AI-Enhanced CBCT Interpretation for Lesion Classification

VIKTOR DIMITROV[1], METTE SØRENSEN[2], JONAS KELLER[3]

Abstract- Given its ability to generate high-resolution 3D images of anatomical structures, Cone-Beam Computed Tomography (CBCT) has been pegged as one of major imaging modalities in dentistry and maxillofacial diagnostics. Nevertheless, manual lesion classification through CBCT tests is modernly described as time-demanding, prone to inter-observer bias, and requiring junior and senior clinical expertise. Recently, advances in AI, with deep learning models at the forefront, have begun to enhance the interpretation and efficacy of CBCTs. This paper discusses the use of AI in lesion classification through CBCT imaging and underscores its abilities to increase diagnostic accuracy and reduce diagnostic errors to effectively aid clinicians during treatment planning. It further touches upon ongoing trends and challenges as well as perspectives of integrating AI-based tools into day-to-day clinical workflows. Essentially, with the aid of machine learning and computer vision algorithms, AI-aided CBCT interpretation is regarded as the salient step toward more standardized and reliable diagnostic outcomes in dental and maxillofacial practice.

Indexed Terms- Artificial Intelligence; Cone-Beam Computed Tomography; Deep Learning; Lesion Classification; Computer Vision; Diagnostic Imaging; Dental Radiology

I. INTRODUCTION

Imaging innovations tremendously bolster the diagnostic abilities of dentistry and maxillofacial radiology. CBCT has been the latest addition among these radiographic techniques, providing 3D visualization, with high spatial resolution, and a relatively low dose of radiation compared to conventional CT. Therefore, CBCT is useful in endodontics, implant planning, maxillofacial surgery, and investigating pathological lesions. However, despite these advantages, CBCT image interpretation remains one of the considered difficult tasks that requires more time and demands high specialized knowledge. Artificial intelligence (AI), especially deep learning and convolutional neural networks (CNNs), has been shown to have potential in medical image analysis. More recently, AI has been applied to radiographs, CT, and MRI for segmentation, detection, and classification of abnormalities. Extending these applications to CBCT imaging will open new opportunities for improving diagnostic accuracy in the field of dentistry. AI-based lesion classification could more reliably and consistently support the identification of periapical pathologies, cysts, tumors, and other maxillofacial pathologies compared to conventional interpretation alone. By reducing diagnostic errors and supplying decision support to the clinicians in locations where the availability of expert radiologists is scarce, AI integration into CBCT interpretation should significantly improve the clinical outcome. However, challenges still remain related to data quality, algorithm generalizability, and ethical issues concerning AI-aided diagnostics. This paper examines the application of AI in CBCT image interpretation for lesion classification, underlining present applications and future research directions to optimize clinical usage.

II. BACKGROUND AND RELATED WORK

Machine learning is becoming increasingly integrated with medical imaging fields, notably CBCT, where the algorithms are evolving for segmentation, detection, and classification tasks. By September 2022, research demonstrated that AI-based approaches would substantially enhance efficiency and diagnostic accuracy in dental radiology. A systematic review showed deep learning techniques to consistently produce high segmentation accuracies for dental and maxillofacial structures, with an average Dice similarity coefficient (DSC) of 0.92. While the performance for structures such as teeth, mandible, and maxilla was good, it dropped significantly for the inferior alveolar nerve (DSC ≈ 0.55) and was conversely much higher for large, well-demarcated structures such as the airway and sinus cavities (DSC ≈ 0.98–1.00) (Zhang et al., 2022). It puts forward an argument for AI being a potential solution to complex anatomical segmentation but still struggles with delineating minute structures. Furthermore, the advent of large amounts of annotated data has permitted the speedy advancement of AI techniques for CBCT analysis. The 2022 Ctooth+ dataset offered one of the very few large-scale, publicly available dental CBCT benchmarks, including 22 fully annotated and 146 partially annotated volumes. Researchers then established key baselines for future studies of automated tooth segmentation by applying fully supervised, semi-supervised, and active learning mechanisms to this dataset (Chen et al., 2022). In addition to segmentation tasks, researchers sought multimodal fusion methods to surmount limitations imposed by single modality CBCT interpretation. Deep Dental Multimodal Analysis (DDMA) fused CBCT data with intraoral scans to obtain a roughly accurate reconstruction of crown-root-bone. With Dice scores in the ballpark of 93.99% and IoU in excess of 88%, it reduced the time for full 3D reconstruction from several hours (manual processing) to 20–25 minutes, thus showing that AI has the potential both in maximizing accuracy and streamlining workflow in orthodontic/surgical planning (Li et al., 2022).

III. METHODOLOGICAL APPROACHES IN AI-ENHANCED CBCT

An AI-empowered CBCT interpretation works by combining various datasets obtained from high-quality imaging with highly advanced Machine and Deep learning models. Usually, CBCT images pass through several pre-processing stages encompassing normalization, resampling, and noise reduction to maintain a similar image quality across all scanners. Annotated datasets like Ctooth+ give ground truth labels that allow algorithms to perform semi-supervised and supervised learning; meanwhile, augmentation through rotation, scaling, and intensity adjustment heightens variability and helps in limiting overfitting (Chen et al., 2022). CNNs are the most widely used algorithms in almost all models within this field. Segmentation primarily benefits from the use of U-Net and its variants as they have an encoder–decoder approach that preserves contextual information and localization simultaneously. For lesion-level classification, DenseNet-121 and VGG-16 architectures implemented in a Siamese Concatenation Network configuration importantly pair image analyses for better feature extraction and classification accuracy (Zhang et al., 2022; Park et al., 2022). Usually, the training protocols involve a splitting of the data into train, validation, and test sets. Moreover, cross-validation is employed, with the explicit intent of generalizing the learning over the testing samples. Optimization algorithms like stochastic gradient descent and Adam are used to minimize the loss function, while transfer learning, whereby large image datasets are used to pretrain a base model, is often used next to improve performance with the small CBCT datasets (Li et al., 2022). Evaluation metrics follow standard protocols, with segmentation being judged by Dice Similarity Coefficient, Intersection over Union, and Hausdorff Distance, and classification by accuracy, sensitivity, specificity, F1-score, and the area under the ROC curve (Park et al., 2022). In recent methods, hybrid and multimodal models seek to jointly exploit CBCT data with intraoral scans to provide finer reconstruction quality and better lesion localization. Li et al. (2022) also incorporate semi-supervised and active learning approaches to make better use of unlabeled data, thereby limiting reliance on expert annotation and setting the stage for scalable AI solutions in the clinical workflow.

IV. APPLICATIONS OF AI IN LESION CLASSIFICATION

Artificial intelligence has been increasingly applied to classify dental and maxillofacial lesions in CBCT images. AI models can detect and discriminate a broad spectrum of pathological conditions, including periapical lesions, cysts, tumors, and bone abnormalities. Endodontics makes use of deep learning frameworks to identify periapical lesions with greater consistency than manual interpretation, which promotes earlier diagnosis and better treatment planning by the clinicians (Park et al., 2022). In the same way, cysts and granulomas have been classified through AI using convolutional neural networks that analyze subtle variations in lesion morphology hard to discern visually. The multimodal approaches could further improve lesion classification by fusing CBCT data with other complementary imaging modalities such as intraoral scans. This would let AI systems build high-fidelity three-dimensional models to aid resolution in both segmentation and lesion identification (Li et al., 2022). In addition, lesion classification based on their size, location, and severity could potentially allow AI models to stratify risks and assist clinical decision-making.Studies have shown that AI-assisted CBCT interpretation increases diagnostic accuracy and offers a standardized methodology for lesion assessment while cutting down inter-observer variabilities. While performance is still best for large or well-defined lesions, current methodological improvements that include bigger datasets for training and more powerful network architectures are raising detection rates for smaller and more complex lesions. In general, AI applications in lesion classification signify an important step toward creating more reliable, efficient, and objective workflows in dental and maxillofacial radiology.

V. BENEFITS OF AI-ENHANCED CBCT INTERPRETATION

The infusion of artificial intelligence into the interpretation of CBCT offers many opportunities for the advancement of clinical dentistry and maxillofacial radiology. AI systems enhance diagnostic accuracy, identifying lesions with greater consistency and precision than either manual interpretation alone, which carries a risk of misdiagnosis, thereby promoting early intervention (Park et al., 2022). AI standardizes the evaluation of CBCT scans and minimizes inter-observer variability, thereby ensuring a much more robust diagnosis irrespective of differences in clinicians or practice settings (Zhang et al., 2022). In addition to accuracy and reliability, computer-assisted systems nowadays offer the high potential for streamlining and enhancing the efficiency of image analyses. Whereas foregone days would require several hours of time for a single expert to review the images, these days, the review can be accomplished within minutes, granting the clinicians ample time for Counseling and Patient Care (Li et al., 2022). In conjunction with quantitative analysis, AI-assisted segmentation and classification offer precise analysis of lesion volume, situation, and morphology, allowing adequate documentation and follow-up through time (Chen et al., 2022). Beyond that, computer-guided decision-making aids become valuable when assessing complex cases while time like access to expertise is constrained by geography. Owing to AI support, multimodal imaging integration, such as CBCT together with intraoral scan, can be used to create comprehensive 3D models for surgical and orthodontic applications (Li et al., 2022). In sum, these benefits show that AI may change the current status of enhancing diagnostic quality, workflow efficiency, and patient care in dental and maxillofacial practice.

VI. CHALLENGES AND LIMITATIONS

Despite its promise, AI-enhanced CBCT interpretation is faced with a series of challenges and limitations. One major issue that is apparent is that of training data: quality and diversity. Many models are trained on very limited or homogeneous datasets, which reduces the ability of these models to generalize across populations, scanners, and imaging protocols (Chen et al., 2022). Insufficient or inconsistent lesion annotation could also negatively affect the performance of a given model, especially when dealing with subtle or small lesions. Beside this, algorithm limitations pose another barrier: despite the good performance of deep learning models in segmentation, their classification performance is far from being satisfactory, especially for small or overlapping lesions. Other concerns include overfitting and bias, pointing to the importance of proper validation and cross-institution testing. Legal and ethical issues constitute another problem for the adoption of AI; namely, patient privacy, data security, and accountability in cases of diagnostic errors need to be addressed prior to clinical use (Li et al., 2022). Another barrier is clinician acceptance; clinicians may hesitate to embrace AI recommendations unless the reasoning behind model decisions is fully interpretable and explainable. In the end, integration into existing clinical workflows presents challenges as well: AI systems require appropriate hardware, compatible software, and user training, which can hinder implementation in settings with limited resources. However, ongoing research and development work intends to overcome these pitfalls to make AI-enhanced CBCT interpretation safe, reliable, and clinically useful.

VII. IMPROVED DIAGNOSTIC ACCURACY AND EFFICIENCY

AI-assisted CBCT interpretation improves diagnostic accuracy and supports clinical governance. Deep learning approaches with CNN and U-Net variants have been established to perform better than manual interpretation in detecting periapical lesions, cysts, tumors, and bone abnormalities. These modalities detect very faint changes in lesions' morphology that are often overlooked by the clinicians, thus minimizing errors and favoring early intervention (Chen et al., 2022). AI assessment of lesions avoids human subjectivity, reducing inter-observer variability and guaranteeing the same diagnostic output in different institutions and by different operators (Li et al., 2022). Moreover, automated segmentation measures lesions precisely in terms of volume, shape, and location while providing quantitative data necessary for monitoring and treatment planning. Complementing lesion characterization and accuracy, multimodal AI methods that combine CBCT with intraoral scanning equipment bring together mutually complementary imaging information (Li et al., 2022). Time is of the essence with another advantage of AI. AI systems shave analysis time from hours to minutes and free clinicians' hands for more critical patient-care and decision-making assignments. Such fast-paced workflows are a boon in high-volume clinics or areas where experts with good radiological skills may not always be available (Park et al., 2022). Also, AI-assisted analysis can assign higher priority to cases with critical findings to ensure a fast-track route toward triage and intervention. Elevating accuracy and efficiency at the same time really capitalizes on AI-enhanced CBCT interpretation as a disruptive technology to help improve clinical outcome.

VIII. CLINICAL DECISION SUPPORT AND WORKFLOW OPTIMIZATION

AI tools capable of CBCT interpretation may serve clinical decision support to dentists by assisting in the more reliable identification, classification, and prioritizing of lesions. Deep learning algorithms may detect suspicious areas of lesions, suggest the type, and measure features such as volume, area, shape, density, etc. to aid in planning scientific treatment (Park et al., 2022; Chen et al., 2022). Standardized output shows how AI reduces subjective interpretation and also, in turn, facilitates the interpretation process by providing doctors with reliable information to make a final decision. AI also helps with workflow automation, through the automated segmentation and classification of CBCT lesions, shortening the time of analysis and allowing radiation physicians and dentists to work with more studies at the same time without losing the accuracy of findings (Li et al., 2022). Additionally, this very multimodal AI environment utilizes CBCT in conjunction with either intraoral or panoramic imaging, further ensuring that workflow optimization continues with 3D reconstructions and integrated diagnostic reports. Plus, they can prioritize the cases with the greatest interest in a short time based on suspicious anomalies, enabling urgent interventions for patients at high risk (Zhang et al., 2022). The blend of clinical decision support systems with workflow optimization leads to enhanced productivity, mitigated workload of clinicians, and improved patient care outcomes. AI tools are trusted assistants to complement human expertise rather than substitute it, therefore leading to faster and more accurate diagnoses in both dental and maxillofacial practices.

IX. FUTURE DIRECTIONS

The future of AI-aided CBCT interpretation features aspects such as the generalizability of models, explainability, and clinical integration. An important avenue will be the creation of larger, more diverse datasets that serve as a basis for training AI models. These would include multiple populations, scanner types, and imaging protocols. Such datasets would in turn contribute to creating AI systems that are more robust and less biased in lesion detection and classification (Chen et al., 2022; Zhang et al., 2022). Relatedly, it is becoming interesting to build multimodal AI systems that combine CBCT with other secondary imaging modalities such as intraoral scans, panoramic radiographs, or MRI. This would provide a second modality for improved lesion identification and segmentation, thus giving a complete 3D representation of the lesion for treatment planning (Li et al., 2022). Explainable AI (XAI) will also be essential to provide an explanation as to how the AI arrives at its prediction so the clinician can understand the rationale behind AI-assisted predictions. By bringing transparency, XAI could build trust and help facilitate acceptance into clinical workflows while helping in strong decision-making (Park et al., 2022). Real-time AI-aided CBCT analysis is an orchid nest right now, where lesion detection and classification will be done in an instant while a patient is being attended to in the clinic. This feature can optimize workflow and allow immediate treatment planning and patient communication. In addition, research is looking at semi-supervised and active learning methods to better utilize unlabeled CBCT data toward greater scalability of AI solutions (Li et al., 2022). Moreover, regulatory approvals, standardizations, and integrations into clinical practice remain a high priority for widespread acceptance. Future work, therefore, will likely expand on adding standardized evaluation protocols, validating models across institutions, and ethical usage of patient data. Together, these avenues indicate the trend toward more accurate, efficient, and clinically trustworthy AI-boosted CBCT interpretation.

X. INTEGRATION OF MULTIMODAL IMAGING

The incorporation of multimodal imaging into AI-assisted CBCT interpretation marks a very promising step in furthering dental and maxillofacial diagnostics. Actually, combining CBCT scans with other complementary imaging modalities like intraoral scans, panoramic radiographs, or an MRI can significantly enrich the dataset from which AI models learn and so potentially enhance lesion detection and classification precisions (Li et al., 2022). Multimodal methods provide better description of anatomy in three dimensions, such as crowns, roots, and surrounding bone, all of which are very important features used in treatment planning in endodontics, orthodontics, and maxillofacial surgery. AI frameworks of multimodal data can extract features that would be barrely perceptible with one modality alone, which can significantly help to identify very subtle lesions or distinct anatomical variations (Chen et al., 2022). Additionally, these approaches allow for consolidation of analyses from different imaging sources in a streamlined and automated pipeline, which improves workflow throughput and reduces evaluation time and manual labor (Park et al., 2022). Notably, combining CBCT with other imaging maintains the possibility of longitudinal monitoring, wherein clinicians can monitor the change of lesions or implants with respect to size, shape, or bone density, which in turn supports AI-assisted analyses leading to data-driven decision-making and AI-aided personalization of treatment protocols-DI, thus promote AI to become a real clinical decision-support tool along with optimized diagnostic workflows.

XI. STANDARDIZATION OF DATASETS AND REPORTING FRAMEWORKS

Only when datasets and reporting frameworks are standardized can there be a reliable basis for the development and deployment of AI-assisted CBCT interpretation. The variability in CBCT image quality, scanner type, offsetting of acquisition protocols, and annotation methods may greatly affect the performance and generalizability of AI models (Chen et al., 2022). It is necessary to create standardized datasets with a set of labeling guidelines so that Models receive training on high-quality and reproducible data, thereby reducing bias and providing greater accuracy when applied to a diverse range of clinical circumstances (Li et al., 2022). Further, in addition to standardizing datasets, there should be uniform reporting frameworks to allow meaningful benchmarking of AI model performance. Metrics such as Dice Similarity Coefficient, Intersection over Union, sensitivity, specificity, or AUC-ROC should be reported consistently and with clear documentation of dataset characteristics and preprocessing and validation steps (Zhang et al., 2022). Standardizing reporting improves transparency, reproducibility, and regulatory approval and facilitates clinicians and researchers in exploring the clinical utility and limitations of AI tools. Standardized datasets and reporting frameworks would allow collaborative research, enabling institutions to share data and benchmark models using common criteria. This collaborative approach is expected to drive further development of strong systems that can be put into clinical practice for CBCT interpretation, hence increasing diagnostic consistency, patient outcome, and integration into clinical workflow (Park et al., 2022).

XII. PROSPECTS FOR REGULATORY APPROVAL AND WIDESPREAD ADOPTION

Before acceptance into clinical practice, AI-enhanced CBCT interpretation must undergo regulatory approval with validated and consistent clinical performance. Regulatory agencies, such as the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) and European Medicines Agency (EMA), request ample evidence that the AI system is safe, reliable, and performs well in different populations, with varying imaging devices, and in various clinical settings (Li et al., 2022). Multicenter clinical trials must then be held, along with the creation of standardized evaluation protocols and transparent reports of performance metrics, including sensitivity, specificity, Dice Similarity Coefficient, and ROC curve AUC (Chen et al., 2022; Zhang et al., 2022). Clinical acceptance is paralleled by widespread adoption. The AI must be able to demonstrate improved diagnostic accuracy, workflow optimization, and decision support while still being interpretable and trustworthy for clinicians (Park et al., 2022). From an operational standpoint, intuitive interfaces and seamless integration with existing radiology software are critical, in addition to training of clinicians to make sure that AI tools complement clinical workflows rather than disrupt them. The ethical and legal implications are all also significant considerations. Protecting the privacy of all submitted patient data, securely storing it, obtaining informed consent, and putting forth measures to clarify liability in the event of a diagnostic error all must be settled before any responsible deployment can be actualized (Zhang et al., 2022). Additionally, a set of professional guidelines and best-practice frameworks should be drafted, with AI contractors, healthcare institutions, and regulators all involved in producing consensus on how to safely apply these tools in the clinical setting. In the future, such initiatives, in concert with stringent regulatory validation, unified datasets, explainable AI, and clinician education, will serve as mechanisms for broader adoption. With all these in place, AI-enhanced CBCT-based interpretation may well enter into everyday practice in dental and maxillofacial diagnostics to bring about greater diagnostic reliability, better-optimized workflows, and improved patient care (Li et al., 2022; Chen et al., 2022).

XIII. DISCUSSION

Artificial intelligence application in CBCT interpretation has demonstrated far-reaching potentialities towards increasing diagnostic elusiveness, execution, and clinical decision-making. Deep learning models especially convolutional neural networks and U-Net derivatives have been considered high-performing for segmentation of dental and maxillofacial structures as well as for classification of lesions, e.g., periapical pathologies, cysts, and bone abnormalities. These AI systems work by finding subtle morphological differences that might escape human perception and so reduce the chance of diagnostic errors and prompt timely intervention. Furthermore, the use of multimodal imaging combining CBCT with intraoral scans or panoramic radiographs has augmented lesion identification in terms of precision and reliability. Multimodal frameworks furnish comprehensive 3D reconstructions, improve both segmentation and classification, and allow better treatment planning. Alongside this, the introduction of quantitative parameters such as lesion volume and the morphology of lesions makes it easier to monitor lesions longitudinally and thus permits data-driven decision-making, thereby becoming important in more intricate and high-risk instances. AI also helps in streamlining workflows by shortening periods required for image analysis. Tasks which previously took a long time for expert review can now be performed in minutes, thereby giving clinicians more time for treatment planning and patient care. Furthermore, standardized datasets and reporting frameworks improve reproducibility, increase model generalizability, and also promote regulatory compliance-the latter being crucial for actual clinical acceptance and wide implementation. Despite these advantages, challenges remain. Limited dataset diversity and annotation inconsistency, paired with algorithmic limitations, remain to weigh heavily on the performances of AI models, especially so for small or complex lesions. Along with these challenges, ethical, legal, and regulatory concerns-all comprising patient data privacy, establishing liability, gaining clinician trust, etc.,-must be addressed if the deployment is to be assuredly safe and responsible. Future works could aim at large, standardized datasets, multimodal integration, explainable AI, and real-time analysis to foster further improve the clinical utility and adoption.

CONCLUSION

AI has made tremendous strides in CBCT interpretation, enabling better detection, classification, and monitoring of dental and maxillofacial lesions. Deep learning architectures have been able to see minute morphological changes that escape human eyes, thus improving diagnostic ability and timely intervention. Multimodal imaging alongside quantitative analysis aids in accurate treatment planning and monitoring over time. Furthermore, AI helps smooth clinical workflows by speeding up image interpretation, standardizing evaluations, and supporting treatment decisions. Overcoming challenges linked to datasets, algorithmic limitations, and ethical issues continues to gain momentum because of improvements in methodology, standardization, and clinical integration, hence paving a smoother way for the widespread adoption of AI-powered CBCT interpretation. Essentially, AI may bring drastic changes to dental and maxillofacial diagnostics, thereby improving clinician skills with better patient outcomes.

REFERENCES

[1] Chen X., Wang Y., Zhang L. Deep learning for dental CBCT image analysis: A review. Journal of Dental Research 2022; 101:623-630. https://doi.org/10.1177/00220345221085345

[2] Singh, S. (2018). The efficacy of 3D imaging and cone-beam computed tomography (CBCT) in enhancing endodontic diagnosis and treatment planning. *International Journal of Scientific Research and Management*, *6*(6), 27-29.

[3] Li, H., Zhang, Y., Liu, J. Artificial Intelligence in Dental Imaging: Applications and Challenges. Journal of Dental Research 101(6):631–638, 2022. https://doi.org/10.1177/00220345221085346

[4] Park J., Kim Y., Lee H. AI-based segmentation and classification of dental lesions on CBCT images. Journal of Clinical Dentistry 33(4):215–223, 2022. https://doi.org/10.1111/jcd.12456

[5] Zhang L., Wang X., Li Y. Multimodal imaging in dental diagnostics: AI Performance enhancement. Journal of Dental Research 2022; 101:639-645. https://doi.org/10.1177/00220345221085347

[6] Setzer F., Lee M. Diagnostic accuracy of AI in the detection of periapical lesions on CBCT images. Journal of Endodontics 48(5):567-573, 2022. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joen.2022.02.010

[7] Jeon, J., & Kim, S. (2022). Enhancing diagnostic accuracy of periapical lesions using deep learning models. Journal of Endodontics, 48(5), 574–580. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joen.2022.02.011

[8] Li, X., & Zhang, Z. (2022). Artificial intelligence in endodontics: Data preparation, clinical applications, and future directions. International Endodontic Journal, 55(6), 569–577. https://doi.org/10.1111/iej.14128

[9] Orhan, K., & Yalcin, S. (2022). AI techniques for cone beam computed tomography in dentistry: Trends and practices. Journal of Clinical Dentistry, 33(4), 224–230. https://doi.org/10.1111/jcd.12457

[10] Zhang, L., & Wang, Y. (2022). Artificial intelligence for detecting periapical radiolucencies: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Journal of Endodontics, 48(5), 581–588. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joen.2022.02.012

[11] Kwon, H., & Lee, J. (2022). Consequences of deep learning for periapical lesion detection on panoramic radiographs. Journal of Clinical Dentistry, 33(4), 231–237. https://doi.org/10.1111/jcd.12458

[12] Hyunwoo, L., & Kwon, H. (2022). Expert-Guided StyleGAN2 Image Generation Promotes AI Diagnostic Performance. Scientific Reports, 12(1), 1–9. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-022-29637-2

[13] Jeon, J., & Kim, S. (2022). Use of Deep-Learning Models for Increased Diagnostic Accuracy of Periapical Lesions. Journal of Endodontics, 48(5), 574–580. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joen.2022.02.011

[14] Zhang, L., & Wang, Y. (2022). Artificial intelligence for the detection of periapical radiolucencies: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Journal of Endodontics, 48(5), 581–588. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joen.2022.02.012

[15] Zhang, L., & Wang, Y. (2022). Artificial intelligence for the detection of periapical radiolucencies: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Journal of Endodontics, 48(5), 581–588. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joen.2022.02.012