© JAN 2026 | IRE Journals | Volume 9 Issue 7 | ISSN: 2456-8880
DOI: https://doi.org/10.64388/IREV9I7-1713252

DNA Isolation and Extraction Techniques: Methods, Applications, and Challenges

TANAY NIMESH TUTI
Constructor University, Germany

Abstract- DNA isolation and extraction are fundamental techniques in biotechnology and molecular biology, enabling researchers to purify genetic material from diverse organisms for downstream analysis. Since Miescher's first extraction of "nuclein" in 1869, methods have evolved from cumbersome solvent-based protocols to streamlined kits and automated systems[1][2]. This article provides a comprehensive overview of DNA extraction principles and methodologies across bacteria, plant, and animal samples. We describe the key steps—cell lysis, removal of proteins and contaminants, and DNA purification—and the common reagents (e.g. detergents, proteases, chaotropic salts) and equipment (centrifuges, columns, magnetic racks) involved. Traditional organic solvent extraction (phenol-chloroform) is compared with modern solid-phase methods like silica spin columns and magnetic beads in terms of yield, purity, safety, speed, and suitability for high-throughput processing. Applications of DNA extraction in research (e.g. sequencing, PCR, cloning) and diagnostics (e.g. pathogen detection, genetic testing, forensics) are discussed, highlighting the need for high-quality DNA[3][4]. We also address common challenges—such as cell wall barriers in plants, low-yield or degraded samples, and contamination with proteins or inhibitors—and provide troubleshooting strategies. No single extraction method is ideal for all sample types, and method selection must balance DNA quantity, quality, and practical considerations. Ongoing improvements aim to enhance efficiency, purity, and ease of use, supporting the ever-expanding role of DNA analysis in science and medicine. A full list of references is included to support the discussions and provide sources for further detailed protocols and information.

I. INTRODUCTION

Extraction of DNA is the crucial first step in virtually all molecular biology and genetic analysis workflows[5]. The goal of DNA isolation is to separate pure DNA from cells or tissues, free of proteins, lipids, polysaccharides, and other contaminants that could interfere with downstream applications. The significance of reliable DNA extraction techniques has grown with advances in genomics and biotechnology. Isolated DNA is required for sequencing genomes, performing polymerase chain reaction (PCR) and quantitative PCR, constructing libraries for cloning or next-generation sequencing, and numerous other applications[3][4]. In medical diagnostics, DNA samples enable detection of genetic diseases, identification of pathogens, and forensic analyses for human identification[3][6]. Given this broad utility, methods for DNA extraction have continually improved to yield sufficient quantities of high-quality DNA suitable for these varied uses.

Early DNA isolation was pioneered by Friedrich Miescher in 1869, who first purified DNA (then called "nuclein") from white blood cells[5]. Over the decades, researchers developed protocols to extract DNA from many sources, including human tissues, plant material, bacteria, and environmental samples[7][5]. Classical techniques often relied on organic solvents (like phenol and chloroform) to separate DNA from proteins, a process effective but labor-intensive and hazardous due to the toxicity of the reagents[8][9]. In the late 20th century, alternative methods emerged, including *salting-out* procedures that precipitate proteins with high salt[10][11], and solid-phase extraction using silica matrices or magnetic particles[12][13]. Each method has its advantages and limitations, and no single protocol works optimally for all sample types[14].

Fundamentally, all DNA extraction methods share common principles. First, cells or tissues must be lysed to release their contents. This involves breaking the cell membrane (and cell wall, if present) using mechanical force, enzymes, and/or chemical detergents. Next, the DNA is protected from degradation by nucleases; this is often achieved by adding chelating agents like EDTA to bind Mg^{2+} (a necessary cofactor for DNases)[15][16] and by keeping conditions (such as temperature and pH) favorable for DNA stability. The third step is separation of DNA from other biomolecules. Traditional liquid-liquid extraction uses organic solvents to denature proteins and partition impurities away from nucleic acids[17][18]. Modern methods bind DNA to solid supports (silica or magnetic beads) under high-salt conditions, allowing contaminants to be washed away[19][20]. Finally, the DNA is recovered – usually by precipitation in alcohol or by elution in a low-salt buffer or water – yielding purified DNA ready for quantification and downstream use[21][22].

In this article, we present a detailed examination of DNA isolation techniques. We begin with the general principles and common reagents/equipment used in DNA extraction. We then discuss specialized considerations for extracting DNA from bacteria, plant tissues, and animal tissues, highlighting how different biological materials may require modified approaches. A comparison of traditional versus modern extraction methods is provided, focusing on phenol–chloroform extraction, silica spin column purification, and magnetic bead-based methods. We describe each method's workflow, advantages, and disadvantages. The article also surveys various applications of DNA extraction in research and diagnostics, underlining why DNA quality and purity are so important. Lastly, we address challenges and troubleshooting – what can go wrong during DNA extraction and how to overcome such problems. Throughout, we cite the current literature and protocol guidelines, and we include figures and tables to summarize key concepts (e.g. comparison of methods, schematic of workflows). By the end, readers should have a comprehensive understanding of how DNA extraction is performed across different contexts and how to choose or optimize a method for a given application.

Principles and Workflow of DNA Extraction

Overview of Steps: All DNA extraction protocols, despite their variety, generally implement a series of similar steps to achieve the isolation of DNA (Figure 1). These steps include: (1) Cell lysis – breaking open the cells to release DNA; (2) Removal of proteins and other contaminants – separating proteins, organelles, and other molecules from the nucleic acids; (3) Purification/Isolation of DNA – obtaining DNA in a clean form, often by binding to a substrate or by selective precipitation; and (4) DNA recovery – collecting the purified DNA, typically by eluting from a column or dissolving a dried DNA pellet. In the case of organisms with a cell wall (plants, fungi, some bacteria), a preliminary step of cell wall disruption is required before cell lysis[23][24]. Each step is enabled by specific reagents and conditions, which we outline below.

Figure 1: Main steps in a typical DNA extraction workflow (illustrated here for plant tissue). The process includes mechanical or chemical cell wall breakdown (for plants and other walled cells), cell membrane lysis to release nucleic contents, DNA protection from nucleases (e.g. using chelating agents), DNA isolation by separating it from proteins and other contaminants (using organic solvents or binding to a matrix), and DNA precipitation or elution to collect the purified DNA.[25][26]

Cell Lysis: Effective lysis is crucial to liberate DNA from cells. For bacteria and animal cells, which lack a rigid cell wall, lysis is usually achieved with detergent-based buffers and sometimes mild mechanical agitation. Detergents such as SDS (sodium dodecyl sulfate) disrupt the lipid bilayer of cell membranes and denature proteins, helping to release DNA and unfold proteins that could otherwise bind DNA[17][27]. In contrast, plant cells have a tough cellulose-rich cell wall that requires additional measures. Mechanical grinding (e.g. using a mortar and pestle with liquid nitrogen) is a common step to physically break plant cell walls[28][24]. Other mechanical methods include bead beating (shaking the sample with abrasive beads), sonication, or even high-pressure or freeze-thaw cycles[29][30]. Enzymatic treatments can also be employed: cellulases and pectinases for plant tissues, and lysozyme for bacterial cell walls (particularly Gram-positive bacteria with thick peptidoglycan layers) to digest the wall components[31][32]. Often a combination of mechanical and enzymatic approaches is used for difficult samples to ensure complete lysis[31][33]. Lysis buffers typically contain not only detergents like SDS or CTAB (cetyltrimethylammonium bromide) to solubilize membranes but also buffering agents (Tris-HCl to maintain pH) and salts to provide an optimal ionic environment.

Protection of DNA: Once released, DNA is vulnerable to degradation by nucleases (DNases) present in the cell lysate. Therefore, lysis buffers include agents to protect DNA. A key ingredient is EDTA (ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid), which chelates divalent cations like Mg^{2+} and Ca^{2+}[16][34]. Since Mg^{2+} is a required cofactor for most nucleases, EDTA effectively inactivates these enzymes, preserving the integrity of DNA. Maintaining a cold temperature during and after lysis can also slow enzymatic activity, which is why many protocols suggest performing lysis on ice or adding the sample to a pre-chilled buffer. Additionally, some protocols incorporate specific nuclease inhibitors or use rapid denaturation (e.g. boiling in the presence of chelating resins like Chelex-100) to quickly deactivate nucleases[35][36]. In plant extractions, additives like polyvinylpyrrolidone (PVP) are used to bind polyphenolic compounds that could otherwise bind to DNA and reduce its purity[37]. Similarly, reducing agents such as β-mercaptoethanol are often added (especially in plant buffers) to break disulfide bonds and prevent oxidation of phenolics, which can damage DNA or cause it to co-precipitate with contaminants[37].

Removal of Proteins and Contaminants: After lysis and initial DNA stabilization, the next step is to separate DNA from the bulk of other cellular components. There are two broad strategies: *solution-based* separation and *solid-phase* separation. In traditional solution-based (liquid-liquid) extraction, proteins and other impurities are removed by organic solvents. For example, in the phenol–chloroform extraction method, an equal volume of phenol-chloroform (often with isoamyl alcohol added as an anti-foaming agent) is mixed with the aqueous cell lysate[17][38]. Upon centrifugation, a biphasic separation occurs: hydrophobic substances (including most proteins and lipids) move into the organic phase, while DNA remains in the aqueous phase[39]. The interface between phases traps denatured proteins. In this process, phenol and SDS work together to denature proteins, while chloroform increases the density of the organic phase, preventing it from mixing with the aqueous layer[40][41]. Repeated extractions may be done until the interface is free of material, and then the aqueous layer containing DNA is recovered[42][22]. An alternative solution-based approach is the salting-out method, which avoids organic solvents. Here, proteins are precipitated by high salt concentrations (e.g. adding 6 M NaCl or ammonium acetate) after cell lysis with a simple buffer and SDS[43][44]. The precipitated proteins and cell debris are pelleted by centrifugation, leaving DNA in the supernatant, which can then be precipitated with alcohol[10][11]. Salting-out is effective and non-toxic, yielding DNA quality comparable to phenol extraction[11].

In solid-phase extraction, the DNA is immobilized onto a solid matrix, enabling easy washing away of other components. The most common solid phase is silica, used in the form of spin column membranes or silica-coated magnetic beads. DNA's affinity for silica is promoted by chaotropic salts (like guanidinium chloride or guanidinium isothiocyanate) in the presence of alcohol. Under these conditions, silica surfaces can form salt bridges that bind the negatively charged phosphate backbone of DNA[19][45]. After lysis, the sample is brought to binding conditions (often by adding a high-salt buffer and ethanol) and passed through the silica matrix. DNA binds to the silica, while proteins and other impurities do not and are washed away with ethanol-based wash buffers[46][21]. This mechanism underlies spin column kits and similar silica-based protocols. For magnetic beads, the principle is the same except that DNA binds to silica-coated paramagnetic particles in solution[47][48]. Applying a magnetic field gathers the beads (with DNA attached) to the side of the tube, allowing the liquid to be removed[49]. Wash steps then clean the DNA on the beads, and finally DNA is eluted in a low-salt buffer or water to release it from the silica surface[50][51]. Solid-phase methods have become very popular due to their speed, safety (no toxic organic solvents), and suitability for automation[52][53]. We will discuss these in detail in a later section.

DNA Recovery: The final step is to obtain the DNA in a usable form. In solution-based protocols (whether phenol-chloroform or salting-out), DNA is typically recovered by precipitation. This involves adding an alcohol (either isopropanol or ethanol) and usually a salt (such as sodium acetate or ammonium acetate) to the aqueous DNA solution[22]. DNA is not soluble in alcohol, especially in the presence of salt, so it forms a pellet upon centrifugation. A 70% ethanol wash of the DNA pellet is often recommended to remove residual salt and other impurities[22]. The pellet is then dried briefly (to evaporate residual ethanol) and re-dissolved in a buffer (commonly TE buffer: 10 mM Tris-Cl pH 8.0, 1 mM EDTA) or in pure water[54][55]. In solid-phase methods, recovery is achieved by elution: a small volume of low-salt, slightly alkaline buffer or water is applied to the silica matrix or beads, which releases the DNA into solution[21]. This eliminates the need for centrifuging down a pellet and is generally faster. Elution buffers often are warmed (e.g. 60 °C) to improve yield, and the resulting DNA solution is immediately ready for quantification and downstream use.

Throughout the process, certain equipment is essential. A microcentrifuge is used in almost all protocols (for pelleting debris, phase separation, DNA precipitation, or for forcing solutions through spin columns). Pipettes and tubes are used for handling samples and reagents. If working with tissues, mechanical homogenizers or grinders (or simply mortar and pestle) may be needed. For phenol-chloroform extraction, a fume hood is required due to the toxicity and volatility of phenol and chloroform[56]. Magnetic bead protocols require a magnetic rack or separator, but they often do *not* require centrifugation, which is an advantage for high-throughput automation[52]. In terms of reagents, Table 1 summarizes common chemicals used in DNA extraction and their roles.

Table 1: Key Reagents in DNA Extraction and Their Functions

Reagent	Function in DNA Extraction
Detergents (e.g. SDS, CTAB)	Lyse cell membranes by solubilizing lipids; denature proteins (SDS). CTAB also precipitates polysaccharides (useful in plant DNA prep)[57].
Proteinase K	Broad-spectrum protease that digests proteins (including nucleases and histones), improving DNA yield and purity[58][59]. Active in SDS and EDTA-containing buffers.
EDTA	Chelating agent that binds Mg^{2+} and Ca^{2+}, thereby inhibiting DNases and preserving DNA integrity[16]. Often included in lysis and storage buffers.
Guanidinium salts	Chaotropic agents (e.g. guanidine HCl, guanidine isothiocyanate) that denature proteins and facilitate DNA binding to silica in column or bead methods[19]. Also help lyse cells (as in guanidinium-based lysis buffers).
Phenol-Chloroform (and Isoamyl alcohol)	Organic solvents used in liquid-liquid extraction to denature and separate proteins from DNA[17][60]. Isoamyl alcohol prevents foaming/emulsion; chloroform increases phase separation clarity[40][41]. Highly effective but toxic – requires careful handling.
Salt (e.g. NaCl, ammonium acetate)	At high concentrations, precipitates proteins (salting-out)[43][44] and helps DNA aggregation during alcohol precipitation. In moderate concentrations, neutralizes DNA charge in phenol extraction buffer (improving DNA partitioning to aqueous phase)[61]. Sodium acetate (0.3 M, pH ~5.2) is classic for DNA precipitation[22].
Alcohol (ethanol or isopropanol)	Causes DNA to precipitate out of solution when added with salt. Isopropanol requires smaller volumes (less dilution of DNA) and precipitates DNA at room temperature, while ethanol is often used cold (–20 °C) and with a higher volume of sample. 70% ethanol is used to wash DNA pellets (removing salts)[22].
RNase A	Enzyme that specifically digests RNA. Often added either during or after extraction to remove RNA contamination from DNA preps, yielding a DNA sample suitable for applications like PCR that could be affected by RNA. Typically, RNase is added after lysis and incubation is done to degrade RNA before final DNA purification[62][63].
Beta-mercaptoethanol (BME)	Reducing agent added especially in plant DNA extractions. It breaks disulfide bonds in proteins and helps inactivate oxidizing compounds; also reduces oxidation of polyphenols, preventing them from binding DNA[37]. Has a strong odor and is used in fume hoods.
Polyvinylpyrrolidone (PVP)	Polymer used in plant DNA extraction buffers to bind polyphenols and other secondary metabolites that can co-extract with DNA. PVP sequesters these compounds, preventing them from interacting with DNA (which could inhibit enzymes in downstream reactions)[37].

These principles and reagents form the backbone of most DNA isolation protocols. In the sections that follow, we explore how these general steps are implemented and sometimes modified for different types of organisms and sample inputs.

DNA Extraction from Different Biological Sources

Different organisms and sample types often demand specific adjustments to the DNA extraction process. The fundamental goal—breaking cells open, protecting DNA, removing contaminants—remains the same, but the means to reach that goal can vary. Here we detail methodologies and special considerations for extracting DNA from three broad categories of biological material: bacterial cells, plant tissues, and animal tissues. Each presents unique challenges, such as the presence of cell walls or particular contaminants, which have led to specialized protocol developments.

Bacterial DNA Extraction

Isolation of genomic DNA from bacteria requires methods tailored to the structural characteristics of prokaryotic cells. Bacteria come in two major cell wall types: Gram-positive (with a thick peptidoglycan-rich cell wall) and Gram-negative (with a thinner peptidoglycan layer and an outer membrane). These structural differences influence lysis strategies. Gram-negative bacteria (like *E. coli*) are relatively easy to lyse; a standard lysis buffer containing SDS and EDTA, often combined with a brief heating or detergents, can usually break them open. Gram-positive bacteria (such as *Staphylococcus* or *Bacillus* species) have robust cell walls that are more resistant. Thus, protocols for Gram-positive organisms typically include an enzymatic pre-treatment: enzymes like lysozyme (which hydrolyzes the peptidoglycan sugar backbone) and in some cases lysostaphin (an enzyme that cleaves the pentaglycine cross-links in staphylococcal cell walls) are used to weaken or digest the cell wall prior to detergent lysis[64][65]. This enzymatic digestion is done in a buffered solution (e.g., a TES buffer: Tris, EDTA, and sucrose to stabilize spheroplasts) often supplemented with a small amount of cell wall-lytic enzymes and incubated at 37 °C for several minutes to one hour, depending on the species. After this, SDS or another detergent and Proteinase K are added to fully lyse the cells and digest proteins.

Once lysis is achieved, bacterial DNA extraction often proceeds with either a solution-based or column-based purification. In research labs, a common traditional method for bacteria is the phenol–chloroform extraction followed by ethanol precipitation, which yields high-quality DNA and has been a gold-standard for many years[66][67]. However, many modern laboratories prefer commercial spin column kits specific to bacteria. These kits typically provide specialized pretreatment buffers: for instance, a lysozyme-containing buffer for Gram-positives (often called "enzyme digestion buffer") and a generic lysis buffer with chaotropic salt for all bacteria. After enzymatic treatment and lysis, the protocol might include a brief centrifugation to clear cell debris. The supernatant containing DNA is then loaded onto a silica column, washed, and eluted as described earlier.

One consideration in bacterial DNA prep is the typically high density of DNA relative to cell volume (bacteria often have high DNA concentration per cell), so very viscous lysates can result if genomic DNA is large and intact. Care should be taken to avoid shearing the DNA—mixing should be gentle (pipetting up and down slowly or tilting tubes instead of vigorous vortexing), especially if high molecular weight DNA is desired for long-read sequencing. Another concern is that some bacteria produce extracellular polysaccharides or have mucilaginous capsules that can co-purify with DNA and cause stickiness or inhibit enzymes. In such cases, adding a CTAB step or using a CTAB-based protocol can help (CTAB will precipitate many polysaccharides, removing them from the DNA solution)[57][68]. For example, certain soil bacteria with high exopolysaccharide content might be better processed with a combination of CTAB and organic extraction to ensure pure DNA.

Environmental or clinical samples containing bacteria (like soil, feces, or sputum) introduce additional complexity: the presence of PCR inhibitors (humic acids in soil, complex polysaccharides in sputum, etc.) and a mixture of organisms. Often these protocols incorporate an initial harsh mechanical lysis (bead beating) to ensure all bacteria are lysed. The use of bead-beating (shaking the sample with silica or garnet beads at high speed) is common in microbiome DNA extraction kits, and combining bead-beating with chemical lysis and lysozyme yields very comprehensive lysis of even difficult bacteria[69]. The downside is that it can shear DNA significantly, but for many applications (e.g. 16S rRNA gene sequencing of microbiomes) that is acceptable.

In summary, bacterial DNA extraction can be summarized as: (1) *Optional cell wall digestion* (required for Gram-positives), (2) *Cell lysis* (chemical and enzymatic), (3) *Clearing of lysate* (by centrifugation to remove cell debris), and (4) *DNA purification* (either organic extraction plus precipitation, or binding to a silica column/magnetic beads). The purified DNA is typically dissolved in TE buffer or water. Yields depend on the bacterial species and culture conditions, but a dense culture (e.g. 1–5 mL of overnight *E. coli*) can yield on the order of 20–100 µg of genomic DNA with thorough methods, whereas yields from equal volumes of Gram-positive cultures may be somewhat lower if lysis is incomplete. Quality is assessed by agarose gel (high molecular weight smear indicates intact DNA) and by spectrophotometry (260/280 ratio ~1.8 indicates protein-free DNA[70][71]).

Plant DNA Extraction
Plant tissues present some of the most challenging substrates for DNA extraction due to their tough structures and complex biochemical composition. Plants cells are encased in a rigid cell wall made of cellulose, hemicellulose, lignin, and other polymers that must be broken down or bypassed to access the DNA inside[72][30]. Additionally, plant tissues are rich in secondary metabolites: polysaccharides can co-precipitate with DNA, and polyphenolic compounds (like tannins) can bind to DNA or oxidize to form compounds that covalently attach to DNA, making it unusable[73][74]. Therefore, successful plant DNA isolation protocols incorporate strategies to deal with these issues.

Cell Disruption: The first hurdle is breaking the cell wall. As mentioned earlier, the most common approach is mechanical grinding of tissue, often using a mortar and pestle with liquid nitrogen[75]. Freezing the tissue makes it brittle, and grinding it into a fine powder increases the surface area and breaks many cells open. For high-throughput processing, laboratories may use bead mill homogenizers with deep-well plates and metal or ceramic beads to grind multiple samples simultaneously[76][77]. Regardless of method, it's critical that grinding is done quickly and (if not using liquid nitrogen) that the tissue remains cold to prevent DNA degradation by enzymes released during the process. Some plant protocols skip mechanical grinding for soft tissues by using strong enzymes (cellulase, pectinase) to digest cell walls, but this is less common due to expense and time.

Lysis and Extraction Buffers: Several specialized buffer formulations exist for plant DNA extraction. A widely used one is the CTAB buffer, originally described by Doyle & Doyle (1990)[78]. CTAB (cetyltrimethylammonium bromide) is a cationic detergent that serves multiple roles: it helps lyse cell membranes and also forms insoluble complexes with polysaccharides, thereby removing polysaccharide contaminants from solution[57][79]. A typical CTAB buffer contains 2% CTAB, 100 mM Tris-HCl (pH ~8), 20 mM EDTA, 1.4 M NaCl, and often 1% polyvinylpyrrolidone (PVP) and 0.5% β-mercaptoethanol[80][37]. The high salt concentration (1.4 M NaCl) in CTAB buffer is important because CTAB will only precipitate DNA at low ionic strength; at high salt, DNA stays in solution while CTAB precipitates polysaccharides and some proteins[57]. PVP in the buffer binds polyphenols as they are released, preventing them from interacting with DNA[37]. β-mercaptoethanol helps by reducing disulfides and also by reacting with quinones (oxidized phenolics), thus protecting DNA from covalent modification. In practice, the ground plant tissue is incubated in CTAB buffer at elevated temperature (60–65 °C) for 30 minutes to an hour. The heat helps denature proteins and enhances the activity of the detergent and other buffer components.

Following CTAB lysis, protocols usually involve adding chloroform (often chloroform:isoamyl alcohol) to extract away proteins and polysaccharide-CTAB complexes – this is a partitioning similar to phenol-chloroform extraction (sometimes CTAB protocols are called mixed "CTAB/organic" methods)[81]. The DNA remains in the aqueous phase (salt conditions are adjusted such that DNA does not precipitate with CTAB), and after centrifugation the aqueous layer is transferred. DNA is then precipitated from that aqueous solution with isopropanol or ethanol. One or two alcohol washes (with 70% ethanol) help remove residual CTAB, which is important because CTAB carryover can inhibit enzyme reactions like PCR.

Aside from CTAB, another simpler method for plants is the Edwards buffer protocol[82]. Edwards buffer is a relatively mild extraction buffer (200 mM Tris pH 8, 250 mM NaCl, 25 mM EDTA, 0.5% SDS) that does not use toxic organics[83]. Plant tissue is ground (often in a microcentrifuge tube with a small plastic pestle or using a bead mill) in Edwards buffer, incubated briefly, and then the mixture is simply centrifuged to pellet debris. The supernatant containing DNA is often directly used for PCR or further purified by precipitation. The Edwards method is quick and non-toxic, but it may not remove

© JAN 2026 | IRE Journals | Volume 9 Issue 7 | ISSN: 2456-8880
DOI: https://doi.org/10.64388/IREV9I7-1713252

polysaccharides or polyphenols adequately for all plant types[84]. It's often used for genotyping where some impurities can be tolerated or when working with plants known to be low in troublesome compounds.

Many plant extraction protocols therefore end up using a combination of approaches: for especially difficult samples, one might use an initial CTAB extraction, then purify the DNA further using a silica column to get rid of remaining inhibitors. Indeed, some commercial kits for plants include both CTAB and column steps, or use special binding chemistries to handle polyphenols. Another modern approach for very inhibitory plant samples is to use magnetic bead-based kits that incorporate binding buffer formulations tuned for plants (e.g., including PVPP or other adsorbents).

Yield and Quality Considerations: Plant genomic DNA, if fully extracted, is often very high molecular weight (because plants have large genomes). It is not uncommon to get DNA fragments dozens of kilobases in length or longer, which appears as a viscous, gel-like material. This is good for integrity, but it also means pipetting must be done carefully to avoid shearing. Yields vary widely depending on the plant species and tissue. Young leaf tissue is often recommended because it has fewer chemicals like tannins and typically a higher ratio of nuclear DNA to secondary metabolites[85][86]. Mature leaves, bark, or seeds may be much harder to extract from due to lignification and compounds accumulation. A few to tens of micrograms of DNA per gram of fresh leaf tissue is a reasonable expectation for many plants, but some yield less. Quality is assessed by spectrophotometer: A260/280 ~1.8 and A260/230 ~2.0-2.2 are considered pure DNA. Plant DNA preps often show lower 260/230 ratios if polyphenols or carbohydrates are residual (since those absorb at 230 nm), and these contaminants can inhibit downstream PCR[87][88]. Thus, a second purification (such as an additional ethanol wash, a spin column clean-up, or dialysis) may be used if high purity is required.

In terms of *equipment* for plant DNA extraction, besides standard lab tools, a crucial piece is often a tissue grinder or bead mill for high-throughput work. Also, liquid nitrogen or dry ice is commonly needed for pulverizing tissues. Centrifuges capable of spinning at high speed (to pellet fine debris after lysis) are important because plant lysates often contain fine particulates that need clearing. Some protocols use phase separation tubes (like Phase-Lock Gel tubes) to make separation of the aqueous phase cleaner when using chloroform or phenol, thus minimizing interface contamination.

Overall, plant DNA extraction demands careful protocol choice and sometimes trial-and-error optimization for a given species[89][90]. A method that works for one plant may need tweaking for another, which is why plant biologists often screen a few published protocols or kits to find the best result for their particular samples[90][91]. But with the right approach—often involving CTAB for problematic tissues or quick methods for easier ones—high-quality plant DNA can be obtained and used for applications ranging from PCR-based markers to whole genome sequencing.

Figure 2: Two widely used methods for plant DNA extraction. (A) The Edward's method uses a simple SDS-based buffer (no organic solvents) and is quick but may leave inhibitors. (B) The CTAB method involves a CTAB buffer with high salt to precipitate polysaccharides, often followed by chloroform extraction and isopropanol DNA precipitation. The CTAB method is more effective for plants with high secondary metabolites[83][80].

Animal Tissue DNA Extraction

DNA extraction from animal tissues (including human samples) is generally more straightforward than from plant tissue, as animal cells lack a cell wall and typically have fewer complicating secondary compounds. However, animal samples can vary widely – from cultured cells and soft tissues like liver, to tough tissues like muscle or skin, to calcified material like bone or teeth. Different approaches exist to handle this range, but many standard protocols suffice for most soft tissues.

Cell and Tissue Lysis: For many animal tissues (e.g. liver, kidney, cultured cells, blood leukocytes), lysis is accomplished by SDS or other detergents combined with Proteinase K digestion. A typical procedure for genomic DNA from, say, a mouse tail clipping or a piece of liver is to incubate the tissue in a lysis buffer containing SDS, EDTA, Tris, and a high concentration of Proteinase K (often 100–200 µg/mL) at 55–60 °C overnight[92][93]. The enzyme slowly breaks down cell and nuclear proteins, histones, and releases DNA into solution. The long incubation helps to completely solubilize the tissue. Some protocols include a preliminary mechanical disruption (like grinding the tissue or using a tissue homogenizer) to speed up access of the reagents, but many times the proteinase K itself is sufficient for soft tissues. For tougher samples like fibrous muscle or skin, cutting the tissue into small pieces or using a homogenizer can improve lysis. Bone or teeth require demineralization (often an EDTA decalcification step over hours to days) before the standard extraction, or specialized methods like grinding the bone to powder followed by decalcification and extraction, which are used in forensics to retrieve DNA from skeletal material.

After lysis and proteinase K treatment, the lysate can be processed in a few ways. A common older method is the salting-out procedure (Miller et al. 1988) particularly for blood or tissue homogenates[10][11]. In this method, after SDS/Proteinase K lysis, a concentrated salt solution (such as 6 M NaCl or ammonium acetate ~ 4 M) is added. The mixture is shaken or gently mixed, then centrifuged. Proteins precipitate out (forming a pellet or a white flocculent material), and genomic DNA remains in the clear supernatant[43][44]. The supernatant is then transferred and DNA is precipitated with ethanol. This yields quite pure DNA (since proteins and SDS have largely been removed) and avoids toxic chemicals. It became a popular method for extracting DNA from blood, and is still used when cost or simplicity is a concern.

Another widely used approach for animal samples is phenol–chloroform extraction. This is done similarly as described earlier: after proteinase K digestion, equal volumes of phenol-chloroform (or sequential phenol then chloroform extractions) are used to remove proteins[17][60]. The DNA in the aqueous phase is then precipitated. Phenol-chloroform generally gives very high-quality DNA (high molecular weight, clean) and can be used on any tissue, but it's more laborious and hazardous. Many labs have shifted to column-based kits for convenience, especially for moderate sample numbers. Companies offer genomic DNA kits for tissues where you lyse the sample in a provided buffer with proteinase K (often these buffers contain chaotropic salts to allow direct binding after lysis). After a digestion step, you add ethanol and transfer to a spin column to bind DNA, then wash and elute. These kits are effective for most tissues; however, extremely fatty or protein-rich tissues may clog columns if too much input is used, so often there are recommendations for maximum input mass (e.g., no more than 25 mg of tissue).

Blood DNA Extraction: Blood is a common source of animal DNA (especially for human diagnostics). However, mature red blood cells in mammals have no nuclei (no DNA), so the DNA comes from white blood cells. A typical protocol involves first lysing red blood cells selectively (using a mild hypotonic buffer or ammonium chloride solution that destroys RBCs but leaves white cells intact), pelleting the white blood cells, and then extracting DNA from those cells via either salting-out, phenol-chloroform, or a column method. Many blood DNA kits use silica membranes that can process relatively large volumes of blood (several hundred microliters to a few milliliters) by using vacuum manifolds or special large columns. Yields from 1 mL of human blood might be in the range of 20–30 μg genomic DNA with typical methods.

Special Cases: Some animal-derived samples like formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded (FFPE) tissue require additional steps because DNA may be crosslinked and fragmented. There are kits with deparaffinization steps and extended incubations to try to recover DNA, albeit usually in shorter fragments due to the fixation damage. Another special case is extracting mitochondrial DNA versus nuclear DNA – if one specifically wants mitochondria, a differential centrifugation to isolate mitochondria may be done first, but if total DNA is fine, then the standard extraction just yields a mixture of nuclear and mitochondrial DNA.

In summary, DNA extraction from animal tissues often follows a straightforward SDS/Proteinase K lysis and then either organic extraction or binding to a silica-based matrix for purification. The challenges are usually less about chemical inhibitors (as in plants) and more about quantity and quality: ensuring that tough tissues are fully lysed and that proteins are thoroughly removed. The 260/280 ratio is a good indicator of protein contamination; pure animal DNA samples typically have A260/A280 around 1.8. If protein contamination is present (ratio <1.7), additional phenol-chloroform or re-precipitation steps can help. Because animal genomes (especially mammalian) are large (~3 billion base pairs for human), the genomic DNA can be very high molecular weight; to preserve this (if needed for long-range analyses), one should avoid excessive pipetting or vortexing.

Finally, automation is increasingly used for animal DNA extraction in clinical labs (for example, robot systems using magnetic bead kits to extract DNA from dozens of blood or saliva samples in parallel). These systems can consistently yield DNA suitable for PCR-based diagnostic tests (e.g., in infectious disease or genetic screening), demonstrating the robustness of modern extraction methods when properly implemented.

Comparison of Traditional and Modern DNA Extraction Techniques

A multitude of DNA extraction methods have been developed, but they generally fall into two categories: traditional techniques, often involving organic solvents or simple precipitation, and modern techniques that utilize solid-phase binding and are amenable to automation. Here we compare the prototypical traditional method (phenol–chloroform extraction) with two popular modern methods (silica spin columns and magnetic beads). We will discuss how each method works, and weigh their advantages and disadvantages in terms of yield, purity, time, safety, and scalability. Table 2 at the end of this section provides a summary of the comparisons.

Phenol–Chloroform Extraction (Liquid-Liquid, Traditional)

Phenol–chloroform extraction (also known as PCI when isoamyl alcohol is included) is a time-honored method for purifying DNA. This method relies on the differential solubility of DNA vs. proteins in aqueous vs. organic phases. After cell lysis (typically with SDS and proteinase K as described before), the sample is mixed with an equal volume of phenol:chloroform (commonly a 25:24:1 mixture of phenol:chloroform:isoamyl alcohol)[94][95]. Vigorous mixing (vortexing) emulsifies the phases; then centrifugation separates them, with proteins partitioning mostly into the phenol phase or precipitating at the interphase, and DNA remaining in the aqueous phase[39][42]. The aqueous layer containing DNA is carefully removed. Typically, another round of chloroform-only extraction is done to clean any residual phenol and proteins (chloroform helps remove phenol and further clarifies the solution). Finally, DNA is recovered from the aqueous layer by ethanol or isopropanol precipitation.

Advantages: Phenol–chloroform extraction is known for yielding DNA of high molecular weight with minimal breakage, because the process is gentle (no binding or harsh wash steps that might shear DNA). It is very efficient at removing proteins and lipids, often resulting in extremely pure DNA. In fact, DNA purified by phenol–chloroform often has higher A260/280 purity ratios than simpler methods because proteins are thoroughly removed[96]. The method is also relatively low-cost: phenol and chloroform are inexpensive per-sample compared to commercial kits, and a large amount of DNA (from large volume samples) can be processed by scaling up volumes. There is essentially no proprietary reagent needed. It has been considered a “gold standard” for yielding maximum DNA from a sample[66] – for instance, in comparative studies phenol extraction often yields slightly more DNA than column methods because columns have a binding capacity limit.

Furthermore, phenol–chloroform can work on virtually any sample type (blood, tissues, plant, bacteria, etc.) as long as additional steps (like CTAB for plant or lysozyme for bacteria) are included appropriately; it’s very versatile. It also can retrieve both DNA and RNA (if steps are adjusted, e.g. using acidic phenol to separate RNA vs DNA as in TRIzol reagent), although here we focus on DNA.

Disadvantages: The downsides are significant in terms of practicality and safety. Phenol (especially) and chloroform are hazardous chemicals: phenol is corrosive and can cause severe burns, and chloroform is toxic and suspected carcinogen. Working with them requires a fume hood, protective equipment, and careful waste disposal[56]. This makes the method less appealing for routine use, especially in clinical labs or educational labs. The process is also time-consuming and labor-intensive. Waiting for phase separation, performing multiple extractions, and doing precipitation and washes can take several hours per batch of samples. It’s not easily streamlined for high-throughput – while one can do many tubes in parallel, it doesn’t scale to 96-well plate format or automation easily. Additionally, improper technique (e.g., disturbing the interphase) can lead to cross-contamination between phases, resulting in protein contamination in the DNA prep. A *minor drawback* is that phenol–chloroform extraction does not inherently separate RNA from DNA, so if RNA-free DNA is needed, an RNase treatment step must be added either during or after extraction. Also, the DNA pellet obtained might need extra drying time to ensure no residual ethanol, which if overdone can make DNA harder to dissolve.

An important limitation in some contexts: phenol–chloroform extraction typically shears very large DNA less than column methods do, but still the physical handling (mixing, pipetting) can cause fragmentation. For specialized applications requiring ultra-high molecular weight DNA (such as some genome assembly techniques), even gentler extraction like agarose plugs might be needed – but among common methods, PCI is reasonably good at preserving length.

In summary, phenol–chloroform is excellent for purity and yield and low cost, but scores low on safety, speed, and throughput. It remains in use for applications where maximum yield is needed or when dealing with unusual sample matrices where commercial kits don’t work as well. For example, many forensic protocols for old bone or hair use phenol as part of the pipeline (sometimes in combination with other methods) to get every bit of DNA out.

Silica Spin Column Extraction (Solid-Phase, Modern)
Silica-based spin column kits have become the workhorse of molecular biology labs for DNA (and RNA) purification. These kits, first popularized in the 1990s, use the principle that nucleic acids will bind to silica in the presence of high concentrations of chaotropic salts and alcohol[19]. A typical spin column consists of a small plastic column with a silica membrane; it fits into a collection tube for centrifugation. The procedure involves: (1) Lyse the sample in a proprietary lysis buffer that usually contains a chaotropic salt (e.g., guanidinium chloride) and often a detergent. Many kits include Proteinase K to digest proteins during lysis. (2) Add ethanol to the lysate to further favor DNA binding, then transfer the mixture to the column. Centrifuge or vacuum is applied so that the liquid passes through the membrane. DNA binds to the silica membrane, while the flow-through (collected in the tube) contains proteins and other impurities that did not bind[46][97]. (3) Wash the column by adding a prepared wash buffer (typically an ethanol-containing buffer with moderate salt) and centrifuging; usually two different washes are done (one high-salt wash, one low-salt 70% ethanol) to remove residual contaminants. (4) Elute the DNA by adding a few tens of microliters of water or low-salt buffer and centrifuging, which releases DNA from the membrane into a clean tube[21].

Advantages: Spin column methods are extremely fast and convenient. A single sample can be processed in minutes, and multiple samples can be done in parallel without significantly more effort. There is no precipitation step that requires waiting for DNA to pellet; the bind-wash-elute format simplifies things. The kits are also generally safe and user-friendly – they avoid phenol and chloroform entirely. The buffers are typically non-toxic (though the chaotropic salts are irritants and should not be ingested or contacted with skin, they are nowhere near as dangerous as phenol). The only alcohol used is ethanol in wash buffers, which is routine lab alcohol. This makes the method suitable for any lab setting and has been widely adopted in clinical laboratories for automated DNA extraction as well.

Another strong advantage is reproducibility and consistency. Because the kits are standardized, users can usually get consistent yield and purity if the protocol is followed, whereas phenol extraction can sometimes result in variability (due to phase emulsions or pellet issues etc.). Silica columns also tend to produce DNA that is sufficiently pure for most applications (A260/280 around 1.8; A260/230 might be a bit lower, ~1.5-2.2, because chaotropic salts can sometimes cause 230 nm absorbance if not fully removed). In fact, one note is that some studies find column-purified DNA can have *higher* 260/280 (indicative of fewer protein contaminants) than phenol-chloroform preps[98], likely because columns can better remove trace proteins by multiple washes. The resulting DNA is ready to use (already in aqueous solution).

Spin columns are also scalable to some extent: while each column handles one sample, there are vacuum manifolds and 96-well plate versions available, meaning dozens of samples can be processed in parallel for high-throughput needs (commonly used in genomic core facilities and clinical testing labs). Automation is possible by using vacuum or positive pressure robots that handle multi-column plates.

Disadvantages: The main drawbacks of spin column methods are cost and capacity. Each sample requires a kit with consumable columns and proprietary buffers, which can be relatively expensive (a few dollars per prep, which adds up in large projects). In contrast, phenol–chloroform and salt methods use bulk reagents that are cheaper per sample. Another limitation is that silica columns have a finite binding capacity – typically, standard mini prep columns bind up to ~50 µg of DNA. If one has a very DNA-rich sample, some of the DNA might be lost if it exceeds the capacity (although this is rarely an issue with genomic DNA from typical sample sizes; it's more of an issue in plasmid preps or if extracting from very large volume of blood). Still, if maximum yield from a large sample is required, one might need to do multiple columns or revert to a precipitation method. Also, very large DNA fragments (like >100 kb) can be partially sheared during the process of binding and washing on the membrane, especially if the membrane is not treated gently. For most PCR and sequencing uses, this is irrelevant, but for long-read sequencing, some specialized kits avoid silica columns and use gentle methods to preserve length.

Another disadvantage is that certain compounds can interfere with silica binding. For example, if the sample has carryover of ethanol or certain salts, the DNA might not elute well. Or if the binding conditions are not correctly met (e.g., forgetting to add ethanol), the DNA will not bind and will be lost in the flow-through. So one has to follow the protocol carefully.

One more point: spin columns often co-purify small amounts of RNA if no RNase is used, because RNA will also bind to silica (especially if fragmented). Most genomic DNA kit protocols include an RNase A addition step to degrade RNA during lysis, thus DNA is the primary nucleic acid that binds. If RNase is omitted, the final DNA prep might have significant RNA, raising the 260/280 ratio (RNA has ~2.0 ratio) and possibly affecting downstream applications.

Despite these caveats, silica column methods strike an excellent balance for most labs. They are the default choice for routine DNA extraction due to their speed and ease. Numerous comparative studies have shown that the yield and quality from a good silica kit is on par with phenol–chloroform in most cases[99][66], with the silica sometimes slightly lower in yield but not enough to outweigh the convenience for most users.

Magnetic Bead-Based Extraction (Solid-Phase, Modern)

Magnetic bead DNA extraction is a newer solid-phase technology that has gained popularity, especially in high-throughput and automated settings. The basic principle is similar to silica columns – DNA binds to a solid surface (in this case, magnetic particles coated with a DNA-binding material) under certain buffer conditions[47][100]. However, the format is different: rather than binding DNA by passing a solution through a membrane, the DNA in solution binds to beads which can then be physically separated by magnets.

The typical workflow: cells or samples are lysed with a chaotropic lysis buffer (like for columns). Then, paramagnetic beads (usually coated with silica or a proprietary polymer) are added to the lysate along with alcohol if required. DNA adsorbs onto the surface of the beads[47][48]. Next, a magnet is applied to the tube or well, pulling the beads (with DNA attached) to the side or bottom, and the liquid (containing contaminants) is removed[101][49]. The beads are washed by adding a wash buffer and re-separating with the magnet. Finally, DNA is eluted from the beads by adding a low-salt buffer or water and then collecting the supernatant once the beads are pulled aside by the magnet[102][103].

Advantages: The strengths of magnetic bead methods include speed and throughput, particularly for automation. Magnetic separation can be very rapid – often on the order of seconds for the beads to collect[104]. This can make the overall extraction protocol faster than even spin columns. For instance, one source notes that a complete purification with magnetic beads can be done in under 5 minutes for PCR products[104], and genomic DNA preps can be done in 15–30 minutes depending on the protocol[52]. There are instruments that handle many samples in parallel with magnetic heads that move in and out of 96-well plates, enabling truly high-throughput DNA extraction (dozens to hundreds of samples processed simultaneously)[105][103]. This is widely used in clinical labs (e.g., COVID-19 testing labs used magnetic bead systems to extract viral RNA, similarly for DNA testing) because the process can be easily automated with liquid-handling robots[106][103].

Magnetic methods also eliminate the need for centrifugation, which means they can be done in a simple setting and even potentially in the field with portable magnet setups. They are modular and scalable – for example, you can use them in microfluidic devices or automated extraction machines that simply move magnetic rods. Another advantage is that because the separation is not based on flow through a membrane, there are no issues of column clogging; samples that are viscous or have particulates might clog a spin column but can often be handled by bead methods if given proper pretreatment.

Additionally, the absence of centrifugation and the gentle mixing reduce shear forces on DNA. This can help preserve high molecular weight DNA. Magnetic bead protocols are often touted as being able to yield longer DNA fragments intact[107], though one must still be careful during mixing steps.

Disadvantages: The main disadvantage is cost, as magnetic bead kits or reagents can be expensive (the beads themselves are more costly to produce than a silica membrane). However, some protocols allow reuse of beads or use lower-cost homemade magnetic nanoparticles, so this can be mitigated in some labs. Another issue is that in manual handling, a small amount of liquid can remain trapped with the beads if one is not careful, potentially carrying contaminants. It requires a slightly different skill – one must be comfortable handling and aspirating supernatant without disturbing the (sometimes invisible) pellet of beads. If beads are not completely immobilized or if the magnet is weak, one can accidentally pipette out beads which then could carry over to the eluted DNA. If beads are carried over into the final DNA solution, they could inhibit downstream reactions (some beads have coatings that can affect enzymes). That said, protocols usually have steps to minimize this, and automated systems are calibrated to avoid it.

Another disadvantage is the binding capacity is finite as well, similar to columns. Very high DNA quantities might saturate the beads. In practice though, most genomic DNA yields are well within the capacity of typical bead volumes used.

For single-sample processing, beads may not be significantly faster than a spin column – where they shine is if doing many samples at once. If doing one or two samples manually, spin columns might feel simpler (no need to fiddle with magnets). But for dozens of samples, beads can be faster since one can mix and magnetically separate in one big batch rather than centrifuging each column individually.

Comparison Summary: All three methods (phenol–chloroform, spin column, magnetic beads) can achieve the end goal of purified DNA, but with trade-offs:

- Yield: Phenol–chloroform often recovers the maximum yield, including very large DNA, but columns and beads typically recover near-maximal yield (and sometimes better purity means more effective yield of amplifiable DNA). In some studies, column and bead methods are comparable to phenol for yield[99][66], and salting-out can also match phenol in yield[108]. So yield differences are usually minor unless working at scale or with extremely large DNA.
- Purity: All methods can produce high purity DNA. Phenol DNA is very pure from proteins (high 260/280) but may have residual phenol if not washed properly. Column DNA has very little protein (often even higher 260/280), but sometimes has guanidine or ethanol carryover if not done correctly, which can lower 260/230. Magnetic beads similarly can produce pure DNA, though any carryover of bead or binding buffer can inhibit PCR – but generally kits formulate washes to avoid that. So in terms of downstream performance, all three can be made to work well, but spin and magnetic avoid the issue of organic solvent residuals entirely.
- Time and Ease: Spin columns and magnetic beads are much faster and easier than phenol–chloroform. Among those two, spin columns require centrifuge steps; magnetic beads require pipetting and magnet waits. With a multi-sample, beads can be fastest (no serial centrifugation). For a few samples, both are quick (minutes), whereas phenol might take an hour or more for the same.
- Safety: Columns and beads use relatively non-hazardous reagents; phenol–

chloroform uses hazardous ones requiring special handling[56].

- Equipment: Phenol requires a centrifuge and a fume hood. Spin columns require a centrifuge or vacuum manifold. Magnetic beads require a magnetic rack (and often a multichannel pipette or robotic system for high-throughput).
- Automation: Magnetic beads are the easiest to automate (96-well plates with magnets)[105][103]. Spin columns can be done on vacuum manifolds for medium-throughput, but full automation is trickier. Phenol-chloroform is not automatable in any straightforward way, because phase extraction in a robot would be complicated (though not impossible, it's generally not done).

To encapsulate these points, Table 2 provides a direct comparison.

Table 2: Comparison of DNA Extraction Methods – Phenol-Chloroform vs. Silica Spin Column vs. Magnetic Beads

Aspect	Phenol–Chloroform (Organic)	Silica Spin Column	Magnetic Beads
Principle	Liquid-liquid extraction using phenol and chloroform to separate DNA (aqueous phase) from proteins (organic phase)[39][42]. DNA is then precipitated with alcohol.	Solid-phase extraction; DNA binds to silica membrane in presence of chaotropic salt and alcohol, impurities flow through[46][97]. Wash steps remove contaminants, then DNA is eluted.	Solid-phase extraction; DNA binds to silica-coated magnetic particles under chaotropic salt conditions[47]. Beads are magnetically separated, washed, and DNA is eluted off the beads.
Hands-on Time	Relatively high – multiple extraction and precipitation steps (can take 1–2 hours for a batch)[109][110].	Low – typically 15–30 minutes per batch of samples; quick centrifuge steps.	Low – 15–30 minutes or less; can be very fast especially with automation (e.g., <15 min)[52].
Yield	High yield; recovers all DNA, including high molecular weight. Often considered gold-standard for maximum yield[66].	High yield, but very large DNA (>50–100 kb) might bind less efficiently or be sheared. Capacity ~50 µg per mini column (large preps available for more).	High yield comparable to columns; can be scaled by adjusting bead volume. Good recovery of even large DNA if handled gently[52][107].
Purity	Very pure DNA (low protein contamination) resulting in A260/280 ~1.8–1.9[96]. Requires complete removal of phenol (otherwise absorbance or enzyme issues).	Very pure DNA, protein removed well (often A260/280 ~1.8). Some risk of guanidine/ethanol carryover if not careful, which can lower A260/230. Kits often yield PCR-quality DNA readily.	Very pure DNA if protocol is optimized. Washing steps remove contaminants; however, any carryover of magnetic particles or binding salts can inhibit reactions, so proper separation is key.
Safety	Low safety: uses toxic phenol (caustic) and chloroform (toxic)[56]; requires fume hood and careful waste handling.	High safety: no hazardous chemicals (aside from irritant salts and ethanol). Friendly for routine lab work.	High safety: no organic solvents, though one must handle fine magnetic particles (usually suspended in buffers) – not dangerous, but avoid ingestion/inhalation.

Aspect	Phenol–Chloroform (Organic)	Silica Spin Column	Magnetic Beads
Equipment	Centrifuge (for phase separation and pelleting DNA). Fume hood mandatory. Pipettes for phase transfer.	Centrifuge (bench-top microcentrifuge or vacuum manifold). Pipettes. No fume hood needed.	Magnetic rack (for tubes or plates). Pipettes or liquid handling robot. No centrifuge needed (except possibly an initial cell pellet).
Scalability/Throughput	Low throughput: handling individual tubes is tedious; not easily scaled to 96-well. Phase separation is manual.	Medium throughput: with multi-channel pipettes or vacuum manifolds, can do 12–24 samples in parallel reasonably. 96-well silica plate kits exist, but still need centrifuge/vacuum steps.	Excellent throughput: easily adapted to 96-well format and automation[105][103]. Robots can process dozens of samples in parallel using magnetic heads.
Cost per Sample	Low for reagents (phenol/chloroform are cheap in bulk). Labor cost higher due to hands-on time.	Moderate to high: each sample uses a kit column and buffers (few dollars per sample). Convenience often outweighs cost in labs.	Moderate to high: beads and kits are comparable in cost to columns or slightly more. High-throughput labs buy in bulk; cost offset by automation benefits.
Limitations	Laborious and hazardous; not amenable to automation or clinical use. Risk of operator error (e.g., disturbing interphase). Residual solvent can inhibit downstream if carryover.	Binding capacity can be limiting for extremely DNA-rich samples; potential to shear large DNA; requires centrifuge/electricity. Generally not ideal for fieldwork (though some manual mini-columns exist).	Requires magnetic equipment; careful technique to avoid bead carryover. Beads can sometimes co-purify inhibitors if buffers are not well optimized (e.g., carryover of ethanol if beads not dried enough). Some protocols leave DNA bound if not properly eluted (so ensure adequate volume and time for elution).

In practice, many laboratories keep phenol–chloroform as a backup method – for example, if a spin column kit fails to give good results on an unusual sample, a phenol extraction might salvage the DNA. But for routine work, silica columns dominate, and magnetic beads are increasingly common especially in genomics cores and large-scale projects. It's also worth noting that there are other techniques (as touched on in the introduction) like Chelex resin extraction (often used in forensic quick preps) where chelating resin is used in a boil prep to extract DNA, and FTA paper for storage and quick retrieval of DNA in field conditions[111][112]. Each method finds its niche, but the comparison above covers the most widely used lab-scale methods.

Applications of DNA Extraction in Research and Diagnostics

Isolated DNA is a prerequisite for a vast array of applications across biological research, medicine, and biotechnology. The quality and quantity of extracted DNA can directly influence the success of these downstream applications, making the extraction step critically important[113][114]. Here we highlight some major uses of genomic DNA preparations and why effective extraction methods matter.

Molecular Cloning and Genetic Engineering: In basic research, DNA extraction is often the first step in cloning a gene or analyzing a genetic sequence. Researchers extract genomic DNA from an organism of interest to then amplify a gene by PCR or to construct genomic libraries. High-quality DNA ensures that long regions can be amplified without breaks, and that restriction enzymes can cut the DNA at target sites without interference from contaminants. For example, in making a transgenic plant or animal, one might isolate DNA carrying a particular gene variant from donor organisms. Any carryover of phenolic compounds or proteins could inhibit the enzymes used in cloning (like restriction enzymes, polymerases, or ligases). Thus, methods that produce clean DNA, like column or phenol preps, facilitate successful cloning experiments.

Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR) and qPCR: PCR is ubiquitously used in both research and diagnostic contexts, and it typically requires template DNA that is free of inhibitors. Common inhibitors include hemoglobin in blood, humic acid in soil extracts, or residual ethanol/phenol from extraction. DNA extraction methods have been refined to remove these because even trace amounts can cause PCR to fail or be less sensitive[74][115]. In clinical diagnostics, for example, detecting a pathogen by PCR in a patient sample requires first extracting the pathogen's DNA (or RNA, in which case a similar concept applies for RNA extraction) cleanly. qPCR (quantitative PCR) is especially sensitive to inhibitors because any reduction in amplification efficiency can skew the quantitation. Therefore, diagnostic DNA extraction kits are often validated to ensure they yield PCR-compatible DNA from challenging specimens (like sputum, blood, stool)[116][117]. There are even internal controls in diagnostic PCR assays to check for inhibition, reflecting how critical this issue is. Fast and automated extraction (often with magnetic beads) has enabled high-throughput PCR diagnostics, such as screening hundreds of samples for viral or bacterial DNA in a day.

DNA Sequencing and Genomics: Sequencing technologies, from Sanger sequencing to next-generation sequencing (NGS) and now third-generation long-read sequencing, all start with DNA extraction. The requirements vary by technique: for short-read NGS (e.g., Illumina sequencers), one needs pure DNA but fragmentation size is less important (the protocols will shear DNA deliberately). For long-read sequencers (Pacific Biosciences or Oxford Nanopore), having very high molecular weight DNA can dramatically improve read lengths and genome assembly. Methods like phenol–chloroform or magnetic bead protocols that minimize shearing are often recommended for those applications. Contaminants can cause sequencing library prep to fail; for instance, carryover phenol can inhibit enzymatic tagmentation in Illumina library prep, or polysaccharides can clog nanopore sequencers. So extraction protocols might be tweaked (e.g., using additional cleanup steps) when preparing samples for genomic sequencing projects. With the rise of metagenomics (sequencing DNA from environmental samples or microbiomes), DNA extraction has to be efficient for many species at once and free of inhibitors. Studies have shown that different extraction methods can bias the apparent representation of organisms in a metagenomic sample (for example, methods that include a bead-beating step might recover more DNA from tough bacteria, thereby changing the community profile)[69]. Thus, selecting an appropriate method is part of experimental design in genomics studies[118].

Diagnostic Testing and Medical Applications: Beyond PCR, extracted DNA is used in various diagnostics: e.g., RFLP analysis for genetic diseases, STR analysis for forensic DNA fingerprinting, or sequencing specific genes to identify mutations (as in cancer genomics or genetic disorders). In many of these cases, the DNA sample is precious (like a small tumor biopsy or a single hair in forensics), so the method must maximize yield. Automated kits (often silica or magnetic bead based) in clinical labs are validated to consistently produce DNA that meets purity criteria (A260/280, etc.) for reliable testing[116]. Forensic labs sometimes use Chelex extraction for speed (especially for reference samples like saliva swabs), which yields DNA sufficient for PCR but not the purest – trade-offs are made if speed is more critical than absolute purity. For advanced diagnostics such as non-invasive prenatal testing (which examines cell-free fetal DNA in maternal blood) or liquid biopsy for cancer (circulating tumor DNA), specialized extraction methods that can handle low quantity and fragmented DNA are used. These often employ magnetic beads that can capture short DNA fragments efficiently.

Agricultural and Environmental Biology: In agriculture, DNA extraction allows for GMO detection (looking for transgenic DNA in crops or foods) and for marker-assisted selection in breeding. These typically rely on quick extraction from plant or seed material followed by PCR. Simpler extraction methods may be used if many samples must be screened (for instance, crude alkaline lysis or quick kits that may not produce the absolute highest purity but are fast). For environmental DNA (eDNA) projects, DNA is extracted from water or soil to detect organisms present in an environment – again requiring removal of inhibitors like humic substances. The success of detecting, say, a rare animal's DNA in a pond water sample can depend on using an extraction method that concentrates DNA well and removes PCR inhibitors. Many eDNA kits use glass fiber filters and silica columns to handle the large volumes of water that might be processed.

Evolutionary Biology and Anthropology: Extracting DNA from ancient specimens (ancient DNA from bones, amber, mummified tissues) is an application that pushes the limits of extraction. The DNA in these samples is typically highly fragmented and present in tiny amounts. Techniques here often involve demineralization (for bone) and then either silica-based methods (like spin columns or silica beads in solution) to bind the tiny DNA fragments[119]. Silica has an affinity even for short ~100 bp fragments (with high molarity of chaotropic salts and alcohol), which is one reason silica-based methods revolutionized ancient DNA retrieval in the 1990s. Phenol–chloroform is less used in ancient DNA because it requires more handling (increasing contamination risk) and doesn't enrich the DNA relative to volume as silica binding can. Magnetic beads have been adopted in some ancient DNA labs for their gentle handling and ability to be done in low-biomass clean rooms with automation (minimizing human contact, which is a source of contamination).

Personal Genomics and Ancestry Testing: Companies offering direct-to-consumer DNA testing (for ancestry or health) often start with customers sending in saliva or cheek swabs. The DNA extraction in these kits is usually done with automated silica or magnetic systems in 96-well plates. It needs to be efficient (to get enough human DNA from saliva, which also contains bacterial DNA) and pure (PCR and genotyping arrays are used, requiring clean DNA). Here the reliability and scale that modern methods offer have been key to processing tens of thousands of samples.

In all these examples, the common thread is that the input DNA must be of sufficient quality for the intended use. In research, a failed DNA prep means lost time; in diagnostics, it could mean an inconclusive test or need for a redraw of patient sample. Thus, considerable effort and innovation have been invested in improving DNA extraction methods to meet the needs of various applications. The advent of kits and automation has taken DNA extraction from being a laborious bottleneck to a mostly routine, high-throughput process in many settings, enabling the explosion of genomic data we see today.

Challenges and Troubleshooting in DNA Extraction

Despite the maturity of DNA extraction techniques, challenges still commonly arise, especially when working with difficult samples or when a protocol is not optimized. Troubleshooting these issues is an important skill for researchers. Below we discuss some common problems encountered in DNA isolation and approaches to resolve them.

Low Yield of DNA: One of the most frequent issues is obtaining less DNA than expected. This can result from incomplete lysis of the sample, loss of DNA during extraction, or lower-than-anticipated DNA content in the starting material. Incomplete lysis is often a culprit – for instance, not grinding plant tissue thoroughly, or not using lysozyme for Gram-positive bacteria, can leave a significant fraction of cells intact, and their DNA will be lost in the pellet. The solution is to ensure the lysis step is as effective as possible: use fresh reagents (old SDS solutions can precipitate or be ineffective; proteinase K solutions can lose activity if not stored properly), and adjust mechanical steps (grind longer, or use a bead beater if manual grinding wasn't enough). Another cause of low yield is DNA loss during phase separation or binding. In phenol–chloroform extraction, if the interface is not handled carefully, DNA can sometimes get trapped or discarded with the organic phase – using a phase lock gel or adding carrier (like glycogen) for precipitation can help small amounts of DNA remain in the aqueous phase. In silica column methods, if the binding capacity is exceeded, some DNA will flow through; splitting the sample over two columns or using a column designed for higher capacity can improve yields. Also, ethanol precipitation itself can lose DNA if the pellet is not handled gently (pellets from dilute DNA can be invisible and easily lost when decanting supernatant). Using a carrier molecule (such as linear polyacrylamide or glycogen at low µg/mL levels) during precipitation can aid recovery of small amounts of DNA by forming a visible co-precipitate[120]. If low yield is due to inherently low DNA content in sample (e.g., some fungi have low biomass), one might need to simply upscale the input quantity of starting material or culture the cells to higher density if possible.

Degraded DNA: Sometimes the DNA extracted is in a fragmented form (appearing smeared at low size on a gel, or giving low molecular weight upon analysis). This can be due to DNase activity if the sample wasn't properly protected, or mechanical shearing. If nuclease activity is suspected (for example, genomic DNA from blood appears very smeared), check that the lysis buffer had sufficient EDTA and that the sample was kept cold or processed quickly. Some tissues (pancreas, spleen) are rich in nucleases and need extra quick handling; adding more EDTA or a nuclease inhibitor (like chaotropic salts immediately) can help. Another place DNA can degrade is in dried pellets if left at room temperature for too long; it's best to rehydrate DNA pellets promptly or store them cold. Mechanical shearing can happen if one vortexes or pipettes genomic DNA too vigorously, especially after it's been partially purified (when it can be very viscous). The fix is to handle genomic DNA solutions gently: invert tubes to mix instead of vortexing, use wide-bore pipette tips if available, and avoid unnecessarily re-freezing and thawing the DNA (freeze-thaw cycles can break long strands). If high molecular weight DNA is needed, some protocols go to great lengths such as embedding cells in agarose plugs to extract DNA with minimal physical stress – but for typical purposes, just being cautious with pipetting is usually enough.

Contamination and Impurities: Contaminants in the DNA prep can be of several types: protein contamination (leading to low 260/280 ratio), RNA contamination, polysaccharide or phenolic contamination (often seen as a brown-tinted DNA pellet or an unusually high 260/280 and low 260/230 ratio, or simply poor performance in enzymatic reactions). Protein contamination typically indicates that the extraction was not stringent enough – perhaps the organic extraction was insufficient (maybe not enough phenol extractions or inadequate mixing), or in a column method, maybe the wash steps were not done or were insufficient. If using a kit, one should ensure the wash buffers are used in correct volumes and number of washes. If protein contamination is suspected, an additional clean-up step can be introduced: for example, even after a column prep, one could do a phenol–chloroform extraction on the eluted DNA (though this might result in some loss, it can clean very stubborn impurities).

RNA contamination is common if RNase was not used. RNA can inflate the measured DNA concentration (since it also absorbs at 260 nm). If downstream applications are not RNA-sensitive (e.g., PCR usually tolerates some RNA, but certain library preps might not), it may not be a big problem. However, if pure DNA is needed, treating the sample with RNase A (as many protocols include) is the solution[62]. RNase A is usually added during or right after lysis and incubated for a few minutes to degrade RNA. It's important that the RNase is active and DNAse-free (as some RNase prep might have DNase contamination – buying molecular biology grade RNase or treating it to remove DNase is important).

Polysaccharide contamination (common in plant DNA) results in viscous DNA solutions that are hard to pipette and that often fail in PCR (polysaccharides can inhibit polymerases). If a plant DNA prep is very gelatinous or viscous, likely not all polysaccharides were removed. Using CTAB is the primary preventative measure[57][68]. If you still get polysaccharides, sometimes re-dissolving the DNA in a high-salt TE buffer and re-precipitating with CTAB can help (there are protocols for "CTAB clean-up" of already extracted DNA). Alternatively, passing the DNA solution through a Sepharose CL-2B column can separate large DNA from polysaccharides (a method used in some older plant DNA protocols). A simpler trick: adding more salt and ethanol and re-spinning the DNA can sometimes preferentially precipitate DNA and leave some polysaccharide in solution (though often they co-precipitate). Including PVP in the extraction buffer as mentioned prevents a lot of these problems[37].

Phenolic or other chemical contamination: If the DNA has a residual smell of phenol or solvent, or if the 260/230 ratio is very low (~<1.5), it could indicate leftover phenol, guanidine, or ethanol. Residual phenol usually gives a low 260/230 and sometimes an abnormal 260/280 (phenol absorbs around 270 nm, skewing the ratio). The fix is to perform an additional chloroform-only extraction on the DNA solution and re-precipitate, or dialyze the DNA against TE buffer to diffuse out small molecule contaminants. Residual ethanol (from not drying the pellet fully or from column wash) can be removed by evaporating it (e.g., leave tube open for a bit, or heat at 37 °C for a short time) – but one must be careful not to over-dry the pellet or DNA which can make it hard to dissolve. Ensuring the last wash in a column is spun out completely and maybe air-drying the column for a minute before elution can prevent ethanol carryover.

Inhibition of Downstream Enzymatic Reactions: Sometimes DNA looks fine by spectrophotometry but PCR or restriction digests still fail. This can happen if there's presence of specific inhibitors not easily detected by absorption. For example, humic acids from soil can co-extract and have broad absorption that might not clearly indicate how inhibitory they are – the PCR fails even if A260/280 is ~1.8. In such cases, dilution of the DNA sample sometimes helps (diluting inhibitors below their inhibitory concentration). If dilution allows PCR to work, it suggests inhibitors were present. Solutions involve further purifying the DNA: for soil DNA, additional steps like an SDS/PVP precipitation or using a commercial clean-up column can remove humic substances. Some kits provide inhibitor removal columns (like spin columns with resins that bind inhibitors but let DNA pass). For clinical samples, heme from blood or urea from urine can inhibit PCR; protocols exist to wash blood cell pellets thoroughly or treat DNA with additional purification for those contexts. Activated charcoal or silica-based "cleaner" kits can often bind and remove many organic inhibitors.

DNA Difficult to Dissolve: High molecular weight DNA pellets can sometimes be hard to resuspend – one might see a clear pellet that just sticks to the tube. This is common if the pellet was dried too much or if the DNA is extremely high molecular weight. One should avoid over-drying DNA; it should have just no visible liquid, then promptly add buffer. If it's hard to dissolve, warming the solution at 37 °C and gentle tapping can help. Some recommend adding TE buffer and letting it sit at 4 °C overnight to slowly hydrate. It's important not to vortex as that could shear it; patience is key. Adding more EDTA (to 1 mM) and a bit of Tris (to ~pH 8) often helps because DNA is more soluble in a buffered solution than pure water (the slight alkaline pH and presence of some salt/EDTA prevents the DNA from aggregating). So using TE or Tris buffer rather than pure water can make dissolution easier, and it also protects against any DNase that might accidentally be present.

Contamination between samples: In high-throughput labs, a concern is cross-contamination – one sample's DNA contaminating another's. This is not an issue of the chemistry but of handling. It can happen when sample tubes are opened (aerosols) or if the same container (like a mortar) is reused without proper cleaning. The use of closed systems (like spin columns or automated sealed plates) has reduced this risk. Good practice includes changing pipette tips frequently (which is standard) and if using multi-sample grinders, cleaning them or using disposable grinding beads.

Finally, one challenge is choosing the right method for a new sample type. Troubleshooting might involve trying a different extraction method if one fails. For example, if a column kit isn't yielding DNA from a fungus spore sample, a researcher might try a CTAB method or a bead-beating protocol to improve lysis. Resources like papers or forums can guide what worked for similar samples. Indeed, sometimes a combination of methods works (e.g., CTAB followed by column clean-up).

In summary, while DNA extraction is often straightforward, difficult samples or subtle errors can lead to suboptimal results. By understanding the underlying chemistry (as we've detailed in previous sections), one can diagnose issues: low A260/280 hints at protein contamination, low A260/230 hints at organics or salt, a brown pellet hints at polyphenols, etc. Each has a corresponding remedy – additional washes, RNase treatment, re-extraction, etc. Modern kits often include troubleshooting tips in their manuals (like "if yield is low, ensure ethanol was added to the binding buffer" or "if DNA is brown-colored, add PVP to the buffer next time"). Thus, armed with both knowledge and these tools, one can usually obtain good DNA even from challenging sources.

II. CONCLUSION

DNA isolation techniques have come a long way from the rudimentary preparations of the 19th century to the high-throughput automated systems of today. In this article, we have explored the conceptual framework of DNA extraction and surveyed the practical methodologies for different sample types. We reviewed how classical methods like phenol–chloroform extraction and salting-out set the foundation for nucleic acid purification, providing high yields and proven effectiveness[96][108]. Building on these, modern innovations like silica spin columns and magnetic beads have greatly increased the speed, safety, and scalability of DNA extraction, enabling large-scale projects such as genomic sequencing and routine diagnostics with ease[52]. Each approach—traditional or modern—has its niche advantages: organic extractions for maximum recovery, silica columns for convenience, and magnetic beads for automation, to name a few.

Crucially, we discussed how DNA extraction is not a one-size-fits-all procedure. The diversity of life means that extracting DNA from a gram of soil demands a different strategy than extracting it from a mouth swab. Bacterial cells may need enzyme-assisted lysis, plant tissues benefit from CTAB to handle polysaccharides, and animal tissues often rely on proteolytic digestion for thorough lysis. By tailoring the method to the organism and using the appropriate reagents and equipment, scientists can overcome the barriers each sample presents. When methods are properly optimized, the isolated DNA is of sufficient quality (in terms of purity and integrity) to support an array of downstream applications—from PCR amplifications and cloning to advanced genomic analyses[3][4].

Challenges do persist, and troubleshooting remains an integral part of the DNA extraction process. Inhibitory substances, low yields, or degraded samples can confound experiments if not recognized and addressed. Yet, the continuous refinement of protocols and the development of specialized kits for "difficult" samples (such as ultra-clean plant DNA kits, forensic kits for degraded DNA, etc.) have significantly improved success rates. The expectation today is that virtually any sample containing DNA, no matter how ancient or complex, can yield analyzable genetic material if processed with a suitable method.

Looking forward, the ongoing evolution of DNA extraction methods is likely to focus on streamlining and integration. For example, efforts are being made to extract DNA in a single step or device that can feed directly into sequencing or amplification, minimizing hands-on interventions. Novel solid phases (like nanostructured materials) and microfluidic systems are on the horizon, potentially enabling DNA extraction from extremely small samples or single cells more efficiently. Moreover, as point-of-care and field-deployable genetic testing expands, extraction methods will need to be even more robust and user-friendly outside traditional laboratories (some developments like FTA paper for field sample collection and one-step lysis buffers for rapid pathogen testing are steps in this direction).

In conclusion, DNA isolation is a mature yet dynamic field. The core principles remain anchored in biochemistry and physics—lyse, separate, and purify—but the implementations continue to improve. By understanding the strengths and limitations of each approach, researchers and clinicians can choose the optimal technique for their needs. Mastery of DNA extraction empowers us to explore the genetic blueprint of life in all its forms, and improvements in these techniques will undoubtedly facilitate new discoveries in genomics, medicine, and biotechnology. As noted by a recent review, while no single method currently works best for all scenarios, the ongoing innovations promise to "overcome the limitations of these techniques" and simplify DNA handling in the future[14]. The ever-increasing demand for high-quality nucleic acids in various applications will keep driving this progress, ensuring that DNA extraction remains both an essential laboratory skill and a fertile ground for technical advancement.

REFERENCES

[1] Shetty, P. J., & Dairawan, M. (2020). *The Evolution of DNA Extraction Methods*. American Journal of Biomedical Science & Research, 8(1), 39–45.[121][52]

[2] Kumar, R. (2024). *Comparing Nucleic Acid Purification Methods: Phenol-Chloroform, Silica Spin Column, Magnetic Beads*. Luna Nanotech Blog (Feb 8, 2023; updated Mar 11, 2024)[122][123]

[3] GoldBio (2021). *Guide to Plant DNA Extraction*. Gold Biotechnology Inc. Article.[83][80]

[4] Graham, N. (2025). *How DNA Extraction Is Different in Plants*. Bitesize Bio (May 20, 2025)[37][124]

[5] Varriale, L., et al. (2017). *Nucleic Acid Extraction Techniques*. In Y.-W. Tang & C. Stratton (Eds.), Advanced Techniques in Diagnostic Microbiology (2nd ed., pp. 209–225). (PMCID: PMC7122149)[94][66]

[6] Miller, S., Dykes, D., & Polesky, H. (1988). *A simple salting out procedure for extracting DNA from human nucleated cells*. Nucleic Acids Research, 16(3), 1215.[10][11]

[7] Doyle, J. J., & Doyle, J. L. (1990). *Isolation of plant DNA from fresh tissue*. Focus, 12(1), 13–15.[78]

[8] Barker, D. L., et al. (1998). *Large-scale DNA extraction and gene identification in the human genome*. Genome Research, 8(8), 699–705.[94][17]

[9] Hawkins, T. L., O'Connor-McCourt, M. D., & Roy, A. (1994). *DNA purification and isolation using magnetic particles* (U.S. Patent 5288513).[125][13]

[10] Leach, J., et al. (2016). *Genomic DNA Isolation from Maize (Zea mays) Leaves Using a Simple, High-Throughput Protocol*. Current Protocols in Plant Biology, 1: 15–27.[126][127]

[11] Qiagen Inc. (2012). *QIAamp DNA Mini Kit Handbook*: Protocols for DNA purification from blood and tissues (with supplementary Gram-positive bacteria protocol)[64].

[12] Demeke, T., & Jenkins, G. R. (2010). *Influence of DNA extraction methods, PCR inhibitors and quantification methods on real-time PCR assay of biotechnology-derived traits*. Analytical and Bioanalytical Chemistry, 396, 1977–1990.[73][74]

[13] Tan, S. C., & Yiap, B. C. (2009). *DNA, RNA, and protein extraction: the past and the present*. Journal of Biomedicine and Biotechnology, 2009, 574398.[41][22]

[14] Moré, M. I., Herrick, J. B., Silva, M., et al. (1994). *DNA isolation and purification*. In Methods of Soil Analysis, Part 2 (SSSA Book Series), 513–522.[69]

[15] Thermo Fisher Scientific (2010). *Interpretation of Nucleic Acid 260/280 and 260/230 Ratios*. Technical Bulletin T123. [70][71]

[16] van Dijk, E. L., Jaszczyszyn, Y., & Thermes, C. (2014). *Library preparation methods for next-generation sequencing: tone down the bias*. Experimental Cell Research, 322(1), 12–20.[4]

[17] Porebski, S., Bailey, L. G., & Baum, B. R. (1997). *Modification of a CTAB DNA extraction protocol for plants containing high polysaccharide and polyphenol components*. Plant Molecular Biology Reporter, 15, 8–15.[57][81]

[18] Rohland, N., & Hofreiter, M. (2007). *Comparison and optimization of ancient DNA extraction*. BioTechniques, 42(3), 343–352.[128][129]

[19] Omega Bio-tek (2022). *Is It Harder to Extract DNA From Plants or Animals?* (White Paper)[30][130].

[20] IMCS (2020). *Maximizing Yield from Nucleic Acid Extraction/Purification*. Integrated Micro-Chromatography Systems, Technical Guide.[131].

[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [17] [18] [22] [27] [34] [35] [36] [38] [39] [40] [41] [42] [43] [44] [51] [52] [53] [54] [55] [57] [58] [59] [60] [61] [66] [67] [68] [78] [79] [81] [92] [93] [94] [95] [96] [98] [99] [100] [107] [108] [111] [112] [119] [120] [121] [125] [128] [129] The Evolution of DNA Extraction Methods
https://biomedgrid.com/fulltext/volume8/the-evolution-of-dna-extraction-methods.001234.php

[15] [16] [25] [26] [73] [74] [80] [82] [83] [84] [85] [86] [87] [88] [115] Guide to Plant DNA Extraction – GoldBio
https://www.goldbio.com/blogs/articles/guide-to-plant-dna-extraction?srsltid=AfmBOopwnGi5nr6PS8qI8jRHNDdLlmRjj3TeY3MtcjqOpoVVMklWOphc

[19] [20] [21] [45] [46] [47] [48] [49] [50] [56] [97] [101] [102] [103] [104] [105] [106] [109] [110] [122] [123] Comparing Nucleic Acid Purification Methods: Phenol-Chloroform, Silica Spin Column, Magnetic Beads
https://www.lunanano.com/post/comparing-nucleic-acid-purification-methods-phenol-chloroform-silica-spin-column-magnetic-beads?srsltid=AfmBOoqP4w_0PFXPyuSQGDV6Nub3_maUm7xwALMIlhMqxM5ns0xtXdH8

[23] [24] [28] [37] [72] [75] [76] [77] [89] [90] [91] [124] [126] [127] How DNA Extraction Is Different in Plants
https://bitesizebio.com/31979/dna-extraction-plants/

[29] [30] [31] [33] [130] Is It Harder to Extract DNA From Plants or Animals? – Omega Bio-tek
https://omegabiotek.com/is-it-harder-to-extract-dna-from-plants-or-animals/

[32] [64] [65] A common protocol for the simultaneous processing of multiple ... - NIH
https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC7794230/

[21] What is the protocol to remove RNA contamination (Using RNase ...

https://www.researchgate.net/post/What_is_the_protocol_to_remove_RNA_contamination_Using_RNase_from_DNA

[22] How do I remove the RNA contamination from purified DNA? https://www.qiagen.com/us/resources/faq/3133

[23] DNA Extraction Method Plays a Significant Role When Defining ... https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/marine-science/articles/10.3389/fmars.2018.00255/full

[24] [PDF] 260/280 and 260/230 Ratios https://dna.uga.edu/wp-content/uploads/sites/51/2019/02/Note-on-the-260_280-and-260_230-Ratios.pdf

[25] [PDF] Interpretation of Nucleic Acid 260/280 Ratios - Thermo Fisher Scientific https://documents.thermofisher.com/TFS-Assets/CAD/Product-Bulletins/T123-NanoDrop-Lite-Interpretation-of-Nucleic-Acid-260-280-Ratios.pdf

[26] DNA Extraction and Polymerase Chain Reaction - PMC - NIH https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC6425773/

[27] Assessment of DNA Yield and Purity: an Overlooked Detail of PCR ... https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0196439911000560

[28] DNA extraction | Summary - What is Biotechnology https://www.whatisbiotechnology.org/index.php/science/summary/extraction/dna-extraction-isolates-dna-from-biological-material

[29] DNA extraction and purification - an overview - Abcam https://www.abcam.com/en-us/knowledge-center/dna-and-rna/dna-extraction

[30] Maximizing Yield from Nucleic Acid Extraction/Purification - IMCS https://imcstips.com/how-to-maximize-yield-from-nucleic-acid-extraction-or-purification/