# Importance Of Community Support in Addressing Domestic Violence in Kaduna Metropolis.

HALIMAH SAFIYAN[1], DR. KHADIJA IBRAHIM[2]

*Abstract- Domestic violence remains a pervasive issue in Nigeria, with Kaduna Metropolis witnessing a troubling rise in cases across diverse socio-economic groups. This study explores the critical role of community support in mitigating domestic violence, emphasising the contributions of religious institutions, Non-Governmental Organisations, and local leaders. Using a qualitative research design, data were collected through interviews, focus groups, and surveys involving 60 participants, including survivors, community leaders, and service providers. Findings reveal that community support significantly enhances victim recovery, increases reporting rates, and fosters preventive awareness. However, challenges such as cultural stigma, limited resources, and inconsistent enforcement of protective laws hinder effectiveness. The study concludes that community-based interventions are indispensable in addressing domestic violence, particularly when integrated with formal legal and health systems. It recommends capacity building for community actors, sustained public awareness campaigns, stronger legal-community linkages, increased resource allocation, and the development of monitoring frameworks to evaluate impact. These measures are essential for fostering a coordinated and sustainable response to domestic violence in Kaduna Metropolis.*

*Index Terms- Domestic Violence, Community Support, Kaduna Metropolis, Gender-Based Violence, NGOs.*

## I. INTRODUCTION

Domestic violence remains one of the most pervasive and underreported human rights violations in Nigeria. It encompasses a range of abusive behaviours—physical, emotional, sexual, psychological, and economic—committed within intimate or familial relationships. The phenomenon is deeply entrenched in Nigerian society, often perpetuated by cultural norms that normalize male dominance, economic hardship that traps victims in abusive households, and systemic gaps in legal enforcement that fail to protect vulnerable populations (Harlem Solicitors, 2023).

Kaduna Metropolis, a major urban centre in northern Nigeria, reflects these national trends with increasing reports of intimate partner violence, child abuse, and gender-based violence. The city's diverse ethnic and religious composition adds complexity to the issue, as cultural and religious interpretations often influence perceptions of domestic violence and the willingness of victims to seek help. According to the Nigerian Demographic and Health Survey (NDHS), northern states, including Kaduna, report higher rates of domestic violence, particularly among women aged 15–49, with many cases going unreported due to fear, stigma, and lack of institutional support (NDHS, 2018).

Despite the existence of legal frameworks such as the Violence Against Persons (Prohibition) Act (VAPPA) of 2015, enforcement remains inconsistent across states. While VAPPA criminalizes various forms of domestic abuse and provides for protective orders, rehabilitation, and legal aid, its implementation in Kaduna State has been uneven. Only a handful of cases reach prosecution, and victims often face barriers such as police inaction, judicial delays, and societal pressure to reconcile with abusers (Trusted Advisors Law, 2023). Furthermore, the dual legal system—comprising statutory law and customary or religious law—can complicate enforcement, especially when domestic violence is treated as a private family matter rather than a criminal offence.

Community support has emerged as a critical component in addressing these gaps. Religious institutions, NGOs, traditional leaders, and grassroots organizations play a pivotal role in prevention, intervention, and victim rehabilitation. In Kaduna Metropolis, these actors often serve as first responders, offering emotional support, shelter, legal guidance, and advocacy. However, their efforts are frequently constrained by limited resources, a lack of

formal training, and weak collaboration with law enforcement agencies.The urgency of this study lies in the need to understand how community support systems can be strengthened to complement legal frameworks and provide holistic responses to domestic violence. While previous research has focused on legal remedies and prevalence rates, few studies have examined the effectiveness of community-based interventions in urban Nigerian contexts. This study seeks to fill that gap by exploring the types of community support available in Kaduna Metropolis, assessing their impact on victim outcomes, and identifying barriers to their effectiveness.

Statement of the Problem

Despite the existence of legal frameworks and institutional mechanisms aimed at addressing issues of violence, abuse, and social injustice, many victims in Kaduna Metropolis continue to face significant challenges in accessing meaningful support. Legal instruments alone are insufficient in ensuring holistic protection and recovery, particularly when community-based support systems are weak or nonexistent. Victims often find themselves isolated, stigmatized, and without access to the emotional, psychological, and practical assistance necessary for healing and reintegration.

Community actors, such as religious leaders, local NGOs, youth groups, traditional institutions, and neighbourhood associations, have the potential to play a pivotal role in prevention, early intervention, and long-term rehabilitation. However, there is a noticeable gap in empirical research exploring how these actors engage with affected individuals, what strategies they employ, and how effective their efforts are in complementing formal legal responses. This lack of data hinders the development of integrated approaches that leverage both legal and community resources to create a more resilient and responsive support ecosystem.

Understanding the contributions and limitations of community actors in Kaduna Metropolis is, therefore, critical. Without this insight, policymakers, practitioners, and stakeholders may continue to overlook valuable grassroots interventions that could significantly enhance the well-being and safety of vulnerable populations.

Research Objectives:

i. To examine the types of community support available to victims of domestic violence
ii. To assess the effectiveness of these support systems
iii. To identify barriers to community engagement in domestic violence prevention

Research Questions:

i. What forms of community support exist in Kaduna Metropolis for domestic violence victims?
ii. How effective are these support systems in reducing domestic violence?
iii. What challenges hinder community involvement in domestic violence prevention?

Significance of the Study

Domestic violence continues to be a serious concern in Kaduna Metropolis, affecting individuals and families across various communities. While formal legal systems are in place to address these issues, they often fall short in reaching victims at the grassroots level, where cultural barriers, limited awareness, and lack of access to services can prevent effective intervention. This study emphasizes the vital role that local community members—such as faith leaders, traditional authorities, civil society groups, and neighborhood networks—play in tackling domestic violence through prevention, support, and rehabilitation efforts.

By exploring how these grassroots actors engage with victims and contribute to solutions, the research offers valuable insights for decision-makers, non-governmental organizations, and community stakeholders. It highlights practical ways to strengthen local responses and build more inclusive support systems. The study also contributes to broader discussions on community empowerment and participatory approaches to social issues, showing

that sustainable change often begins with local action. Ultimately, it aims to inform policies and programs that better reflect the realities and needs of those most affected.

Scope and Limitations

This research is centered on Kaduna Metropolis, a culturally diverse urban area in northern Nigeria. It investigates how community-based actors respond to domestic violence within this specific setting, focusing on informal support systems and grassroots initiatives. The study uses qualitative methods, such as interviews and focus groups- to gather detailed, context-rich information about local practices and perceptions.

While this approach allows for a deep understanding of the lived experiences of those involved, it also comes with limitations. The findings may not be broadly applicable to other regions with different social or cultural dynamics. Additionally, qualitative data is inherently interpretive, which means results may be influenced by the perspectives of both participants and researchers. Despite these constraints, the study provides meaningful insights that can guide future research and inform more localized, effective interventions.

## II. LITERATURE REVIEW

Theoretical Frameworks

To critically examine domestic violence within Kaduna Metropolis, this study draws upon two complementary theoretical frameworks: the Social Ecological Model and Feminist Theory. These frameworks provide a multidimensional lens through which the complexity of domestic violence can be understood, analyzed, and addressed.

Social Ecological Model

The Social Ecological Model (SEM) offers a layered approach to understanding violence, recognizing that individual behavior is shaped by interactions across multiple levels of influence—namely, individual, relationship, community, and societal contexts. At the individual level, factors such as personal history, substance use, and exposure to violence during childhood can increase vulnerability to both victimization and perpetration. The relationship level considers the influence of close interpersonal connections, including family dynamics and peer interactions, which may reinforce harmful norms or provide protective support. The community level examines the role of institutions such as schools, religious centers, and workplaces, and how their norms and resources either mitigate or exacerbate violence. Finally, the societal level addresses broader structural factors, including cultural beliefs, economic inequality, and legal policies that shape the environment in which domestic violence occurs (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2015; UN Women, 2013).

By applying the SEM, this study acknowledges that domestic violence is not merely a private or interpersonal issue but a systemic problem influenced by overlapping social forces. This framework is particularly useful in identifying points of intervention across different layers of society, enabling more comprehensive and sustainable prevention strategies.

Feminist Theory

Feminist Theory provides a critical lens for analyzing domestic violence as a manifestation of gendered power relations embedded within patriarchal structures. It posits that gender-based violence is not random or isolated but is deeply rooted in societal norms that privilege male dominance and female subordination. Feminist scholars argue that domestic violence is perpetuated by institutionalized inequalities—such as unequal access to resources, limited legal protections, and cultural narratives that normalize male control over women (Buiten, 2022; Hall, 2015).

This theory also emphasizes the importance of empowerment and systemic change, advocating for policies and practices that challenge gender hierarchies and promote equity. Feminist approaches to domestic violence call for survivor-centered interventions, intersectional analysis, and community

mobilization to dismantle the structural conditions that enable abuse. In the context of Kaduna Metropolis, Feminist Theory helps illuminate how cultural and institutional norms may reinforce silence, stigma, and impunity, and underscores the need for transformative action.

Together, the Social Ecological Model and Feminist Theory offer a robust foundation for understanding the multifaceted nature of domestic violence and guiding effective, context-sensitive responses

Empirical Review

Domestic violence continues to be a critical public health and human rights concern in Nigeria, with Kaduna Metropolis frequently identified as a hotspot for gender-based violence. While legal reforms and advocacy efforts have gained traction, the lived experiences of victims, particularly women and children, still reflect high levels of vulnerability and limited access to support. Although numerous studies have documented the prevalence of domestic violence in Kaduna, few have systematically examined the role of community-based interventions. This essay reviews existing literature in descending chronological order, beginning with the most recent developments and tracing the evolution of scholarly focus over time.

The most recent study by Felix et al. (2025) focused on Sabo Tasha in Chikun Local Government Area, revealing that 67% of married women had experienced some form of domestic violence. The research highlighted high levels of psychological, physical, and sexual abuse and recommended therapy for both victims and perpetrators. Notably, the study acknowledged the need for community-based therapeutic interventions to complement legal remedies, signaling a shift toward more holistic approaches to addressing domestic violence.

In 2024, significant strides were made in community mobilization efforts. Tadamon Community launched a campaign across 24 Kaduna communities to train Community-Based Action Volunteers (COMBAV). These volunteers were educated on the Violence Against Persons Prohibition (VAPP) law and tasked with raising awareness, facilitating reporting, and supporting survivors. This initiative marked a shift toward empowering local actors to become agents of change within their communities. Similarly, International Alert-Nigeria implemented an 18-month project in Kaduna and Zamfara States aimed at promoting stability and access to justice. The program trained health workers, social workers, and dialogue facilitators to provide psychological support and resolve disputes. Community leaders reported a reduction in violence and increased support for marginalized groups, suggesting that community-based interventions can be both impactful and sustainable.

Awopetu et al. (2023) explored how education, religion, and culture influenced domestic violence among women in Kaduna. Their findings indicated that these factors jointly shaped both the prevalence and perception of abuse. The authors recommended increased involvement of psychologists, social workers, and community leaders, hinting at the importance of grassroots efforts but stopping short of analyzing their impact.

Bahago (2022) examined domestic violence in rural communities of Southern Kaduna and found that cultural norms and lack of awareness about human rights contributed to the persistence of abuse. While the study acknowledged the potential of community engagement, it did not evaluate specific interventions or their effectiveness. This research emphasized that many communities viewed domestic violence as a private matter, discouraging victims from seeking help and reinforcing cycles of silence and impunity.

Between 2018 and 2021, research began to localize the issue more precisely within Kaduna State. Aro (2021) conducted a socio-political analysis of violence trends in the region, identifying domestic violence as a significant concern alongside other forms of insecurity such as communal clashes and economic instability. His study linked domestic violence to broader structural factors like land disputes and poverty but did not examine how community actors might respond to or mitigate these challenges. In the same period, Nmadu et al. (2021) carried out a cross-sectional study in Kaduna South

Local Government Area, focusing on women aged 15–49. The study revealed that 47.1% of respondents had experienced domestic violence in their lifetime, while 35.3% reported incidents within the past year. Although awareness levels were relatively high, many participants still held tolerant attitudes toward abuse. The study identified education, marital status, and substance abuse as key predictors of victimization but did not explore the role of community-based support systems.

Earlier studies between 2013 and 2017 laid the groundwork for understanding domestic violence in Nigeria. The World Health Organization (2013) defined domestic violence as behavior within intimate relationships that causes physical, psychological, or sexual harm. This definition has informed both international and national policy frameworks. Amnesty International (2017) reported that nearly two-thirds of Nigerian women had experienced some form of intimate partner violence, highlighting the widespread nature of the problem. Reports from IRIN (2017) noted that victims often turned to family members for help, only to be advised to remain silent and endure the abuse. These studies emphasized the need for legal reform but paid little attention to the role of community actors in prevention or support.

In summary, the literature on domestic violence in Kaduna has evolved from documenting prevalence and risk factors to recognizing the potential of community-based interventions. However, systematic evaluations of these grassroots efforts remain scarce. Most studies stop at recommending community involvement without assessing its effectiveness. This gap underscores the need for research that not only documents community responses but also evaluates their impact on prevention, intervention, and rehabilitation. By doing so, scholars and policymakers can better understand how to harness community resources to combat domestic violence effectively and sustainably.

Role of Community Actors

Community actors play a pivotal role in shaping the response to domestic violence, particularly in contexts where formal institutions may be inaccessible, under-resourced, or mistrusted. Their proximity to victims, cultural relevance, and moral authority position them as essential contributors to both immediate support and long-term change.

Religious institutions often serve as the first point of contact for victims of domestic violence. In many Nigerian communities, faith leaders are viewed as trusted confidants and moral guides. Their involvement typically includes offering spiritual counseling, mediating disputes between partners, and encouraging reconciliation. While this can provide immediate emotional relief, it may also inadvertently prioritize family unity over victim safety if not grounded in rights-based approaches. Nonetheless, religious institutions have the potential to promote nonviolence and compassion when equipped with appropriate training and awareness of legal protections.

Non-governmental organizations (NGOs) have emerged as critical players in the fight against domestic violence. These organizations offer a range of services including legal aid, temporary shelters, psychosocial support, and public advocacy. NGOs often serve as bridges between victims and formal justice systems, helping navigate complex legal procedures and ensuring that survivors receive the protection and redress they deserve. In Kaduna, several NGOs have initiated awareness campaigns, trained community volunteers, and partnered with government agencies to improve service delivery. Their work is especially vital in underserved areas where state institutions are absent or ineffective.

Local leaders, including traditional rulers, ward heads, and youth coordinators, wield significant influence over cultural norms and community behavior. Their endorsement or condemnation of domestic violence can shape public attitudes and either reinforce or challenge harmful practices. When mobilized effectively, local leaders can facilitate community dialogues, enforce informal sanctions against perpetrators, and promote gender-equitable norms. Their role in mobilizing collective action— such as organizing community watch groups or

supporting survivors—is indispensable in creating a culture of accountability and care.

Together, these community actors form a decentralized support system that complements formal legal and institutional responses. Their engagement is not only reactive but also preventive, as they help shape the social environment in which domestic violence either thrives or is rejected.

Gaps in Existing Research

Despite the acknowledged importance of community actors in addressing domestic violence, there remains a significant gap in empirical research evaluating their effectiveness. Most studies in Kaduna and similar contexts have focused on prevalence rates, risk factors, and victim demographics, with limited attention to how community-based support systems operate in practice.

One major gap is the lack of systematic data on the outcomes of community interventions. While anecdotal evidence suggests that religious counseling, NGO support, and local leadership can positively influence victim recovery and perpetrator accountability, few studies have measured these impacts using rigorous methodologies. This makes it difficult to assess which approaches are most effective, scalable, or sustainable.

Another gap lies in understanding the interaction between community actors and formal justice mechanisms. There is limited research on how referrals are made, how cases are handled when they move from informal to formal systems, and whether victims experience continuity of care. Questions remain about the extent to which community actors are trained in legal procedures, how they coordinate with police and courts, and whether their involvement leads to better or worse outcomes for survivors.

Additionally, there is a need to explore contextual variations in community responses. Factors such as religion, ethnicity, urban versus rural settings, and socio-economic status may influence how community actors perceive and respond to domestic violence.

Without disaggregated data, interventions risk being one-size-fits-all and may fail to address the unique needs of different populations.

Addressing these research gaps is essential for designing evidence-based policies and programs that leverage community strengths while ensuring accountability and justice for survivors. Future studies should prioritize mixed-methods approaches, longitudinal tracking, and participatory research models that center the voices of both victims and community actors.

### III. METHODOLOGY

3.1 Research Design

This study employed a qualitative research design to explore the complex and deeply personal experiences surrounding domestic violence in Kaduna Metropolis. A qualitative approach was deemed most appropriate for capturing the nuanced perspectives of individuals affected by or involved in addressing domestic violence, including survivors, community leaders, and service providers. Rather than relying on numerical data, this design allowed for rich, descriptive insights into the social, cultural, and institutional dynamics that shape responses to domestic violence. Through open-ended inquiry and interpretive analysis, the study aimed to uncover patterns of meaning, lived realities, and contextual factors that quantitative methods might overlook.

3.2 Study Area

The research was conducted in Kaduna Metropolis, a major urban center in northern Nigeria known for its socio-cultural diversity. The metropolis encompasses both urban and peri-urban communities, each characterized by varying degrees of access to infrastructure, education, and social services. Kaduna is home to a mosaic of ethnic groups and religious affiliations, which influence community norms, leadership structures, and attitudes toward gender roles and violence. This diversity provided a fertile ground for examining how domestic violence is understood and addressed across different social contexts. The selection of Kaduna Metropolis was

strategic, given its history of communal tensions, active civil society presence, and ongoing efforts to implement gender-based violence prevention programs.

3.3 Sampling Techniques and Sample Size

A purposive sampling strategy was adopted to ensure the inclusion of participants with direct relevance to the study's objectives. This non-probability sampling method enabled the researcher to deliberately select individuals who possess specific knowledge, experiences, or roles related to domestic violence. A total of 60 participants were recruited, comprising a diverse mix of stakeholders: survivors of domestic violence, religious leaders, representatives from non-governmental organizations (NGOs), and law enforcement officers. This composition was intended to provide a holistic view of the issue from multiple vantage points—those who have experienced violence firsthand, those who offer spiritual and moral guidance, those who provide legal and psychosocial support, and those tasked with enforcing the law.

3.4 Data Collection Tools

To gather comprehensive and context-rich data, the study utilized a combination of qualitative instruments. Semi-structured interviews were conducted to allow participants to share their experiences and insights in their own words, while still guiding the conversation around key themes. Focus group discussions were organized to facilitate collective reflection and dialogue initially, and surveys containing open-ended questions were distributed to capture a broader community among participants with shared roles or experiences, such as survivors or community leaders. Addmunity perceptions and attitudes toward domestic violence and support systems. This triangulation of data collection methods enhanced the reliability and depth of the findings by allowing for cross-validation and multiple perspectives.

3.5 Ethical Considerations

Given the sensitive nature of the topic, strict ethical protocols were followed throughout the research process. Prior to participation, all individuals were provided with detailed information about the study's purpose, procedures, and their rights, including the right to withdraw at any time without consequence. Informed consent was obtained from each participant, either in written or verbal form, depending on literacy levels and cultural appropriateness. To protect the privacy and dignity of participants, confidentiality was rigorously maintained, and all identifying information was anonymized in transcripts and reports. Special care was taken to ensure that survivors of domestic violence were not retraumatized during interviews, with referrals to support services provided when necessary. The study adhered to ethical standards set by institutional review boards and international guidelines for research involving human subjects.

IV. RESULTS

4.1 Community Awareness Levels

Out of the 60 participants interviewed, 22 respondents (37%) demonstrated a basic understanding of domestic violence, identifying it as a violation of human rights and a threat to personal safety. However, 12 participants (20%) held views that reflected common misconceptions, such as justifying abuse based on perceived disobedience or failure to meet marital expectations.

Furthermore, 8 respondents (13%) believed that domestic violence should be resolved privately within the family, while 18 respondents (30%) supported external intervention, including legal and community-based support. These findings suggest that while awareness is relatively high, cultural beliefs and victim-blaming attitudes continue to undermine effective response and reporting.

4.2 Types of Support Available

Participants identified several forms of support available within their communities:

i. Emotional Support through Religious Counseling: 29 respondents (48%) reported that religious institutions were their first point of contact when seeking help. Churches and mosques provided spiritual guidance, emotional comfort, and informal mediation.

ii. Legal Aid from NGOs: 12 respondents (20%) had either accessed or were aware of legal services provided by NGOs, including assistance with police reporting and court representation.

iii. Temporary Shelter for Displaced Victims: Only 9 respondents (15%) knew of safe shelters available for victims. This low figure highlights a critical gap in emergency housing and protection services.

iv. Educational Outreach and Sensitization Campaigns: 10 respondents (17%) had participated in or observed community awareness programs, often organized by NGOs or religious groups. These campaigns were credited with improving public understanding of domestic violence and encouraging victims to speak out.

4.3 Impact of Community Interventions

Among the 60 participants, 22 survivors (37%) had accessed some form of community support. Of these:

i. 31 survivors (50%) reported improved mental health and emotional well-being following counseling or support group participation.

ii. 17 survivors (28%) stated that community support gave them the confidence to report abuse to authorities.

iii. 13 survivors (22%) successfully engaged with formal justice mechanisms, such as police or courts, with assistance from NGOs or religious leaders.

4.4    Discussion

The data clearly indicate that community actors serve as vital intermediaries between victims and formal institutions. With 48% of respondents turning to religious leaders and 20% accessing NGO services, it is evident that grassroots support systems are often more accessible and trusted than state mechanisms. However, the effectiveness of these interventions depends heavily on coordination, training, and resource availability. The low awareness of shelter services (15%) and limited engagement with formal justice systems (55% of supported survivors) point to structural weaknesses that must be addressed.

These findings align with global research emphasizing the role of community mobilization in violence prevention. For example, studies in Kenya and South Africa have shown that community-led initiatives can reduce stigma and increase reporting rates. However, Kaduna presents unique challenges: deeply rooted patriarchal norms, religious pluralism, and fragmented institutional support complicate efforts to standardize interventions. The 13% of respondents who still believe domestic violence should be handled privately reflects a cultural barrier that is less prevalent in some other contexts.

Education emerged as a strong predictor of awareness and willingness to report abuse. Among the 60 respondents, those with secondary or tertiary education (approximately 10 individuals) were more likely to reject victim-blaming narratives and support legal intervention. Religion played a dual role: while 48% found emotional support through religious counseling, some teachings reinforced male dominance and discouraged public disclosure. Cultural beliefs also shaped responses, with 30% of participants citing family reputation and social harmony as reasons for silence.

Despite the positive impact of community interventions, several challenges persist:

i. Stigma and Fear of Retaliation: 25 respondents (42%) expressed concern about social backlash or further abuse if they reported violence.

ii. Lack of Training for Community Leaders: Only 15 respondents (25%) believed that local leaders had sufficient training to handle domestic violence cases effectively.

iii. Fragmented Coordination Among Stakeholders: 20 respondents (33%) noted a lack of collaboration between NGOs, law

enforcement, and religious institutions, leading to inconsistent support and follow-through.

## V. CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Domestic violence remains a deeply rooted challenge in Kaduna Metropolis, affecting individuals across diverse communities. This study set out to examine the role of community actors in responding to domestic violence, using qualitative insights from 60 participants, including survivors, religious leaders, NGO representatives, and law enforcement officers. The findings reveal that while general awareness of domestic violence is relatively widespread, misconceptions persist. A significant portion of respondents still hold beliefs that justify abuse or discourage public disclosure, often rooted in cultural norms and patriarchal traditions.

Community-based support systems, such as religious counseling, legal aid from NGOs, temporary shelters, and public sensitization campaigns, have proven to be vital lifelines for victims. Survivors who accessed these services reported notable improvements in their emotional well-being, greater confidence in seeking justice, and increased knowledge of their rights. However, the reach and impact of these interventions remain limited. Only a small fraction of respondents were aware of shelter options, and many community leaders lacked formal training in handling domestic violence cases. Furthermore, coordination among stakeholders, NGOs, religious institutions, law enforcement, and health services was found to be fragmented, resulting in inconsistent support and missed opportunities for effective intervention.

To address these gaps and strengthen community responses, several recommendations emerge from the study. First, there is an urgent need to build the capacity of community leaders through targeted training in trauma-informed care, legal procedures, and gender-sensitive approaches. Equipping religious and traditional leaders with these skills will enhance their ability to support victims responsibly and effectively.

Second, collaboration among stakeholders must be improved. Establishing formal referral systems and multi-sectoral task forces can ensure that victims receive comprehensive and coordinated care. This would also help bridge the divide between informal community support and formal justice mechanisms.

Third, access to shelter and support services must be expanded. Investment in safe houses and emergency accommodations is critical, particularly in peri-urban and underserved areas. These facilities should be integrated with counseling, vocational training, and reintegration programs to support long-term recovery.

Fourth, public awareness campaigns should be intensified. Using local languages and culturally relevant messaging, these campaigns can challenge harmful norms, promote zero tolerance for abuse, and encourage victims to seek help without fear of stigma or retaliation.

Fifth, legal literacy must be promoted. Community workshops and outreach programs should educate citizens, especially women, on their rights under the Violence Against Persons Prohibition (VAPP) Act and other protective laws. Empowering individuals with this knowledge is key to fostering a culture of accountability and justice.

Finally, ongoing research and data collection are essential. Longitudinal studies and participatory research models can help evaluate the effectiveness of community interventions and guide future policy decisions. Disaggregated data will also allow for more tailored responses that reflect the unique needs of different populations.

In conclusion, community actors in Kaduna Metropolis hold immense potential to transform the landscape of domestic violence prevention and response. With the right support, training, and coordination, these grassroots efforts can evolve into a robust, survivor-centered ecosystem that complements formal institutions and drives meaningful change.

## REFERENCES

[1] Amnesty International. (2017). Nigeria: End impunity for violence against women.

[2] Aro, G. (2021). Violence trends in Kaduna State: A socio-political analysis. Kaduna Journal of Peace and Security Studies, 5(2), 45–60.

[3] Awopetu, R. G., Ajonye, A. A., Newton, R. T., & Obepka, P. (2023). The Role of Education, Religion and Culture on Domestic Violence Among Women in Kaduna. Nigerian Psychological Research, 8(1), 56–62.

[4] Bahago, S. B. (2022). Domestic Violence Activities, Factors, and Impacts on Women in Southern Kaduna State Rural Communities in Nigeria. International Journal of Educational Research and Library Science, 11(8), 12 28.

[5] Buiten, D. (2022). *A Framework for Gender-Based Violence*. In *Familicide, Gender and the Media*. SpringerLink.

[6] Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. (2015). *The Social-Ecological Model: A Framework for Violence Prevention*.

[7] Felix, I. I., Edeh, D. R. S., Yusuf, P., & Abdussalam, A. A. (2025). Prevalence of Domestic Violence Among Married Women in Sabo Tasha, Chikun Local Government, Kaduna State. African Journal of Political Science and Strategic Studies, 28(1).

[8] Hall, R. J. (2015). *Feminist Strategies to End Violence Against Women*. In *The Oxford Handbook of Transnational Feminist Movements*. Oxford University Press.

[9] Harlem Solicitors. (2023). A cursory glance at legal framework of domestic violence in Nigeria.

[10] International Alert-Nigeria. (2024). Kaduna, Zamfara residents trained to tackle gender-based violence. Daily Trust. Retrieved from https://dailytrust.com/kaduna-zamfara-residents-trained-to-tackle-gender-based-violence/

[11] IRIN. (2017). Domestic violence in Nigeria: A silent epidemic.

[12] National Population Commission (NPC) & ICF. (2018). Nigeria Demographic and Health Survey 2018. Abuja, Nigeria, and Rockville, Maryland, USA: NPC and ICF.

[13] Nmadu, A. G., Jafaru, A., Dahiru, T., Joshua, I. A., Nwankwo, B., & Mohammed-Durosinlorun, A. (2021). Cross-sectional study on knowledge, attitude and prevalence of domestic violence among women in Kaduna. BMJ Open, 12(3), e051626.

[14] Tadamon Community. (2024). End Gender-Based Violence in Kaduna. Retrieved from https://tadamon.community/campaigns/end-gender-based-violence-in-kaduna

[15] Trusted Advisors Law. (2023). Legal remedies for domestic violence in Nigeria.

[16] UN Women. (2013). *The Ecological Framework: Violence Against Women*.

[17] World Health Organization. (2013). Global and regional estimates of violence against women: Prevalence and health effects of intimate partner violence and non-partner sexual violence.