

Framework for Designing Effective Corporate Restructuring Strategies to Optimize Liquidity and Working Capital

DEBORAH ATERE¹, AMINAT OPEYEMI SHOBANDE², IBUKUNOLUWA HANNAH TOLUWASE³

¹*Southern Illinois University, Edwardsville, USA*

²*Independent Researcher, Lagos, Nigeria*

³*Zinox Group, Nigeria*

Abstract- Corporate restructuring has become a critical strategic tool for organizations seeking to optimize liquidity and working capital in increasingly volatile business environments. Effective restructuring frameworks align financial, operational, and governance mechanisms to restore balance sheet strength, enhance liquidity reserves, and improve cash flow efficiency. This review explores core approaches to corporate restructuring, including debt reorganization, equity restructuring, divestitures, mergers, acquisitions, and operational realignments. Special attention is given to how restructuring strategies can directly influence liquidity management, short-term financing, and working capital optimization. The paper highlights the role of strategic planning, risk assessment, and regulatory compliance in designing restructuring models that not only stabilize firms during financial distress but also position them for sustainable growth. Moreover, it discusses the importance of integrating financial analytics, scenario planning, and performance monitoring into restructuring frameworks to achieve measurable improvements in capital efficiency. By synthesizing existing literature and practical case applications, this review proposes a structured framework that organizations can adopt to guide decision-making. Ultimately, the study underscores the significance of corporate restructuring as both a crisis-response mechanism and a proactive financial strategy for long-term resilience.

Keywords: *Corporate Restructuring, Liquidity Optimization, Working Capital Management, Financial Strategy, Organizational Realignment, Capital Efficiency.*

I. INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background and Significance of Corporate Restructuring

Corporate restructuring has long been recognized as a vital mechanism for firms seeking to adapt to turbulent market conditions, financial crises, or shifts in competitive landscapes. The primary significance lies in its capacity to reshape the financial and operational architecture of organizations to restore liquidity and ensure sustainable working capital flows. In increasingly volatile global markets, restructuring has become a proactive rather than merely reactive tool, designed to preserve value while enhancing long-term resilience. Strategic frameworks for restructuring integrate elements such as debt reorganization, equity adjustments, mergers, acquisitions, and divestitures, all aimed at improving the efficiency of capital utilization and safeguarding solvency (Aduwo & Nwachukwu, 2019). These approaches highlight that liquidity optimization is not solely a matter of financial rebalancing but also a question of organizational agility and governance structures that anticipate uncertainty and navigate complex risk environments (Abass, Balogun, & Didi, 2019).

Beyond addressing financial distress, restructuring strategies are increasingly leveraged as competitive tools that allow firms to reposition in markets, align resources with evolving opportunities, and mitigate systemic vulnerabilities. Evidence from emerging market economies illustrates that innovative restructuring models are instrumental in balancing debt-equity structures and ensuring regulatory compliance while unlocking shareholder value

(Balogun, Abass, & Didi, 2019). The broader significance of restructuring therefore lies not just in resolving immediate liquidity crises but also in embedding adaptive capabilities within organizations. As global industries face cyclical downturns, technological disruptions, and geopolitical uncertainties, a robust framework for restructuring offers firms a disciplined yet flexible pathway to sustain competitiveness and protect working capital—the lifeblood of enterprise continuity (Bankole & Lateefat, 2019). By anchoring liquidity and capital efficiency at the core of restructuring strategies, organizations are positioned to transition from vulnerability to resilience and, ultimately, to renewed growth trajectories.

1.2 Objectives of the Review

The main objective of this review is to critically analyze and synthesize existing literature on corporate restructuring as a mechanism for optimizing liquidity and working capital. It seeks to provide a comprehensive understanding of the theoretical foundations, practical applications, and evolving trends in restructuring strategies. Another objective is to evaluate how diverse restructuring approaches—financial, operational, and strategic—directly influence firms' ability to maintain solvency and operational efficiency during periods of market volatility.

Additionally, the review aims to propose a structured framework that organizations can adopt to design and implement effective restructuring initiatives. This framework will emphasize integration of financial analytics, scenario planning, and performance measurement as critical components of successful restructuring. Finally, the paper seeks to highlight gaps in the current literature, outline implications for policy and corporate practice, and provide future research directions for advancing knowledge in liquidity and working capital optimization.

1.3 Scope and Methodology

The scope of this review encompasses corporate restructuring strategies employed across industries, with a focus on their implications for liquidity and

working capital optimization. It considers restructuring from multiple perspectives, including financial reorganization, debt and equity adjustments, mergers and acquisitions, divestitures, and operational realignment. By examining both emerging markets and established economies, the study captures a holistic view of how restructuring practices vary depending on contextual financial, regulatory, and market dynamics.

Methodologically, the review adopts a systematic analysis of secondary sources, drawing insights from academic publications, conceptual frameworks, and case-based evidence. This approach allows for critical evaluation of theoretical foundations alongside practical applications. The selection of sources is limited to peer-reviewed studies and industry-specific frameworks that provide measurable insights into liquidity optimization and capital efficiency. The methodology emphasizes cross-comparison of findings to identify recurring patterns, best practices, and limitations in existing restructuring models.

1.4 Structure of the Paper

The paper is structured into six sections to provide a logical progression of ideas. Section One introduces the background, objectives, scope, and structure of the study. Section Two reviews the theoretical foundations of corporate restructuring, highlighting its conceptual underpinnings and drivers. Section Three examines the major restructuring strategies, including financial and operational approaches. Section Four explores how these strategies optimize liquidity and working capital through practical mechanisms and case illustrations. Section Five develops a proposed framework for designing effective restructuring strategies, integrating financial analytics, scenario planning, and governance considerations. Finally, Section Six concludes with a summary of findings, practical implications for corporations and policymakers, and avenues for future research.

II. THEORETICAL FOUNDATIONS OF CORPORATE RESTRUCTURING

2.1 Definitions and Conceptual Framework

Corporate restructuring is broadly defined as the deliberate reorganization of a firm's financial, operational, and governance structures to restore or enhance its economic performance. At its core, restructuring encompasses measures such as debt refinancing, divestitures, mergers, acquisitions, and managerial realignments, all of which aim to ensure a sustainable balance between liquidity and profitability. Scholars emphasize that restructuring is not solely reactive to distress but also serves as a proactive strategy to address inefficiencies and prepare for competitive shifts in dynamic markets (Aduwo & Nwachukwu, 2019). As such, the concept extends beyond financial engineering, embedding itself in broader frameworks of organizational adaptability and resilience.

A conceptual framework for restructuring links the financial objectives of liquidity optimization with the operational imperatives of resource allocation. This framework recognizes that corporate restructuring is multi-layered, cutting across governance practices, human resource strategies, and supply chain adjustments. Studies show that robust restructuring requires aligning internal reforms with external market realities to achieve both short-term stabilization and long-term value creation (Balogun, Abass, & Didi, 2019). The framework thus integrates perspectives from finance, strategy, and organizational behavior, positioning restructuring as a holistic process that drives transformation and secures competitive advantage (Bankole & Lateefat, 2019; Evans-Uzosike & Okatta, 2019; Ayanbode, Cadet, Etim, Essien, & Ajayi, 2019).

2.2 Drivers of Restructuring in Modern Organizations

The decision to restructure is often driven by financial pressures, market volatility, and the imperative to optimize working capital. Firms operating in uncertain economic environments frequently undertake restructuring to mitigate debt burdens, rebalance capital structures, and stabilize liquidity (Aduwo,

Akonobi, & Okpokwu, 2019). Another driver is technological disruption, which compels firms to redesign processes and adopt new models of efficiency. This driver is particularly pronounced in industries where digital transformation accelerates competition and heightens the risk of obsolescence.

Beyond financial and technological triggers, restructuring is also motivated by the need to realign corporate governance, streamline operations, and respond to regulatory changes. Organizations may divest non-core assets or consolidate operations to adapt to shifting market demands while ensuring compliance and sustainability (Dako, Onalaja, Nwachukwu, Bankole, & Lateefat, 2019). Strategic responses to globalization, including mergers and cross-border alliances, further illustrate how restructuring acts as a lever for competitiveness in global markets (Didi, Abass, & Balogun, 2019). Moreover, human capital pressures, including the demand for agile and productive workforces, have been identified as key determinants of restructuring decisions in modern organizations (Bukhari, Oladimeji, Etim, & Ajayi, 2019; Umoren, Didi, Balogun, Abass, & Akinrinoye, 2019). Collectively, these drivers underscore that restructuring is a multifaceted response to internal inefficiencies and external disruptions.

2.3 Links Between Restructuring, Liquidity, and Working Capital

Liquidity management and working capital optimization are central outcomes of corporate restructuring. Liquidity, the ability of an organization to meet short-term obligations, is often jeopardized when firms accumulate debt, experience declining revenues, or face operational inefficiencies. Restructuring strategies, such as refinancing liabilities or renegotiating credit terms, directly strengthen liquidity positions by ensuring a more balanced cash flow cycle (Okenwa, Uzozie, & Onaghinor, 2019). Similarly, restructuring initiatives that divest underperforming assets free up capital resources, which can then be reinvested in core operations to enhance efficiency.

Working capital optimization—ensuring efficient management of receivables, payables, and inventories—is closely intertwined with restructuring. By redesigning procurement systems, streamlining supply chain processes, and renegotiating vendor agreements, firms improve operational liquidity and achieve higher capital efficiency (Nwaimo, Oluoha, & Oyedokun, 2019). Empirical evidence suggests that effective restructuring frameworks also enhance cost forecasting accuracy, enabling firms to anticipate cash requirements and avoid liquidity crises (Alao, Nwokocha, & Morenike, 2019). Furthermore, the integration of predictive analytics into restructuring has been shown to improve cash flow predictability and inform proactive decision-making (Abass, Balogun, & Didi, 2019; Essien, Cadet, Ajayi, Erigha, & Obuse, 2019) as seen in Table 1. These links demonstrate that restructuring is not simply about survival but also about embedding systematic mechanisms that enhance liquidity resilience and working capital productivity in the long term.

Table 1: Links Between Restructuring, Liquidity, and Working Capital

Restructuring Strategy	Impact on Liquidity	Impact on Working Capital	Long-Term Outcome
Refinancing liabilities or renegotiating credit terms	Strengthens short-term cash flow and reduces immediate debt pressure	Stabilizes payment cycles with suppliers and creditors	Improved solvency and reduced risk of liquidity crises
Divestiture of underperforming assets	Frees up capital resources for redeployment into core operations	Increases availability of working capital for efficient allocation	Enhanced operational efficiency and reinvestment capacity
Redesigning procurement and supply chain systems	Improves liquidity by reducing excess outflows	Optimizes receivables, payables, and inventory	Streamlined operations and improved

Restructuring Strategy	Impact on Liquidity and inefficiencies	Impact on Working Capital management	Long-Term Outcome capital turnover
Integration of predictive analytics in restructuring	Enhances predictability of cash inflows and outflows	Improves forecasting of working capital needs	Proactive decision-making and higher financial resilience
Strengthening cost forecasting frameworks	Reduces exposure to unexpected cash shortfalls	Enables alignment of operational costs with liquidity capacity	Sustainable financial management and capital efficiency

III. CORPORATE RESTRUCTURING STRATEGIES

3.1 Debt Restructuring and Financial Reorganization

Debt restructuring has become a fundamental mechanism for organizations seeking to restore liquidity and ensure continuity in volatile market environments. By renegotiating existing debt obligations, firms can reduce financial distress, extend repayment timelines, and realign interest burdens to support ongoing operations. This process not only stabilizes working capital but also creates a platform for strategic recovery and sustainable growth. For example, research on dynamic capital structure optimization highlights that simulation-based approaches can balance debt commitments with liquidity preservation, particularly under uncertain financial conditions (Aduwo & Nwachukwu, 2019). Similarly, the role of economic policy in shaping debt markets has been underscored, as effective macroeconomic analysis enhances firms' ability to anticipate risks and restructure proactively (Atobatele, Hungbo, & Adeyemi, 2019).

Beyond financial stabilization, debt restructuring fosters improved stakeholder confidence and market

positioning. Supplier collaboration frameworks, for instance, demonstrate how aligned debt renegotiation with procurement strategies can enhance competitiveness (Alao, Nwokocha, & Morenike, 2019). Predictive analytics also supports reorganization by providing early warnings of liquidity shortfalls and guiding evidence-based restructuring plans (Abass, Balogun, & Didi, 2019). Additionally, cost forecasting models allow firms to adjust debt-servicing obligations to align with operational efficiency and budget accuracy (Bankole & Lateefat, 2019). Collectively, these insights emphasize that debt restructuring is not solely about short-term survival but also about embedding resilience within corporate structures. The integration of data-driven forecasting, collaborative frameworks, and policy-aligned strategies ensures that reorganization efforts achieve long-term liquidity optimization and sustainable value creation.

3.2 Equity Restructuring and Shareholder Realignment

Equity restructuring represents another critical avenue for optimizing liquidity and aligning working capital strategies with shareholder interests. Unlike debt reorganization, equity restructuring emphasizes recapitalization, divestitures, and realignment of ownership structures to enhance both corporate governance and investor confidence. This process often involves issuing new shares, buybacks, or consolidating equity holdings, thereby enabling firms to strengthen their capital base while minimizing debt reliance. For instance, integrated governance frameworks illustrate how equity realignment ensures compliance with global regulatory standards while maintaining market transparency (Essien, Cadet, Ajayi, Erigha, & Obuse, 2019). Similarly, machine learning models for insider behavior analytics highlight how equity restructuring can be supported by advanced data-driven oversight, fostering trust among stakeholders (Erigha, Obuse, Ayanbode, Cadet, & Etim, 2019).

Equity restructuring also supports corporate agility in responding to disruptive market forces. Blockchain-enabled governance frameworks, for example, facilitate transparency in equity ownership, reducing

risks of corruption and enhancing accountability (Dako, Onalaja, Nwachukwu, Bankole, & Lateefat, 2019). Strategic vendor management approaches similarly reinforce equity restructuring by aligning shareholder value with sustainable procurement networks (Nwokocha, Alao, & Morenike, 2019). Furthermore, marketing frameworks demonstrate how equity recapitalization can provide the resources necessary for brand repositioning in competitive markets, thereby linking capital realignment directly with growth strategies (Balogun, Abass, & Didi, 2019). Collectively, equity restructuring ensures that firms not only stabilize liquidity but also foster long-term value creation by integrating governance, technology, and strategic shareholder engagement.

3.3 Mergers, acquisitions, and divestitures

Mergers, acquisitions, and divestitures (M&A) remain critical instruments for corporate restructuring, often pursued to consolidate market share, enhance liquidity, or unlock working capital through strategic realignment of assets. In emerging markets, M&A transactions provide firms with opportunities to leverage scale economies, diversify risk, and optimize capital deployment. They also enable divestitures of non-core units, thereby redirecting capital toward more profitable operations. Scholars emphasize that beyond financial synergies, successful M&A transactions depend on robust managerial practices that balance integration with flexibility, ensuring sustainable operational outcomes (Otokiti, 2017). Furthermore, firms engaging in acquisitions often focus on restructuring debt profiles and aligning liquidity ratios to improve both solvency and short-term financial efficiency (Menson et al., 2018). This highlights how M&A processes are not merely growth-driven but also liquidity-centered strategic responses.

The role of innovation and sustainability perspectives in M&A has also emerged as a key factor. For instance, adopting co-evolutionary frameworks during acquisitions fosters continuous innovation while mitigating risks of capital misallocation (Otokiti & Akorede, 2018). Technological transformations and structural shifts, such as the rise of digital infrastructure, have also compelled organizations to

pursue divestitures of outdated units while acquiring high-performing assets that align with evolving market demands (Bukhari et al., 2018). In addition, cultural integration remains an essential determinant of post-merger liquidity and working capital outcomes, as social dynamics directly influence productivity and cash flow cycles (Scholten et al., 2018). Overall, M&A activities serve as pivotal restructuring mechanisms, creating pathways for organizations to enhance liquidity and working capital performance while aligning resources with long-term strategic objectives.

3.4 Operational and managerial restructuring

Operational and managerial restructuring emphasizes internal realignment of processes, systems, and governance frameworks to optimize working capital and sustain liquidity. Unlike financial restructuring, which focuses on balance sheet repair, operational restructuring targets efficiency improvements, cost reductions, and productivity gains. Studies highlight that effective managerial practices drive performance by aligning corporate strategy with workforce capacity and market dynamics (Otokiti, 2017). In this context, operational restructuring often involves adopting new technologies, reengineering workflows, and introducing innovative practices to streamline cash flow management and working capital cycles. The restructuring of managerial structures, including leadership changes and process accountability, ensures that resources are deployed efficiently while maintaining compliance and organizational integrity (Olawale et al., 2017).

Moreover, operational restructuring enhances sustainability by embedding innovation into corporate culture. Strategic shifts in managerial approaches, such as the co-evolutionary adoption of change frameworks, promote resilience and adaptability during financial distress (Otokiti & Akorede, 2018). Additionally, digital transformation plays a vital role in reshaping managerial structures by improving decision-making, monitoring liquidity in real time, and reallocating resources to high-impact areas (Menson et al., 2018). Evidence also suggests that operational restructuring bolsters corporate competitiveness by enabling organizations to divest

non-performing assets while strengthening governance and internal controls (Scholten et al., 2018). Overall, operational and managerial restructuring emerges as a cornerstone of liquidity optimization, offering organizations structured pathways to sustain solvency, increase efficiency, and build long-term resilience in competitive markets.

IV. LIQUIDITY AND WORKING CAPITAL OPTIMIZATION

4.1 Importance of Liquidity in Financial Sustainability

Liquidity remains a cornerstone of corporate financial sustainability, as it ensures that firms can meet short-term obligations and support ongoing operations without disrupting long-term strategic objectives. A company's ability to maintain sufficient liquidity buffers reflects its resilience against external shocks such as market volatility, currency fluctuations, or global crises. For instance, the careful alignment of liquidity management practices with strategic forecasting allows firms to preserve solvency and investor confidence, even under conditions of uncertainty (Otokiti, 2017). In emerging markets, particularly, financial sustainability is linked to liquidity management as firms operate in volatile environments characterized by credit constraints and fluctuating access to capital (Otokiti & Akorede, 2018). By embedding liquidity controls into strategic planning, organizations can manage working capital more effectively, reducing reliance on costly external financing (Abass et al., 2019). Furthermore, robust liquidity practices foster operational agility, enabling companies to capitalize on investment opportunities while insulating them from cash flow mismatches (Aduwo & Nwachukwu, 2019).

Liquidity also supports stakeholder trust by signaling financial discipline and accountability, both critical in sustaining market reputation and securing favorable credit ratings (Balogun et al., 2019). When liquidity management is strategically integrated into governance structures, it minimizes insolvency risks and strengthens a firm's ability to withstand cyclical downturns. Academic and empirical evidence consistently shows that firms with proactive liquidity

frameworks achieve superior financial sustainability outcomes compared to peers with reactive or fragmented liquidity systems (Baños-Caballero et al., 2019; Wójcik & Ioannou, 2018). Thus, liquidity is not merely a financial metric but a strategic resource underpinning long-term sustainability, competitiveness, and resilience.

4.2 Role of Restructuring in Enhancing Cash Flow

Corporate restructuring serves as a pivotal tool for enhancing cash flow by realigning financial and operational structures to improve efficiency and unlock trapped capital. Debt restructuring, for example, reduces interest burdens and extends repayment terms, freeing resources that can be channeled into operations and growth initiatives (Alao et al., 2019). Similarly, divestitures and asset reallocation strategies enhance cash flow by eliminating non-core operations and reallocating resources toward high-performing segments (Bankole & Lateefat, 2019). These measures allow firms to generate liquidity internally, reducing dependency on external financing while optimizing balance sheet efficiency (Essien et al., 2019). In contexts of financial distress, restructuring prevents value erosion by enabling firms to reestablish sustainable cash cycles and protect shareholder equity (Nwaimo et al., 2019).

Operational restructuring further contributes by eliminating inefficiencies, consolidating supply chains, and reengineering business processes to accelerate cash conversion cycles (Okenwa et al., 2019). Beyond crisis contexts, proactive restructuring strategies enhance firms' capacity to finance innovation and expansion while maintaining liquidity safeguards. Recent scholarship underscores that organizations engaging in timely restructuring interventions are more capable of achieving cash flow stabilization, particularly during disruptive events such as financial downturns or pandemics (Bibeault & Rosenberg, 2019; Hotchkiss et al., 2019) as seen in Table 2. By coupling restructuring initiatives with predictive financial analytics and scenario planning, firms can ensure that enhanced cash flows are sustainable over the long term. Thus, restructuring transcends short-term crisis management, emerging as

a strategic pathway for sustained financial resilience and long-term value creation.

Table 2: Role of Restructuring in Enhancing Cash Flow

Dimension	Restructuring Approach	Impact on Cash Flow	Strategic Outcome
Financial Restructuring	Debt restructuring through reduced interest burdens and extended repayment terms	Frees up resources previously allocated to debt servicing	Enables reinvestment in operations and growth while improving balance sheet efficiency
Asset and Portfolio Realignment	Divestitures and reallocation of resources away from non-core operations	Generates liquidity internally by unlocking trapped capital	Reduces dependency on external financing and strengthens focus on high-performing segments
Operational Restructuring	Streamlining processes, consolidating supply chains, and reengineering business models	Accelerates cash conversion cycles and minimizes inefficiencies	Stabilizes working capital, improves liquidity, and sustains shareholder equity
Strategic and Predictive Measures	Proactive restructuring integrated with financial analytics and scenario planning	Sustains enhanced cash flow beyond immediate crisis contexts	Supports long-term resilience, funds innovation, and ensures financial stability during disruptions

4.3 Working Capital Optimization Strategies within Restructuring Models

Working capital optimization is an essential component of corporate restructuring, ensuring that firms can maintain liquidity while restructuring capital structures for sustainable performance. Strategies often emphasize aligning current assets and liabilities through disciplined cash management, receivables control, and supply chain collaboration. Within restructuring frameworks, companies employ simulation-based financial models and predictive analytics to forecast liquidity requirements and proactively adjust capital allocation (Aduwo & Nwachukwu, 2019; Abass, Balogun, & Didi, 2019). Strategic cost forecasting tools have been widely applied in restructuring to optimize budgetary allocations and minimize inefficiencies in working capital cycles (Bankole & Lateefat, 2019). Furthermore, vendor collaboration models and structured onboarding approaches enhance supplier reliability and ensure predictable outflows, thereby stabilizing liquidity positions during restructuring (ALAO, Nwokocha, & Morenike, 2019).

Scholarly evidence further demonstrates that working capital optimization is strongly linked to firm performance and resilience under financial stress. For example, effective inventory and receivables management are shown to increase firm value and mitigate liquidity constraints (Aktas, Croci, & Petmezas, 2019). Similarly, cross-country studies emphasize that optimized net operating working capital correlates with long-term value creation (Baños-Caballero, García-Teruel, & Martínez-Solano, 2019). Empirical research also indicates that working capital strategies should be dynamically adapted to business cycles, as the profitability impacts vary across economic conditions (Enqvist, Graham, & Nikkinen, 2017). In Nordic contexts, evidence shows that structured working capital frameworks can significantly reduce liquidity risks when combined with strong managerial oversight (Lyngstadaas & Berg, 2018). Finally, restructuring models that integrate innovation-driven supply chain practices further strengthen working capital efficiency by directly linking liquidity management with operational performance (Wuttke, Blome, Foerstl, & Henke, 2019). Collectively, these insights highlight that

working capital optimization within restructuring must combine predictive tools, supplier collaboration, and cycle-sensitive approaches to ensure liquidity resilience.

4.4 Case Studies and Practical Examples

Case-based evidence underscores how restructuring efforts that prioritize working capital optimization yield measurable benefits across industries. For instance, Nigerian firms implementing multi-tier supplier engagement frameworks reported improved cash conversion cycles and stronger liquidity positions during restructuring phases (Nwaimo, Oluoha, & Oyedokun, 2019). Similarly, companies applying vendor collaboration frameworks aligned restructuring goals with supply chain efficiency, ensuring continuity of operations while reducing financing costs (ALAO, Nwokocha, & Morenike, 2019). The application of predictive analytics within divestiture processes has also helped firms rebalance debt-equity ratios while safeguarding short-term liquidity buffers (Balogun, Abass, & Didi, 2019). By leveraging dynamic capital structure optimization models, corporations in volatile markets have successfully adjusted working capital strategies in line with liquidity demands (Aduwo & Nwachukwu, 2019).

Global evidence reinforces these findings. Empirical research shows that working capital optimization enhances firm profitability and supports effective restructuring by reducing dependency on short-term borrowing (Aktas et al., 2019). Similarly, studies confirm that firms embedding working capital efficiency into restructuring frameworks achieve sustained competitiveness through long-term value creation (Baños-Caballero et al., 2019). Case evidence from Finland illustrates that restructuring efforts linked with adaptive working capital strategies strengthen profitability resilience during economic downturns (Enqvist et al., 2017). In Norway, structured frameworks in corporate governance have been associated with liquidity stability under restructuring contexts (Lyngstadaas & Berg, 2018). Supply chain-focused case studies further indicate that innovation and operational performance improvements are directly tied to liquidity

optimization, particularly when restructuring frameworks target efficiency gains across vendor networks (Wuttke et al., 2019). These examples demonstrate that restructuring, when coupled with robust working capital strategies, transforms organizations from financial vulnerability to resilience, ensuring both immediate liquidity and long-term competitiveness.

V. FRAMEWORK FOR DESIGNING EFFECTIVE RESTRUCTURING STRATEGIES

5.1 Key Components of the Framework (Planning, Execution, Monitoring)

Corporate restructuring requires a structured framework where planning, execution, and monitoring function as interconnected pillars. Planning establishes the foundation by assessing liquidity positions, mapping out working capital cycles, and identifying inefficiencies that require realignment. This phase incorporates risk assessment, stakeholder consultations, and forecasting models to ensure restructuring aligns with both short-term liquidity needs and long-term sustainability objectives (Otokiti, 2017; Wruck & Wu, 2017). Execution builds on this foundation by translating strategic intent into measurable actions, such as debt restructuring, divestitures, or operational cost realignments, while ensuring alignment with regulatory and governance standards (Otokiti & Akorede, 2018; Acharya & Subramanian, 2018). Effective execution also requires embedding adaptive strategies, as firms in emerging markets often operate under volatile economic conditions that demand flexibility (Alao, Nwokocha, & Morenike, 2019; KPMG, 2017). Monitoring, as the final component, ensures continuity and accountability by tracking key liquidity and working capital metrics, deploying real-time financial analytics, and maintaining stakeholder trust (Abass, Balogun, & Didi, 2019). Feedback loops from monitoring feed into continuous improvement cycles, enabling firms to recalibrate strategies as conditions evolve (Aduwo & Nwachukwu, 2019; Deloitte, 2018).

Beyond process efficiency, integrating planning, execution, and monitoring safeguards firms from

fragmented restructuring efforts. Research emphasizes that cohesive frameworks prevent misalignment between financial restructuring and operational strategies, particularly in contexts of capital constraints (Scholten et al., 2018; Ghosh, 2019). Effective frameworks must also incorporate digital governance mechanisms and predictive dashboards that enable managers to anticipate stress points in liquidity and mitigate disruptions before they escalate (Balogun, Abass, & Didi, 2019). Collectively, these components highlight that restructuring is not merely corrective but a proactive capability embedded within corporate governance. By aligning these pillars, organizations can not only optimize liquidity and working capital but also build resilience and ensure strategic continuity under uncertainty.

5.2 Integration of Financial Analytics and Scenario Planning

The integration of financial analytics and scenario planning significantly enhances the effectiveness of corporate restructuring strategies. Financial analytics provides granular visibility into liquidity ratios, receivables turnover, and inventory cycles, enabling management to identify inefficiencies with precision (Bankole & Lateefat, 2019; Deloitte, 2018). When integrated with scenario planning, these insights extend beyond reactive adjustments, allowing firms to simulate multiple financial conditions such as credit tightening, commodity price fluctuations, or currency volatility (Etim, Essien, Ajayi, Erigha, & Obuse, 2019; Acharya & Subramanian, 2018). This combination enables managers to stress-test restructuring strategies against diverse contingencies, thereby ensuring resilience. Scenario planning frameworks also support informed decision-making by highlighting trade-offs between aggressive debt restructuring and conservative liquidity preservation (Erigha, Obuse, Ayanbode, Cadet, & Etim, 2019; Wruck & Wu, 2017).

Integrating analytics with scenario planning also supports dynamic resource allocation, allowing companies to rebalance working capital based on projected outcomes. Predictive models embedded in analytics can assess the liquidity impact of restructuring decisions in real time, ensuring timely interventions (Umoren, Didi, Balogun, Abass, &

Akinrinoye, 2019; KPMG, 2017). Additionally, scenario planning facilitates stakeholder alignment by making explicit the risks and opportunities associated with alternative restructuring pathways (Okenwa, Uzozie, & Onaghinor, 2019; Ghosh, 2019). Beyond immediate decision support, embedding financial analytics within restructuring frameworks fosters a culture of data-driven governance, reducing reliance on intuition and enhancing transparency. By combining quantitative rigor with strategic foresight, organizations strengthen their capacity to optimize liquidity and working capital while mitigating systemic risks (Otokiti, 2017; Deloitte, 2018). Ultimately, this integration transforms restructuring from a reactive financial correction into a forward-looking strategic discipline.

5.3 Risk Management and Regulatory Compliance

Risk management and regulatory compliance form the backbone of sustainable corporate restructuring, ensuring that organizations can realign resources while safeguarding against systemic vulnerabilities. Effective risk management involves identifying financial, operational, and reputational threats, followed by implementing mitigation frameworks that align with global best practices. Firms in emerging and developed markets alike must adapt to shifting compliance regimes, such as those guided by international accounting standards and sector-specific governance protocols. Strategic compliance not only minimizes legal exposure but also builds investor confidence and long-term resilience (Otokiti, 2017; Otokiti & Akorede, 2018; Scholten et al., 2018; Alao, Nwokocha, & Morenike, 2019; Essien et al., 2019). In this sense, risk management cannot be treated as a peripheral function but as a core component of financial restructuring frameworks.

Contemporary restructuring strategies increasingly integrate advanced analytics, regulatory technology (RegTech), and predictive modeling to enhance compliance efficiency and reduce uncertainty. By embedding proactive governance, risk, and compliance (GRC) systems, organizations can anticipate regulatory shifts and manage cross-border complexities more effectively. Beyond regulatory adherence, these systems facilitate transparency and

accountability, essential for maintaining credibility during restructuring. Recent studies emphasize that integrating AI-driven compliance monitoring and risk forecasting tools ensures not only efficiency but also adaptability in volatile markets (Bebbington et al., 2019; Christensen, Hail, & Leuz, 2019; Krieger et al., 2019; Lins, Servaes, & Tamayo, 2017; Tricker, 2019). This dual emphasis on compliance and risk resilience strengthens the sustainability of corporate restructuring initiatives, making them more robust in mitigating external shocks while aligning with evolving policy landscapes.

5.4 Performance Measurement and Continuous Improvement

Performance measurement in corporate restructuring ensures that organizations can evaluate the effectiveness of implemented strategies while enabling adaptive improvements. It extends beyond financial metrics to encompass operational efficiency, liquidity enhancement, and stakeholder value creation. Key performance indicators (KPIs) tied to liquidity ratios, working capital turnover, and restructuring milestones allow firms to benchmark progress against initial objectives. Embedding predictive analytics into performance monitoring frameworks offers real-time insights, facilitating swift corrective action when deviations arise (Olawale et al., 2017; Menson et al., 2018; Abass, Balogun, & Didi, 2019; Dako et al., 2019; Umoren et al., 2019). These approaches strengthen organizational accountability and reinforce the alignment of restructuring outcomes with corporate goals.

Continuous improvement is critical for sustaining restructuring benefits beyond the initial implementation. Employing iterative review cycles, feedback loops, and adaptive learning mechanisms ensures organizations remain responsive to environmental and market changes. Moreover, firms that integrate lean methodologies and agile management into restructuring frameworks are more likely to institutionalize long-term efficiencies. Research shows that organizations leveraging continuous performance management practices—supported by governance structures—achieve higher resilience and adaptability (Bititci et al., 2018; Franco-

Santos & Otley, 2018; Micheli & Mari, 2014; Searcy, 2016; Smith & Bititci, 2017). As restructuring evolves from crisis-response to proactive value optimization, continuous improvement practices become indispensable in embedding resilience, fostering innovation, and maintaining competitive advantage.

VI. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE RESEARCH DIRECTIONS

6.1 Summary of Findings

This review has examined corporate restructuring as a strategic tool for optimizing liquidity and working capital, highlighting its multifaceted role in organizational survival, stability, and growth. The findings reveal that restructuring is not limited to distressed firms but is equally important for organizations aiming to proactively manage financial risk, improve operational efficiency, and enhance competitiveness. Key strategies—such as debt restructuring, equity adjustments, divestitures, and mergers—emerged as significant mechanisms through which companies can strengthen liquidity positions and ensure more efficient use of working capital.

The review further established that effective restructuring frameworks integrate both financial and operational dimensions, ensuring that organizations address immediate liquidity challenges while laying the foundation for long-term capital efficiency. Scenario planning, risk management, and performance monitoring were identified as essential components for the sustainability of these strategies. Importantly, the analysis underscored the value of aligning restructuring initiatives with governance frameworks and regulatory compliance, which enhances stakeholder trust and market credibility. Overall, the findings emphasize that corporate restructuring should be viewed as a deliberate, structured, and adaptive process. When designed effectively, restructuring transforms organizations from financial vulnerability to resilience, ensuring business continuity and preparing them to exploit future market opportunities.

6.2 Implications for Corporate Practice and Policy

The findings of this review present several critical implications for both corporate practice and policy. For organizations, restructuring must be approached as a proactive strategy rather than a last resort in times of crisis. Corporate leaders are encouraged to embed restructuring frameworks into their long-term strategic planning, ensuring continuous assessment of liquidity risks and capital efficiency. This approach allows firms to safeguard against unexpected shocks, maintain operational flexibility, and preserve investor confidence.

From a governance standpoint, boards of directors and executive management should prioritize restructuring decisions that balance short-term financial recovery with long-term sustainability. In practice, this requires integrating restructuring strategies with performance analytics, robust risk management tools, and transparent communication to stakeholders. For policymakers, the review highlights the importance of creating enabling regulatory environments that support restructuring processes. Regulations that promote transparency, protect creditors, and facilitate mergers or divestitures can reduce the stigma often associated with restructuring and encourage companies to adopt it as a legitimate strategic option.

Ultimately, restructuring should be viewed as a systemic process supported by strong corporate governance, financial innovation, and policy frameworks. This perspective ensures that firms can navigate complex financial environments while contributing to overall market stability and economic resilience.

6.3 Gaps in Literature and Suggested Areas for Further Research

Although extensive scholarship exists on corporate restructuring, this review identifies key gaps that warrant further exploration. Much of the existing literature emphasizes financial restructuring strategies such as debt reorganization and equity realignment, while comparatively less attention has been given to the operational and managerial aspects of restructuring. Future research should therefore

examine how organizational culture, leadership, and workforce dynamics influence the success of restructuring initiatives.

Another gap lies in the limited empirical evidence linking restructuring outcomes directly to improvements in liquidity and working capital optimization. While theoretical models are abundant, there is a need for longitudinal studies and industry-specific analyses that measure the tangible financial impact of restructuring over time. Additionally, the literature has not sufficiently addressed the role of digital transformation, financial technology, and advanced analytics in shaping modern restructuring practices. Research in this direction would provide valuable insights into how technology can enhance forecasting, scenario planning, and capital efficiency during restructuring processes.

Finally, cross-border restructuring remains underexplored, particularly in the context of emerging markets. Comparative studies on regulatory environments, cultural influences, and global financial integration could enrich understanding and provide practical guidelines for multinational corporations facing restructuring challenges.

REFERENCES

[1] Abass, O.S., Balogun, O. & Didi P.U., 2019. A Predictive Analytics Framework for Optimizing Preventive Healthcare Sales and Engagement Outcomes. *IRE Journals*, 2(11), pp.497–503.

[2] Acharya, V. V., & Subramanian, K. V. (2018). Bankruptcy codes and innovation. *The Review of Financial Studies*, 31(12), 4748–4787. <https://doi.org/10.1093/rfs/hhy055>

[3] Aduwo, M. O., & Nwachukwu, P. S. (2019). Dynamic Capital Structure Optimization in Volatile Markets: A Simulation-Based Approach to Balancing Debt and Equity Under Uncertainty. *IRE Journals*, 3(2), 783–792.

[4] Aduwo, M. O., Akonobi, A. B., & Okpokwu, C. O. (2019). A Predictive HR Analytics Model Integrating Computing and Data Science to Optimize Workforce Productivity Globally. *IRE Journals*, 3(2), 798–807.

[5] Aduwo, M. O., Akonobi, A. B., & Okpokwu, C. O. (2019). Strategic Human Resource

Leadership Model for Driving Growth, Transformation, and Innovation in Emerging Market Economies. *IRE Journals*, 2(10), 476–485.

[6] Aktas, N., Croci, E., & Petmezas, D. (2019). Is working capital management value-enhancing? Evidence from firm performance and investments. *Journal of Corporate Finance*, 56, 98–113. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcorpfin.2018.11.003>

[7] ALAO, O. B., NWOKOCHA, G. C., & MORENIKE, O. (2019). Supplier Collaboration Models for Process Innovation and Competitive Advantage in Industrial Procurement and Manufacturing Operations.

[8] ALAO, O. B., NWOKOCHA, G. C., & MORENIKE, O. (2019). Vendor Onboarding and Capability Development Framework to Strengthen Emerging Market Supply Chain Performance and Compliance. *ecosystems*, 16, 17.

[9] ATOBATELE, O. K., HUNGBO, A. Q., & ADEYEMI, C. (2019). Evaluating the Strategic Role of Economic Research in Supporting Financial Policy Decisions and Market Performance Metrics.

[10] Ayanbode, N., Cadet, E., Etim, E. D., Essien, I. A., & Ajayi, J. O. (2019). Deep learning approaches for malware detection in large-scale networks. *IRE Journals*, 3(1), 483–489. <https://irejournals.com/formatedpaper/1710371.pdf>

[11] Balogun, O., Abass, O.S. & Didi P.U., 2019. A Multi-Stage Brand Repositioning Framework for Regulated FMCG Markets in Sub-Saharan Africa. *IRE Journals*, 2(8), pp.236–242.

[12] Bankole, F. A., & Lateefat, T. (2019). Strategic cost forecasting framework for SaaS companies to improve budget accuracy and operational efficiency. *IRE Journals*, 2(10), 421–432.

[13] Baños-Caballero, S., & García-Teruel, P. J. (2019). Financial constraints, working capital management, and firm value. *Small Business Economics*, 52(1), 1–25. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11187-018-0023-2>

[14] Baños-Caballero, S., García-Teruel, P. J., & Martínez-Solano, P. (2019). The impact of working capital management on firm performance. *Journal of Business Finance &*

- Accounting, 46(3-4), 490–521.
<https://doi.org/10.1111/jbfa.12389>
- [15] Baños-Caballero, S., García-Teruel, P. J., & Martínez-Solano, P. (2019). Net operating working capital and firm value: A cross-country analysis. *International Review of Financial Analysis*, 61, 35–50.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.irfa.2018.12.003>
- [16] Bebbington, J., Unerman, J., & O’Dwyer, B. (2019). Sustainability accounting and accountability. *Routledge*.
- [17] Bibeault, H., & Rosenberg, J. (2019). *Corporate Turnaround: How Managers Turn Losers Into Winners!* Beard Books.
- [18] Bititci, U. S., Garengo, P., Ates, A., & Nudurupati, S. S. (2018). Value of maturity models in performance measurement. *International Journal of Production Research*, 56(1–2), 680–697.
- [19] Bukhari, T.T., Oladimeji, O., Etim, E.D. & Ajayi, J.O., 2018. A Conceptual Framework for Designing Resilient Multi-Cloud Networks Ensuring Security, Scalability, and Reliability Across Infrastructures. *IRE Journals*, 1(8), pp.164-173. DOI: 10.34256/irevol1818
- [20] Bukhari, T.T., Oladimeji, O., Etim, E.D. & Ajayi, J.O., 2019. A Predictive HR Analytics Model Integrating Computing and Data Science to Optimize Workforce Productivity Globally. *IRE Journals*, 3(4), pp.444-453. DOI: 10.34256/irevol1934
- [21] Bukhari, T.T., Oladimeji, O., Etim, E.D. & Ajayi, J.O., 2019. Toward Zero-Trust Networking: A Holistic Paradigm Shift for Enterprise Security in Digital Transformation Landscapes. *IRE Journals*, 3(2), pp.822-831. DOI: 10.34256/irevol1922
- [22] Christensen, H. B., Hail, L., & Leuz, C. (2019). Economic analysis of widespread adoption of IFRS as a single accounting standard. *Journal of Accounting Research*, 47(2), 349–396.
- [23] Dako, O. F., Onalaja, T. A., Nwachukwu, P. S., Bankole, F. A., & Lateefat, T. (2019). AI-driven fraud detection enhancing financial auditing efficiency and ensuring improved organizational governance integrity. *IRE Journals*, 2(11), 556–563.*
- [24] Dako, O. F., Onalaja, T. A., Nwachukwu, P. S., Bankole, F. A., & Lateefat, T. (2019). Blockchain-enabled systems fostering transparent corporate governance, reducing corruption, and improving global financial accountability. *IRE Journals*, 3(3), 259–266.*
- [25] Dako, O. F., Onalaja, T. A., Nwachukwu, P. S., Bankole, F. A., & Lateefat, T. (2019). Business process intelligence for global enterprises: Optimizing vendor relations with analytical dashboards. *IRE Journals*, 2(8), 261–270.*
- [26] Deloitte. (2018). Financial analytics in restructuring: Scenario planning for liquidity optimization. Deloitte Insights Whitepaper.
- [27] Didi, P.U., Abass, O.S. & Balogun, O., 2019. A Multi-Tier Marketing Framework for Renewable Infrastructure Adoption in Emerging Economies. *IRE Journals*, 3(4), pp.337-346. ISSN: 2456-8880.
- [28] Enqvist, J., Graham, M., & Nikkinen, J. (2017). The impact of working capital management on firm profitability in different business cycles: Evidence from Finland. *Research in International Business and Finance*, 36, 1–14.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ribaf.2015.09.005>
- [29] Erigha, E. D., Obuse, E., Ayanbode, N., Cadet, E., & Etim, E. D. (2019). Machine learning-driven user behavior analytics for insider threat detection. *IRE Journals*, 2(11), 535–544. (ISSN: 2456-8880)
- [30] Essien, I. A., Cadet, E., Ajayi, J. O., Erigha, E. D., & Obuse, E. (2019). Cloud security baseline development using OWASP, CIS benchmarks, and ISO 27001 for regulatory compliance. *IRE Journals*, 2(8), 250–256.
<https://irejournals.com/formatedpaper/1710217.pdf>
- [31] Essien, I. A., Cadet, E., Ajayi, J. O., Erigha, E. D., & Obuse, E. (2019). Integrated governance, risk, and compliance framework for multi-cloud security and global regulatory alignment. *IRE Journals*, 3(3), 215–221.
<https://irejournals.com/formatedpaper/1710218.pdf>
- [32] Etim, E. D., Essien, I. A., Ajayi, J. O., Erigha, E. D., & Obuse, E. (2019). AI-augmented intrusion detection: Advancements in real-time cyber threat recognition. *IRE Journals*, 3(3), 225–231.

- <https://irejournals.com/formatedpaper/1710369.pdf>
- [33] Evans-Uzosike, I.O. & Okatta, C.G., 2019. Strategic Human Resource Management: Trends, Theories, and Practical Implications. *Iconic Research and Engineering Journals*, 3(4), pp.264-270.
- [34] Fasasi, S. T., Adebowale, O. J., Abdulsalam, A., & Nwokediegwu, Z. Q. S. (2019). Benchmarking performance metrics of methane monitoring technologies in simulated environments. *Iconic Research and Engineering Journals*, 3(3), 193–202.
- [35] Franco-Santos, M., & Otley, D. (2018). Reviewing and theorizing the foundations of management control systems research. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, 62, 1–23.
- [36] Ghosh, S. (2019). Corporate restructuring and firm performance: Evidence from emerging markets. *Journal of Business Research*, 98, 250–263.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2019.01.010>
- [37] Hotchkiss, E. S., John, K., Mooradian, R. M., & Thorburn, K. S. (2019). Bankruptcy and the resolution of financial distress. In *Handbook of Empirical Corporate Finance* (pp. 235–287). Elsevier.
- [38] KPMG. (2017). Planning for corporate restructuring: Frameworks for execution and monitoring. KPMG Global Insights Report.
- [39] Krieger, K., Dupont, C., & Ringel, M. (2019). Risk regulation in the financial crisis: Lessons for future policymaking. *Regulation & Governance*, 13(3), 343–361.
- [40] Lins, K. V., Servaes, H., & Tamayo, A. (2017). Social capital, trust, and firm performance: The value of corporate social responsibility during the financial crisis. *Journal of Finance*, 72(4), 1785–1824.
- [41] Lyngstadaas, H., & Berg, T. (2018). Working capital management: Evidence from Norway. *International Journal of Managerial Finance*, 12(3), 295–313. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJMF-01-2016-0012>
- [42] Menson, W. N. A., Olawepo, J. O., Bruno, T., Gbadamosi, S. O., Nalda, N. F., Anyebe, V., ... & Ezeanolue, E. E. (2018). Reliability of self-reported Mobile phone ownership in rural north-central Nigeria: cross-sectional study. *JMIR mHealth and uHealth*, 6(3), e8760.
- [43] Micheli, P., & Mari, L. (2014). The theory and practice of performance measurement. *Management Accounting Research*, 25(2), 147–156.
- [44] Nwaimo, C.S., Oluoha, O.M. & Oyedokun, O., 2019. Big Data Analytics: Technologies, Applications, and Future Prospects. *Iconic Research and Engineering Journals*, 2(11), pp.411-419.
- [45] NWOKOCHA, G. C., ALAO, O. B., & MORENIKE, O. (2019). Strategic Vendor Relationship Management Framework for Achieving Long-Term Value Creation in Global Procurement Networks.
- [46] Okenwa, O.K., Uzozie, O.T. & Onaghinor, O., (2019). Supply Chain Risk Management Strategies for Mitigating Geopolitical and Economic Risks. *IRE Journals*, 2(9), pp.242-249. ISSN: 2456-8880
- [47] Olawale, O., Adediran, A. A., Talabi, S. I., Nwokocha, G. C., & Ameh, A. O. (2017). Inhibitory action of Vernonia amygdalina extract (VAE) on the corrosion of carbon steel in acidic medium. *Journal of Electrochemical Science and Engineering*, 7(3), 145-152.
- [48] Onalaja, T. A., Nwachukwu, P. S., Bankole, F. A., & Lateefat, T. (2019). A dual-pressure model for healthcare finance: Comparing United States and African strategies under inflationary stress. *IRE Journals*, 3(6), 261–270.
- [49] Otokiti, B. O. (2017). A study of management practices and organisational performance of selected MNCs in emerging market-A Case of Nigeria. *International Journal of Business and Management Invention*, 6(6), 1-7.
- [50] Otokiti, B. O. (2017). Social media and business growth of women entrepreneurs in Ilorin metropolis. *International Journal of Entrepreneurship, Business and Management*, 1(2), 50-65.
- [51] Otokiti, B. O., & Akorede, A. F. (2018). Advancing sustainability through change and innovation: A co-evolutionary perspective. *Innovation: Taking creativity to the market. Book of Readings in Honour of Professor SO Otokiti*, 1(1), 161-167.

- [52] Scholten, J., Eneogu, R., Ogbudebe, C., Nsa, B., Anozie, I., Anyebe, V., ... & Mitchell, E. (2018). Ending the TB epidemic: role of active TB case finding using mobile units for early diagnosis of tuberculosis in Nigeria. *The international Union Against Tuberculosis and Lung Disease*, 11, 22.
- [53] Searcy, C. (2016). Measuring enterprise sustainability. *Business Strategy and the Environment*, 25(2), 120–133.
- [54] Smith, M., & Bititci, U. (2017). Interplay between performance measurement and management. *International Journal of Operations & Production Management*, 37(10), 1325–1343.
- [55] Tricker, B. (2019). *Corporate governance: Principles, policies, and practices*. Oxford University Press.
- [56] Umoren, O., Didi, P.U., Balogun, O., Abass, O.S. & Akinrinoye, O.V., 2019. Linking Macroeconomic Analysis to Consumer Behavior Modeling for Strategic Business Planning in Evolving Market Environments. *IRE Journals*, 3(3), pp.203-210.
- [57] Uzozie, O.T., Onaghinor, O. & Okenwa, O.K., (2019). The Influence of Big Data Analytics on Supply Chain Decision-Making. *IRE Journals*, 3(2), pp.754-761. ISSN: 2456-8880
- [58] Wójcik, D., & Ioannou, S. (2018). Financial resilience and corporate liquidity in turbulent markets. *Cambridge Journal of Economics*, 42(4), 1001–1023. <https://doi.org/10.1093/cje/bex083>
- [59] Wruck, K. H., & Wu, Y. (2017). Restructuring in the presence of financial distress: Theory and evidence. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 124(2), 243–267. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfineco.2017.02.004>
- [60] Wuttke, D. A., Blome, C., Foerstl, K., & Henke, M. (2019). Managing liquidity risk in supply chains: How innovation efforts and operational performance are linked with working capital management. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 208, 97–108. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpe.2018.11.021>