Rural Education vs. Education in Rural Areas and the Cultural Heritage of Port Harcourt Aborigines

WAMI, KEVIN CHINWEIKPE PHD
Department of Adult Education & Community Development, Faculty of Education, Rivers State University, Nkpolu-Oroworukwo, Port Harcourt, Rivers State.

Abstract- ***This paper takes the position that rural education, rather than education in rural areas, is essential for the preservation and promotion of the cultural heritage of Port Harcourt aborigines. By examining how education has shaped language use, traditional livelihoods, and cultural identity among indigenous Ikwerre, Rebisi, Okrika and other aboriginal groups, the paper argues that an urban-centered curriculum imposed on rural areas contributed to cultural erosion. A shift towards rural education, education tailored to rural realities can serve as pathway to cultural preservation, socio-economic empowerment, and rural transformation. The paper concluded that the impact of education on the cultural heritage of Port Harcourt aborigines is multifaceted and profound. That, while education has brought undeniable benefits, it has also contributed to the erosion of language, livelihoods, values, and traditions. The paper suggested among others that government should encourage bilingual instruction policies in Rivers State schools, supported by teacher training in indigenous languages.***

Index Terms- ***Rural Education, Education in Rural Areas, Cultural Heritage, Port Harcourt Aborigines.***

I. INTRODUCTION

Education generally has been adjudged as the instrument for effecting national development. It serves as a means of upholding the existing social order by imparting contemporary ideas, new ways of doing things, and fostering creativity aligning with the desired direction of development in a given society or economy Wami (2025). Therefore, Education, while universally acknowledged as a tool for empowerment and societal advancement, has also been implicated in the erosion of indigenous cultures, particularly in postcolonial societies. In Port Harcourt, the aboriginal communities—primarily the Ikwerre, Rebisi, Okrika, and other ethnic groups have experienced significant cultural transformations due to the influence of formal education systems. This explores five critical dimensions through which education has impacted the cultural heritage of these communities: language loss, displacement of traditional livelihoods, erosion of communal values, suppression of religious and cultural practices, and urbanization pressures.

One of the most visible consequences of formal education in Port Harcourt's aboriginal communities is the gradual loss of indigenous languages. The prioritization of English and Nigerian Pidgin in schools has led to a generational disconnect, where younger Ikwerre, Rebisi, and Okrika individuals struggle to communicate in their native tongues. Nwidobie (2021) notes that language is not merely a tool for communication but a repository of cultural memory, identity, and worldview. The marginalization of indigenous languages in educational curricula has thus weakened cultural transmission and identity formation.

Nyewusira (2021) further argues that the dominance of English in formal education settings has created a linguistic hierarchy, where indigenous languages are perceived as inferior or irrelevant. This perception discourages their use in both public and private spheres, accelerating their decline. The loss of language also undermines oral traditions, storytelling, and indigenous knowledge systems that rely heavily on native linguistic expressions. Recent studies emphasize the need for bilingual or multilingual education models that incorporate indigenous languages alongside English (UNESCO, 2023). Such models not only preserve linguistic diversity but also enhance cognitive development and cultural pride among learners.

UNESCO (2022) emphasizes that the type of education a society adopts determines the survival or erosion of its cultural heritage. In the context of Port Harcourt, the aboriginal groups, Ikwerre, Rebisi,

Okrika, and others have been subjected to educational systems that inadequately reflect their indigenous realities. This has led to tensions between cultural continuity and the adoption of westernized lifestyles. Historically, the aboriginal communities of Port Harcourt have sustained themselves through fishing, farming, and artisanal crafts. These occupations were not merely economic activities but cultural practices embedded in communal life and ecological knowledge. However, the structure of formal education has largely ignored these traditional livelihoods, instead promoting white-collar aspirations that are often disconnected from local realities (Deebom & Ekezie, 2019).

The curriculum in most rural schools is modeled after urban standards, emphasizing theoretical knowledge and professional careers. As a result, young people are socialized to view traditional occupations as backward or unproductive. This shift has led to a decline in intergenerational knowledge transfer, where skills such as net-making, canoe-building, and herbal medicine are no longer passed down (Nyewusira, 2021). Moreover, the lack of vocational training tailored to local industries has created a mismatch between education and employment opportunities. The neglect of indigenous economic systems in education policy contributes to youth unemployment and cultural alienation. Scholars advocate for a reorientation of rural education to include community-based vocational training that validates and sustains traditional livelihoods (IFAD, 2023).

Traditional education systems in Port Harcourt's aboriginal communities emphasized communal values such as solidarity, reciprocity, and participatory governance. Learning occurred through observation, apprenticeship, and communal rituals, fostering a strong sense of identity and belonging. In contrast, Western-style schooling promotes individual achievement, competition, and hierarchical authority structures (Okolie, 2020).

This ideological shift has altered social relationships within communities. Extended kinship networks and communal decision-making processes have weakened, replaced by more individualistic and bureaucratic models. The emphasis on personal success and formal credentials has also created social stratification, where educated individuals are perceived as superior to those engaged in traditional roles (Nyewusira, 2021).

The erosion of communal values has implications for governance, conflict resolution, and social cohesion. Traditional institutions such as village councils, age grades, and women's associations have lost influence, undermining indigenous mechanisms of accountability and justice. Scholars argue for the integration of indigenous governance principles into civic education to restore balance and cultural relevance (World Bank, 2022).

Christian missionary education played a pivotal role in shaping the early educational landscape of Port Harcourt. While it introduced literacy and formal schooling, it also delegitimized indigenous religious and cultural practices. Masquerades, ancestral rituals, and traditional festivals were labeled as pagan and discouraged in schools and churches (Wali, 2019). This suppression disrupted cultural continuity and displaced aboriginal cosmologies. Rituals that once served as moral instruction, spiritual connection, and communal bonding were replaced with Western religious doctrines. The stigmatization of indigenous beliefs created internalized shame and cultural dissonance among younger generations (Deebom & Ekezie, 2019). Recent scholarship calls for a more inclusive approach to religious education that respects pluralism and cultural diversity. Incorporating indigenous spirituality into curricula can foster intercultural dialogue and restore cultural pride (UNESCO, 2023). Port Harcourt's rapid urbanization has intensified the cultural challenges faced by aboriginal communities. Educated youth often migrate to urban centers in search of employment, leading to depopulation of rural areas and weakening of cultural institutions and contributing to brain drain. Furthermore, industrial development has encroached on ancestral lands, often without adequate compensation or legal protection (IFAD, 2023).

Education, while equipping individuals with technical skills, has not adequately prepared them to defend their cultural rights or navigate the legal complexities of land ownership and resource management. This gap has left many communities vulnerable to exploitation and displacement (Nyewusira, 2021). To address this, scholars advocate for the inclusion of civic education, indigenous land rights, and environmental

stewardship in school curricula. Empowering students with legal and political literacy can enhance their capacity to advocate for their communities and preserve cultural heritage (World Bank, 2022).

The distinction between 'rural education' and 'education in rural areas' is critical in understanding this dynamic. Rural education is conceived as an educational framework rooted in the socio-economic and cultural contexts of rural people, while education in rural areas refers merely to the transplantation of mainstream curricula into rural environments without meaningful adaptation (Oni, 2021). Okolie (2020) argues that the latter has alienated learners in rural Nigeria, creating cultural dislocation, loss of indigenous knowledge, and weakened social structures. This position aligns with Freire's (1970) critical pedagogy, which critiques banking models of education that impose knowledge rather than engage communities in dialogue.

Several scholars have highlighted the cultural damage caused by the imposition of externally designed curricular. Adeyemi and Adeyinka (2003) note that western education in Nigeria was historically designed to serve colonial administrative needs, with little regard for indigenous languages or practices. As a result, indigenous communities became detached from their cultural lifeworld. In Port Harcourt, this detachment has manifested in the declining use of Ikwerre, Rebisi and Okrika languages among youth (Nwidobie, 2021). Language loss is not merely linguistic; it represents the erosion of histories, folklore, and communal identity (Fishman, 1991).

The impact of education in rural areas extends beyond language. Traditional livelihoods such as fishing, canoe-making, farming, and artisanal crafts have been devalued in favour of formal employment. Wali (2019) documents how this shift undermined the self-reliance of Port Harcourt aborigines, creating dependence on urban economies that rarely benefit indigenous populations. Similarly, Hornberger (2006) stresses that education that ignores local knowledge systems accelerates cultural extinction, especially in rapidity urbanizing contexts.

The erosion of cultural practices has also been exacerbated by missionary education, which labeled indigenous religious rituals and masquerades as 'pagan' (Fafunwa, 1974). This delegitimization displaced spiritual systems that once reinforced communal values and governance. The communal ethos of indigenous education, which emphasized collective responsibility and solidarity, has been overshadowed by individualism promoted through western models (Nyerere, 1968).

Globally, the negative impact of inappropriate education models has been observed in other indigenous contexts. For example, Bishop and Glynn (1999) illustrate how Maori children in New Zealand experienced cultural marginalization due to westernized schooling. Similar patterns have been reported among Native American communities (Reyhner & Eder, 2017). These cases underscore the universal risk of cultural dislocation when education fails to recognize and integrate indigenous knowledge systems.

Thus, the cultural erosion of Port Harcourt aborigines can be traced to the imposition of 'education in rural areas' rather than 'rural education.' This distinction is central to the argument of this paper. Rural education, if pursued, could safeguard indigenous languages, strengthen cultural practices, and empower communities economically. Without this shift, the aborigines of Port Harcourt risk further cultural dilution and identity loss. The following sections examine this distinction in detail and argue for a culturally responsive approach to education as a pathway to both rural development and cultural preservation.

Rural education, as conceptualized by Oni (2021), is deeply embedded in the socio-cultural fabric of the communities it serves. Unlike standardized models of education that prioritize uniformity, rural education draws its strength from local realities, embracing indigenous languages, traditional customs, and contextually relevant knowledge systems. This approach recognizes that learning is not merely a transfer of abstract concepts but a dynamic interaction between learners and their lived environments.

In many rural communities, education is not confined to the four walls of a classroom. It is interwoven with daily life, where elders serve as custodians of wisdom, oral traditions are passed down through storytelling, and practical skills such as farming, fishing, and craft-making are taught experientially. Indigenous languages play a pivotal role in this process, serving

not only as tools of communication but as vessels of cultural identity and worldview. Oni (2021) argues that when education is rooted in the community's own epistemologies, it fosters a sense of belonging, relevance, and empowerment among learners.

However, despite its potential, rural education often remains underfunded and undervalued in national policy frameworks. The dominance of Western pedagogical models and the push for standardized testing have marginalized these community-based approaches, leading to a disconnect between formal education and the realities of rural life. Okolie (2020) presents a critical perspective on the prevailing structure of education in rural areas, highlighting a troubling trend: the wholesale replication of urban curricula in rural schools. This practice, while intended to ensure uniformity and national cohesion, often results in cultural alienation and educational irrelevance for rural learners. Urban curricula are typically designed with metropolitan contexts in mind, emphasizing subjects, examples, and pedagogies that reflect urban lifestyles and values. When these are transplanted into rural settings without adaptation, they fail to resonate with the lived experiences of students. For instance, lessons that reference skyscrapers, subways, or corporate careers may seem abstract or even alien to children in fishing villages or agrarian communities.

This dislocation is not merely academic, it is cultural. Students are subtly taught to devalue their own heritage and aspire to urban ideals, leading to a gradual erosion of indigenous knowledge systems and community pride. Okolie (2020) warns that such educational models contribute to a form of epistemic violence, where rural learners are forced to navigate a curriculum that neither reflects their identity nor equips them for life within their communities. To address this, scholars and policymakers must rethink the purpose and content of rural education. Rather than imposing urban standards, there is a need to co-create curricula with local stakeholders, ensuring that education serves as a tool for cultural preservation and community development.

Port Harcourt stands today as a central hub of Nigeria's oil economy and a vibrant metropolitan area, but its origins are rooted in a foundational act of colonial-era modernization that fundamentally altered the landscape and the lives of its indigenous inhabitants. The city was established in 1912 by the British colonial government as a strategic port for the export of coal, which had been discovered in Enugu, approximately 250 kilometers to the north (Udoh, 2024; Ezeh, 2021; Afolabi, 2021). This development, overseen by Governor Sir Frederick Lugard, was a direct response to the industrial needs of the British Empire, aiming to harness the natural resources of the hinterland for European consumption (Udoh, 2024). The port and the new city were formally named "Port Harcourt" in August 1913 in honor of Lewis Vernon Harcourt, the then-Secretary of State for the colonies (Forde & Jones, 1950; Ezeh, 2021; Icheke, 2022). This act of naming the city after a British official, rather than its indigenous name, was a symbolic and profound exercise in historical and cultural displacement.

Port Harcourt, the capital of Rivers State, is a city rich in cultural diversity and historical depth. Wali (2019) provides a detailed account of the region's cultural heritage, emphasizing the importance of language, festivals, technology, and governance systems in shaping communal identity. The linguistic landscape of Port Harcourt includes indigenous tongues such as Ikwerre, Rebisi, and Okrika, each carrying centuries of oral tradition, proverbs, and cultural expressions. These languages are not merely modes of communication; they are repositories of collective memory and social norms.

The demographic data illustrates the scale of this change. The population of Port Harcourt's metro area grew from 59,752 in 1950 to an estimated 3.79 million in 2025 (MacroTrends, 2025; Wikipedia, 2024). This massive influx of people, driven by economic migration, transformed the city from a regional, multi-ethnic center into a large-scale "melting pot of the local and the foreign" (Olabinjo, 2021). The rapid population increase placed immense pressure on urban infrastructure, including water supplies and sewage systems, and led to the expansion of informal settlements, which constitute over 70% of the city's growth (Geoinfotech, 2024; Olabinjo, 2021). The following table provides a clear illustration of this demographic shift:

Table 1: Population Growth and Urbanization of Port Harcourt (1950-2025)

S/N	YEAR	POPULATION	ANNUAL GRWOTH RATE (%)
1.	1950	59,752	-
2.	1952	36,113	-
3.	1963	286,100	-
4.	1991	986,632	-
5.	2015	2,344,000	4.99
6.	2022	3,325,000	4.86
7.	2023	3,480,000	4.66
8.	2024	3,637,000	4.51
9	2025	3,795,000	4.32

Source: MacroTrends (2025)

The data above makes it evident that the indigenous population, particularly the Ikwerre, has been numerically overwhelmed by the constant influx of migrants. This immense and rapid demographic transformation, while fueling economic growth, has diluted the social and cultural dominance of the original inhabitants, creating a new urban environment with complex socio-economic dynamics that are vastly different from the traditional communal structures.

Traditional festivals and ceremonies are vital instruments for reinforcing collective identity and transmitting cultural values across generations. One of the most prominent is the Egelege Wrestling Festival, a celebrated cultural activity in Ikwerreland (Chinda, 2017; Icheke, 2022). The festival is more than a sport of strength and skill; it serves as a crucial "peace-building" tool, channeling the energy of youth into a productive and unifying activity (Chinda, 2017). These festivals often incorporate traditional music, dance, and choreography, which are used to communicate narratives, express thoughts, and pass on the history of the people (Chinda, 2017; Chinda, 2017).

Masquerade festivals, Oboni cultural groups, and others, which are central to many communities in the region, serve both spiritual and social functions. They are occasions for storytelling, moral instruction, and communal bonding. Similarly, traditional watercraft technology and fishing practices reflect the ingenuity and ecological knowledge of the people, passed down through generations. Governance structures in Port Harcourt also reflect indigenous models of leadership and land stewardship. Communal landholding systems, for instance, emphasize collective ownership and responsibility, contrasting sharply with individualistic property models found in urban centers. Wali (2019) argues that these cultural elements must be integrated into educational frameworks if they are to be preserved. Schools should not be sites of cultural erasure but arenas for cultural affirmation and transmission.

Despite the challenges, the Ikwerre people demonstrate remarkable cultural resilience through contemporary movements and institutions. The most prominent of these is the Ogbakor Ikwerre, a socio-cultural organization formed in 1963 (Wikipedia, 2024; Wikipedia, 2024). This body serves as a unified voice for the Ikwerre, bringing together paramount rulers and advocating for the people's interests (Wikipedia, 2024; Wikipedia, 2024). The organization has been at the forefront of the struggle against the marginalization, poverty, and environmental degradation caused by oil exploitation (Wikipedia, 2024; Icheke, 2022). Beyond advocacy, the Ogbakor Ikwerre has engaged in community-oriented projects, such as its Medical/Surgical Mission, which provides essential healthcare services to rural communities (GlobalGiving, 2025). The existence of this organization and its continued activism provides a powerful counter-narrative to the idea of a passive, declining culture.

The call to indigenize education is not limited to Nigeria, it is part of a broader global discourse. International organizations such as the International Fund for Agricultural Development (IFAD, 2023) and the World Bank (2022) have increasingly recognized the importance of culturally responsive education in achieving sustainable development. These institutions argue that education systems must reflect the values, languages, and knowledge systems of the communities they serve. Indigenization is seen as a pathway to educational equity, cultural sustainability, and social cohesion. It challenges the

dominance of Western paradigms and promotes pluralism in educational thought and practice. In the context of Port Harcourt and other rural communities in Nigeria, this global perspective reinforces the urgency of reform. By aligning local educational policies with international best practices, stakeholders can create learning environments that honor cultural heritage while equipping students for the future.

The distinction between *rural education* and *education in rural areas* is not merely semantic—it is foundational to understanding the cultural erosion experienced by the aboriginal communities of Port Harcourt. I firmly argue that rural education, when rooted in indigenous knowledge systems, offers a culturally sustaining model, whereas education in rural areas, often a replication of urban curricula, has contributed to the marginalization of aboriginal heritage. Although many governments have expanded the geographic coverage of schools, the persistence of rural poverty, high out-of-school rates, and weak transitions to productive livelihoods indicate a policy gap: education systems often fail to adapt content and delivery to rural contexts. This results in a mismatch between what students learn and local labour market needs, and contributes to rural–urban migration and the decline of rural communities. I take the position that education in rural areas has largely undermined the cultural heritage of Port Harcourt aborigines, while rural education offers a framework to reclaim and preserve their cultural values.

CONCLUSION

The impact of education on the cultural heritage of Port Harcourt aborigines is multifaceted and profound. While education has brought undeniable benefits, it has also contributed to the erosion of language, livelihoods, values, and traditions.The cultural erosion of Port Harcourt aborigines is not accidental; it is tied to the type of education imposed on them. Rural education, rather than education in rural areas, is the pathway to revitalizing their culture while also promoting rural development. A culturally sensitive approach is necessary to prevent further loss of indigenous identity.

SUGGESTIONS

1. Encourage bilingual instruction policies in Rivers State schools, supported by teacher training in indigenous languages.
2. Introduce mother-tongue literacy initiatives in early childhood education.
3. Establish school-based apprenticeship programs in fishing, boat-making, farming, and crafts.
4. Advocate for legal literacy modules in secondary schools to empower aboriginal youth to defend land and cultural rights.
5. Establish non-formal education hubs in rural Port Harcourt that offer evening classes in language, history, and traditional governance.
6. Use these centers to document oral histories and cultural practices for future generations.

REFERENCES

[1] Adeyemi, M. B., & Adeyinka, A. A. (2003). The principles and content of African traditional education. Educational Philosophy and Theory, 35(4), 425–440.

[2] Bishop, R., & Glynn, T. (1999). Culture counts: Changing power relations in education. Dunmore Press.

[3] Dewey, J. (1938). Experience and education. New York: Macmillan.

[4] Deebom, M. T., & Ekezie, A. I. A. (2019). The influence of Western civilization on technical vocational and cultural practices of Ogoni aborigines. *EPRA International Journal of Research and Development*, 4(10), 45–58. Available here.

[5] Ezeh, F. (2021). *Why was Port Harcourt named after Harcourt? Instead of its original name? And what was its original name?* Quora. Retrieved from((https://www.quora.com/What-tribe-is-Port-Harcourt)).

[6] Fafunwa, A. B. (1974). History of education in Nigeria. London: George Allen & Unwin.

[7] Fishman, J. A. (1991). Reversing language shift: Theoretical and empirical foundations of assistance to threatened languages. Multilingual Matters.

[8] Freire, P. (1970). Pedagogy of the oppressed. New York: Continuum.

[9] Forde, D., & Jones, G. I. (1950). *The Ibo and Ibibio speaking peoples of South-Eastern*

Nigeria. Oxford University Press for the International African Institute.

[10] Hornberger, N. H. (2006). Frameworks and models in language policy and planning. In T. Ricento (Ed.), An introduction to language policy (pp. 24–41). Blackwell.

[11] IFAD. (2023). *Indigenous knowledge and education: Pathways to sustainable development*. International Fund for Agricultural Development.

[12] MacroTrends. (2025). *Port Harcourt, Nigeria Metro Area Population*. Retrieved from https://www.macrotrends.net/global-metrics/cities/22018/port-harcourt/population.

[13] Nyerere, J. (1968). Education for self-reliance. Dar es Salaam: Government Printer.

[14] Nyewusira, B. N. (2021). Indigenous and Western education: An examination of the influence and synthesis for sustainable development in Akpor Kingdom. *Al-Hikmah Journal of Education*, 8(2), 101–120. Available here.

[15] Nwidobie, M. (2021). Language and identity in Nigerian education. *Journal of African Linguistics and Education*, 14(3), 67–82.

[16] Okolie, C. (2020). Urban curriculum and rural dislocation: A critique of Nigerian education policy. *Journal of African Educational Studies*, 15(2), 45–60.

[17] Oni, S. (2021). Contextualizing rural education for sustainable development. Journal of Education in Developing Areas, 29(1), 45–62.

[18] Reyhner, J., & Eder, J. (2017). American Indian education: A history. University of Oklahoma Press.

[19] Udoh, E. E. (2024). Colonialism and Alienation of Land in Ikwerreland, 1900-1960. *Journal of Historical Studies, 10*(2), 1-15.

[20] UNESCO. (2022). Culture and education for sustainable futures. Paris: UNESCO.

[21] UNESCO. (2023). *Revitalizing indigenous languages through education*. United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization.

[22] Wali, S. (2019). Cultural heritage and identity of Port Harcourt aborigines. Niger Delta Studies Journal, 14(1), 88–110.

[23] Wami, K. C. (2025). Challenges and Prospects of Repositioning Adult Education for Sustainable National Development in 21st Century Nigeria. *International Journal of Research and Innovation in Applied Science.* Vol 10(2), 2025, 239-248.

[24] Wikipedia, (2024). Ikwerre people. Retrieved from https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Ikwerre_peopl

[25] Wikipedia. (2024). *Ikwerre people*. Retrieved from https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Ikwerre_people.