

# From Sensor to Strategy: Leveraging IoT and Real-Time Data Analytics for Poultry Disease Management.

FOLASAYO OGUNDIPE

*University of Leeds, School of Geography*

**Abstract-** *The poultry industry faces progressive challenges in disease management due to the rapid spread of pathogens like avian influenza, posing significant threats to food security, public health, and economic stability. Traditional disease monitoring methods, reliant on manual inspections and delayed reporting, are often inadequate for timely interventions. This paper explores how Internet of Things (IoT) technologies and real-time data analytics can revolutionize poultry disease surveillance by enabling continuous, automated monitoring of environmental, physiological, and behavioral health indicators. Through predictive analytics and early warning systems, IoT-driven frameworks offer the potential to shift disease response from reactive to proactive, significantly reducing mortality rates, minimizing economic losses, and enhancing biosecurity. However, widespread adoption requires addressing critical challenges, including data privacy concerns, infrastructure limitations in rural areas, device interoperability, and the cost of implementation versus return on investment. The paper advocates for strategic partnerships between governments, technology providers, and the poultry sector, alongside policy frameworks that ensure ethical, scalable, and inclusive deployment of IoT systems. Future research should focus on developing AI models for disease variants, expanding surveillance to other zoonotic diseases, and creating affordable IoT solutions for smallholder farmers. Lastly, leveraging IoT and real-time analytics is important for building resilient, data-driven agricultural health systems that can safeguard food supply chains and public health in an increasingly interconnected world.*

**Keywords:** *Poultry Disease Management, Internet of Things (IoT), Real-Time Data Analytics, Avian Influenza, Predictive Analytics, Biosecurity, Livestock Health Surveillance, Data Privacy, Smart*

*Farming, Food Security, Zoonotic Diseases, AI in Agriculture, Precision Livestock Farming, Smallholder Farmers, Agricultural Technology Adoption.*

## I. INTRODUCTION

The global poultry industry is a cornerstone for food security and rural incomes, increasingly vulnerable to outbreaks of avian influenza, which disrupt production, endanger livelihoods, and strain national economies. (Subedi et al., 2024). Avian influenza (AI), especially the H5 and H7 strains, has triggered major outbreaks worldwide, resulting in high bird mortality, disrupted poultry trade, and heightened concerns for public health (Shi et al., 2022). Avian influenza has led to the death of over 300 million birds globally and is increasingly infecting other species, according to UN health experts (United Nations, 2024). Avian influenza has caused billions in economic damage and weakened biosecurity in vulnerable areas, while its zoonotic strains increasingly threaten human health, especially where animal-human interactions lack proper regulation.

The rise of IoT devices and real-time analytics in agriculture is revolutionizing disease management by enabling early detection, precise interventions, and data-driven decision-making for healthier crops and livestock (Miller et al., 2025). These advanced technologies allow ongoing monitoring of poultry flocks' environmental and physiological conditions, providing early warning signs that conventional surveillance methods often overlook. Mărcuță & MoldStud Research Team (2024) revealed that adopting advanced tracking technologies like GPS collars and health-monitoring wearables can boost farm productivity by 20%, reduce livestock loss by 30%, and improve breeding outcomes, with 78% of managers affirming their value for reproductive planning.

Despite this promise, current disease surveillance systems remain largely reactive. In many low- and middle-income countries, disease detection still relies heavily on visual inspection, delayed laboratory diagnostics, and paper-based reporting. Seungmin et al. (2025) report that many LMICs rely on slow, error-prone diagnostics like culture-based methods which delay treatment and drive antibiotic overuse, worsening antimicrobial resistance. This limited integration of sensor data into centralized disease intelligence systems creates response gaps that enable unchecked disease spread and delay interventions, triggering ripple effects throughout the poultry value chain.

This paper explores how real-time IoT data and analytics can reshape poultry disease management strategies from early detection to response optimization. The scope of this research includes an evaluation of existing sensor technologies, their data collection capabilities, and how predictive analytics and artificial intelligence (AI) can be deployed to forecast outbreaks, assess risks, and support rapid containment.

This study seeks to explore how IoT-enabled sensors can enhance the early detection of poultry diseases, particularly avian influenza, by providing continuous, real-time health monitoring. It also examines the extent to which real-time data analytics can reduce response times and improve containment strategies during disease outbreaks. Also, the research investigates the barriers that hinder the integration of sensor-based data systems into existing poultry health monitoring frameworks. The central hypothesis posits that incorporating IoT and real-time analytics into poultry disease management will significantly enhance outbreak prediction capabilities and reduce mortality rates compared to traditional monitoring methods.

## II. LITERATURE REVIEW

### IoT Applications in Agriculture and Livestock Management

The Internet of Things (IoT) is a foundational technology in modern agriculture, enabling the integration of sensors, communication networks, and data analytics into farm operations (Miller et al.,

2025). In livestock management, IoT has been used to monitor environmental conditions, animal behavior, feed consumption, and biometric data. IoT solutions enable real-time livestock health monitoring through environmental sensors that regulate light, humidity, CO<sub>2</sub>, ammonia, and temperature, crucial factors that directly influence poultry growth, feeding efficiency, and egg production quality (IoT Now, 2024). Precision Livestock Farming (PLF), a term coined in the early 21st century, refers to the use of smart technologies that autonomously monitor livestock health, behavior, and productivity that empowers farmers with real-time data and automated systems to optimize decision-making and meet rising demand for animal products (Terence et al., 2024).

Research by Rana et al. (2023) highlights how IoT facilitates precision livestock farming (PLF) by offering continuous and strategic monitoring that improves productivity, efficiency and animal welfare. For instance, temperature and motion sensors installed in poultry houses allow real-time tracking of microclimate conditions and animal activity, which are early indicators of health disruptions (Orakwue et al., 2022). In poultry farming specifically, sensors such as RFID tags, thermal cameras, and gas detectors (e.g., for CO<sub>2</sub> and ammonia) are increasingly deployed to monitor flock health and housing conditions (Özentürk et al., 2024; Wright, 2025; Orakwue et al., 2022). According to Aulia et al. (2023), integrating IoT with wireless sensor networks (WSNs) in broiler operations has led to measurable improvements in real time data driving decision making.

### Existing Disease Surveillance Systems in Poultry Farming

Current poultry disease surveillance strategies often consist of manual inspection, periodic laboratory testing, and passive reporting mechanisms. Outbreaks of highly pathogenic avian influenza viruses (HPAIV) present serious public health concerns, yet their detection, primarily through passive surveillance, often leads to underreporting and delayed response, increasing the risk of widespread transmission (Vredenberg et al., 2025). These systems are paper-based and fragmented, lacking a centralized disease data repository. The World Organisation for Animal Health notes that the average time between disease

symptom onset and official reporting can exceed 4 days, allowing rapid transmission within and across flocks. High-income countries tend to report disease outbreaks more quickly due to stronger management systems, better resources, and technical capacity, whereas low-income countries often face delays, likely influenced by limited infrastructure and transparency, highlighting that the factors driving case detection (CT) and notification time (NT) differ significantly despite their connection (Lin et al., 2023). Global initiatives like GAINS, along with national programs in the U.S. and EU, have advanced surveillance of zoonotic avian influenza viruses including HPAI strains like H5Nx and H7Nx and LPAI strains such as H3N8 and H9N2, while efforts by the USGS NWHC to monitor wild birds enhance early detection, though many systems still depend on delayed diagnostics and manual observation. Lack of automated, real-time detection systems significantly impedes early intervention and quarantine efforts in poultry disease management. AI-powered livestock management is transforming animal welfare and farm efficiency by using deep learning models like CNNs to detect behavioral and health anomalies early, while predictive analytics improve yield forecasting and climate resilience that ultimately optimizes resource use and minimizes waste (Cruz et al., 2024).

#### Limitations of Traditional Monitoring and Response Strategies

The poultry industry requires precise control of environmental factors like temperature, humidity, CO<sub>2</sub>, and ammonia to ensure bird welfare and productivity, and while traditional wired systems pose cost and maintenance challenges, wireless technologies offer a more scalable, flexible, and cost-effective solution for optimizing farm performance (Godinho et al., 2025). Traditional surveillance methods are reactive, labor-intensive, and prone to human error (Natho et al., 2025). Visual inspection may miss subclinical signs of disease, while lab-based diagnostics often involve lag times that hinder timely containment. Traditional poultry disease surveillance often fails to detect early warning signs especially for severe infections, making it inadequate for today's fast-paced industry, where abnormal vocalizations, temperature shifts, fecal changes, and behavioral cues offer critical indicators of illness (He et al., 2022).

Also, these systems lack predictive capability, offering little foresight into potential outbreaks. Kamarul et al. (2025) found that integrating AI, big data analytics, GIS, and IoT into epidemic intelligence systems significantly enhances infectious disease surveillance by leveraging publicly available online data to improve early detection and response capabilities

Economic constraints prevent many smallholder farms from accessing regular veterinary care and lab testing. Results from research by Kitole (2025), barriers like high costs (40%), long distances (30%), poor service quality (20%), and lack of trust (10%) limit access to veterinary care yet improving service availability and farmer education significantly enhances livestock survival and productivity. This has led to underreporting, misdiagnosis, and unchecked disease spread, further compounded by the lack of integrated data systems that hinder regional health trend analysis.

#### Previous Work on Predictive Analytics in Animal Health

Recent research has underscored the transformative role of machine learning (ML) and predictive analytics in detecting early indicators of disease in livestock. Algorithms trained on time-series sensor data such as fluctuations in body temperature, changes in vocalization, and alterations in activity patterns, can identify subtle deviations that precede visible symptoms, enabling more timely and targeted interventions.

Parisa et al. (2021) describe Precision Livestock Farming (PLF) as a technology-enhanced approach that integrates real-time sensor data with expert knowledge systems to optimize animal health, resource efficiency, and environmental outcomes. Their study emphasizes the value of data-driven decision support systems, leveraging ML, simulation tools, and statistical modeling to support proactive animal management and reduce greenhouse gas emissions.

Expanding the scope of disease detection, Machuve et al. (2022) developed deep learning models, specifically MobileNetV2 and Xception to classify poultry fecal images and diagnose common infections such as Coccidiosis, Salmonella, and Newcastle disease. Their findings demonstrated high diagnostic

accuracy, with MobileNetV2 proving particularly suitable for on-farm use due to its lightweight architecture and robust performance under resource constraints.

Ahmad and Maha (2023) highlight the broader implications of AI in veterinary medicine, citing its growing application in imaging diagnostics, predictive modeling using genetic and health record data, and individualized health monitoring. Their review also raises ethical considerations related to data protection, algorithmic bias, and the urgent need for regulatory oversight to ensure responsible AI deployment in animal healthcare.

In their work on epidemiological forecasting, Chambers et al. (2024) explore how big data analytics, when sourced from diverse channels such as farm sensors, veterinary reports, and environmental datasets, can model disease dynamics and support early intervention strategies. The authors stress the importance of interdisciplinary collaboration to overcome barriers like inconsistent data quality and ethical concerns, which remain critical for building resilient disease surveillance infrastructures.

Behavioral analytics has also shown promise in poultry health monitoring. Mohialdin et al. (2023) introduced a computer vision-based system that tracks behaviors such as eating, walking, and sleeping using video input processed through a Light Gradient Boosting Machine (LightGBM). Achieving up to 98.4% accuracy, the system provides farmers with real-time insights into flock welfare and emerging health risks.

Also, data fusion techniques, which aggregate inputs from multiple sensors, have improved the precision of health anomaly detection. A recent study by Manikandan and Neethirajan (2025) introduces **\*\*acoustic AI\*\*** as a novel, non-invasive method for monitoring poultry health through vocalization analysis. Their work advances from traditional sound recognition to state-of-the-art deep learning models such as CNNs, LSTMs, and self-supervised frameworks like wav2vec2 and Whisper. The study emphasizes the potential of TinyML for deploying models on edge devices and advocates for explainable AI (XAI) and standardized protocols to address

scalability, transparency, and ethical deployment in real-world farm settings.

#### Regulatory and Ethical Considerations

As with other emerging technologies in agriculture, the integration of IoT and real-time analytics into animal health management presents a complex set of regulatory and ethical challenges. One of the most pressing issues is the limited access to high-quality, diverse, and representative agricultural data, particularly from smallholder or marginalized farming systems. This data imbalance hampers the development of accurate and equitable AI models. Atapattu et al. (2024) argue that improving metadata standards, enabling data crowdsourcing, and supporting open agricultural data platforms are essential steps toward inclusive and responsible AI deployment in livestock systems.

Regulatory frameworks are beginning to evolve to address these concerns. In the European Union, the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) governs the ethical handling of personal and farm-level data, including data generated by sensors. In the United States, several legislative instruments provide oversight in animal health management, including the Animal Health Protection Act, the Virus-Serum-Toxin Act, and provisions under the Authority to Establish Research Facilities for Foot-and-Mouth and Other Diseases (FAO, 2021; USDA APHIS, 2024). These frameworks establish guidelines for biosafety, data privacy, pathogen research, and the safe application of biotechnologies on farms.

From an ethical standpoint, there is an ongoing debate about the balance between animal welfare and the push for hyper-efficient, data-driven farming. Continuous monitoring through IoT devices may improve animal care by enabling early disease detection, yet it also risks supporting production systems that prioritize yield over animal well-being. Santana et al. (2025) highlight the broader ethical implications of AI in livestock farming, raising concerns about social equity, environmental sustainability, and the potential erosion of traditional animal husbandry practices.

Neethirajan (2023) reinforces that while digital tools such as sensors, wearables, and computer vision, offer

deep insights into animal health and behavior, their use must be guided by a recognition of animals as sentient beings with emotional and social needs. Ethical livestock management must therefore prioritize not just operational efficiency but also respect for animal dignity and psychological welfare.

Furthermore, the adoption of automated auditing systems is increasingly seen as a mechanism to enforce ethical and transparent data practices across the livestock value chain. These systems can track how sensor data is collected, processed, and utilized, enhancing accountability and safeguarding against misuse. Kaur et al. (2022) emphasize the value of such systems in building trust and ensuring that AI-enabled farming practices comply with both legal and moral standards.

### III. FRAMEWORK FOR REAL-TIME MONITORING

A wide array of IoT sensors can be deployed to monitor the environmental and physiological parameters critical to poultry health. These sensors collect real-time data that enable predictive analytics to detect anomalies that may indicate disease onset.

#### Environmental Sensors

Devices such as temperature, humidity, and air quality sensors help maintain optimal living conditions and detect microclimate changes that enable disease outbreaks (Godinho et al., 2025). For instance, elevated ammonia or CO<sub>2</sub> levels are linked to respiratory stress in poultry, increasing susceptibility to infections like avian influenza.

#### Motion and Behavior Sensors:

Infrared motion detectors, accelerometers, and RFID-based activity trackers monitor flock movement, rest patterns, and spatial distribution (Riaboff et al., 2022; Li et al., 2020). A sudden reduction in locomotion or clustering behavior can signal distress or illness.

#### Health-Linked Biometric Indicators

Sensors integrated with weighing platforms and feeders can assess feeding patterns and body weight fluctuations (Schomburg, 2023), while audio-based

systems can detect changes in vocalization (Manikandan & Neethirajan, 2025), which have been linked to respiratory disorders. Advanced thermal imaging (Noh et al., 2021), and respiratory monitoring sensors (Hossain et al., 2023) such as ultrasonic or acoustic are increasingly used to detect subclinical signs of infection such as labored breathing or coughing.

#### Network Architecture and Sensor Deployment

Designing an effective sensor network architecture requires careful consideration of deployment conditions, especially in rural or semi-rural agricultural zones where connectivity and power infrastructure may be limited.

#### Connectivity Requirement

Poultry farms often suffer from limited broadband or cellular access. In such cases, Low Power Wide Area Networks (LPWAN) like LoRaWAN offer an energy-efficient and long-range alternative suitable for connecting multiple sensors over large farm areas. Pasandideh (2020) explains that LoRaWAN technology allows low-power IoT networks to operate wirelessly across distances up to 10 kilometers in remote areas, without relying on LTE (4G/5G) or other backhaul infrastructure, with devices consuming as little as 15.36 mAh daily. NB-IoT offers reliable and flexible long-range connectivity for sensor applications by using signal repetitions to enhance receiver sensitivity, though this increases latency, while maintaining consistent data rates across diverse and challenging radio environments (Matz et al., 2020).

#### Edge vs. Cloud Computing Models:

Edge computing enables data processing directly at or near the sensor source (e.g., on a local gateway), reducing latency and allowing real-time alerts even when connectivity is intermittent (Kelly, 2024). It also minimizes bandwidth consumption.

Cloud computing on the other hand enables centralized data storage and scalable analytics, facilitating AI model training, remote access for agritech experts, and precision farming tools that drive

automation, optimize resources, and promote sustainable agriculture (Miller & Shekhar, 2024).

#### Data Collection and Transmission Protocols

Reliable and secure data transmission are the foundation of the integrity of real-time monitoring systems, with modern IoT frameworks relying on lightweight protocols designed for low-power, intermittent environments to ensure efficient and dependable connectivity.

##### MQTT (Message Queuing Telemetry Transport):

MQTT is a lightweight, publish-subscribe protocol optimized for unreliable networks. According to Usmani (2021) MQTT is a widely adopted, open-source protocol known for its lightweight design, fast transmission, low power consumption, and event-driven publish/subscribe architecture, with built-in Quality of Service (QoS) levels, multicasting, congestion control, message persistence during connection loss, and the ability to connect large numbers of devices to a broker without mutual awareness, making it ideal for scalable and reliable IoT communication. Its low bandwidth demands and minimal communication overhead make it highly suitable for transmitting sensor data in poultry farming environments.

##### LoRaWAN (Long Range Wide Area Network)

LoRaWAN is well-suited for rural environments thanks to its ability to deliver long-range coverage spanning several kilometers, while maintaining low power consumption and reliable communication, making it ideal for scalable IoT deployments in agriculture and remote monitoring (Bartolín-Arnau et al., 2022). Low-power wide-area networks (LPWANs) are widely adopted for IoT applications that demand minimal energy use and low data throughput, leading communication protocol for smart agriculture due to its long-range efficiency and adaptability to rural environments (Badreddine et al., 2020).

Other Protocols such as Zigbee, NB-IoT, and Wi-Fi are used in varying contexts based on power availability, data volume, and range requirements. Protocols are often combined through gateways that

translate between local networks and cloud-based data platforms. To ensure system reliability and data security, these protocols must be integrated with end-to-end encryption, authentication standards, and redundancy mechanisms to protect against data loss and breaches, especially in health-sensitive applications.

#### IV. BUILDING THE DATA PIPELINE

##### Data Ingestion

Data ingestion is the systematic process of gathering and importing data from diverse sources into a centralized repository, where it is cleaned, organized, and stored to ensure consistent accessibility for analytical and operational use (IBM, 2024). In poultry farming environments, this process must accommodate high-frequency, multi-modal data streams originating from sensors that monitor parameters such as temperature, sound, feeding behavior, and environmental conditions. Real-time ingestion is facilitated by technologies like Apache Kafka, Apache Pulsar, and AWS IoT Core, which allow seamless streaming from edge devices or local gateways. Apache Kafka supports the continuous flow of data from diverse endpoints, enabling timely, data-driven decision-making in response to real-time events (Sanni, 2024). Apache Pulsar enhances scalability through a decoupled serving and storage architecture using Apache BookKeeper, allowing for simplified management and efficient implementation of publish-subscribe models where applications subscribe to relevant data subsets (Salamone, 2022). Meanwhile, AWS IoT Core, a fully managed cloud platform, securely connects billions of IoT devices and routes trillions of messages to AWS or third-party services, enabling scalable and efficient device management and real-time processing (Upadhyaya & Joshi, 2024).

In rural farm settings with unreliable connectivity, edge-gateway synchronization is essential. Edge devices locally buffer sensor data and transmit it to the cloud once connectivity resumes. Edge computing supports localized processing, enabling real-time decision-making with reduced latency and improved responsiveness (Kelly, 2024). Advances in Edge IoT and Edge AI have led to the development of autonomous systems capable of collecting, analyzing, and interpreting animal husbandry data on-site. These

systems enable real-time productivity forecasting, health monitoring, and predictive analytics, powered by machine learning and deep learning models that support efficient farm management (Jebari et al., 2023). To enhance bandwidth and network efficiency, lightweight encoding formats like Protocol Buffers and CBOR are combined with smart prioritization strategies that transmit high-resolution data only for significant changes or anomalies, while routine information is efficiently downsampled or batched. Lightweight security protocols ensure core cybersecurity requirements such as confidentiality, integrity, and availability are met in resource-constrained environments without sacrificing performance (Ana, 2023). Additionally, robust network architectures that integrate MPLS-Traffic Engineering with Diffserv Quality of Service (QoS) provide intelligent bandwidth allocation and effective traffic control. This synergy enables Internet Service Providers to support real-time data transmission, automation, and monitoring critical to smart agriculture applications (Hassan et al., 2024).

#### Data Cleaning and Preprocessing

Raw sensor data often contains anomalies, outliers, missing values, and inconsistencies stemming from sensor drift, power interruptions, or communication noise. Without effective preprocessing, such imperfections can distort analytical outcomes and compromise disease detection models. To address this, anomaly detection techniques like z-score filtering, rolling median smoothing, and density-based clustering (e.g., DBSCAN) are employed to isolate aberrant readings. The z-score method calculates the number of standard deviations a data point deviates from the mean, offering fast and scalable detection across large datasets due to its linear computational complexity of  $O(n)$  (Jung et al., 2025). Similarly, DBSCAN identifies clusters based on density thresholds and isolates noise by differentiating sparse outliers from dense clusters, an approach especially effective in environments with variable data patterns (Retiti et al., 2024). This is shown in sudden spikes in poultry house temperature that do not correlate with external environmental shifts that can be flagged and filtered.

In handling missing data, strategies such as forward-fill, interpolation, and model-based estimations like Kalman filters are employed. The Kalman filter, in particular, is a robust recursive estimation algorithm that predicts unknown system states by minimizing error covariance over time. It is applicable to both continuous-time systems governed by differential equations and discrete-time models commonly used in sensor networks, making it a preferred tool for accurate imputation and state forecasting in dynamic environments (Wang et al., 2023). Additionally, validation checks are performed to ensure all sensor readings fall within biologically plausible limits such as expected temperature, humidity, and activity levels, further safeguarding the reliability of downstream analytics.

#### Data Integration

For a comprehensive understanding of poultry health, sensor-generated data must be integrated with contextual information such as biosecurity logs, veterinary records, and environmental metadata. Data integration refers to the structured process of collecting, merging, and aligning datasets from diverse sources such as databases, cloud platforms, APIs, and spreadsheets into a unified format that enables accurate analysis and informed decision-making across agricultural operations (IBM, 2023). In poultry disease management, this involves synchronizing real-time sensor data with periodic inputs like health inspection records, vaccination schedules, and biosecurity compliance reports. According to Pavia et al. (2024), IoT technologies are increasingly essential in managing vaccine efficacy and logistics by enabling real-time monitoring of storage conditions and automating inventory management. Their continued adoption in livestock health contexts necessitates robust standards for data security, interoperability, and scalability, which are essential to support global health initiatives and localized disease prevention strategies. Integrating these data streams into a unified framework allows for multi-dimensional analysis of disease risk factors and supports early identification of health threats.

Temporal and spatial alignment of datasets is a major component of integration, ensuring that data from various sources can be meaningfully compared and

analyzed. Tools like Apache Spark, Google BigQuery, and SQL-based ETL (Extract, Transform, Load) pipelines are commonly used for this purpose. Apache Spark enables efficient ingestion and transformation of structured, semi-structured, and unstructured data by using in-memory processing, which significantly reduces batch-processing times and supports high-velocity, real-time analytics (RenovaCloud, 2025). Google BigQuery, a serverless data warehouse platform, facilitates the unification of diverse datasets in a scalable and cost-effective manner. It offers real-time streaming capabilities and seamless integration with other Google Cloud services, enabling advanced analytics and machine learning workflows without the complexity of infrastructure management (Jason, 2025; Richman, 2025). SQL transformations are central to the ETL process, allowing users to clean, enrich, and restructure data using familiar querying syntax. SQL's versatility and widespread support across relational databases make it a preferred tool for data transformation and harmonization (Tobin, 2025).

In addition to primary data sources, metadata enrichment further enhances context-awareness in predictive models. Auxiliary inputs such as weather data, geospatial farm maps, and historical disease outbreak records are integrated to provide environmental and epidemiological context to sensor readings. As Lingxi et al. (2024) explain, data augmentation is a crucial step in the Tabular Data Augmentation (TDA) pipeline, enhancing downstream machine learning performance by enriching the original dataset. This can be achieved through retrieval-based methods, which identify relevant external data based on similarity, or generation-based methods, which synthesize new, contextually relevant data points.

## V. ANALYTICAL MODELS FOR DISEASE DETECTION AND PREDICTION

### Machine Learning Approaches

The integration of machine learning (ML) into poultry health monitoring has unlocked significant potential for proactive disease detection. Supervised learning models such as Support Vector Machines (SVM), Random Forests, and Gradient Boosting Trees, are trained on labeled historical datasets to identify patterns that correlate with disease onset (Ojo et al.,

2022). These models perform effectively when sufficient annotated data is available, enabling accurate classification of disease risk based on known input-output relationships. In contrast, unsupervised learning models like K-means clustering and Principal Component Analysis (PCA) are employed to uncover latent patterns in sensor data, particularly when labeled datasets are scarce. Unsupervised algorithms analyze unlabeled data to autonomously discover clusters, associations, or anomalies, making them ideal for exploratory analysis where disease indicators are not predefined (Yazici et al., 2023). Manish and Sanjay (2024) highlight K-means clustering as a foundational technique that groups data points with similar characteristics while segregating those with differing properties into distinct clusters, allowing the identification of abnormal flock behaviors. PCA, on the other hand, reduces high-dimensional sensor data into lower-dimensional representations by preserving the most variance-rich features, enabling efficient anomaly detection and visualization while minimizing computational overhead (Ganapathi et al., 2023). These unsupervised methods are particularly useful for detecting subtle behavioral anomalies, such as shifts in feeding rates, temperature fluctuations, or movement patterns, which may indicate preclinical signs of disease (Merenda et al., 2024). In addition to classification and clustering, time-series forecasting models, notably ARIMA and Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM) neural networks are extensively applied for outbreak prediction (Yadav, 2022). Time-series models detect seasonal shifts and unusual trends in sensor data, helping health teams anticipate disease outbreaks early and respond quickly to limit spread and reduce costs.

### Risk Scoring Systems

Risk scoring frameworks represent the analytical backbone of real-time poultry disease management, providing a structured method to quantify health risks and trigger timely interventions. These systems dynamically calculate a health risk index by assigning weighted scores to live sensor inputs, including temperature anomalies, humidity fluctuations, reduced activity levels, and feed intake patterns (Adha et al., 2022; Arthi et al., 2024). When these risk scores exceed predefined thresholds, automated alerts are dispatched to critical stakeholders such as farm



managers and veterinary responders, prompting swift containment actions or corrective measures aimed at reducing disease impact and associated financial losses.

Biosecurity measures are significant as control points within this framework. According to Hassan et al. (2024), robust biosecurity protocols not only minimize pathogen introduction and disease spread but also significantly lower mortality rates and treatment costs. Effective integration of biosecurity metrics into risk scoring systems ensures that interventions are aligned with preventive strategies, safeguarding the economic viability of poultry operations.

Traditional rule-based scoring systems which operate on fixed threshold breaches, are increasingly being enhanced by incorporating fuzzy logic and ensemble machine learning outputs. Fuzzy Rule-Based Classifier Systems, as noted by Navin and Mukesh (2024), serve as intelligent recommendation engines within decision support systems, enabling nuanced, context-aware judgments even in the presence of data uncertainty. Ensemble models, such as stacking-based architectures, have demonstrated groundbreaking performance in poultry applications. For instance, Himel et al. (2025) achieved 99.94% accuracy in hen breed identification and 99.01% in disease detection from fecal images, exemplifying the potential of ensemble methods in enhancing classification precision.

Furthermore, supervised models like Random Forests, when integrated with relational databases, can predict complex outputs such as egg weight with remarkable accuracy (less than 3% error margin), while simultaneously identifying key disease risk factors like bird density, breeder age, and farm location (Pitesky et al., 2020). These findings highlight the importance of selecting optimal ML models designed to the interdependent production variables inherent in poultry systems.

Beyond individual farm-level applications, risk scoring systems facilitate intervention prioritization at regional or national scales. They enable resource-constrained agencies to triage farms and zones based on real-time risk indices, a critical capability during zoonotic outbreaks or periods of limited veterinary manpower. As highlighted by Aremu et al. (2023),

disease prioritization methodologies frequently involve economic analysis, multi-criteria decision evaluation, spatial risk mapping, and simulation modeling. These decision-support tools help guide choices in areas like disease control, surveillance planning, identifying high-risk zones, and setting research priorities, though existing approaches still fall short by lacking fully integrated and interoperable systems for managing animal health risks.

#### Visualization and Dashboards

Data visualization plays a critical role in transforming complex analytical outputs into actionable intelligence for poultry disease management. As highlighted by Muhammad and Winda (2023), broiler farmers who are trained to build and interpret dashboards can independently monitor key farm indicators, enabling them to assess performance trends, evaluate risks, and make informed operational decisions. The deployment of interactive dashboards empowers a broad range of stakeholders including farm operators, veterinarians, suppliers, and public health officials, to access real-time insights into flock health and environmental conditions.

With advancements in database technologies and rising user expectations, the development of dashboards capable of real-time, interactive visualization of livestock disease trends has become increasingly pivotal. Petukhova et al. (2023) emphasize that such systems would significantly enhance surveillance capabilities, expedite decision-making, and improve response times across the animal health sector. These dashboards commonly integrate multi-source data streams ranging from real-time sensor feeds and historical records to predictive risk scores, and present them through intuitive formats such as heatmaps, trend graphs, and spatial distribution maps (Nétek, 2024; Kobi, 2024). By simplifying intricate datasets into clear visual representations, dashboards enable users to quickly identify anomalies, track performance metrics, and react promptly to emerging health threats.

A key feature of modern dashboards is their customizable metrics and alerting systems, which allow users to define and monitor farm-specific Key Performance Indicators (KPIs), including mortality rates, vaccination adherence, feed conversion ratios,

and environmental thresholds. Industry-standard tools such as Tableau, Power BI, and bespoke platforms developed using D3.js or Plotly provide flexible and responsive visual interfaces designed to the needs of diverse user roles. Kanban Board methodologies illustrate how customizable metrics empower facilities to align KPI tracking with their unique operational and strategic objectives.

Beyond operational oversight, dashboards also serve as vital compliance and traceability tools. They archive historical data on interventions, biosecurity breaches, and health outcomes, supporting both internal audits and external regulatory reporting. As noted by MetricStream (2025), compliance dashboards consolidate key metrics such as regulatory alignment, risk indicators, control statuses, and incident reports into centralized visual platforms, facilitating real-time compliance management and proactive risk mitigation.

Furthermore, dashboards enhance collaborative decision-making by providing shared visibility into farm performance. Vlaicu et al. (2024) underscore that intelligent dashboard systems not only improve animal welfare through early disease detection and efficient resource management but also reduce operational costs via automation and smart technologies. Similarly, Kobi (2024) found that performance dashboards aid in identifying ineffective practices, tracking improvement initiatives, and ensuring cross-functional team collaboration. Effective visualization systems bridge the gap between complex data analytics and practical decision-making, ensuring that stakeholders are equipped with real-time, digestible insights to enhance poultry health management and operational efficiency.

#### VI. CASE SCENARIOS AND SIMULATIONS

Simulating outbreak scenarios reveals how IoT-powered analytics significantly outperforms traditional disease monitoring in poultry farming. Traditional diagnostic methods rely on visual inspections and manual reporting (Awan et al., 2024), often delaying detection until symptoms are visibly apparent. This reactive approach allows infections to spread unchecked, leading to higher mortality rates, increased treatment costs, and extended production downtimes. Small-scale farms, lacking immediate

veterinary support, are especially vulnerable to these setbacks.

In contrast, IoT-enabled systems utilize continuous sensor data and predictive analytics to detect subtle anomalies early (Singh et al., 2024; Mura, 2024; Bindushree and Sreedevi, 2020). Real-time alerts prompt immediate interventions such as isolating affected birds or adjusting environmental conditions curbing disease spread before it escalates. Farms adopting these technologies benefit from quicker response times, reduced losses, and enhanced operational efficiency (Ambafi et al., 2025). Beyond speed, IoT-driven early interventions lower treatment costs, reduce mortality, and minimize production disruptions. Banjoko et al. (2024) found that IoT-based monitoring boosts poultry health by enabling timely care, lowering mortality, improving feed efficiency, and using automated controls to reduce stress and increase weight gain. While outcomes vary depending on farm size and deployment scale, the overarching trend shows clear improvements in cost-efficiency and health outcomes with IoT integration. Scalability matters when it comes to smart farming. While smallholder farms benefit from affordable, modular IoT tools that improve daily operations, larger producers can tap into full-scale systems for centralized control and stronger disease prevention, making real-time analytics a game-changer for farms of all sizes.

#### VII. CHALLENGES AND CONSIDERATIONS

While IoT and real-time data analytics offer transformative potential for poultry disease management, their adoption comes with critical challenges. One of the foremost concerns is data privacy and biosecurity. Delpont et al. (2023) identify key biosecurity challenges, including inconsistent assessment protocols, varying local standards, limited access to compliance databases, and a lack of clear evidence on how biosecurity improves animal health and productivity. Furthermore, the widespread use of data collection and communication technologies in agriculture has raised concerns among farmers regarding the privacy and control of personal and farm-level data (Amiri-Zarandi et al., 2022). Continuous monitoring of sensitive information ranging from flock health metrics to operational

practices, raises complex questions about data ownership, consent, and the risk of misuse. Inadequate data governance frameworks, especially when third-party platforms manage data, expose farmers to cyber threats and competitive disadvantages (Chrysanthos et al., 2024). Addressing these concerns requires strict data security measures and transparent policies governing data sharing and use to protect farm operators and uphold biosecurity standards.

Infrastructure gaps in underserved farming communities present another significant barrier. Rahman et al. (2023) note that while traditional farming practices hold cultural value, they often hinder agricultural innovation and broader economic development. In many rural regions, reliable internet connectivity, stable power supply, and access to digital tools remain scarce (Choruma et al., 2024). IoT solutions rely on solid infrastructure, so closing the digital divide requires joint efforts from governments, businesses, and development groups to boost rural connectivity and digital skills.

Interoperability of devices and platforms is another critical challenge. The agricultural IoT landscape is often fragmented, with various sensor types, communication protocols, and analytics platforms lacking standardization (Abdennabi et al., 2024). This fragmentation complicates data integration, reduces system efficiency, and increases operational complexity for farmers seeking unified, streamlined workflows.

The cost of implementation versus return on investment (ROI) remains a major consideration, especially for small and medium-scale producers. Deploying IoT infrastructure, comprising sensors, connectivity solutions, data platforms, and ongoing maintenance, often involves substantial upfront costs (Finistrosa et al., 2025; Ambafi et al., 2024). Regional economic disparities further complicate the design of affordable IoT strategies for agriculture, necessitating context-specific approaches to manage expenses related to device procurement, subscriptions, data storage, system upkeep, and energy consumption (Abdennabi et al., 2024). Although IoT offers clear long-term benefits like lower disease losses and better efficiency, farmers must evaluate ROI timelines, while policymakers and tech providers should promote

subsidies, flexible financing, and partnerships to ease adoption in poultry farming.

## VIII. POLICY AND REGULATORY IMPLICATIONS

For IoT and real-time analytics to be effectively integrated into national poultry health systems, clear regulatory guidelines are essential. Policies should define data governance, interoperability standards, and ethical practices to ensure safe and equitable technology adoption (Chukwurah et al., 2024). Government agencies must ensure partnerships between public health authorities, technology providers, and the poultry industry to build scalable, sustainable surveillance infrastructures. The USDA safeguards meat, poultry, and processed eggs through stringent inspections, while the FDA regulates other food categories to ensure end-to-end safety across the supply chain (SGS Digicomply Editorial Team, 2023). Both agencies provide oversight, supporting innovation through pilot programs, and ensuring compliance with biosecurity and data privacy standards in IoT-enabled disease monitoring.

## IX. RECOMMENDATIONS, FUTURE RESEARCH, AND CONCLUSION

To advance proactive disease management, establishing a national AI-powered poultry health observatory is essential for real-time monitoring and coordinated outbreak responses. Research efforts should prioritize developing AI models specifically designed to detect emerging avian influenza mutations, ensuring predictive accuracy as viral strains evolve. Also, these frameworks must be expanded to encompass other zoonotic diseases and livestock sectors, ensuring a holistic, technology-driven approach to animal health and food security. Future initiatives should also explore scalable, cost-effective IoT solutions designed for smallholder farmers, ensuring equitable access to technological innovations and encouraging inclusive participation in data-driven agricultural health systems.

The adoption of IoT and real-time analytics in poultry disease management offers a transformative pathway to early detection, effective outbreak control, and enhanced farm productivity. Shifting from reactive to proactive surveillance allows farms to significantly

minimize disease spread, reduce economic losses, and strengthen biosecurity protocols. Integrating diverse data sources into predictive models empowers stakeholders with actionable insights, improving decision-making at both operational and policy levels.

However, widespread adoption is not without challenges. Data privacy concerns, infrastructural gaps in rural farming communities, high deployment costs, and a lack of interoperability across devices present significant barriers. Addressing these obstacles will require comprehensive regulatory frameworks, strategic collaborations between governments, technology providers, and industry stakeholders, and the development of scalable solutions that can be adapted to both small and large-scale poultry operations.

Most importantly, the success of IoT integration depends on building trust among farmers through transparent data governance, ethical practices, and ensuring access to affordable, context-specific technologies. Embracing IoT-enabled monitoring systems is beyond a technological advancement, but a strategic necessity for boosting food security and enhancing public health resilience in the face of emerging zoonotic threats and escalating demands for sustainable and efficient food production systems.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Abdennabi Morchid, Rachid El Alami, Aeshah A. Raezah, Yassine Sabbar. (2024). Applications of internet of things (IoT) and sensors technology to increase food security and agricultural Sustainability: Benefits and challenges. *Ain Shams Engineering Journal*, Volume 15, Issue 3, 102509, ISSN 2090-4479. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.asej.2023.102509>.
- [2] Adha, Fatria & Md Johar, Md Gapar & Alkawaz, Mohammed & Hajamydeen, Asif Iqbal & Raya, Lilysuriazna. (2022). IoT based Conceptual Framework for Monitoring Poultry Farms. 277-282. 10.1109/ISCAIE54458.2022.9794471.
- [3] Ali, Ahmad & Al-Zu'bi, Maha. (2023). Application of Artificial Intelligence in Monitoring of Animal Health and Welfare. *Indian Journal of Animal Research*. 10.18805/IJAR.BF-1698.
- [4] Ambafi, J. G., Muhammad, . Y. H., Ahmad, . A. S., Ohize, . H. O., Dauda, . U. S. & Musa, . J. J. (0) (2025). A Review on Development of an IoT-Based System for Enhancing Yield in Poultry Farming. *Confluence University Journal of Science and Technology*, Online First: 27 Jun, 2025.
- [5] Amenu K, McIntyre KM, Moje N, Knight-Jones T, Rushton J and Grace D (2023) Approaches for disease prioritization and decision-making in animal health, 2000–2021: a structured scoping review. *Front. Vet. Sci.* 10:1231711. doi: 10.3389/fvets.2023.1231711
- [6] Amiri-Zarandi, M., Dara, R. A., Duncan, E., & Fraser, E. D. G. (2022). Big Data Privacy in Smart Farming: A Review. *Sustainability*, 14(15), 9120. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su14159120>
- [7] Ana, Beatriz. (2023). Optimizing Lightweight Security Protocols for IoT Devices in Low-Power and Low-Bandwidth Environments: Challenges and Solutions.
- [8] Arthi Amalraj, Hilde Van Meirhaeghe, Nele Caekebeke, Rhea Creve et al. (2024). Development and use of Biocheck.UGent™ scoring system to quantify biosecurity in conventional indoor (turkey, duck, breeder) and free-range (layer and broiler) poultry farms. *Preventive Veterinary Medicine*, Volume 230, 106288, ISSN 0167-5877. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.prevetmed.2024.106288>.
- [9] Atapattu, Anjana J. & Perera, Lalith & Nuwarapaksha, Tharindu & Udumann, Shashi S. & Dissanayaka, Nuwandhya. (2024). Challenges in Achieving Artificial Intelligence in Agriculture. 10.1007/978-981-97-5878-4\_2.
- [10] Aulia Fathurohman, Muhammad Aldi & Sumitra, Irfan & Daud, Andre. (2023). Integration of Wireless Sensor Network and IoT for Enhanced Broiler Closed-House Monitoring: A Case Study at Broiler Teaching Farm. 1-8. 10.1109/ICSPIS59665.2023.10402746.
- [11] Awan, Wajahat & Ud Din, Ikram & Almogren, Ahmad & Rodrigues, Joel. (2024). Poultry Health Monitoring With Advanced Imaging: Toward Next-Generation Agricultural Applications in Consumer Electronics. *IEEE*

- Transactions on Consumer Electronics. PP. 1-1. 10.1109/TCE.2024.3409069.
- [12] Badreddine, Miles & Bourennane, El-Bay & Boucherkha, Samia & Chikhi, Salim. (2020). A study of LoRaWAN protocol performance for IoT applications in smart agriculture. *Computer Communications*. 164. 148-157. 10.1016/j.comcom.2020.10.009.
- [13] Banjoko, I. & Adedotun, K. & Raji, A.. (2025). IOT-Driven Health Monitoring Systems For Broiler And Noiler Chickens: A Smart Precision Farming Approach. *International Journal of Agricultural and Veterinary Science*. 10.70382/mejavs.v8i1.027.
- [14] Bartolin-Arnau, Luis & Todoli-Ferrandis, David & Sempere-Payá, Víctor & Silvestre-Blanes, Javier & Santonja-Climent, Salvador. (2022). LoRaWAN Networks for Smart Applications in Rural Settings. *IETE Technical Review*. 40. 1-13. 10.1080/02564602.2022.2121773.
- [15] Bindushree G T and Sreedevi M T. (2020). Review on poultry automation using IoT and machine learning. *World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews*, 08(03), 466–474 P Article DOI: <https://doi.org/10.30574/wjarr.2020.8.3.0371>
- [16] Chambers, John & Iseoluwa, Tunde & Teslim, Badrudeen. (2024). The Role of Big Data in Predicting Animal Disease Outbreaks.
- [17] Chrysanthos Maraveas, Muttukrishnan Rajarajan, Konstantinos G Arvanitis, Anna Vatsanidou. (2024). Cybersecurity threats and mitigation measures in agriculture 4.0 and 5.0. *Smart Agricultural Technology*, Volume 9, 100616, ISSN 2772-3755. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atech.2024.100616>.
- [18] Chukwurah, Naomi & Ige, Adebimpe & Adebayo, Victor & Eyieyien, Osemeike. (2024). Frameworks for effective data governance: best practices, challenges, and implementation strategies across industries. *Computer Science & IT Research Journal*. 5. 1666-1679. 10.51594/csitj.v5i7.1351.
- [19] Cruz, E., Hidalgo-Rodriguez, M., Acosta-Reyes, A. M., Rangel, J. C., & Boniche, K. (2024). AI-Based Monitoring for Enhanced Poultry Flock Management. *Agriculture*, 14(12), 2187. <https://doi.org/10.3390/agriculture14122187>
- [20] Dennis Junior Choruma, Tinashe Lindel Dirwai, Munyaradzi Junia Mutenje, Maysoun Mustafa, et al. (2024). Digitalisation in agriculture: A scoping review of technologies in practice, challenges, and opportunities for smallholder farmers in sub-saharan africa. *Journal of Agriculture and Food Research*, Volume 18, 101286, ISSN 2666-1543. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jafr.2024.101286>.
- [21] FAO. (2021). Farm data management, sharing and services for agriculture development. Rome. <https://doi.org/10.4060/cb2840en>
- [22] Felician Andrew Kitole. (2025). Determinants of veterinary service adoption and its impact on livestock mortality in Tanzania. *Preventive Veterinary Medicine*, Volume 242, 106570, ISSN 0167-5877. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.prevetmed.2025.106570>.
- [23] Finistrosa, R., Mañoso, C., de Madrid, Á. P., & Romero, M. (2025). Low-Cost IoT and LoRaWAN-Based System for Laying Hen Identification in Family Poultry Farms. *Applied Sciences*, 15(9), 4856. <https://doi.org/10.3390/app15094856>
- [24] Ganapathi, Padmavathi & D, Shanmugapriya & Arumugam, Roshni. (2022). Evaluation of Principal Component Analysis Variants to Assess Their Suitability for Mobile Malware Detection. 10.5772/intechopen.105418
- [25] Godinho, A., Vicente, R., Silva, S., & Coelho, P. J. (2025). Wireless Environmental Monitoring and Control in Poultry Houses: A Conceptual Study. *IoT*, 6(2), 32. <https://doi.org/10.3390/iot6020032>
- [26] Hassan A Aidaros, Eman M. Hafez, Halla E.K. El Bahgy. (2022). The effect of biosecurity measures application on the level of diseases risk in different poultry farms Benha University. Faculty of Veterinary Medicine Research. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-1544511/v1>
- [27] Hassan, Emad & Abdelaal, Ayman & Oshaba, Ahmed & El-Emary, Atef & Dessouky, M.I. & Abd El-Samie, Fathi. (2024). Optimizing bandwidth utilization and traffic control in ISP networks for enhanced smart agriculture. *PLOS ONE*. 19. e0300650. 10.1371/journal.pone.0300650.

- [28] He, P., Chen, Z., Yu, H., Hayat, K., He, Y., Pan, J., & Lin, H. (2022). Research Progress in the Early Warning of Chicken Diseases by Monitoring Clinical Symptoms. *Applied Sciences*, 12(11), 5601. <https://doi.org/10.3390/app12115601>
- [29] Helen Schomburg, Julia Malchow, Oliver Sanders, Jonas Knöll, Lars Schrader. (2023). Elevated platforms with integrated weighing beams allow automatic monitoring of usage and activity in broiler chickens. *Smart Agricultural Technology*, Volume 3, 100095, ISSN 2772-3755. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atech.2022.100095>.
- [30] Hossain, Md. Shafkat & Pramanik, Sourav & Rahman, Atikur & Ali, Shahin & Islam, Shekh Md. (2023). Non-contact vital signs monitoring in broiler chickens. *The Journal of Engineering*. 2023. 10.1049/tje2.12320.
- [31] Himel, G.M.S., Islam, M.M. (2025). A Smart Intelligence System for Hen Breed and Disease classification using Extra Tree classifier-based Ensemble Technique. *Journal of Electrical Systems and Inf Technol* 12, 2 (2025). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s43067-025-00191-3>
- [32] İbrahim Yazici, İbraheem Shayea, Jafri Din. (2023). A survey of applications of artificial intelligence and machine learning in future mobile networks-enabled systems. *Engineering Science and Technology, an International Journal*, Volume 44, 101455, ISSN 2215-0986. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jestch.2023.101455>
- [33] IBM. (2023). Data integration. IBM Think. Retrieved July 23, 2025, from <https://www.ibm.com/think/topics/data-integration>
- [34] IBM. (2024). Data ingestion. IBM Think. Retrieved July 23, 2025, from <https://www.ibm.com/think/topics/data-ingestion>
- [35] Jasmin Kaur, Seyed Mehdi Hazrati Fard, Mohammad Amiri-Zarandi, Rozita Dara (2022). Protecting farmers' data privacy and confidentiality: Recommendations and considerations. *POLICY AND PRACTICE REVIEWS* article. *Front. Sustain. Food Syst. Sec. Social Movements, Institutions and Governance*, Volume 6 - 2022 | <https://doi.org/10.3389/fsufs.2022.903230>
- [36] Jason Page. (2025). Data integration: Google BigQuery. Retrieved from Apix-Drive July 23, 2025, from <https://apix-drive.com/en/blog/other/data-integration-google-bigquery>
- [37] Jebari, Hakim & Hayani Mechkouri, Meriem & Rekiek, Siham & Reklouli, Kamal. (2023). Poultry-Edge-AI-IoT System for Real-Time Monitoring and Predicting by Using Artificial Intelligence. *International Journal of Interactive Mobile Technologies (iJIM)*. 17. 149-170. 10.3991/ijim.v17i12.38095.
- [38] Jin-Yong Noh, Kyu-Jik Kim, Sun-Hak Lee, Jun-Beom Kim, Deok-Hwan Kim, Sungsu Youk, Chang-Seon Song, Sang-Soep Nahm. (2021). Thermal Image Scanning for the Early Detection of Fever Induced by Highly Pathogenic Avian Influenza Virus Infection in Chickens and Ducks and Its Application in Farms. *ORIGINAL RESEARCH* article. *Front. Vet. Sci. Sec. Veterinary Infectious Diseases*, Volume 8 <https://doi.org/10.3389/fvets.2021.616755>
- [39] Jung, M., Jang, H., Kwon, W., Seo, J., Park, S., Park, B., Park, J., Yu, D., & Lee, S. (2025). A Comparative Study of Customized Algorithms for Anomaly Detection in Industry-Specific Power Data. *Energies*, 18(14), 3720. <https://doi.org/10.3390/en18143720>
- [40] IoT Now. (2024). How IoT is revolutionising livestock management. Retrieved July 22, 2025, from <https://www.iot-now.com/2024/09/23/146493-how-iot-is-revolutionising-livestock-management>
- [41] Kamarul Aryffin, Hazeeqah Amny & Sahbudin, Murtadha Arif Bin & Ali Pitchay, Sakinah & Halim, Azni & Sahbudin, Ilfita. (2025). Technological trends in epidemic intelligence for infectious disease surveillance: a systematic literature review. *PeerJ Computer Science*. 11. 10.7717/peerj-cs.2874.
- [42] Kanban Board. (n.d.). Manufacturing KPI dashboard. Retrieved July 24, 2025, from <https://kanbanboard.co.uk/manufacturing-kpi-dashboard>
- [43] Kelly, Brian. (2024). The Impact of Edge Computing on Real-Time Data Processing. *International Journal of Computing and Engineering*. 5. 44-58. 10.47941/ijce.2042.

- [44] Kobi, Joseph. (2024). Developing Dashboard Analytics and Visualization Tools for Effective Performance Management and Continuous Process Improvement. *International Journal of Innovative Science and Research Technology (IJISRT)*. 9. 10.38124/ijisrt/IJISRT24MAY1147.
- [45] Li N., Z. Ren, D. Li, L. Zeng. (2020). Review: Automated techniques for monitoring the behaviour and welfare of broilers and laying hens: towards the goal of precision livestock farming. *Animal*, Volume 14, Issue 3, Pages 617-625, ISSN 1751-7311. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1751731119002155>.
- [46] Lin SY, Beltran-Alcrudo D, Awada L, Hamilton-West C, Lavarello Schettini A, Cáceres P, Tizzani P, Allepuz A, Casal J. (2023). Analysing WAHIS Animal Health Immediate Notifications to Understand Global Reporting Trends and Measure Early Warning Capacities (2005-2021). *Transbound Emerg Dis*. 2023 May 9;2023:6666672. doi: 10.1155/2023/6666672.
- [47] Lingxi Cui, Huan Li, Ke Chen, Lidan Shou, And Gang Chen, The State Key Laboratory of Blockchain and Data Security, Zhejiang University, China. (2024). Tabular Data Augmentation for Machine Learning: Progress and Prospects of Embracing Generative AI An Extended Version <https://arxiv.org/pdf/2407.21523>
- [48] Machuve, Dina & Nwankwo, Ezinne & Mduma, Neema & Mbelwa, Jimmy. (2022). Poultry diseases diagnostics models using deep learning. *Frontiers in Artificial Intelligence*. 5. 10.3389/frai.2022.733345.
- [49] Manikandan, V., & Neethirajan, S. (2025). Decoding Poultry Welfare from Sound—A Machine Learning Framework for Non-Invasive Acoustic Monitoring. *Sensors*, 25(9), 2912. <https://doi.org/10.3390/s25092912>
- [50] Mărcuță, C., & MoldStud Research Team. (2024). Implementing remote monitoring systems for livestock - revolutionizing agriculture. *MoldStud*. Retrieved July 21, 2025, from <https://moldstud.com/articles/p-implementing-remote-monitoring-systems-for-livestock-in-agriculture>
- [51] Maria Teresa Della Mura. (2024). IoT and AI in poultry farming. Retrieved July 24, 2025, from Tech4Future <https://tech4future.info/en/iot-ai-poultry-farming>
- [52] Mattias Delpont, Luis G. Salazar, Jeroen Dewulf, et al., (2023). Monitoring biosecurity in poultry production: an overview of databases reporting biosecurity compliance from seven European countries. *ORIGINAL RESEARCH article. Front. Vet. Sci., Sec. Veterinary Epidemiology and Economics*, Volume 10 - 2023 | <https://doi.org/10.3389/fvets.2023.1231377>
- [53] Matz, A. P., Fernandez-Prieto, J.-A., Cañada-Bago, J., & Birkel, U. (2020). A Systematic Analysis of Narrowband IoT Quality of Service. *Sensors*, 20(6), 1636. <https://doi.org/10.3390/s20061636>
- [54] Merenda VR, Bodempudi VUC, Pairis-Garcia MD, Li G. (2024). Development and validation of machine-learning models for monitoring individual behaviors in group-housed broiler chickens. *Poult Sci*. 2024 Dec;103(12):104374. doi: 10.1016/j.psj.2024.104374.
- [55] MetricStream. (2025). Compliance dashboard in 2025: A complete guide. Retrieved July 24, 2025, from <https://www.metricstream.com/learn/compliance-dashboard.html>
- [56] Miller, Jackson & Shekhar, Suman. (2024). Cloud Computing in Smart Agriculture: Data Storage, Processing, and Analysis.
- [57] Miller, T., Mikiciuk, G., Durlik, I., Mikiciuk, M., Łobodzińska, A., & Śnieg, M. (2025). The IoT and AI in Agriculture: The Time Is Now—A Systematic Review of Smart Sensing Technologies. *Sensors*, 25(12), 3583. <https://doi.org/10.3390/s25123583>
- [58] Mohialdin, Abdallah & Elbarrany, Abdullah & Atia, Ayman. (2023). Chicken Behavior Analysis for Surveillance in Poultry Farms. *International Journal of Advanced Computer Science and Applications*. 14. 10.14569/IJACSA.2023.01403106.
- [59] Muhammad Farhan Hidayat, Winda Nur Cahyo. (2023). Design and development of broiler farm dashboard: enhancing farm management decision-making and performance measurement. *Jurnal Sistem dan Manajemen Industri Vol 7 No 1 June 2023*, 82-94 <http://dx.doi.org/10.30656/jsmi.v7i1.5968>

- [60] Natho P. S. Boonying, P. Bonguleaum, N. Tantidontanet, L. Chamuthai. (2025). An enhanced machine vision system for smart poultry farms using deep learning. *Smart Agricultural Technology*, Volume 12, 101083, ISSN 2772-3755. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atech.2025.101083>.
- [61] Navin K, Mukesh Krishnan M. B. (2024). Fuzzy rule based classifier model for evidence based clinical decision support systems. *Intelligent Systems with Applications*, Volume 22, 200393, ISSN 2667-3053. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iswa.2024.200393>.
- [62] Neethirajan, Suresh. (2023). The Significance and Ethics of Digital Livestock Farming. *AgriEngineering*. 5. 488-505. 10.3390/agriengineering5010032.
- [63] Néték, Rostislav. (2024). Map-Oriented Dashboards Online—From Minor Method to GIScience Trend. *10.1007/978-3-031-50848-6\_3*.
- [64] Ojo Rasheed O., Anuoluwapo O. Ajayi, Hakeem A. Owolabi, Lukumon O. Oyedele, Lukman A. Akanbi. (2022). Internet of Things and Machine Learning techniques in poultry health and welfare management: A systematic literature review. *Computers and Electronics in Agriculture*, Volume 200, 107266, ISSN 0168-1699. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compag.2022.107266>.
- [65] Orakwue, Stella Ifeoma & Al-Khafaji, Hamza Mohammed Ridha & Chabuk, Mays. (2022). IoT Based Smart Monitoring System for Efficient Poultry Farming. *Webology*. 19. 4105-4112. 10.14704/WEB/V19I1/WEB19270.
- [66] Parisa Niloofar, Deena P. Francis, Sanja Lazarova-Molnar, Alexandru Vulpe, Marius-Constantin Vochin et al. (2021). Data-driven decision support in livestock farming for improved animal health, welfare and greenhouse gas emissions: Overview and challenges. *Computers and Electronics in Agriculture*, Volume 190, 106406, ISSN 0168-1699. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compag.2021.106406>.
- [67] Pasandideh, Faezeh. (2020). IoT Based Smart Farming: Are the LPWAN Technologies Suitable for Remote Communication?. 10.1109/SmartIoT49966.2020.00048.
- [68] Pavia G, Branda F, Ciccozzi A, Romano C, Locci C. (2024). Integrating Digital Health Solutions with Immunization Strategies: Improving Immunization Coverage and Monitoring in the Post-COVID-19 Era. *Vaccines (Basel)*. doi: 10.3390/vaccines12080847. PMID: 39203973; PMCID: PMC11359052.
- [69] Petukhova T, Spinato M, Rossi T, Guerin MT, Kelton D, Nelson-Smikle P, Barham M, Ojkic D, Poljak Z. (2023). Development of interactive dashboards for monitoring endemic animal pathogens in Ontario, Canada: Ontario interactive animal pathogen dashboards. *J Vet Diagn Invest*. 2023 Nov;35(6):727-736. doi: 10.1177/10406387231190076.
- [70] Pitesky, Maurice & Gendreau, Joseph & Bond, Tristan & Carrasco-Medanic, Roberto. (2020). Data challenges and practical aspects of machine learning-based statistical methods for the analyses of poultry data to improve food safety and production efficiency. *CAB Reviews Perspectives in Agriculture Veterinary Science Nutrition and Natural Resources*. 15. 1-11. 10.1079/PAVSNNR202015049.
- [71] Rahman, Mahbubur & Kohinoor, Md & Sami, Aftar Ahmad. (2023). Enhancing Poultry Farm Productivity Using IoT-Based Smart Farming Automation System. 1-6. 10.1109/ICCIT60459.2023.10441525.
- [72] Rana, Vijay & Sharma, Sunny & THAKUR, KISHORE & Pandit, Anil & Mahajan, Sunita. (2023). Internet of Things in Livestock Farming: Implementation and Challenges. 10.21203/rs.3.rs-2559126/v1.
- [73] RenovaCloud. (2025). An introduction to and evaluation of Apache Spark for big data architectures. Retrieved July 23, 2025, from <https://renovacloud.com/en/an-introduction-to-and-evaluation-of-apache-spark-for-big-data-architectures/>
- [74] Retiti Diop Emame, C., Song, S., Lee, H., Choi, D., Lim, J., Bok, K., & Yoo, J. (2024). Anomaly Detection Based on GCNs and DBSCAN in a Large-Scale Graph. *Electronics*, 13(13), 2625. <https://doi.org/10.3390/electronics13132625>
- [75] Riaboff L., L. Shalloo, A.F. Smeaton, S. Couvreur, A. Madouasse, M.T. Keane. (2022). Predicting livestock behaviour using accelerometers: A systematic review of



- processing techniques for ruminant behaviour prediction from raw accelerometer data. *Computers and Electronics in Agriculture*, Volume 192, 106610, ISSN 0168-1699. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compag.2021.106610>.
- [76] Richman, J. (2025). Google BigQuery ETL unleashed: 9 best practices in 2025. *Estuary*. Retrieved July 23, 2025, from <https://estuary.dev/blog/google-bigquery-etl/>
- [77] Salamone, S. (2022). Apache Pulsar: Core technology for streaming applications. *RTInsights*. Retrieved July 23, 2025, from <https://www.clouddatainsights.com/apache-pulsar-core-technology-for-streaming-applications>
- [78] Sanni, Babatunde. (2024). Real-time Data Streaming: Exploring real-time data streaming with tools like Apache Kafka to provide immediate insights and alerts.
- [79] Santana, T. C., Guiselini, C., Pandorfi, H., Vigoderis, R. B., Barbosa Filho, J. A. D., Soares, R. G. F., Araújo, M. d. F., Gomes, N. F., Lima, L. D. d., & Santos, P. C. d. S. (2025). Ethics, Animal Welfare, and Artificial Intelligence in Livestock: A Bibliometric Review. *AgriEngineering*, 7(7), 202. <https://doi.org/10.3390/agriengineering7070202>
- [80] Seungmin Lee, Jeong Soo Park, Ji Hye Hong, Hyowon Woo, Chang-hyun Lee, Ju Hwan Yoon, Ki-Baek Lee, Seok Chung, Dae Sung Yoon, Jeong Hoon Lee. (2025). Artificial intelligence in bacterial diagnostics and antimicrobial susceptibility testing: Current advances and future prospects. *Biosensors and Bioelectronics*, Volume 280, 117399, ISSN 0956-5663. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bios.2025.117399>.
- [81] SGS Digicomply Editorial Team. (2023). USDA vs. FDA: A comparative study of food product regulation. *Digicomply*. Retrieved July 24, 2025, from <https://www.digicomply.com/blog/usda-vs.-fda-a-comparative-study-of-food-product-regulation>
- [82] Shi J, Zeng X, Cui P, Yan C, Chen H. (2022). Alarming situation of emerging H5 and H7 avian influenza and effective control strategies. *Emerg Microbes Infect.* 2023 Dec;12(1):2155072. doi: 10.1080/22221751.2022.2155072. PMID: 36458831; PMCID: PMC9754034.
- [83] Singh, Ashutosh & Singh, Namrata & Kumar, Narender. (2024). An overview of the literature on the use of AI and ML enabled IoT in the management of poultry health and welfare. 10.58357/jedcs.2024.v3.i3.6.
- [84] Subedi, Deepak & Farhan, Muhammad Haris & Niraula, Abhisek & Shrestha, Prajjwal & Chandran, Deepak & Acharya, Krishna & Ahmad, Muhammad. (2024). Avian Influenza in Low and Middle-Income Countries (LMICs): Outbreaks, Vaccination Challenges, and Economic Impact. *Pakistan Veterinary Journal*. 44. 9-17. 10.29261/pakvetj/2024.139.
- [85] Suyal, Manish & Sharma, Sanjay. (2024). A Review on Analysis of K-Means Clustering Machine Learning Algorithm based on Unsupervised Learning. *Journal of Artificial Intelligence and Systems*. 6. 85-95. 10.33969/AIS.2024060106.
- [86] Terence, S., Immaculate, J., Raj, A., & Nadarajan, J. (2024). Systematic Review on Internet of Things in Smart Livestock Management Systems. *Sustainability*, 16(10), 4073. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su16104073>
- [87] Tobin, D. (2025). SQL transformations for optimized ETL pipelines. *Integrate.io*. Retrieved July 23, 2025, from <https://www.integrate.io/blog/sql-transformations-for-optimized-etl-pipelines/>
- [88] U.S. Department of Agriculture, Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service. (2024). Laws and regulations. Retrieved July 22, 2025, from <https://www.aphis.usda.gov/laws-regs>
- [89] Uğur Özentürk, Zhengqi Chen, Lorenzo Jamone, Elisabetta Versace. (2024). Robotics for poultry farming: Challenges and opportunities. *Computers and Electronics in Agriculture*, Volume 226, 109411, ISSN 0168-1699. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compag.2024.109411>.
- [90] United Nations. (2024). Avian flu reported in 108 countries across five continents, says UN health agency. *UN News*. Retrieved July 21, 2025, from <https://news.un.org/en/story/2024/12/1158286>
- [91] Upadhyaya, A., & Joshi, R. (2024). Real-time data monitoring with AWS IoT Core and Imply Data. *AWS Partner Network Blog*. Retrieved July 23, 2025, from <https://aws.amazon.com/blogs/apn/real-time->

data-monitoring-with-aws-iot-core-and-imply-data/

- [92] Usmani, Mohammad Faiz. (2021). MQTT Protocol for the IoT - Review Paper. 10.13140/RG.2.2.26065.10088.
- [93] Vlaicu, P. A., Gras, M. A., Untea, A. E., Lefter, N. A., & Rotar, M. C. (2024). Advancing Livestock Technology: Intelligent Systemization for Enhanced Productivity, Welfare, and Sustainability. *AgriEngineering*, 6(2), 1479-1496.  
<https://doi.org/10.3390/agriengineering6020084>
- [94] Vredenberg I, van Schaik G, Velkers FC, Fabri T, Spierenburg MAH, Germeraad EA, van der Poel WHM, Stegeman A. (2025). Assessing the Use of Different Surveillance Components to Detect Highly Pathogenic Avian Influenza Outbreaks in Poultry in the Netherlands in Low- and High-Risk Years. *Transbound Emerg Dis*. 2025 Jan 31;2025:7441785. doi: 10.1155/tbed/7441785. PMID: 40302752; PMCID: PMC12016776.
- [95] Wang, B., Sun, Z., Jiang, X., Zeng, J., & Liu, R. (2023). Kalman Filter and Its Application in Data Assimilation. *Atmosphere*, 14(8), 1319.  
<https://doi.org/10.3390/atmos14081319>
- [96] World Organisation for Animal Health. (n.d.). Foot and mouth disease. Retrieved July 22, 2025, from <https://www.woah.org/en/disease/foot-and-mouth-disease/>
- [97] Wright, J. (2025). Best thermal cameras for agriculture & farming: Improve crop & livestock monitoring. Tester. Retrieved July 22, 2025, from <https://www.testers.co.uk/advice/best-thermal-cameras-for-agriculture-farming-improve-crop-livestock-monitoring/>
- [98] Yadav, Sandeep. (2022). A Comparative Study of ARIMA, Prophet and LSTM for Time Series Prediction. *Journal of Artificial Intelligence Machine Learning and Data Science*. 1. 1813-1816. 10.51219/JAIMLD/sandeep-yadav/402.