## On Some Properties of Multigroups

# EWAOCHE NICHOLAS<sup>1</sup>, SAMUEL HENRY OWOICHO<sup>2</sup>, OKPANACHI SUNDAY<sup>3</sup>, UKO BENJAMIN JOSEPH<sup>4</sup>, OKPANACHI GEORGE ECHIYE<sup>5</sup>

<sup>1, 2, 4</sup> Department of Mathematics, Yusuf Maitama Sule Federal University of Education, Kano, Nigeria

<sup>3</sup> Department of Mathematics, Bayero University, Kano, Nigeria

<sup>5</sup>National Space Research and Development Agency, Abuja.

ABSTRACT- In this paper, we studied some algebraic properties of multigroups. Some of the properties of multisets have also been studied. We discovered that for any multiset G to be a multigroup over the group G, then its count function G must satisfies the two conditions: (i) G (G (G (G (G )) G (G ), G (G ),

Keywords - Multisets, Group, Multigroups, Count function.

#### I. INTRODUCTION

In mathematics, the concept of a group is central to abstract algebra: other well-known algebraic structures, such as rings, fields, and vector spaces, can all be seen as groups endowed with additional operations and axioms. Groups recur throughout mathematics, and the methods of group theory have influenced many parts of algebra. Linear algebraic groups and Lie groups are two branches of group theory that have experienced advances and have become subject areas in their own right.

Various physical systems, such as crystals and the hydrogen atom, may be modelled by symmetry groups. Thus group theory and the closely related representation theory have many important applications in physics, chemistry, and materials science. Group theory is also central to public key cryptography [3].

A multigroup  $\Re$  is an algebraic system with one operation called multiplication. This multiplication usually satisfies the ordinary group axioms except that the product is not unique. Various problems in non-

commutative algebra lead naturally to the introduction of algebraic systems in which the operations are not one-valued [6].

#### II. LITERATURE REVIEW

According to Shinoj and Sunil [7], Abstract algebra is the study of algebraic structures and more specifically the term algebraic structure generally refers to a set (called carrier set or underlying set) with one or more operations defined on it. Examples of algebraic structures include groups, rings, fields, and lattices. They introduced algebraic structures on Fuzzy multisets by extending these algebraic structures on Intuitionistic Fuzzy multisets to a new concept named Intuitionistic Fuzzy multigroups.

[4], introduced the notion of multigroups and also studied some basic results regarding multisets, like functional image and pre-image of a multiset under a mapping, decomposition theorems of multisets etc.

Various problems in non-commutative algebra lead naturally to the introduction of algebraic systems in which the operations are one-valued. [6], refers to multigroup  $\Re$  as an algebraic system with one operation called multiplication. This multiplication usually satisfies the ordinary group axioms except that the product is not unique.

Another contribution to this theory was made by [8], where he referred to a hypergroup as a system in which any two elements a,b can be combined to form the product ab, which is a complex of n not necessarily distinct elements of the system. Here, n is a fixed integer  $\geq 1$ . If n=1, the hypergroup reduces to an ordinary group. If [ab], called the bracket product, is the set of all the distinct elements of ab, the totality of elements a such that [ax] and [xa] are single elements for every x forms a group with respect to the bracket product. This group is called the nucleus.

## © SEP 2025 | IRE Journals | Volume 9 Issue 3 | ISSN: 2456-8880

The history of the theory of multigroups is very short. Multigroups (or hypergroups) were first defined by [5], who has studied their properties and applications in several communications.

It has been shown that the intersection of two multigroups is again a multigroup but their union may not be a multigroup. In this paper, we shall review some basic results regarding multigroup as it relates to multiset and define notions such as normal multigroup, factor multigroup, abelian multigroup etc., and again study some of their basic properties.

#### III. AIM AND OBJECTIVES

The aim of this research is to study the notion of multigroups as it relates to multisets. The specific objectives include, to:

- i. investigate the conditions to which a multiset over a given set say *X* becomes a multigroup over *X*.
- ii. prove some results/ propositions in multigroup theory.
- iii. solve examples of multigroup problems.

#### IV. METHODOLOGY

In this section, we shall be looking at some definitions and propositions on multigroups as used in [1] and [2], as well as to prove some results on multigroups.

4.1 Results on Intersection of Multigroups

Definition 4.1.1. Let X be a group. A multiset G over X is said to be a multigroup over X if the count function of G (written as  $C_G$ ) satisfies the following two conditions:

(i)  $C_G(xy) \ge [C_G(x) \land C_G(y)], \forall x, y \in X$ ; (where  $\land$  is the minimum operation).

(ii) 
$$C_G(x^{-1}) \ge C_G(x)$$
,  $\forall x \in X$ .

The set of all multigroups over X is denoted by MG(X). Definition 4.1.2. Let  $A, B \in [X]^w$ . Then we define  $A \circ B$  and  $A^{-1}$  as follows:  $C_{A \circ B}(x) = V \{C_A(y) \land C_B(z); y, z \in X \text{ and } yz = x\}$  and  $C_{A^{-1}}(x) = C_A(x^{-1})$ . Where, V is maximum operation and  $\Lambda$  is minimum operation.

Definition 4.1.3. Let X and Y be two nonempty sets and  $f: X \to Y$  be a mapping. Then

 $\begin{array}{ll} \text{(i) the image of a multiset } M \in [X]^w \text{ under the} \\ \text{mapping } f \text{ is denoted by } f(M) \text{ or } f[M], \\ \text{where } C_{f(M)}(y) = \begin{cases} V_{f(x)=y} \ C_M(x) & \text{if } f^{-1}(y) \neq \emptyset \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$ 

(ii) the inverse image of a multiset  $N \in [Y]^w$  under the mapping f is denoted by

$$f^{-1}(N)$$
 or  $f^{-1}[N]$  where  $C_{f^{-1}(N)}(X) = C_N[f(x)]$ .

Proposition 4.1.4. Let  $A \in MG(X)$ . Then

(i)  $C_A(e) \ge C_A(x)$ ,  $\forall x \in X$ ; (e is an identity element)

(ii) 
$$C_A(x^n) \ge C_A(x)$$
,  $\forall x \in X$ ;

(iii) 
$$C_A(x^{-1}) = C_A(x), \ \forall \ x \in X;$$

(iv) 
$$A = A^{-1}$$
. Proof.

Let  $x, y \in G$ .

(i) 
$$C_A(e) = C_A(xx^{-1}) \ge [C_A(x) \land C_A(x^{-1})].$$

By definition of multigroups, we have that

$$C_A(e) \ge [C_A(x) \land C_A(x)] = C_A(x), \forall x \in X;$$

Hence, 
$$C_A(e) = C_A(x)$$
.

$$\begin{split} &(\mathrm{ii})\mathsf{C}_{\mathsf{A}}(x^n) = \mathsf{C}_{\mathsf{A}}(xx^{n-1}) \geq [\mathsf{C}_{\mathsf{A}}(x) \ \land \ \mathsf{C}_{\mathsf{A}}(x^{n-1})] = \\ &[\mathsf{C}_{\mathsf{A}}(x) \ \land \ \mathsf{C}_{\mathsf{A}}(xx^{n-2})] \geq [\mathsf{C}_{\mathsf{A}}(x) \ \land \ \mathsf{C}_{\mathsf{A}}(x) \ \land \\ &[\mathsf{C}_{\mathsf{A}}(x^{n-2})] \geq [\mathsf{C}_{\mathsf{A}}(x) \ \land \ \mathsf{C}_{\mathsf{A}}(x) \ \land \dots \ \land \ \mathsf{C}_{\mathsf{A}}(e)]. \end{split}$$

But 
$$C_A(e) = C_A(x)$$
.

Therefore  $C_A(x^n) \ge [C_A(x) \land C_A(x) \land \dots \land C_A(x)] = C_A(x), \forall x \in X$ Hence,  $C_A(x^n) \ge C_A(x)$ . (iii) Since  $C_A(x^{-1}) \ge C_A(x) = C_A([x^{-1}]^{-1})$ .

By definition of multigroups,  $C_A([x^{-1}]^{-1}) \ge C_A(x^{-1}) \ge C_A(x)$ . Hence,  $C_A(x^{-1}) = C_A(x)$ ,  $\forall x \in X$ .

(iv) Since  $C_{A^{-1}}(x) = C_A(x^{-1}) = C_A(x)$ , comparing subscript (i.e. for  $C_{A^{-1}}(x) = C_A(x)$ ), we have that

$$A = A^{-1}.$$

Hence,  $A = A^{-1}$ . End of proof.

Proposition 4.1.5. Let A be a multiset. Then  $A \in MG(X)$  if and only if  $C_A(xy^{-1}) \ge [C_A(x) \land C_A(y)], \ \forall \ x, y \in X$ .

Proof.

Let  $A \in MG(X)$ . Then  $C_A(xy^{-1}) \ge [C_A(x) \land C_A(y^{-1})]$ but  $C_A(y^{-1}) = C_A(y), \forall y \in X,$ 

$$\implies$$
  $C_A(xy^{-1}) \ge [C_A(x) \land C_A(y)], \forall x, y \in X.$ 

Therefore, the given condition is satisfied.

Conversely let the given condition be satisfied. Now,

$$C_A(e) = C_A(xx^{-1}) \ge [C_A(x) \land C_A(x)] = C_A(x), \forall x, \in X.$$

4.1 Applying equation 4.1, we have

Again 
$$C_A(x^{-1}) = C_A(ex^{-1}) \ge [C_A(e) \land C_A(x)] = C_A(x), \forall x, \in X$$

4.2 Also 
$$C_A(xy) = C_A[x(y^{-1})^{-1}] \ge [C_A(x) \land C_A(y^{-1})]$$

but  $C_A(y^{-1}) = C_A(y)$ ,  $\forall y \in X$ ,

Applying equation 4.2, we have

$$\implies$$
  $C_A(xy) \ge [C_A(x) \land C_A(y)], \forall x, y \in X$ 

4.3 Therefore, from equation 4.2 and 4.3, we have that  $A \in MG(X)$ .

Proposition 4.1.6. If  $A \in MS(X)$ . Show that

 $A \in MG(X)$  if and only if  $A \circ A^{-1} = A$ .

Proof.

Let 
$$C_A(x) \ge C_A(y) \wedge C_A(z)$$
,  $\forall y, z \in X$ 

$$C_A(x) \ge V_{y,z \in X} \{C_A(y) \land C_A(z) : yz = x; \forall x \in X\}$$

$$C_A(x) \ge C_A(y) \wedge C_{A^{-1}}(z^{-1})$$

Recall that  $C_G(x^{-1}) \ge C_G(x)$ 

$$\implies$$
  $C_A(x) \ge C_A(y) \land C_{A^{-1}}(z)$ 

$$C_A(x) \ge [C_A(y) \land C_{A^{-1}}(z)] = C_{A \circ A^{-1}}(x)$$
 since  $yz = x$ 

$$\implies C_A(x) \ge C_{A \circ A^{-1}}(x)$$

By comparing subscript, we have that,

$$A \ge A \circ A^{-1}$$

(\*) Conversely,

$$C_{A \circ A^{-1}}(yz) \ge C_A(y) \wedge C_{A^{-1}}(z); \quad \forall y, z \in X$$

$$\begin{array}{l} C_{A\circ A^{-1}}(x)\geq \lor_{y,z\in X}\left\{ C_{A}(y)\ \land\ C_{A^{-1}}(z):yz=x;\ \forall\ x\in X\right\} \end{array}$$

$$\implies$$
  $C_{A \circ A^{-1}}(x) \ge C_A(y) \land C_A(z^{-1})$ 

Recall that  $C_G(x^{-1}) \ge C_G(x)$ , therefore we have that

$$C_{A \circ A^{-1}}(x) \ge C_A(y) \land C_A(z) : yz = x; \forall x \in X$$

$$\implies C_{A \circ A^{-1}}(x) \ge C_A(x)$$
 since  $yz = x$ 

By comparing subscript, we have that,

$$A \circ A^{-1} \ge A$$

(\*\*) (\*) and (\*\*) implies that

$$A \circ A^{-1} = A$$

Hence,  $A \in MG(X)$ . End of proof.

Proposition 4.1.7. Let  $A \in MS(X)$ . Then  $A \in MG(X)$  if and only if A satisfies the following conditions:

(a) (i) 
$$A \circ A \subseteq A$$
;

(ii) 
$$A^{-1} \subseteq A$$
 or  $A \subseteq A^{-1}$  or  $A^{-1} = A$ .

Or

(b) 
$$A \circ A^{-1} \subseteq A$$
.

Proof.

Let 
$$A \in MG(X)$$
. Then  $C_A(yz) \ge [C_A(y) \land C_A(z), \forall y, z \in X$ . Thus,  $C_A(x) \ge \{C_A(y) \land +C_A(z) : yz = x\}$ . Hence

$$C_A(x) \ge V_{y,z \in X} \{C_A(y) \land C_A(z) : yz = x\} = C_{A \circ A}(x), \forall x \in X.$$

Therefore,  $A \circ A \subseteq A$ . Again since  $C_{A^{-1}}(x) =$ 

 $C_A(x^{-1}) = C_A(x)$ , it follows that  $A = A^{-1}$  and hence  $A \subseteq A^{-1}$  and  $A^{-1} \subseteq A$ . Thus the given conditions are satisfied.

Conversely, let the given conditions be satisfied. Let  $x, y \in X$ . Then

$$C_A(xy^{-1}) \ge C_{A \circ A}(xy^{-1}) = V_{z \in X} [C_A(z) \land C_A(z^{-1}xy^{-1})].$$

$$\geq [C_A(x) \wedge C_A(y^{-1})] = [C_A(x) \wedge C_A(y)]$$

Therefore,  $A \in MG(X)$ . End of proof.

Proposition 4.1.8. Let A, B  $\in$  MG(X).

Then  $A \circ B \in MG(X)$  if and only if  $A \circ B = B \circ A$ .

Proof.

Since A, B  $\in$  MG(X), it follows that A = A<sup>-1</sup> and B = B<sup>-1</sup>.

## © SEP 2025 | IRE Journals | Volume 9 Issue 3 | ISSN: 2456-8880

Suppose  $A \circ B \in MG(X)$ . Then  $A \circ B = (A \circ B)^{-1} = B^{-1} \circ A^{-1} = B \circ A$ .

Conversely, let  $A \circ B = B \circ A$ . Then  $(A \circ B)^{-1} = (B \circ A)^{-1} = A^{-1} \circ B^{-1} = A \circ B$  and  $(A \circ B) \circ (A \circ B) = A \circ (B \circ A) \circ B = A \circ (A \circ B) \circ B = (A \circ A) \circ (B \circ B) \subseteq A \circ B$ . Therefore,  $A \circ B \in MG(X)$ . End of proof.

Proposition 4.1.9. Let A, B  $\in$  MG(X). Then A  $\cap$  B  $\in$  MG(X).

Proof.

Since A, B  $\in$  MG(X), we have  $C_A(xy^{-1}) \ge [C_A(x) \land C_A(y)]$  and  $C_B(xy^{-1}) \ge [C_B(x) \land C_B(y)], \forall x, y \in X$ . Now

$$C_{A \cap B}(xy^{-1}) = \Lambda \{C_A(xy^{-1}), C_B(xy^{-1})\}$$

$$\geq \wedge \{ [C_A(x) \wedge C_A(y^{-1})], [C_B(x) \wedge C_B(y^{-1})] \}$$

By definition of multigroups, we have that

$$C_{A\cap B}(xy^{-1}) \ge \Lambda\{[C_A(x) \land C_A(y)], [C_B(x) \land C_B(y)]\}$$

$$= C_A(x) \wedge C_A(y) \wedge C_B(x) \wedge C_B(y)$$

$$= \quad [\mathsf{C}_\mathsf{A}(\mathsf{x}) \ \land \ \mathsf{C}_\mathsf{B}(\mathsf{x})] \ \land [\mathsf{C}_\mathsf{A}(\mathsf{y}) \ \land \ \mathsf{C}_\mathsf{B}(\mathsf{y})]$$

$$= C_{A \cap B}(x) \wedge C_{A \cap B}(y)$$

and 
$$C_{A\cap B}(x^{-1})$$
 =  $C_A(x^{-1}) \wedge C_B(x^{-1})$  =  $C_A(x) \wedge C_B(x) = C_{A\cap B}(x)$ .

Therefore,  $A \cap B \in MG(X)$ . End of proof.

4.2. Results on Subgroups of Multigroups Proposition 4.2.1. Let  $A\in MG(X)$ . Then  $A_n,\ n\in \mathbb{N}$  are subgroups of X.

Proof.

Let  $x, y \in A_n$ . Then  $C_A(x) \ge n$  and  $C_A(y) \ge n$ . Since  $A \in MG(X)$ , it follows that  $C_A(xy^{-1}) \ge [C_A(x) \land C_A(y^{-1})]$ 

By definition of multigroups, we have

$$C_A(xy^{-1}) \ge [C_A(x) \land C_A(y)] \ge n$$
. Hence,  $xy^{-1} \in A_n$ .

Therefore,  $A_n$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  are subgroups of X. End of proof.

Proposition 4.2.2. If  $A \in MG(X)$  and  $H \le X$ , then  $A/_H \in MG(H)$ .

Proof.

Let  $x, y \in H$ . Then  $xy^{-1} \in H$ . Since  $A \in MG(X)$ , then

 $C_A(xy^{-1}) \ge C_A(x) \wedge C_A(y^{-1}).$ 

By definition of multigroups, we have that  $C_A(xy^{-1}) \geq C_A(x) \wedge C_A(y); \quad \forall \ x,y \in X.$  Moreover,  $C_{A_{/II}}(xy^{-1}) \geq C_{A_{/II}}(x) \wedge C_{A_{/II}}(y^{-1}).$ 

By definition of multigroups, we have that  $C_{A_{/H}}(xy^{-1}) \ge C_{A_{/H}}(x) \land C_{A_{/H}}(y); \ \forall \ x,y \in X$ 

Hence,  $A/H \in MG(H)$ .

Definition 4.2.3. Let  $A \in MG(X)$ . Then define  $A^* = \{x \in X; C_A(x) = C_A(e)\}$  and  $A_* = \{x \in X; C_A(x) > 0\}$ .

Proposition 4.2.4. Let  $A \in MG(X)$ . Then  $A_*$  and  $A^*$  are subgroups of X.

Proof.

Let  $x, y \in A^*$ . Then  $C_A(x) = C_A(y) = C_A(e)$ . Now  $C_A(xy^{-1}) \ge [C_A(x) \land C_A(y)] = [C_A(e) \land C_A(e)] = C_A(e) \ge C_A(xy^{-1})$ . So,  $C_A(xy^{-1}) = C_A(e)$ ,  $\forall x, y \in X$  and hence  $x, y \in A^* \Rightarrow xy^{-1} \in A^*$ . Therefore,  $A^*$  is a subgroup of X.

Again let  $x, y \in A_*$ . Then  $C_A(x) > 0$  and  $C_A(y) > 0$ . Now  $C_A(xy^{-1}) \ge [C_A(x) \land C_A(y)] > 0$ .

Therefore,  $x, y \in A_* \implies xy^{-1} \in A_*$  and hence  $A_*$  is a subgroup of X. End of proof.

## V. RESULTS

Example 5.1. Let  $X = \{e, a, b, c\}$  be Klein's 4-group and  $G = \{e, e, e, a, a, b, b, b, c, c\}$  be a multiset over X. Show that G is also a multigroup over X.

G will only be a multigroup over X if the count function  $G(C_G)$  satisfies the following two conditions:

(i) 
$$C_G(xy) \ge [C_G(x) \land C_G(y)], \forall x, y \in X;$$

$$\begin{split} &\text{(ii) } C_G(x^{-1}) \geq C_C(x), \ \ \forall \ x \in X. \\ &\text{Now, } X = \{e, a, b, c\} \ \text{gives} \\ &\{e, a\}, \{e, b\}, \{e, c\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, \{b, c\}, \{e, e\}, \{a, a\}, \\ &\{b, b\}, \{c, c\}, \{e^{-1}\}, \{a^{-1}\}, \{b^{-1}\}, \{c^{-1}\} \\ &\text{(i)} \implies \quad C_G(ea) = C_G(a) = 2 \geq [C_G(e) \ \land \ C_G(a)], \end{split}$$

## © SEP 2025 | IRE Journals | Volume 9 Issue 3 | ISSN: 2456-8880

$$C_G(eb) = C_G(b) = 3 \ge [C_G(e) \land C_G(b)],$$

$$C_G(ec) = C_G(c) = 2 \ge [C_G(e) \land C_G(c)],$$

$$C_G(ab) = C_G(c) = 2 \ge [C_G(a) \land C_G(b)],$$

$$C_G(ac) = C_G(b) = 3 \ge [C_G(a) \land C_G(c)],$$

$$C_G(bc) = C_G(a) = 2 \ge [C_G(b) \land C_G(c)],$$

$$C_G(ee) = C_G(e) = 3 \ge [C_G(e) \land C_G(e)],$$

$$C_G(aa) = C_G(e) = 3 \ge [C_G(a) \land C_G(a)],$$

$$C_G(bb) = C_G(e) = 3 \ge [C_G(b) \land C_G(b)],$$

$$C_G(cc) = C_G(e) = 3 \ge [C_G(c) \land C_G(c)],$$

(ii) 
$$\implies$$
  $C_G(e^{-1}) = C_G(e) = 3$ ,

$$C_G(a^{-1}) = C_G(a) = 2,$$

$$C_G(b^{-1}) = C_G(b) = 3,$$

$$C_G(c^{-1}) = C_G(c) = 2.$$

Since condition (i) and (ii) are satisfied as clearly shown above, we therefore said that G is a multigroup over X.

Definition 5.2. Let A and B be two multigroups over a group X. Then A is said to be a submultigroup of B if  $A \subseteq B$ .

Example 5.3. Let  $X = \{e, a, b, c\}$  be Klein's 4-group,  $A = \{e, e, a, a, b, b, c, c\}$  and

 $B = \{e, e, e, a, a, b, b, b, c, c\}$ . Then clearly  $A, B \in MG(X)$  and  $A \subseteq B$ . Therefore, A is a submultigroup of B

Example 5.4. Let  $X = K_4 = \{e, a, b, c\}$  be the Klein's 4-group,  $A = \{e, e, a\}$  and  $B = \{e, e, b\}$ . If  $A, B \in MG(X)$ , show whether or not  $A \cup B$ ,  $A \cap B$  is a multigroup over X.

Solution.

Let 
$$G = A \cup B = \{e, e, a, b\}$$

G will only be a multigroup over X if the count function  $G\left(C_{G}\right)$  satisfies the following two conditions:

$$(i) \; C_G(xy) \geq [C_G(x) \; \wedge \; C_G(y)], \; \; \forall \; x,y \in X;$$

(ii) 
$$C_C(x^{-1}) \ge C_C(x)$$
,  $\forall x \in X$ .

Now, 
$$G = \{e, e, a, b\}$$
 gives  $\{e, a\}, \{e, b\}, \{a, b\}, \{e, e\}, \{a, a\}, \{b, b\}, \{e^{-1}\}, \{a^{-1}\}, \{b^{-1}\}$ 

$$(i) \Longrightarrow C_G(ea) = C_G(a) = 1 \ge \Lambda [C_G(e), C_G(a)],$$

$$C_G(eb) = C_G(b) = 1 \ge \wedge [C_G(e), C_G(b)],$$

$$C_G(ab) = C_G(c) = 0 \ge \Lambda [C_G(a), C_G(b)],$$

$$C_G(ee) = C_G(e) = 2 \ge \Lambda [C_G(e), C_G(e)],$$

$$C_G(aa) = C_G(e) = 2 \ge \Lambda [C_G(a), C_G(a)],$$

$$C_G(bb) = C_G(e) = 2 \ge \wedge [C_G(b), C_G(b)],$$

(ii) 
$$\implies$$
  $C_G(e^{-1}) = C_G(e) = 2$ ,

$$C_{c}(a^{-1}) = C_{c}(a) = 1$$

$$C_G(b^{-1}) = C_G(b) = 1,$$

Since condition (i) is not satisfied as clearly shown above, i.e.  $A \cup B = \{e, e, a, b\}$  and  $C_{A \cup B}(c) = C_{A \cup B}(ab) = 0 \ngeq \Lambda [C_{A \cup B}(a), C_{A \cup B}(b)] = 1$ .

We therefore said that  $A \cup B \notin MG(X)$ .

But  $A \cap B = \{e, e\}$  and  $C_{A \cap B}(e) = 2 \ge \Lambda$   $[C_{A \cap B}(e), C_{A \cap B}(e)]$ . Therefore,  $A \cap B$  but not  $A \cup B$  is a multigroup over X.

### VI. DISCUSSION

From example 4.1, we see that the Klein's 4-group X and multiset G satisfied the condition for multigroups, hence G is a multigroup over X. We also see from example 4.3 that the multigroup A is a submultigroup of the multigroup B since all the elements in the multiset A are all contained in the multiset B. Therefore,  $A \subseteq B$  and A is a submultigroup of B. We verified in example 4.4 whether or not the union or intersection of the two multigroups A and B is a multigroup, but the result shows that only their intersection is a multigroup but their union is not a multigroup since it does not satisfied the conditions for multigroups.

### VII. CONCLUSION

From the results obtained above, we see clearly that the union of family of multigroups over a group X may not be a multigroup, but the intersection of family of multigroups over a group X is a multigroup. Also, if A is a multiset over X, then A is a multigroup over the group X if and only if  $A \circ A^{-1} = A$ , or  $A \circ A^{-1} \subseteq A$ . Hence the study of multigroups is very interesting and useful in areas such as information retrieval on web (since an information may appear more than once with possibly different degrees of relevance to a query), coding theory, etc.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Arfur, D. (1998). An introduction to group theory. http://www.marlboro.edu/~mahoney/groups/dog school/groups.html.
- [2] Awolola, J. A. and Ibrahim, A. M. (2016). Some results on multigroups. *Quasigroups and related systems*. 24:169-177.
- [3] Erno, R. (1974). Group theory. Wikipedia.
- [4] Nazmul, S. K., Majumdar, P. and Samanta, S. K. (2013). On multisets and multigroups. *Annals of fuzzy mathematics and informatics*. 6(3): 643-656.
- [5] Marty, F. (1934). Sur une generalization de la notion de groupe. Huitieme congres des mathematiciens scandinaves, Stockholm. 201:45-49.
- [6] Melvin, D. and Oystein, O. (1938). Theory of multigroups. *American journal of mathematics*. 60(3): 705-733.
- [7] Shinoj, T. K. and Sunil, J. J. (2015). Intuitionistic fuzzy multigroups. *Annals of pure and applied mathematics*. 9: 131-143.
- [8] Wall, H. S. (1937). Hypergroups. *American journal of mathematics*. 59:77-98.