© OCT 2025 | IRE Journals | Volume 9 Issue 4 | ISSN: 2456-8880
DOI: https://doi.org/10.64388/IREV9I4-1711171-7936

Biophillic Design and it's impact on students learning and performance: A Comprehensive Review

MANGSHIN GODFREY MOHORSHIN[1], DAGUNG HASSAN KUMSHWAN[2], MIRI TIMNAN NYANTAU[3], NIMZING NANYIL QUEEN[4]
1, 2, 3, 4Building Technology Department Plateau State Polytechnic Barkin Ladi

Abstract -This paper synthesizes theory and empirical evidence on how biophilic design — the deliberate incorporation of natural elements (daylight, vegetation, natural materials, views to green space, ventilation, and restorative spatial layouts) into built learning environments — can enhance academic performance. Drawing on the Biophilia Hypothesis, Attention Restoration Theory (ART), and Stress Recovery Theory (SRT), the review shows consistent links between nature-integrated classrooms and improved attention, working memory, reduced stress, higher attendance, and better standardized test outcomes across multiple studies. The paper also outlines a robust mixed-methods research design for measuring these effects in resource-constrained settings, provides low-cost implementation strategies for schools, and offers policy recommendations to mainstream biophilic approaches in educational infrastructure planning. Key empirical findings are summarized and discussed with respect to mechanisms (attention restoration, stress reduction and improved indoor environmental quality) and implementation challenges (cost, maintenance, cultural context).

Keywords: Education, performance, Biophillic design

I. INTRODUCTION TO BIOPHILIC DESIGN AND EDUCATION

1.1 Definition and Principles of Biophilic Design

Biophilic design represents an architectural and environmental methodology rooted in the fundamental human connection to nature and its elements, building upon the biophilia hypothesis first articulated by E.O. Wilson in 1984. This hypothesis asserts that humans have an inherent desire to connect with living systems, reflecting evolutionary adaptations that render relationships with nature vital for psychological health and survival. In terms of architectural principles, biophilic design prioritizes the integration of natural components such as greenery, natural illumination, water features, organic materials, and views of nature within constructed environments to enhance the health and well-being of occupants (Paul Downton et al., 2017).

In educational settings, these principles gain increased significance due to the considerable time children spend indoors during crucial developmental phases. The incorporation of biophilic elements in schools seeks to establish learning environments where natural light, plant life, and ecological continuity are prominent. These design decisions are not solely aesthetic; they are intentionally designed to resonate with children's natural inclinations towards outdoor environments, thereby promoting learning experiences that enhance focus, alleviate stress, and encourage creativity. For example, the thoughtful application of light, greenery, and natural vistas in school architecture has been suggested to enhance student concentration and academic performance by creating a calming environment and improving physical health.

(Akshay Raj Eyyam Kunnath & Jyothi Gupta, 2024). Moreover, biophilic sustainable design solutions foster a connection between indoor and outdoor environments, utilizing materials and spatial arrangements that are attuned to local climates and topographies. This comprehensive integration provides tangible advantages by improving the health-promoting attributes of educational settings (Ashrakat Sayed & Gehan Nagy, 2020).

1.2 Historical Context and Evolution of Biophilic Design in Education

The notion of biophilic design originated from an interdisciplinary amalgamation of evolutionary biology, psychology, and architecture, rooted in Wilson's groundbreaking biophilia hypothesis. This conceptual framework was put into practice through design patterns introduced by Browning et al. in 2014, who delineated fourteen unique biophilic patterns that offer practical strategies for connecting biological sciences with environmental design practices. These patterns serve as instruments for enhancing the built environment and improving the health and well-being of individuals and communities (Paul Downton et al., 2017).

Initially theoretical, the implementation of biophilic design principles has gradually evolved into practical applications, especially within educational contexts in recent decades. Educational institutions have increasingly acknowledged the importance of developing nature-integrated campuses and learning environments that emphasize occupant-centered comfort and ecological sustainability. An analysis of university campuses in Turkey, categorized by layout typologies such as diffusive, molecular, and gridiron, uncovered shortcomings in the application of biophilic features, underscoring the necessity for comprehensive strategies to intentionally incorporate biophilic elements into university planning (Hseyin zdemir, 2024). On a larger scale, global trends in educational design indicate a movement away from culture-specific architectural styles towards innovative, adaptable, inclusive, and sustainable learning environments. These environments integrate biophilic Elements serve as fundamental components of contemporary educational infrastructures, addressing the changing pedagogical requirements and environmental issues across various geographical and cultural contexts (Liliana Vezhbovska et al., 2024).

1.3 Educational Importance of Biophilic Environments

Biophilic environments have a significant impact on student well-being and engagement, which are crucial for academic achievement. Educational facilities that utilize natural materials, allow for daylight, and incorporate greenery create atmospheres that resonate with students' inherent preferences, thereby enhancing behavior and emotional health. Research examining the views of teachers and parents indicates that biophilic characteristics correlate with improved well-being and learning outcomes for children. Parents frequently indicate a preference for educational settings that integrate natural elements, acknowledging their ability to promote better performance and alleviate stress (Bethania Lanzaro & Marcella Ucci, 2024). Furthermore, university library environments that feature biophilic designs have shown enhancements in student cognitive engagement and decreases in physiological stress indicators, underscoring the significance of such environments in higher education (Siti Rasidah Md Sakip et al., 2024). The design strategy employed at Kuttikattoor school in Kerala, India, illustrates how the integration of ecological principles and the interaction between constructed and natural environments yield measurable benefits in student focus, creativity, and academic performance (Akshay Raj Eyyam Kunnath & Jyothi Gupta, 2024). Together, these connections emphasize the importance of biophilic environments in fostering sustainable, supportive, and health-enhancing educational settings.

II. COGNITIVE BENEFITS OF BIOPHILIC DESIGN ON LEARNING PERFORMANCE

2.1 Attention Restoration and Mental Fatigue Reduction

Attention Restoration Theory (ART) offers a comprehensive psychological framework for understanding the cognitive advantages associated with biophilic educational settings. According to ART, directed attention, which is a limited cognitive resource essential for engaging with challenging academic tasks, experiences fatigue when utilized for extended periods. Interaction with natural environments that promote 'soft fascination'—a state of effortless engagement with nature—supports the recovery of this directed attention capacity. Consequently, biophilic settings mitigate mental fatigue and restore attentional resources, allowing students to maintain the focus and concentration necessary for effective learning (Giuseppe Barbiero et al., 2021).

Longitudinal empirical studies substantiate this mechanism. For instance, studies comparing traditional classrooms with nature-infused innovative learning environments (ILEs) that utilize validated metrics such as the Perceived Restorativeness Scale and Continuous Performance Tests reveal sustained enhancements in attentional performance over several academic years. These enhancements are evident as improved selective and sustained attention, leading to decreased error rates and heightened cognitive control (Giuseppe Barbiero et al., 2021). Additionally, supporting meta-analytic evidence indicates that exposure to natural settings significantly bolsters self-regulation, a crucial cognitive function that underpins academic success, across various childhood demographics (Joyce Weeland et al., 2019). These results suggest that the advantages of biophilic design are not merely temporary but contribute to significant developmental academic improvements.

2.2 Enhancement of Memory, Executive Function, and Creativity

Biophilic interventions significantly influence executive functions, which encompass working memory and task switching, both of which are essential for engaging in complex academic tasks such as problem-solving and creative thinking. Experimental studies utilizing audio-visual methods in immersive virtual office settings that incorporate natural elements demonstrate that biophilic design has a beneficial effect on cognitive areas beyond mere attention, including working memory and inhibitory control. These multi-sensory approaches improve neurocognitive performance, indicating that natural auditory and visual stimuli work together to enhance executive function (Arianna Latini et al., 2024).

Evidence gathered from educational institutions that have adopted biophilic architectural designs shows enhancements not only in academic performance indicators but also in creativity and inspiration, thereby underscoring the significance of natural environments as facilitators of higher-order cognitive processes (Akshay Raj Eyyam Kunnath & Jyothi Gupta, 2024). Comprehensive reviews validate the impact of exposure to nature in aiding memory retention and promoting flexible thinking, while also encouraging engagement with learning activities among children and adolescents (Dianne VellaBrodrick & Krystyna Gilowska, 2022). These cognitive improvements are in line with educational objectives that necessitate students to apply, analyze, and generate knowledge, thus promoting comprehensive intellectual growth.

2.3 Stress Reduction and Emotional Well-being

Physiological and emotional advantages accompany cognitive enhancements in biophilic environments, significantly influencing learning readiness. Research indicates that interaction with natural elements in educational settings leads to observable decreases in stress markers, including blood pressure and heart rate (Siti Rasidah Md Sakip et al., 2024). These calming physiological effects aid in better memory consolidation and lessen anxiety-related cognitive disruptions, creating a more favorable mental condition for learning.

Psychological studies confirm that biophilic design improves mood and emotional health, alleviating symptoms of anxiety and depression often linked to academic stress (Humaira Shaikh & Clara Sava-Segal, 2024). The beneficial emotional states promoted by natural surroundings enhance resilience and diminish negative emotions, thereby supporting ongoing motivation and cognitive adaptability (S Asha & Vineeth Radhakrishnan, 2025). Together, these insights underscore the connection between physiological and psychological mechanisms through which biophilic elements foster healthy learning environments.

III. PSYCHOLOGICAL AND SOCIAL MECHANISMS UNDERLYING BIOPHILIC BENEFITS

3.1 Perceived Restorativeness and Nature Connectedness

The concept of perceived restorativeness, which refers to how individuals personally perceive an environment's ability to rejuvenate mental energy, is pivotal in understanding the advantages of biophilic design. Studies suggest that a person's connection to nature influences their capacity to feel restored in natural environments, with the quality of these surroundings playing a significant role in this experience. Environments characterized by high biophilic quality—assessed through both aesthetic and functional attributes—provide enhanced restorative benefits, especially for those who possess an inherent appreciation for nature (Rita Berto et al., 2018).

The emotional reactions triggered by natural settings are intricate, encompassing both biophilia (a positive attraction) and biophobia (an instinctive caution towards potentially hazardous natural elements). Research focusing on children's emotional responses indicates that joy and fear are the predominant reactions to natural imagery, highlighting an adaptive equilibrium crucial for navigating environmental interactions. These insights emphasize the necessity of integrating safe and welcoming biophilic elements that enhance positive emotional involvement while reducing biophobic responses in educational environments (Pablo OlivosJara et al., 2020). Longitudinal research indicates that these interactions foster a deeper connection with nature over time, thereby reinforcing the restorative and motivational attributes that support learning (Giuseppe Barbiero et al., 2021).

3.2 Development of Environmental Stewardship and Pro-social Behavior

Nature-based educational settings encourage the cultivation of pro-social behaviors and environmental stewardship, which offer cognitive, emotional, and social advantages. Experimental studies reveal a causal relationship between exposure to natural settings and enhanced cooperative and sustainable actions in social dilemmas, suggesting that biophilic design affects social cognition and attitudes (John M. Zelenski et al., 2015).

School garden initiatives exemplify this fusion of social and environmental education by involving students in active care, harvesting, and community engagement. Qualitative research conducted in urban elementary contexts illustrates how such initiatives strengthen children's connection to nature, nurture a sense of responsibility, and forge community ties that promote sustainable practices (Scott Hughes & Anna C. Peterson, 2013). This social aspect is vital for creating positive learning environments and collaborative abilities, which indirectly support academic achievement.

The perceptions of parents and teachers regarding biophilic school environments further highlight the significance of stakeholder attitudes in enabling these social processes. When educational communities collectively value biophilic elements, the environments' capacity to support student well-being and engagement is enhanced, leading to greater adoption and lasting effects (Bethania Lanzaro & Marcella Ucci, 2024).

3.3 Impact on Teacher and Parent Views Influencing Student Outcomes

The views that educators and parents hold regarding school environments significantly influence both the application of biophilic designs and their overall effectiveness on student outcomes. Research that creates evaluative frameworks for primary schools indicates that greater exposure to biophilic elements is associated with enhanced adult perceptions of the benefits related to environmental quality. These perceptions play a crucial role in shaping behavioral support for environmental initiatives and strategies for student engagement, thus indirectly affecting learning outcomes (Bethania Lanzaro & Marcella Ucci, 2024).

Qualitative studies reveal that educators appreciate flexible, sensory-rich outdoor learning spaces for their contribution to fostering creativity and alleviating learner deficiencies, while parents express a preference for natural environments that encourage relaxation and motivation in children (Nicole Miller et al., 2022). Engaging these stakeholders is essential for addressing challenges associated with overcrowded curricula and insufficient resources, thereby enhancing the feasibility of biophilic interventions across various school settings (Ashrakat Sayed & Gehan Nagy, 2020). Consequently, the integration of evidence-based design with favorable stakeholder perceptions establishes a solid foundation for effective educational environments.

IV. PHYSIOLOGICAL AND NEUROSCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE OF BIOPHILIC EFFECTS

4.1 Neurocognitive Responses to Biophilic Environments

Recent advancements in neuroarchitecture and architectural neuroscience shed light on the brain mechanisms that are affected by biophilic design. Research in neuroaesthetics reveals that exposure to architectural settings enriched with natural forms and features influences brain activity related to attention, emotional regulation, and aesthetic appreciation. This influence fosters experiences that promote well-being and enhance cognitive functioning (Alexander Coburn et al., 2017).

Biometric research utilizing tools such as electroencephalography (EEG), functional near-infrared spectroscopy (fNIRS), galvanic skin response, and heart rate monitoring has measured emotional reactions to biophilic environments. The findings consistently demonstrate that certain design elements—including form, layout, and materiality—elicit positive emotional responses associated with decreased stress and improved mental health (Jeongmin Kim & Nayeon Kim, 2022). This body of evidence supports the neurophysiological validity of the cognitive and emotional advantages provided by biophilic design.

4.2 Physiological Indicators: Heart Rate, Blood Pressure, and Stress Hormones

Measurable decreases in physiological stress indicators, including heart rate and blood pressure, have been observed after individuals are exposed to biophilic school environments. In university libraries that incorporate biophilic design elements, a reduction in cardiovascular arousal was linked to enhanced learning experiences, indicating a direct physiological mechanism through which stress alleviation promotes cognitive performance (Siti Rasidah Md Sakip et al., 2024).

A comprehensive review of research on indoor environmental quality supports the notion that enhanced air quality, thermal comfort, and lighting lead to reduced physiological stress, which in turn positively affects academic performance by improving concentration and decreasing absenteeism (Zhipeng Deng et al., 2024). Additionally, studies on school climate highlight the interconnectedness of psychological and physical health, underscoring the significance of holistic design strategies that integrate both environmental and organizational elements (S. Magzamen et al., 2015)

4.3 Air Quality and Microclimate Regulation in Biophilic Schools

Physical environmental quality is improved through biophilic strategies that manage air quality and microclimate conditions. The incorporation of indoor plants within classrooms has been empirically demonstrated to decrease CO_2 levels by roughly 11%, resulting in enhanced air purity, which has a beneficial impact on the respiratory health and cognitive abilities of occupants (Anita Kavathekar & Shaila Bantanur, 2022).

At a broader level, campus-wide elements such as green corridors, shaded pathways, and vegetation play a significant role in thermal regulation and microclimate stabilization, offering comfort that fosters student engagement and academic success, especially in tropical regions like Southeast Nigeria (C. O. Umeora et al., 2025). These environmental enhancements alleviate physiological stress and mental fatigue, enabling students to focus more effectively and maintain higher attendance rates (S. Magzamen et al., 2015).

V. DESIGN ELEMENTS AND PRACTICAL STRATEGIES FOR BIOPHILIC INTEGRATION

5.1 Incorporating Natural Light and Views

Natural light serves as a fundamental element in biophilic architectural design, enhancing both visual and auditory environmental quality. Effective daylighting strategies encompass well-oriented fenestration, reflective surface materials, and suitably scaled ceiling heights, which together shape spatial character and influence occupant experience. Rather than being mere distractions, natural light and views of greenery promote focus and alleviate cognitive overload in educational environments (Daniel Butko, 2011).

Studies indicate that daylighting also affects the acoustical characteristics of spaces, as the interplay of reflected and diffused light influences perceptual experiences and can indirectly regulate the ambient sound environment, which is crucial for student concentration and comfort (Amneh Hamida et al., 2022). The incorporation of these elements in the design of classrooms and campuses fosters improvements in aesthetic, functional, and environmental quality.

5.2 Vegetation: Indoor Plants, School Gardens, and Green Playgrounds

Vegetation contributes to improved air quality, visual comfort, and psychological rejuvenation. Indoor plants not only enhance CO_2 levels but also provide calming visual stimuli that alleviate stress and boost concentration (Anita Kavathekar & Shaila Bantanur, 2022). School gardens function as both outdoor classrooms and venues for environmental stewardship, fostering students' connection to nature while offering kinesthetic and sensory experiences (Scott Hughes & Anna C. Peterson, 2013).

Green playgrounds further these initiatives by providing naturalistic play environments that promote sensory motor development and encourage active interaction with natural surroundings in early childhood education (Anca Nel et al., 2017). These strategies are cost-effective, often scalable, and align spatial design with educational objectives.

5.3 Spatial Planning and Campus-Level Approaches

Successful biophilic design transcends individual classrooms to include comprehensive school-wide spatial planning. Circulation patterns that facilitate seamless connections between constructed and natural environments promote exploration and prolonged contact with nature, thereby enriching the educational experience (Akshay Raj Eyyam Kunnath & Jyothi Gupta, 2024).

University campus designs that incorporate green corridors, shaded pathways, and open-air study areas improve microclimate regulation and student involvement, while also advancing sustainability objectives. Adjustments for regional climates, such as those found in tropical areas, necessitate context-sensitive strategies that utilize local materials and vegetation to ensure both functional efficacy and social acceptance (C. O. Umeora et al., 2025),(Hseyin zdemir, 2024)

VI. CASE STUDIES OF LOW-COST BIOPHILIC IMPLEMENTATION IN SCHOOLS

6.1 Classroom Interventions with Indoor Plants and Daylight Optimizations

Research conducted in Indian higher education classrooms indicates that the introduction of indoor plants leads to a notable reduction in CO_2 levels, thereby enhancing air quality and cognitive performance, all achieved with relatively low financial investment and maintenance requirements (Anita Kavathekar & Shaila Bantanur, 2022). In a similar vein, Egyptian primary schools have adopted retrofitting techniques that prioritize natural daylight, greenery, and varied material textures to foster more inviting and stimulating classroom environments, demonstrating practicality even within limited resources (Ashrakat Sayed & Gehan Nagy, 2020). The design of Kuttikattoor school further illustrates how the architectural incorporation of natural elements, customized to the local climate and landscape, yields tangible improvements in student focus and creativity without incurring excessive costs (Akshay Raj Eyyam Kunnath & Jyothi Gupta, 2024).

6.2 Outdoor and Sensory Rich Learning Environments

Preschools in South Africa that utilize outdoor settings for sensory and motor development have highlighted the educational benefits of promoting perceptual growth through minimal infrastructural modifications, utilizing natural materials and pre-existing spaces (Anca Nel et al., 2017). An urban elementary school garden initiative in Ontario demonstrates how engaging children in gardening activities fosters environmental responsibility, supported by community and parental involvement, thus creating sustainable educational opportunities with minimal financial investment (Scott Hughes & Anna C. Peterson, 2013). These strategies emphasize the effectiveness of nature-based play and learning across various contexts (Bethania Lanzaro & Marcella Ucci, 2024).

6.3 Campus Level Biophilic Designs in Resource-Constrained Environments

Studies conducted in the context of universities in Southeast Nigeria highlight various challenges, including insufficient investment in green spaces and a focus on infrastructure. However, they also showcase the successful phased implementation of green corridors and shaded walkways, which enhance thermal comfort, student retention, and cognitive function. In spite of financial constraints and policy shortcomings, strategic landscaping that adheres to the principles of environmental psychology has led to improvements in social interaction and academic performance (C. O. Umeora et al., 2025). The integration of biophilic planning with leadership from stakeholders and community involvement has been crucial in addressing barriers to implementation while promoting campus sustainability (Hseyin zdemir, 2024),(Nicole Miller et al., 2022).

VII. METHODOLOGIES AND METRICS FOR EVALUATING BIOPHILIC DESIGN IMPACT

7.1 Experimental and Quasi-Experimental Research Designs

In order to identify the causal effects of biophilic design, research utilizes randomized controlled trials, quasi-experimental designs, and naturalistic observational methods within educational settings. Tools such as the Continuous Performance Test facilitate standardized assessments of attention restoration and changes in cognitive performance following interventions (Giuseppe Barbiero et al., 2021). Longitudinal studies that monitor students' cognitive and academic progress confirm the lasting effectiveness of biophilic environments (Joyce Weeland et al., 2019), (Dianne VellaBrodrick & Krystyna Gilowska, 2022). Pre-post comparisons, frequently used in contexts with limited resources, offer practical yet thorough approaches to evaluate the impact of interventions (Akshay Raj Eyyam Kunnath & Jyothi Gupta, 2024).

7.2 Qualitative Approaches: Perception Surveys and Ethnographic Studies

Complementary qualitative techniques capture the intricate experiences of students, educators, and parents regarding biophilic educational environments. Surveys and interviews uncover perceptions related to well-being, behavior, and environmental quality, providing essential contextual insights into the acceptance of interventions and the obstacles faced (Bethania Lanzaro & Marcella Ucci, 2024), (Nicole Miller et al., 2022). Reflective journaling within biology education contexts offers profound insights into the affective domains that bolster student persistence and motivation (Kira Treibergs et al., 2022). This qualitative data enhances quantitative findings and guides improvements in user-centered design.

7.3 Biometrics and Virtual Reality as Emerging Evaluation Tools

Cutting-edge biometric technologies such as EEG, heart rate variability, and galvanic skin response are increasingly employed to measure emotional and physiological responses to biophilic stimuli, providing objective evidence of their impact (Jeongmin Kim & Nayeon Kim, 2022). Virtual reality settings replicate multi-sensory exposure to nature, allowing for controlled experimental manipulation and immersive assessments of cognitive and emotional responses; however, challenges like cybersickness and navigational difficulties persist as areas needing methodological enhancement (Maryam Mollazadeh & Yimin Zhu, 2021). These innovative tools hold the potential for deeper understanding but necessitate integration with traditional methodologies to improve ecological validity (Arianna Latini et al., 2024).

VIII. POLICY AND INSTITUTIONAL FRAMEWORKS TO PROMOTE BIOPHILIC DESIGN

8.1 Integration into Educational Infrastructure Standards

A comprehensive policy framework is essential for institutionalizing the principles of biophilic design, which should include specific criteria regarding access to daylight, ventilation, and the integration of vegetation within educational facilities. These standards ought to be aligned with sustainable development objectives and compatible with globally recognized green building certification systems, while also considering regional climatic conditions and socio-economic factors to ensure effectiveness and equity (Ashrakat Sayed & Gehan Nagy, 2020), (Hseyin zdemir, 2024).

8.2 Funding, Leadership, and Capacity Building

Dedicated public funding sources, grant initiatives, and incentives aimed at biophilic greening projects can facilitate equitable access to high-quality educational environments. Empowering school leadership through specialized training to become advocates for biophilic design promotes institutional transformation and ongoing innovation. Building capacity among educators and facility managers guarantees that maintenance and pedagogical integration are sustainable in the long run (Nicole Miller et al., 2022), (Scott Hughes & Anna C. Peterson, 2013), (C. O. Umeora et al., 2025).

8.3 Curriculum and Community Engagement for Sustainability

Embedding nature-based learning into curricula validates outdoor and experiential teaching methods, enabling consistent student interaction with biophilic elements. Policies that encourage collaboration between the education and environmental sectors facilitate this integration. Promoting involvement from parents and the community strengthens stewardship and financial backing, ensuring that green initiatives within schools are inclusive and culturally relevant (Nicole Miller et al., 2022), (Scott Hughes & Anna C. Peterson, 2013).

IX. CHALLENGES, GAPS, AND FUTURE RESEARCH DIRECTIONS

9.1 Limitations in Current Evidence and Methodological Issues

Current research frequently encounters limitations such as small and non-representative samples, short intervention periods, and inconsistent reporting metrics. There is an ongoing need for standardized, validated tools that address cognitive, emotional, physical, and environmental domains, which remains unfulfilled, hindering the ability to draw generalizable conclusions. Studies conducted in simulated or laboratory settings often struggle with ecological validity, highlighting the necessity for ecological and longitudinal approaches to achieve a thorough evaluation (Joyce Weeland et al., 2019), (S. Magzamen et al., 2015), (Maryam Mollazadeh & Yimin Zhu, 2021).

9.2 Socioeconomic and Cultural Barriers to Implementation

Socioeconomic inequalities significantly affect the accessibility and quality of biophilic environments, as financial limitations and prioritization of infrastructure impede widespread implementation. Cultural attitudes play a crucial role in shaping the acceptance and enthusiasm for natural elements within educational settings, necessitating community engagement and design modifications that are sensitive to local contexts. Considerations of equity require targeted policies to ensure that marginalized communities gain from biophilic design initiatives (C. O. Umeora et al., 2025), (Ashrakat Sayed & Gehan Nagy, 2020), (Nicole Miller et al., 2022).

9.3 Innovations and Interdisciplinary Integration

The advent of digital twin and virtual reality technologies presents significant opportunities for enhancing biophilic design training, assessment, and participatory planning processes. Interdisciplinary research that combines insights from neuroscience, environmental psychology, architecture, and education policy provides valuable pathways for comprehending and maximizing the effects of biophilic design. Perspectives from ecological psychology can inform the development of more effective frameworks for nature-based learning policies and practices (Hossein Omrany et al., 2025), (Alexander Coburn et al., 2017), (Ryan Lumber et al., 2017).

X. CONCLUSION: INTEGRATING THE SIGNIFICANCE OF BIOPHILIC DESIGN IN PROMOTING LEARNING

10.1 Overview of Cognitive, Emotional, and Physical Advantages

The existing body of research clearly indicates that biophilic design enhances students' focus, memory retention, creativity, and emotional health, thereby positively affecting academic performance. The interplay of environmental quality, social context, and health elements creates a comprehensive educational environment conducive to effective learning (Giuseppe Barbiero et al., 2021), (Akshay Raj Eyyam Kunnath & Jyothi Gupta, 2024), (Siti Rasidah Md Sakip et al., 2024).

10.2 Practical Considerations for Educational Stakeholders

Inexpensive biophilic strategies such as the incorporation of indoor plants, the establishment of outdoor learning environments, and the development of school gardens have been shown to be both practical and effective in various contexts. The involvement of educators, architects, and policymakers is crucial for the expansion of these initiatives, necessitating collaborative efforts in design, leadership, funding, and community participation (Ashrakat Sayed & Gehan Nagy, 2020), (Anca Nel et al., 2017), (Scott Hughes & Anna C. Peterson, 2013).

10.3 Prospective Insights for Research and Practice

Ongoing investigations should prioritize thorough, context-aware assessments that incorporate new technologies and interdisciplinary methodologies. A wider systemic implementation of biophilic design presents a route to fair and sustainable educational settings worldwide, promoting the cognitive, emotional, and physical growth of upcoming generations (Joyce Weeland et al., 2019), (Hossein Omrany et al., 2025), (Liliana Vezhbovska et al., 2024).

REFERENCES

[1] Downton, P., Jones, D. L., Zeunert, J., & Ros, P. B. (2017). Biophilic design applications: putting theory and patterns into built environment practice. Knowledge E. https://doi.org/10.18502/keg.v2i2.596

[2] Kunnath, A. R. E. & Gupta, J. (2024). A review of biophilic design at kuttikattoor school for the children. EDP Sciences. https://doi.org/10.1051/e3sconf/202454601002

[3] Umeora, C. O., Onwuzuligbo, C., & Ononuju, F. I. (2025). The role of biophilic campus design in enhancing university environments in southeast nigeria. Open Journal of Environmental Research (ISSN: 2734-2085). https://doi.org/10.52417/ojer.v6i1.858

[4] zdemir, H. (2024). Integrating nature into academic spaces: biophilic campus. None. https://doi.org/10.54864/planarch.1491955

[5] Sayed, A. & Nagy, G. (2020). Design strategies for integrating biophilic design to enhance the students performance in existing primary schools in egypt. None. https://doi.org/10.21608/fuje.2020.204935

[6] Lanzaro, B. & Ucci, M. (2024). Teacher and parent perception of biophilic conditions in primary-school environments and their impact on childrens wellbeing. None. https://doi.org/10.3390/architecture4020021

[7] Sakip, S. R. M., Khair, N. A. M., & Ajis, A. M. (2024). The impact of biophilic design on cognitive abilities in university library settings and urban educational environments. None. https://doi.org/10.21837/pm.v22i34.1648

[8] Barbiero, G., Berto, R., Venturella, A., & Maculan, N. (2021). Bracing biophilia: when biophilic design promotes pupils attentional performance, perceived restorativeness and affiliation with nature. Springer

Science+Business Media. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10668-021-01903-1

[9] Berto, R., Barbiero, G., Barbiero, P., & Senes, G. (2018). An individuals connection to nature can affect perceived restorativeness of natural environments. some observations about biophilia. Multidisciplinary Digital Publishing Institute. https://doi.org/10.3390/bs8030034

[10] OlivosJara, P., Fernndez, R. S., Rubio-Prez, C., & Felipe-Garca, B. (2020). Biophilia and biophobia as emotional attribution to nature in children of 5 years old. Frontiers Media. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2020.00511

[11] Wilson, E. O. (1984). Biophilia. Harvard University Press.

[12] Kaplan, R., & Kaplan, S. (1989). The Experience of Nature: A Psychological Perspective. Cambridge University Press.

[13] Ulrich, R. S. (1991). Stress recovery during exposure to natural and urban environments. Journal of Environmental Psychology, 11(3), 201–230.

[14] Heschong Mahone Group. (2002). Daylighting in Schools: An Investigation into the Relationship Between Daylighting and Human Performance.

[15] Berman, M. G., Jonides, J., & Kaplan, S. (2008). The cognitive benefits of interacting with nature. Psychological Science, 19(12), 1207–1212.

[16] Han, K. T., et al. (2022). Effects of indoor plants on human functions: A systematic review. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health.

[17] Matsuoka, R. H. (2010). Student performance and high school landscapes. Landscape and Urban Planning, 97(4), 273–282.