf. Technol. Manag.*, vol. 21, no. 7, pp. 839–852, 2010.
- [79] A. N. B. Adnan, A. B. Jaffar, N. B. Yusoff, and N. Halim, "Implementation of just in time production through Kanban system," *system*, vol. 3, no. 6, pp. 11–21, 2013.
- [80] H. K. Chan, S. Yin, and F. T. S. Chan, "Implementing just-in-time philosophy to reverse logistics systems: a review," *Int. J. Prod. Res.*, vol. 48, no. 21, pp. 6293–6313, Nov. 2010, doi: 10.1080/00207540903225213.
- [81] R. R. Pai, S. Hebbar, V. Kamath, and G. Kamath, "Improvement of process productivity through just-in-time," *Res. J. Manag. Sci. ISSN*, vol. 2319, p. 1171, 2013.
- [82] J. Bautista Valhondo and J. Fortuny Santos, "Improving 'just-in-time, just-in-sequence' delivery in first-tier suppliers," *Braz. J. Oper. Prod. Manag.*, vol. 13, no. 3, pp. 286–298, 2016.
- [83] R. A. C. Leuveano, M. N. Ab Rahman, W. M. F. Wan Mahmood, and C. Saleh, "Integrated vendor-buyer lot-sizing model with transportation and quality improvement consideration under just-in-time problem," *Mathematics*, vol. 7, no. 10, p. 944, 2019.
- [84] H. Carvalho and V. Cruz-Machado, "Integrating lean, agile, resilience and green paradigms in supply chain management (LARG_SCM)," *Supply Chain Manag.*, vol. 2, pp. 151–179, 2011.
- [85] J. K. Liker and Y.-C. Wu, "Japanese automakers, US suppliers and supply chain superiority," *Supply Chains Total Prod. Syst. Read.*, vol. 42, no. 1, pp. 81–93, 2006.
- [86] P. Danese, P. Romano, and T. Bortolotti, "JIT production, JIT supply and performance: investigating the moderating effects," *Ind. Manag. Data Syst.*, vol. 112, no. 3, pp. 441–465, 2012.
- [87] A. T. Bon and A. Garai, "Just in time approach in inventory management," *Fac. Technol. Manag. Bus. Entrep. Univ. Tun Hussein Onn Malays.*, pp. 1–9, 2011.
- [88] M. S. Kaswan, R. Rathi, and M. Singh, "Just in time elements extraction and prioritization for health care unit using decision making approach," *Int. J. Qual. Reliab. Manag.*, vol. 36, no. 7, pp. 1243–1263, 2019.
- [89] J. L. Q. Pinto, J. C. O. Matias, C. Pimentel, S. G. Azevedo, and K. Govindan, *Just in Time Factory*. in Management for Professionals. Cham: Springer International Publishing, 2018. doi: 10.1007/978-3-319-77016-1.
- [90] V. R. Kannan and K. C. Tan, "Just in time, total quality management, and supply chain management: understanding their linkages and impact on business performance," *Omega*, vol. 33, no. 2, pp. 153–162, 2005.
- [91] S. Y. Taylor, "Just-in-time," in *Handbook of Logistics and Supply-Chain Management*, Emerald Group Publishing Limited, 2008, pp. 213–224. [Online]. Available: <https://www.emerald.com/insight/content/doi/10.1108/9780080435930-013/full/html>

- [92] H. Aigbedo, "Just-in-time and its cost reduction framework," *Jpn. Cost Manag. Imp. Coll. Press Lond. UK*, pp. 205–228, 2000.
- [93] A. Das and R. B. Handfield, "Just-in-time and logistics in global sourcing: an empirical study," *Int. J. Phys. Distrib. Logist. Manag.*, vol. 27, no. 3/4, pp. 244–259, 1997.
- [94] J. M. Betts and R. B. Johnston, "Just-in-time component replenishment decisions for assemble-to-order manufacturing under capital constraint and stochastic demand," *Int. J. Prod. Econ.*, vol. 95, no. 1, pp. 51–70, 2005.
- [95] I. D. Tommelein and A. Li, "Just-in-time concrete delivery: mapping alternatives for vertical supply chain integration," in *Proceedings iglc*, 1999, p. 97. [Online]. Available: <https://leanconstruction.org.uk/wp-content/uploads/2018/09/TommeleinLi.pdf>
- [96] F. F. El Dabee and R. A. Hokoma, "Just-in-time for reducing inventory costs throughout a supply chain: a case study," *J. World Acad. Sci. Eng. Technol.*, vol. 6, pp. 537–540, 2012.
- [97] D. M. Baymout, "Just-In-Time Inlaid With Lean Production Perspective," *Int. J. Adv. Res.*, vol. 1, no. 9, pp. 01–16, 2013.
- [98] K. Lai and T. E. Cheng, *Just-in-time logistics*. Routledge, 2016. [Online]. Available: <https://www.taylorfrancis.com/books/mono/10.4324/9781315590875/time-logistics-cheng-kee-hung-lai>
- [99] K. Altnburg, D. Griscom, J. Hart, F. Smith, and G. Wohler, "Just-in-time logistics support for the automobile industry," *Prod. Inventory Manag. J.*, vol. 40, no. 2, p. 59, 1999.
- [100] A. O. Abdulraheem, "Just-in-time manufacturing for improving global supply chain resilience," *Int J Eng Technol Res Manag*, vol. 2, no. 11, p. 58, 2018.
- [101] C. Henry Olisakwe, L. Tuleun Tuleun, C. Andrew. Eloka-Eboka, "Comparative study of Thevetia peruviana and Jatropha curcas seed oils as feedstock for Grease production." [Online]. Available: https://scholar.google.com/citations?view_op=view_citation&hl=en&user=SDJeRGEAAAAJ&citation_for_view=SDJeRGEAAAAJ:RYcK_YIVTxYC
- [102] A. SHARMA, B. I. ADEKUNLE, J. C. OGEAWUCHI, A. A. ABAYOMI, and O. ONIFADE, "IoT-enabled Predictive Maintenance for Mechanical Systems: Innovations in Real-time Monitoring and Operational Excellence," 2019, [Online]. Available: https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Bolaji-Adekunle/publication/392130825_IoT-enabled_Predictive_Maintenance_for_Mechanical_Systems_Innovations_in_Real-time_Monitoring_and_Operational_Excellence/links/683628ded1054b0207f5d63f/IoT-enabled-Predictive-Maintenance-for-Mechanical-Systems-Innovations-in-Real-time-Monitoring-and-Operational-Excellence.pdf
- [103] T. Adenuga, A. T. Ayobami, and F. C. Okolo, "Laying the groundwork for predictive workforce planning through strategic data analytics and talent modeling," *IRE J.*, vol. 3, no. 3, pp. 159–161, 2019.
- [104] William Nii Ayitey Menson, John Olajide Olawepo, Tamara Bruno, Semiu Olatunde Gbadamosi, Nannim Fazing Nalda, Victor Anyebe, Amaka Ogidi, Chima Onoka, John Okpanachi Oko, Echezona Edozie Ezeanolue, "Reliability of self-reported Mobile phone ownership in rural north-Central Nigeria: cross-sectional study." [Online]. Available: https://scholar.google.com/citations?view_op=view_citation&hl=en&user=fK1Sh2kAAAAJ&citation_for_view=fK1Sh2kAAAAJ:I8rxH6phXEKc
- [105] O. Okenwa, O. K., Uzozie, O. T., & Onaghinor, "Supply Chain Risk Management Strategies for Mitigating Geopolitical and Economic Risks." [Online]. Available: https://scholar.google.com/citations?view_op=view_citation&hl=en&user=nEZTLEwAAAAJ&cstart=20&pagesize=80&citation_for_view=nEZTLEwAAAAJ:HDshCWvjkbEC
- [106] J Scholten R Eneogu, C Ogbudebe, B Nsa, I Anozie, V Anyebe, A Lawanson, E Mitchell, "Ending the TB epidemic: role of active TB case finding using mobile units for early diagnosis of tuberculosis in Nigeria." [Online]. Available:

https://scholar.google.com/citations?view_op=view_citation&hl=en&user=fK1Sh2kAAAAJ&citation_for_view=fK1Sh2kAAAAJ:Q3-QASNKTMEC

- [107] B Nsa V Anyebe, C Dimkpa, D Aboki, D Egbule, S Useni, R Eneogu, "Impact of active case finding of tuberculosis among prisoners using the WOW truck in North central Nigeria." [Online]. Available: https://scholar.google.com/citations?view_op=view_citation&hl=en&user=fK1Sh2kAAAAJ&citation_for_view=fK1Sh2kAAAAJ:gFrPxm1TSsC