

Digital Literacy and Media Ethics: Building Resilient Audiences in the Social Media Age

OLUWATOSIN S. AJIBADE

Abstract- Amid the accelerating influence of digital technologies driven by algorithmic amplification, synthetic media, and growing distrust in traditional institutions, digital media ethics has emerged as a critical foundation for civic resilience and democratic integrity. This paper examines the shifting terrain of media literacy, highlighting the key frameworks, educational initiatives, and cross-sector collaborations working to equip the public with the skills needed to navigate and critically engage with complex digital environments. It examines the pioneering work of case studies, which collectively promote critical thinking, ethical content creation, and inclusive digital engagement across diverse communities. Through an in-depth analysis of educational and advocacy efforts, including national conferences, youth engagement strategies, and curriculum design, this report offers insight into how grassroots and institutional actors can co-create ethical and informed digital environments. It interrogates the cultural, socio-economic, and technological dimensions of misinformation and outlines policy, platform, and community-level interventions to counter its spread. Special attention is given to the ethical obligations of social media platforms, the role of artificial intelligence in both amplifying and mitigating online harms, and the importance of international collaboration in shaping adaptive, equity-driven responses. Lastly, this report calls for a renewed societal commitment to ethical digital citizenship, anchored in transparency, empathy, and shared responsibility. It emphasizes the importance of cultivating critically literate, ethically grounded audiences as the bedrock of a trustworthy and resilient digital public sphere.

Keywords: *Digital Literacy, Media Ethics, Misinformation, Algorithmic Accountability, Civic Resilience, Content Regulation, AI Moderation, Mediasmarts, Digital Literacy Exchange Program, Public Trust, Inclusive Engagement, Ethical Content Creation, Canadian Digital Policy, Resilient Digital Public, Digital Citizenship.*

I. INTRODUCTION

The rise of social media over the past two decades has profoundly reshaped how individuals access, consume, and engage with information. According to DataReportal (2024), the United States had 331.1 million internet users (97.1% penetration), 239.0 million social media users (70.1% of the population),

and 396.0 million active cellular mobile connections (116.2% of the population). In another report, according to the Backlinko Team (2025), 73% of the U.S. population, about 253 million people, had social network accounts in early 2024, with social media usage varying by gender, as 78% of women favored platforms like Snapchat and Pinterest, while 66% of men engaged more with YouTube and X (Twitter). Pew Research (2024) noted that 54% of U.S. adults reported at least occasionally getting news from social media, reflecting a slight increase compared to previous years. Social media usage in the U.S. varies by age demograph, with YouTube being the most popular across all groups, 93% among 18-29-year-olds, 94% among 30-49-year-olds, 86% among 50-64-year-olds, and 65% among those 65+, while platforms like Instagram, Facebook, Snapchat, Pinterest, and LinkedIn show different levels of engagement across demographics (Mahnoor, 2025). While this digital shift has democratized access to content and empowered user-generated narratives, it has also introduced substantial risks. These include increased exposure to misinformation, the proliferation of cyberbullying, the entrenchment of ideological echo chambers, and the widespread circulation of unethical or harmful content.

Within this changing media environment, digital literacy and media ethics have become essential competencies for responsibly using online spaces. According to Chanda et al. (2024), digital literacy includes a wide range of skills, such as accessing and evaluating information, communicating effectively through digital platforms, and applying critical thinking to solve complex problems in digital environments. Digital literacy, in this context, refers to the ability to access, evaluate, and create digital content with a critical understanding of its sources, intent, and societal impact. Media ethics, meanwhile, concerns the moral principles guiding the production and dissemination of digital content, encompassing issues such as truthfulness, fairness, accountability, and respect for human dignity (Geetali, 2020). Together, these concepts serve as foundational tools

for individuals and institutions striving to build a more informed, ethical, and resilient public.

The purpose of this article is to examine the educational and communicative strategies necessary for ensuring ethical digital engagement and enhancing the public's capacity to critically process information in the age of social media. It seeks to highlight how youth education, public awareness initiatives, and institutional interventions can serve as an important lever in developing media-resilient audiences. This discussion will be grounded in the U.S. context and using current, data-backed insights, the article contributes to a broader understanding of how digital competency and ethical standards must change to meet the challenges of a hyperconnected society.

II. THE EVOLVING DIGITAL MEDIA ENVIRONMENT

There has been a profound transformation in the digital media ecosystem, with content creation and consumption migrating from centralized, institutional media to decentralized, user-driven platforms. As of 2024, nearly 54% of adults in the United States report regularly obtaining news from social media platforms, compared to just 33% who identify traditional television as their primary news source (Statista, 2024; Pew Research Center, 2024). Platforms such as TikTok, Instagram, and YouTube have transformed everyday users into content creators, effectively collapsing the traditional boundaries between journalists, marketers, and audiences (Hendrickx & Vázquez-Herrero, 2024). While this participatory media style has democratized the flow of information, it has also raised pressing concerns about authenticity, accountability, and the ethical standards governing content dissemination. Central to these concerns is the role of algorithmic recommendation systems, which prioritize content based on engagement metrics - likes, shares, watch time, rather than factual accuracy or societal value. Ziang (2025) found that entertainment-oriented content consistently outperforms educational material in driving user engagement, and proposed a Multimodal Hybrid Recommendation Algorithm (MMHRA) that combines content-based filtering, collaborative filtering, and multimodal learning to better tailor user experiences. However, these systems, rooted in the principles of attention economics, tend to favor

emotionally charged, polarizing, or sensationalist content—often at the expense of informational integrity. Research by Yesilada and Lewandowsky (2022) further revealed that platforms like YouTube can inadvertently direct users toward problematic content, raising concerns about algorithmic influence on public discourse. As the pursuit of virality becomes a driving force in digital culture, ethical challenges emerge not only for platform designers but also for users who may unintentionally contribute to the spread of harmful or misleading information.

The distinctions between news, personal opinion, marketing, and manipulation have become increasingly blurred in the digital media space. As Wellman et al. (2020) observe, influencers often frame authenticity as an ethical principle when producing sponsored content, leveraging it to preserve credibility and strengthen audience trust. However, this strategic use of perceived authenticity complicates media literacy, as influencer marketing and branded content frequently mimic genuine personal expression. Simultaneously, disinformation campaigns exploit the visual and structural conventions of legitimate journalism, further obscuring the line between fact and persuasion for digital audiences. A 2022 study by the Stanford History Education Group found that over two-thirds of high school students in the U.S. were unable to distinguish between an advertisement and a news article presented online (Wineburg et al., 2022). This erosion of content categorization complicates the audience's ability to apply critical judgment and highlights the urgent need for digital literacy education.

For youth and digitally native generations, these challenges are particularly acute. Growing up in a media environment shaped by social media and algorithmic content curation, many lack the historical frameworks necessary to assess the credibility and intent of the digital information they consume. While young users are generally proficient in navigating digital interfaces, their critical literacy skills often remain underdeveloped. Fake news awareness has been identified as the most significant factor positively influencing information verification behavior among youth, while the motivation to share information also contributes to their discernment process (Majerczak & Strzelecki, 2022). Despite U.S. teens' strong confidence in identifying misinformation online, a gap remains between

technological fluency and evaluative competence, while trust in media sources continues to shift. The News Literacy Project (2024) found that 18- to 29-year-olds nearly trust social media as much as national news outlets, with local news slightly more trusted. Interestingly, the report also suggests that teens may exhibit higher levels of trust toward television and local news over traditional print newspapers, illustrating a generational divergence in media trust hierarchies shaped by platform familiarity and accessibility. Regardless of these challenges, research presents a more complex perspective. Shahzad et al. (2024) argue that embracing artificial intelligence and social media can have a positive impact on student performance and well-being. These technologies offer opportunities for personalized learning, enhanced collaboration, and real-time access to educational resources. Also, adaptive tools can support mental health by fostering social connectivity and responsive learning environments (Herrera-Peco et al., 2023). This suggests that while digital platforms present risks to information integrity, they also carry significant potential for educational and developmental enrichment, if navigated with the right ethical and literate framework.

III. UNDERSTANDING DIGITAL LITERACY

Core Competencies: Access, Analysis, Evaluation, Creation, and Participation

According to the Aspen Institute, digital literacy is underpinned by five interrelated core competencies, which include *access*, *analysis*, *evaluation*, *creation*, and *participation* (Rani, 2025). Access refers to the ability to efficiently locate and retrieve digital information, forming the foundation for meaningful engagement. Analysis involves critically understanding and interpreting digital content, while evaluation pertains to assessing the credibility, relevance, and intent behind the information encountered. Creation emphasizes the ethical and responsible production of digital content, ensuring that users contribute constructively to the information ecosystem. Finally, participation involves active engagement in digital communities and the broader discourse, fostering a sense of agency and accountability. Together, these competencies equip individuals with the necessary skills to navigate the complexities of the digital environment in a responsible and informed manner (Arkorful et al., 2024).

Distinguishing Digital Literacy from Media Literacy While digital literacy and media literacy are interconnected, they focus on different aspects of information engagement. According to the American Library Association (ALA), a digitally literate person combines technical and cognitive skills to process information across formats, effectively utilizes various technologies, and actively engages in digital spaces to collaborate and contribute positively to society (University of the Potomac, 2022). Digital literacy primarily concerns the technical skills required to use digital tools and platforms effectively, including understanding digital devices, online safety, and digital communication. In contrast, Media literacy is essential for strengthening critical thinking skills and reducing truth distortion in a post-truth society by enabling individuals to analyze, evaluate, and interpret information with greater accuracy and discernment (Udoudom et al., 2023). This ensures an understanding of how media content influences perceptions and society. According to BINUS International (2020), media literacy involves applying critical thinking skills to mass media to become a more aware and responsible citizen, while digital literacy encompasses the ability to safely and effectively access, manage, understand, integrate, communicate, evaluate, and create information through digital technologies for employment, entrepreneurship, and various literacy competencies, including computer, ICT, information, and media literacy.

The Role of Critical Thinking and Information Verification

Critical thinking is a cornerstone of digital literacy, enabling individuals to discern fact from fiction in the wide digital information space. M. Rarita (2022) emphasizes that the independent development of critical thinking in young people does not occur automatically and must be systematically nurtured through a supportive educational environment. It involves questioning the source, intent, and validity of digital content. Critical thinking is essential for decision-making, problem-solving, creative thinking, and self-reflection, and can be improved by asking open-ended questions, practicing problem-solving strategies, and challenging assumptions, while individuals often apply these skills subconsciously in daily activities such as work, research, and personal choices (University of the Potomac, 2025). According to eCampus News (2024), information literacy skills, which are integral to critical thinking,

help students navigate disinformation scenarios in the digital world. Teaching these skills is of great importance for developing a discerning and informed digital audience.

Studies indicate significant disparities in digital literacy levels across different demographics and geographies. Research by Aliyu et al. (2025) highlights significant disparities in digital literacy between rural and urban populations, with urban students benefiting from better infrastructure, higher household incomes, and more tech-savvy educational environments, while rural students often face barriers such as limited device access, unreliable internet, and a lack of trained educators, further widening the digital divide. Ndibalema (2025) found that significant gaps in digital literacy persist, with 21st-century skills remaining underdeveloped due to inadequate digital infrastructure. The National Skills Coalition (2020) reports that digital skill levels vary among workers in major industries and occupations, as well as demographic categories. Furthermore, research by the Urban Institute highlights disparities in digital skill levels by race among older youth in the U.S., indicating that skill levels vary significantly across different racial groups. These findings emphasize the need for targeted educational programs to address digital literacy gaps and promote equitable access to digital competencies.

IV. MEDIA ETHICS IN THE AGE OF INFLUENCE

In the digital era, the boundaries between content creation, marketing, and personal expression have become increasingly blurred, necessitating a reexamination of media ethics. As social platforms become dominant arenas for brand interaction and individual identity performance, ethical considerations are no longer peripheral, they shape consumer expectations and behaviors. Muthukumar et al. (2023) highlight that consumers increasingly value ethical transparency, prompting businesses to adopt strategies such as cause-related marketing, transparent supply chain communication, eco-conscious packaging, and ethical storytelling to attract and retain conscious buyers. This describes a broader cultural shift, ethical content production and consumption now require transparency, authenticity, and accountability from all participants in the digital ecosystem. Wellman et al. (2020) observe that influencers often employ authenticity as a guiding

ethical framework for sponsored content, focusing on maintaining alignment with their personal identity and ensuring trust through transparency. Content creators are thus expected to produce original work, properly attribute sources, and disclose sponsorships or affiliations to preserve audience trust. On the consumer side, critical engagement with digital content, including evaluating its intent, source credibility, and potential biases, has become an important aspect of responsible media consumption. Furthermore, Yogesh et al. (2021) emphasize that the proliferation of mobile technologies and handheld devices has significantly transformed consumer behavior. These innovations have fueled the rise of social commerce and online shopping and amplified the influence of digital marketing and social media in shaping purchasing decisions and expanding the reach of e-commerce-oriented organizations.

Influencer marketing introduces distinct ethical dilemmas, particularly regarding authenticity and the commodification of personal identity. Ebben and Bull (2023) argue that the perceived authenticity of influencers contributes to ensuring virtual communities, built upon shared identities and lived experiences, which in turn shape followers' purchasing behaviors and belief systems. Yet, this dynamic also presents a paradox, influencers function simultaneously as facilitators of social connection and as promoters of consumption, often navigating complex intersections between personal expression and commercial imperatives. Baghel (2023) emphasizes the growing importance of trust in influencing consumer behavior, particularly among Generation Z, who increasingly demand transparency and genuine brand engagement. However, the imperative to demonstrate measurable marketing outcomes can pressure influencers to endorse products or services that may not align with their values, potentially limiting their authenticity and integrity. This pressure is further compounded by the performative nature of influencer personas. Vear (2020) explores this tension, noting that the curated performance of online identity, essentially the monetization of the self, frequently leads to blurred boundaries between reality and representation. While this performance can meet commercial objectives, it may alienate audiences who expect relatability and honesty, revealing a deeper dissonance between financial necessity and audience trust.

The digital environment is increasingly saturated with harmful content categories, notably misinformation, disinformation, hate speech, and cyber harassment. Harmed (2024) illustrates the pervasive nature of cyber harassment, noting that it affects individuals across age, gender, and social groups, highlighting its universal and indiscriminate impact. Misinformation and disinformation further compound the digital environment challenges by undermining public trust, distorting perceptions, and provoking dangerous actions. Rauf (2025) warns that fake news poses serious threats to democratic stability and social cohesion, arguing for the necessity of an information ecosystem anchored in transparency, accuracy, and ethical accountability. Promoting media literacy, encouraging critical thinking, and reinforcing institutional responsibility are essential measures to counter these effects. At the same time, technology, when deployed ethically, can serve as a powerful tool in the fight against misinformation. The anonymity that characterizes many online interactions has also contributed to a significant rise in hate speech, particularly in religious, gender, and racial contexts. Nazmine et al. (2021) observe that such toxic discourse continues to proliferate on social platforms, largely unchecked due to insufficient regulatory frameworks. Online harassment disproportionately affects marginalized communities, especially women, deepening existing inequalities and harming individual well-being, emphasizing the critical need for stronger ethical standards and effective moderation to create safer, more inclusive digital spaces (UN Women, 2025).

Responsibility for upholding media ethics in the digital age is distributed among content creators, platform providers, and users. Content creators are expected to adhere to ethical standards by prioritizing honesty, transparency, and accountability in their production processes (Wellman et al., 2020). While governments continue to formulate policies aimed at safeguarding individuals in digital spaces, Christian (2025) argues that effective digital protection relies on shared responsibility, requiring platform providers and users to collaborate in fostering a safe and accountable online environment. Platforms are obligated to establish and enforce comprehensive content governance policies that mitigate the spread of harmful material, protect user privacy, and promote respectful digital discourse. Users, as active participants in the digital ecosystem, play a critical role by engaging with content analytically, reporting

violations, and nurturing inclusive online communities. Zhang et al. (2023) underscore that users, particularly those from marginalized backgrounds, view flagging harmful content as a vital mechanism for ensuring respectful engagement online. However, the study also reveals significant barriers, including opaque reporting processes and concerns over user cognitive load and data privacy, which must be addressed to support user-driven content moderation effectively.

V. EDUCATIONAL AND COMMUNITY-BASED INTERVENTIONS

A. Curriculum-Based Digital Literacy Programs

Case Studies: Finland's Institutionalized Approach to Media Literacy Education

Finland's educational strategy has positioned it as a global exemplar in digital and media literacy, integrating digital competence and ethical digital behavior across every stage of a student's academic development. The Finnish National Curriculum embeds digital literacy within broader educational goals, emphasizing critical thinking, civic engagement, and digital safety as essential competencies for the 21st century. According to the Finland Education Hub (2023), students begin engaging with digital tools in early childhood education, gaining practical experience with technology through structured instruction. In primary school, they learn to use basic digital tools such as word processors and presentation software while being introduced to digital safety and responsible online behavior. This foundation is built upon in secondary education, where students engage in deeper digital research, content creation, and cross-curricular projects involving critical media analysis. By the time they enter higher education, students have developed advanced digital skills suited to academic and professional settings. Media literacy in Finland extends beyond technical proficiency to encompass a comprehensive understanding of the media environment. The curriculum encourages students to recognize media bias, distinguish between opinion and fact, and analyze the socio-political implications of media messaging. EAVI (2024) notes that this progression begins in early childhood and continues through to university, with each developmental stage carefully aligned with age-appropriate pedagogical strategies. The elementary students, for instance, engage in content analysis using child-friendly examples, while secondary

students examine the role of media in shaping cultural and political narratives. High school students participate in complex media projects and engage in ethical debates around digital citizenship, further deepening their understanding of responsible media engagement.

Finland's emphasis on institutional coherence in media education is supported by national policy frameworks. The "Good Media Literacy – National Policy Guidelines," released in 2013, provided a roadmap for media organizations, schools, and government agencies to collaborate on fostering a media-literate society. These guidelines define media literacy as a civic competency and outline the shared responsibilities of institutions in equipping individuals with the skills necessary to navigate digital environments critically and ethically. A key implementation partner is KAVI, the National Audiovisual Institute, which leads Finland's national media education efforts. KAVI offers teacher training, curricular resources, and public outreach in partnership with municipalities, NGOs, and local organizations. Another defining feature of Finland's success is its strong culture of educator autonomy (National Audiovisual Institute, 2020). Teachers are trusted to adapt the national curriculum to their students' needs, promoting innovation in how media literacy is delivered. According to EAVI (2024), this flexibility allows educators to tailor content and methods that resonate with students' real-world experiences, making media literacy instruction both relevant and engaging. Collaboration is central to this approach as educators regularly work with librarians, journalists, and civic organizations to extend media literacy learning beyond the classroom. Finland's public libraries, for example, provide community workshops on evaluating digital information, contributing to a culture of lifelong learning and inclusive digital participation. The effectiveness of Finland's media literacy framework is reflected in international assessments. The country consistently ranks among the top on the European Media Literacy Index, which evaluates nations based on media education quality, digital skills, and media freedom. This high ranking shows the success of Finland's multifaceted strategy, combining early education, cross-sector collaboration, and civic engagement to build a resilient and critically minded digital citizenry.

Canada: A Collaborative and Inclusive Approach to Digital and Media Literacy

Canada is fast becoming a forward-thinking global leader in digital and media literacy, marked by a national commitment to equipping all citizens, particularly youth, with the critical skills necessary to manage the increasingly complex digital world. A constructive Canada's approach is the work of MediaSmarts, a national not-for-profit organization that has contributed to shaping the country's educational framework around digital competency. Their flagship "Use, Understand & Create" framework is widely integrated across provincial and territorial school curricula, guiding educators in teaching students how to critically analyze digital content, understand the socio-technical contexts of media, and engage in ethical content creation (MediaSmarts, 2020).

This framework is instructional and reflects Canada's broader national values of civic engagement, equity, and informed citizenship. MediaSmarts' programming emphasizes critical thinking, online safety, and ethical participation, ensuring that students do more than consume digital content; they learn to interrogate it. The lesson plan "*I Heard It 'Round the Internet*" that is originally designed for Grades 7–9 shows this hands-on approach by training students to develop stronger internet search skills and learn how to distinguish reliable from unreliable sources (Action Canada for Sexual Health and Rights, 2024). Through practical exercises and reflective learning, young Canadians are prepared to manage the digital world as both critical consumers and responsible creators. The success of this model is achieved by cross-sector collaboration, which is a hallmark of Canada's media literacy infrastructure. MediaSmarts actively collaborates with the Federal Government, educators, law enforcement, libraries, teacher associations, Indigenous groups, and civil society organizations to extend the reach of its digital literacy resources. This collaborative model ensures that the curriculum remains responsive to emerging media trends and is inclusive of diverse cultural and socio-economic contexts across the country (MediaSmarts, 2020).

In parallel, the Government of Canada has implemented several public policy initiatives to bridge the digital divide and promote digital inclusion. Most notably, the *Digital Literacy Exchange Program (DLEP)*, administered by

Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada, has committed \$17.6 million to digital literacy initiatives targeting underrepresented and marginalized groups (Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada, 2025). These groups include seniors, low-income Canadians, newcomers, persons with disabilities, Indigenous communities, and individuals living in rural and remote areas. The DLEP supports community organizations in delivering training that addresses core competencies, such as safe Internet navigation, secure device usage, and responsible digital communication. In its initial phase alone, the DLEP supported the training of over 400,000 individuals between 2018 and 2021, demonstrating the scale and impact of Canada's inclusive digital policy agenda. The second phase, running through 2024 – 2025, continues to prioritize access and equity, consistent with Canada's Digital Charter and its Universal Access principle. The DLEP directly addresses the challenge of teenage access to the internet by ensuring that digital literacy is not a privilege of the educated or urban population but a fundamental right accessible to all Canadians. What sets Canada apart is its multi-level and decentralized approach to digital and media literacy. While educational jurisdictions fall under provincial control, national coordination, through MediaSmarts and federal programming, ensures a unified strategic vision. This programme emphasizes both digital competency and social responsibility, equity, and lifelong learning.

B. Conference-Led and Grassroots Initiatives

Case Study I: The New Media Conference- Advancing Digital Literacy and Ethics in Nigeria

In Nigeria, the New Media Conference is a foundational, pioneering platform dedicated to promoting digital literacy, ethical media engagement, and youth empowerment. Founded in 2015, the initiative has become a beneficial space for educators, students, content creators, and policymakers to engage with pressing issues surrounding the digital information ecosystem. This initiative is spearheaded by digital media entrepreneur Tosin Ajibade, also known for her work through Olorisupergal Media. The inaugural edition, themed “New Media in This Age: Changing the Whole Perspective,” was held at Terra Kulture, Victoria Island, Lagos. Since then, the annual gathering has grown in both scale and influence, with subsequent conferences held in 2016, 2017, 2018 (Connecting the Dots) and 2019 (Re-Inventing New

Media Through Storytelling) hosted at the Glitz Event Center, Lagos. The New Media Conference distinguishes itself through its programming and participatory character. Across its editions, the conference has brought together a cross-section of Nigerian society, thought leaders, educators, parents, students, media professionals, and civil society actors to explore topics ranging from media ethics and digital literacy to the role of social media in education and youth culture. Its panels and keynote sessions have served as a breeding ground for thought-provoking discussions on the responsible use of technology and the power dynamics inherent in algorithm-driven platforms. One of the notable modules of the conference's grassroots strategy is its school debate initiative, launched in 2017 under the conference's umbrella. The first edition, titled “Social Media and the Classroom: Does Social Media Enrich Education?” featured a dynamic competition between Children International School, Lekki, and Downen College. The debate created a platform for youth voices and drew in educators and digital experts to create an educational space for constructive dialogue around digital learning. This debate series improved into a major part of the conference's outreach activities. In 2023, the New Media Conference School Debate Edition offered a unique learning experience by facilitating a visit to the Microsoft African Development Center in Lagos for students from Deburuss College and Chalcedony School. Their participation in the debate earned them access to this high-impact tech environment. This shows how experiential learning can bridge the gap between classroom theory and practical digital innovation. The 2024 edition of the debate by the conference was centered on the theme “Social Media and Youth Mental Health”. The competition was held in collaboration with Olorisupergal Media, Edusko Africa, Faslearn, and Nest Digital Agency. The debate awarded a total of N1,000,000 in cash prizes, with Sinclairs Lewis School, Lagos, and FUNIS, Abeokuta, receiving funding earmarked for the development of their schools' ICT departments that transform dialogue into tangible institutional outcomes. Through their integration of public discourse, school-based outreach, and private-sector partnerships, the New Media Conference has shaped a distinct niche in Nigeria's digital education.

Case Study II: The News Literacy Project – Empowering Youth Through Media Education

In the United States, the News Literacy Project (NLP) stands out as a prominent nonprofit organization dedicated to enhancing media literacy among students. Established in 2008, NLP has developed innovative educational tools to help young individuals critically assess news and information sources. One of NLP's flagship programs is Checkology, a browser-based platform launched in 2016. This virtual classroom offers interactive lessons hosted by journalists and subject matter experts, covering topics such as misinformation, understanding bias, and the First Amendment. The platform has been recognized internationally, receiving accolades like the 2019 Spotlight on Digital Wellbeing award from the education nonprofit (Guldin, 2022). Beyond digital platforms, NLP organizes NewsLitCamps, day-long professional development events for educators conducted in collaboration with news organizations. These camps aim to equip teachers with the skills and resources needed to ensure news literacy in their classrooms (News Literacy Project, 2020).

VI. BUILDING A RESILIENT DIGITAL PUBLIC

Cultivating a resilient digital public space has become a pressing imperative in today's rapidly evolving media environment. Manipulative messaging often exploits cognitive vulnerabilities such as confirmation bias, emotional appeal, and perceived authority to shape public opinion. However, effective counterstrategies, including enhanced media literacy, reflective skepticism, active questioning, the use of fact-checking tools, and resilience-building through emotional regulation, social support, and self-awareness, can significantly limit its influence (John, 2024). In this context, resilience refers to the development of critically skeptical individuals, also ethically and constructively engaged in digital discourse. According to Ingram and Taylor (2025), five interrelated strategies can strengthen Digital Public Infrastructure (DPI) to foster such resilience and safeguard citizen participation: system harmonization, local capacity building, leveraging robust technical foundations, ensuring regulatory clarity, and promoting proactive stakeholder collaboration. To fully participate in the digital public sphere, individuals must possess both the technical skills to manage digital platforms and the civic and

ethical competencies necessary for informed and responsible engagement.

Schools' role is foundational in this effort, serving as the earliest and most consistent sites for instilling media literacy. Teaching digital literacy equips students with essential skills that enhance access to information, foster independent learning, and strengthen communication and collaboration, preparing them for success in an increasingly interconnected and globalized world, as noted by Lesi (2023). When embedded into the curriculum through subjects such as civics, communication, and technology, students learn to question sources, assess credibility, and engage in respectful dialogue. Parents also act as critical mediators of children's digital experiences, shaping early attitudes toward media and modeling responsible behavior (Benedetto & Ingrassia, 2021). Equipping parents with the tools and knowledge to guide media use at home reinforces school-based instruction and extends digital literacy education into daily life.

Content creators also shoulder a significant responsibility as influencers of public perception and discourse, they help define what is seen, trusted, and shared. A UNESCO survey found that 62% of digital content creators do not verify accuracy before sharing, contributing to the rapid spread of misinformation and disinformation, which tend to be more sensational and engaging than factual content (UNESCO, 2025). Promoting accuracy, transparency, and accountability in content production is essential to rebuilding public trust. Transparency, in particular, plays a pivotal role in enhancing content moderation and ensuring trust. According to the Brookings Institution, transparency provides critical insights that enable policymakers to improve social media content moderation techniques, thereby leading to better regulations and increased public confidence in digital platforms (Brookings Institution, 2022). Policymakers, for their part, must craft legislation that supports digital education, regulates harmful content, and ensures equitable access to digital tools and resources (OECD, 2023). These actors must work collaboratively to establish a coordinated response to the ethical challenges posed by the digital age.

Equity must cover all such initiatives as programs aimed at building a resilient digital public must account for cultural contexts, language diversity, and

socioeconomic disparities in access to technology and education. Afzal et al. (2023) found that internet access varies by age, with younger individuals having greater connectivity, while disparities persist between rural and urban households, gender-based device ownership, and socioeconomic status, highlighting multiple digital divides. Marginalized communities, who are often the most vulnerable to online harm and misinformation, require inclusive strategies tailored to their specific needs and realities. Joshi et al. (2024) emphasize the need for a holistic approach to educational planning, integrating socioeconomic, cultural, and educational factors to overcome challenges and disparities, ensuring equitable access to digital resources in education. This includes community-based education programs, multilingual content, and policies that address the digital divide.

Technology serves as both a catalyst for progress and a source of complexity in this effort. While artificial intelligence (AI) has been leveraged to amplify misinformation and manipulate public sentiment, it also holds promise as a tool for moderation, verification, and education (Bontridder & Poulet, 2021). AI-driven fact-checking systems, automated content moderation tools, and personalized digital learning platforms can support ethical engagement when designed and implemented transparently. However, this duality necessitates constant scrutiny to ensure that technological solutions do not reproduce bias or infringe on rights, but instead contribute constructively to a more informed and resilient digital public (Ghalijaei & Siri, 2024).

VII. POLICY AND PLATFORM RESPONSIBILITY

In an era where digital media increasingly shapes public opinion and civic behavior, the responsibility for ensuring a digitally literate and ethically engaged public cannot rest solely on individuals. Collaboration between the government, society, and the education sector is essential to enhance digital literacy, empowering individuals to navigate technological challenges with confidence and intelligence in the digital age (Zulfikar & Digdowiseiso, 2023). Governments, international institutions, social media platforms, and civil society organizations each bear critical obligations in shaping a more transparent and resilient digital environment.

Governments and international bodies play a foundational role in promoting digital literacy as a civic right and a public good. National strategies such as Canada's Digital Charter, responsible for innovation and data protection, and global efforts like UNESCO's Media and Information Literacy (MIL) framework exemplify policy-driven initiatives aimed at equipping citizens with the tools to critically navigate digital spaces (Government of Canada, 2021; UNESCO, 2023). These frameworks often include public education investments, standardized digital literacy curricula, funding for research and innovation, and regulatory measures that support open access to verified information. At a multilateral level, bodies like the OECD and UN have advocated for coordinated action to reduce digital divides and uphold democratic integrity online, particularly in the context of election security, algorithmic bias, and misinformation (OECD, 2024; UN, 2022).

Equally vital are the responsibilities borne by social media platforms themselves. These entities, which serve as modern public squares, must prioritize ethical obligations around content moderation, data transparency, and algorithmic accountability. Platforms have faced widespread criticism for amplifying harmful content through opaque recommendation systems and failing to adequately label or remove misinformation, while about 8-10% of recommendations are said to be bad (Hilbert et al., 2024). In response, some companies and policymakers have implemented transparency reports, third-party watchdog fact-checking collaborations, and user tools for reporting abuse (Caitlyn et al., 2024). However, these measures remain inconsistent and largely self-regulated. Stronger accountability demands that platforms transparently disclose algorithm functions, moderation decisions, and economic incentives driving engagement with polarizing or false content, as voluntary ethics alone fail to meet public expectations without enforceable standards.

Meaningful progress also depends on sustained collaborations across sectors. Nonprofits and civil society organizations have become important intermediaries in digital literacy education, often developing resources and campaigns tailored to underserved or at-risk communities (Lynn et al., 2022). Meanwhile, educators and policymakers bring institutional legitimacy and local implementation capacity. Examples of this are the EU Code of

Practice on Disinformation and Canada's Digital Citizen Initiative demonstrate how cross-sector partnerships can lead to shared commitments on data sharing, content moderation practices, and research funding (European Commission, 2025; Government of Canada, 2023). These partnerships can support the development of best practices, promote the adoption of trustworthy content standards, and facilitate public engagement on issues of digital rights.

VIII. RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FUTURE ACTION

To effectively address the challenges of digital misinformation and ethical engagement, future efforts must prioritize culturally responsive and inclusive strategies. Developing adaptable digital literacy curricula that reflect local values, languages, and social realities is essential to ensuring accessibility and relevance across diverse populations. Integrating ethics education into formal training programs for content creators can instill accountability from the outset, reinforcing norms of transparency and social responsibility in digital production. Governments should incentivize private-sector participation in public digital education through funding partnerships, innovation grants, and policy recognition for corporate social responsibility efforts. Establishing both national and community-level frameworks for grassroots initiatives will enable context-specific programming and ensure citizen ownership of digital literacy goals. Lastly, sustained international collaboration, through global conferences, cross-border research partnerships, and digital literacy networks, can accelerate shared learning, promote policy harmonization, and reinforce global standards for ethical digital engagement.

IX. CONCLUSION

As misinformation grows more sophisticated and the lines between fact and fabrication blur, cultivating ethically aware digital citizens is critical to safeguarding democratic institutions, social cohesion, and individual mental well-being. Digital literacy has grown beyond a peripheral skill; it is a civic necessity, enabling users to critically evaluate information, engage responsibly, and participate meaningfully in public discourse. Within this view, the leadership projection stands as a vital force in advancing digital responsibility. Through

programming, conferences, and community engagement, our contribution has helped shape a more informed, reflective, and empowered public. These efforts contribute to national conversations about media ethics and align with a growing global movement that recognizes digital literacy as a cornerstone of equitable and resilient societies. As technological change continues to accelerate, this work will remain essential in steering this transformation toward inclusion, accountability, and shared digital empowerment.

REFERENCE

- [1] Action Canada for Sexual Health and Rights. (2024). *MediaSmarts: Media literacy and sexual health*. Retrieved from <https://www.actioncanadashr.org/mediasmarts-media-literacy-and-sexual-health>
- [2] Afzal, Arfa & Khan, Saima & Daud, Sana & Ahmed, Zahoor & Butt, Ayesha. (2023). *Addressing the Digital Divide: Access and Use of Technology in Education*. 3. 883-895. 10.54183/jssr.v3i2.326.
- [3] Ahmed, Rashed. (2024). *Cyber Harassment in the Digital Age: Trends, Challenges, and Countermeasures*. 07. 15-26.
- [4] Aliyu, Zainab & Abdullahi, Faru. (2025). *A Comparative Analysis Of Digital Literacy Among Rural And Urban Students*. *International Journal of Modeling and Applied Science Research*. 10.70382/caijmasr.v7i9.004.
- [5] Andrée-Anne Deschênes. (2024). *Digital literacy, the use of collaborative technologies, and perceived social proximity in a hybrid work environment: Technology as a social binder*. *Computers in Human Behavior Reports*, Volume 13, 100351, ISSN 2451-9588. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chbr.2023.100351>.
- [6] Arkorful, V., Salifu, I., Arthur, F., & Abam Nortey, S. (2024). *Exploring the nexus between digital competencies and digital citizenship of higher education students: a PLS-SEM approach*. *Cogent Education*, 11(1). <https://doi.org/10.1080/2331186X.2024.2326722>
- [7] Backlinko Team. (2025). *Social network usage & growth statistics*. Retrieved from <https://backlinko.com/social-media-users>
- [8] Baghel, Dipti. (2023). *THE ROLE OF INFLUENCER AUTHENTICITY AND BRAND TRUST IN SHAPING CONSUMER*

- BEHAVIOR: STRATEGIES TO ENGAGE GENERATION Z. *ShodhKosh: Journal of Visual and Performing Arts*. 4. 10.29121/shodhkosh.v4.i1.2023.3327.
- [9] Balema, P. (2025). Digital literacy gaps in promoting 21st century skills among students in higher education institutions in Sub-Saharan Africa: a systematic review. *Cogent Education*, 12(1). <https://doi.org/10.1080/2331186X.2025.2452085>
- [10] Benedetto, L., & Ingrassia, M. (2021). Digital Parenting: Raising and Protecting Children in Media World. *IntechOpen*. doi: 10.5772/intechopen.92579
- [11] BINUS International. (2020). Media literacy and digital literacy: The required skills in the digital age. Retrieved from <https://international.binus.ac.id/communications/2020/12/19/media-literacy-and-digital-literacy-the-required-skills-in-the-digital-age/>
- [12] Bontridder, Noémi & Pouillet, Yves. (2021). The role of artificial intelligence in disinformation. 10.13140/RG.2.2.28805.27365.
- [13] Brookings Institution. (2022). Transparency is essential for effective social media regulation. Retrieved from <https://www.brookings.edu/articles/transparency-is-essential-for-effective-social-media-regulation/>
- [14] Caitlyn Vergara, Raghav Jain, and Swapneel Mehta. (2024). A History of Transparency Regulations: Interdisciplinary Strategies for Shaping Social Media Regulation and Self-Governance. In the 25th Annual International Conference on Digital Government Research (DGO 2024), June 11- 14, 2024, Taipei, Taiwan. ACM, New York, NY, USA 9 Pages. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3657054.3657157>
- [15] Chanda Chansa Thelma, Zohaib Hassan Sain, Yusuf Olayinka Shogbesan, Edwin Vinandi Phiri, Wisdom Matthew Akpan. (2024). Digital Literacy in Education: Preparing Students for the Future Workforce. *International Journal of Research* 11(8):327-344. DOI:10.5281/ZENODO.13347718
- [16] DataReportal. (2024). Digital 2024: The United States of America. Retrieved from <https://datareportal.com/reports/digital-2024-united-states-of-america>
- [17] Duodu, Christian. (2025). Social Media Platform Safety -Concerns of Users, Obligations and Ethical Requirements of Users and Providers. 6. 356-356.
- [18] EAVI. (2024). Finnish National Curriculum on Media Literacy: A Global Model for Education. Retrieved from <https://eavi.eu/ml-in-finland/>
- [19] Ebben, M., & Bull, E. (2023). Constructing Authenticity: Social Media Influencers and the Shaping of Online Identity. *IntechOpen*. doi: 10.5772/intechopen.1002607
- [20] eCampus News. (2024). Critical thinking in the digital age of AI: Information literacy is key. Retrieved from <https://www.ecampusnews.com/ai-in-education/2024/08/20/critical-thinking-in-the-digital-age-of-ai-information-literacy-is-key/>
- [21] European Commission. (2025). Code of conduct on disinformation. Retrieved from <https://digital-strategy.ec.europa.eu/en/library/code-conduct-disinformation>
- [22] Finland Education Hub. (2023). Digital literacy in Finnish education: A model for the world. Retrieved from <https://finlandeducationhub.com/digital-literacy-in-finnish-education-a-model-for-the-world/>
- [23] Geetali Tilak. (2020). The Study And Importance Of Media Ethics. *International Journal of Disaster Recovery and Business Continuity*, Vol. 11, No. 1 (2020), pp. 448-466, 448. ISSN: 2005-4289 IJDRBC
- [24] Ghalijaei, Leila & Siri, Sara. (2024). Modern Technologies and Human Rights: Challenges and Solutions. *Interdisciplinary Studies in Society, Law, and Politics*. 3. 167-179. 10.61838/kman.isslp.3.5.16.
- [25] Government of Canada. (2021). Canada's digital charter: Trust in a digital world. Retrieved from <https://ised-isde.canada.ca/site/innovation-better-canada/en/canadas-digital-charter-trust-digital-world>(<https://ised-isde.canada.ca/site/innovation-better-canada/en/canadas-digital-charter-trust-digital-world>)
- [26] Government of Canada. (2023). Online disinformation. Retrieved from <https://www.canada.ca/en/canadian-heritage/services/online-disinformation.html>

- [27] Hendrickx, J., & Vázquez-Herrero, J. (2024). Dissecting Social Media Journalism: A Comparative Study Across Platforms, Outlets and Countries. *Journalism Studies*, 25(9), 1053–1075. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1461670X.2024.2324318>
- [28] Herrera-Peco I, Fernández-Quijano I, Ruiz-Núñez C. (2023) The Role of Social Media as a Resource for Mental Health Care. *Eur J Invest Health Psychol Educ*. 2023 Jun 10;13(6):1026-1028. doi: 10.3390/ejihpe13060078. PMID: 37366782; PMCID: PMC10297428.
- [29] Ingram, G., & Taylor, J. (2025). Digital public infrastructure for resilience in fragile contexts: Balancing state and citizen protection. Retrieved from <https://www.brookings.edu/articles/digital-infrastructure-for-resilience-fragile-contexts-balancing-state-and-citizen-protection/>
- [30] Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada. (2025). Digital Literacy Exchange Program. Retrieved from <https://ised-isde.canada.ca/site/digital-literacy-exchange-program/en>
- [31] Joshi, Bishnu & Khatiwada, Shambhu & Pokhrel, Rajendra. (2024). Influence of Socioeconomic Factors on Access to Digital Resources for Education. *Rupantaran: A Multidisciplinary Journal*. 8. 17-33. 10.3126/rupantaran.v8i01.65197.
- [32] Lesi Nwisagbo. (2023). How digital literacy in schools is shaping the next generation. Retrieved from SAFSIMS <https://safsims.com/blog/blog/how-digital-literacy-in-schools-is-shaping-the-next-generation/>
- [33] Lynn, Theodore & Rosati, Pierangelo & Conway, Edel & Curran, Declan & Fox, Grace & O'Gorman, Colm. (2022). Digital Technologies and Civil Society. 10.1007/978-3-030-91247-5_5.
- [34] Mahnoor Sheikh. (2025). Social media demographics to inform your 2025 strategy. Retrieved from Sprout Social <https://sproutsocial.com/insights/new-social-media-demographics/>
- [35] Majerczak P, Strzelecki A. (2022). Trust, Media Credibility, Social Ties, and the Intention to Share towards Information Verification in an Age of Fake News. *Behav Sci (Basel)*. 2022 Feb 16;12(2):51. doi: 10.3390/bs12020051. PMID: 35200302; PMCID: PMC8869166.
- [36] Martin Hilbert, Arti Thakur, Pablo M. Flores, Xiaoya Zhang, Jee Young Bhan, Patrick Bernhard, Feng Ji. (2024). 8–10% of algorithmic recommendations are ‘bad’, but... an exploratory risk-utility meta-analysis and its regulatory implications. *International Journal of Information Management*, Volume 75, 102743, ISSN 0268-4012. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2023.102743>.
- [37] MediaSmarts. (2020). Children’s rights in relation to the digital environment. Retrieved from <https://www.ohchr.org/sites/default/files/Documents/HRBodies/CRC/GCChildrensDigitalEnvironment/2020/others/mediasmarts-Canada-2020-11-14%20.docx>
- [38] Muhammad Farrukh Shahzad, Shuo Xu, Weng Marc Lim, Xingbing Yang, Qasim Raza Khan. (2024). Artificial intelligence and social media on academic performance and mental well-being: Student perceptions of positive impact in the age of smart learning. *Heliyon*, Volume 10, Issue 8, e29523, ISSN 2405-8440. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2024.e29523>.
- [39] Muthukumar, Sri Ram & Kailash, Ram & Joshi, Chitra & Pratap, Raghunath & Desai, Pratap & Teferra, Negesse & Radhakrishnan, S. (2023). The Rise of Ethical Consumerism: Marketing Strategies for the Conscious Buyer. *Harbin Gongcheng Daxue Xuebao/Journal of Harbin Engineering University*. vol 44. 1329-1337.
- [40] National Audiovisual Institute. (2020). Finland: A new national media education policy. Retrieved from https://www.epra.org/news_items/finland-a-new-national-media-education-policy
- [41] National Skills Coalition. (2020). The new landscape of digital literacy. Retrieved from <https://nationalskillscoalition.org/wp-content/uploads/2020/12/05-20-2020-NSC-New-Landscape-of-Digital-Literacy.pdf>
- [42] Nazmine, & Manan, Khan & Tareen, Hannan Khan & Noreen, Sidra & Tariq, Muhammad. (2021). Hate Speech and Social Media: A Systematic Review. *Turkish Online Journal of Qualitative Inquiry*. 12. 5285-5294.
- [43] News Literacy Project. (2024). NLP Teen Survey Report 2024. Retrieved from <https://newslit.org/wp->

- content/uploads/2024/10/NLP-Teen-Survey-Report-2024.pdf
- [44] OECD (2023), OECD Digital Education Outlook 2023: Towards an Effective Digital Education Ecosystem, OECD Publishing, Paris, <https://doi.org/10.1787/c74f03de-en>.
- [45] Olusegun, John. (2024). Strategies for Critical Thinking and Resilience to Manipulative Messaging.
- [46] Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD). (2024). The OECD reinforcing democracy initiative. Retrieved from http://oecd.org/content/dam/oecd/en/publications/reports/2024/10/the-oecd-reinforcing-democracy-initiative_458501ab/9543bcfb-en.pdf
- [47] Pew Research Center. (2024). Social media and news fact sheet. Retrieved from <https://www.pewresearch.org/journalism/fact-sheet/social-media-and-news-fact-sheet/>
- [48] Rani, P. (2025). Digital Literacy: How It Has Been Evolving? Its Significance in the Indian Context. *Modern Economy*, 16, 655-680. doi: 10.4236/me.2025.164031.
- [49] Rarita, Mihail. (2022). The Relevance of Critical Thinking from the Perspective of Professional Training. *Postmodern Openings*, 13(2), 499-513. <https://doi.org/10.18662/po/13.2/468>.
- [50] Rauf, Abdul. (2025). Fake News and the Erosion of Truth - Part I.
- [51] Stanford Report. (2022). What to know about disinformation and how to address it. Retrieved from <https://news.stanford.edu/stories/2022/04/know-disinformation-address>
- [52] Statista. (2024). News consumption on social media. Retrieved from <https://www.statista.com/topics/9002/social-media-news-consumption-worldwide/>
- [53] Udoudom, Uduak & George, Kufre & Igiri, Anthony & Aruku, Kalita. (2023). Media Literacy and Its Implications for The Understanding of Truth and Reality: A Philosophical Exploration. *International Journal of Multidisciplinary: Applied Business and Education Research*. 4. 4244-4257. 10.11594/ijmaber.04.12.08.
- [54] UN. (2022). GDC submission – Freedom Online Coalition. Retrieved from https://www.un.org/digital-emerging-technologies/sites/www.un.org.techenvoy/files/GDC-submission_Freedom-Online-Coalition.pdf
- [55] UN Women. (2025). Digital abuse, trolling, stalking, and other forms of technology-facilitated violence against women. Retrieved from <https://www.unwomen.org/en/articles/faqs/digital-abuse-trolling-stalking-and-other-forms-of-technology-facilitated-violence-against-women>
- [56] UNESCO. (2023). Media and information literacy. Retrieved from <https://www.unesco.org/en/media-information-literacy>
- [57] UNESCO. (2025). UNESCO trains digital content creators to become trusted voices online. Retrieved from <https://www.unesco.org/en/articles/unesco-trains-digital-content-creators-become-trusted-voices-online>
- [58] University of the Potomac. (2025). Critical thinking skills: Benefits and how to develop them. Retrieved from <https://potomac.edu/critical-thinking-skills/>
- [59] University of the Potomac. (2022). What is digital literacy? Definition, skills & importance. Retrieved from <https://potomac.edu/what-is-digital-literacy/>
- [60] Urban Institute. (2021). Overlooked and Underconnected: Exploring Disparities in Digital Skill Levels by Race among Older Youth in the U.S. <https://www.urban.org/sites/default/files/publication/103460/overlooked-and-underconnected-exploring-disparities-in-digital-skill-levels-by-race-among-older-youth-in-the-us.pdf>
- [61] Vear, Aysha. (2020). The Influencer Experience: Identity Performance, Commodification, and Agency in YouTube Influencers.
- [62] Wellman, Mariah & Stoldt, Ryan & Tully, Melissa & Ekdale, Brian. (2020). Ethics of Authenticity: Social Media Influencers and the Production of Sponsored Content. *Journal of Media Ethics*. 35. 68-82. 10.1080/23736992.2020.1736078.
- [63] Yesilada M, Lewandowsky S. (2022). Systematic review: YouTube recommendations and problematic content. *Internet Policy Rev*. 2022 Mar 31;11(1):1652. doi:

10.14763/2022.1.1652. PMID: 36466439;
PMCID: PMC7613872.

- [64] Yogesh K. Dwivedi, Elvira Ismagilova, D. Laurie Hughes, Jamie Carlson, Raffaele Filieri, Jenna Jacobson, Varsha Jain, Heikki Karjaluo, Hajer Kefi, Anjala S. Krishen, Vikram Kumar, Mohammad M. Rahman, Ramakrishnan Raman, Philipp A. Rauschnabel, Jennifer Rowley, Jari Salo, Gina A. Tran, Yichuan Wang. (2021). Setting the future of digital and social media marketing research: Perspectives and research propositions. *International Journal of Information Management*, Volume 59, 102168, ISSN 0268-4012.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2020.102168>.
- [65] Zhang, A. Q., Montague, K., & Jhaver, S. (2023). Cleaning Up the Streets: Understanding Motivations, Mental Models, and Concerns of Users Flagging Social Media Content. arXiv preprint arXiv:2309.06688. Retrieved from <https://arxiv.org/abs/2309.06688>
- [66] Ziang Wang. (2025). The Influence of the Content Recommendation Algorithm in User Viewing Behavior on the Short Video Platform. *Highlights in Science, Engineering and Technology* 128:204-209.
DOI:10.54097/cr5e7t94
- [67] Zulfikar, I., & Digidowiseiso, K. (2023). The Use of Social Media as a Means of Digital Literacy: A Literature Study. *INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF ECONOMICS, MANAGEMENT, BUSINESS AND SOCIAL SCIENCE (IJEMBS)*, 3(2), 399–409.
<https://cvodis.com/ijembis/index.php/ijembis/>