© JAN 2026 | IRE Journals | Volume 9 Issue 7 | ISSN: 2456-8880
DOI: https://doi.org/10.64388/IREV9I7-1713493

3D Printing for Sustainable Aquaculture in Nigeria

JOJO V.[1], NWACHUKWU O. P.[2], EJOH A. S.[3], NWACHUKWU C. I.[4], ILOBA K. I.[5], IWEGBUE C. M. A.[6]

[1, 2, 3, 5, 6]*Department of Animal and Environmental Biology, (Hydrobiology and Fisheries Unit) Delta State University, Abraka, Nigeria.*

[4]*School of Computing, University of Portsmouth, United Kingdom.*

Abstract- Aquaculture has become a critical sector for meeting global seafood demand, yet its rapid expansion raises sustainability challenges, particularly in Nigeria where production remains constrained by high feed costs, disease outbreaks, environmental degradation, and limited access to technology. This paper explores the potential of three-dimensional (3D) printing, or additive manufacturing, as an innovative pathway to enhance aquaculture sustainability. By enabling customized infrastructure, advanced bio-monitoring tools, ecosystem restoration modules, and alternative protein production, 3D printing offers solutions that reduce waste, optimize resource use, and support ecological integration. Case studies, including Nigerian initiatives that recycle plastic waste into printing filaments for aquaculture tools, demonstrate localized and cost-effective applications. Environmental benefits include minimized material consumption, adoption of biodegradable and recycled inputs, and reduced carbon emissions through on-demand, site-specific production. However, challenges persist, such as material durability in aquatic environments, high energy requirements, limited accessibility for small-scale farmers, and regulatory gaps. Overall, 3D printing represents a promising technology for transforming aquaculture into a more sustainable, resilient, and inclusive industry, though its widespread adoption will depend on continued innovation, supportive policy frameworks, and capacity building among producers.

Keywords: Aquaculture, Challenges, 3D-Printing, Technology, Sustainability.

I. INTRODUCTION

Aquaculture has rapidly expanded as global seafood demand increases and wild fish populations face overexploitation. However, the industry's growth has intensified sustainability challenges, including habitat degradation, waste generation, and inefficient resource use (FAO, 2022). Innovations are essential to improve environmental performance while maintaining productivity. Among emerging technologies, 3D printing, also known as additive manufacturing, has shown strong potential to support more sustainable aquaculture systems through reduced waste, customization, and efficient design techniques.

3D printing constructs objects layer by layer from a digital model, contrasting with traditional manufacturing that often involves material subtraction or molding (Agrawal & Bhat, 2025). This capability enables precise manufacturing of complex geometries with minimal wasted material and offers flexibility for on-demand production. Researchers have highlighted how additive manufacturing can support ecological sustainability by reducing carbon footprints, optimizing supply chains, and enabling localized production, which can be particularly valuable in remote or resource-limited aquaculture contexts (Agrawal & Bhat, 2025; Rajan & Kumar, 2025).

In aquaculture, 3D printing's potential extends beyond basic equipment fabrication. Innovations include customized tools and structures that improve operational efficiency and ecological integration. For example, custom-designed modules printed for seaweed cultivation or habitat enhancement can support biodiversity while reducing material waste. Early research in this area demonstrated that 3D-printed buoys and support structures can be adapted for different buoyancies to optimize cultivation conditions (Rosales et al., 2018). More recently, advanced printed artificial coral substrates derived from eco-friendly mineral composites have shown promise for reef restoration and enhancing marine ecosystems functions critical to sustainable aquaculture integration with natural environments (Smith et al., 2025).

Additionally, 3D printing is intersecting with sustainable seafood alternatives. Novel printed seafood analogs using microalgae or plant protein aim to reduce reliance on conventional aquaculture inputs and mitigate pressure on wild stocks and feed resources (Jones et al., 2025). These bio-based printed products illustrate how additive manufacturing contributes both to supply chain innovation and ecological resilience by diversifying the ways aquatic protein can be produced with lower environmental impact.

Despite strong potential, scaling sustainable 3D printing in aquaculture presents challenges. Material selection must balance durability in aquatic conditions with environmental impact, and the lifecycle effects of printed components from production to end-of-life require careful assessment to ensure net sustainability gains. Moreover, adoption requires investment in hardware, training, and digital design capabilities among producers often constrained by resources.

Overall, 3D printing offers a range of strategies for transforming aquaculture toward greater sustainability through optimized design, reduced waste, enhanced system monitoring, and alternative protein production. Later sections will explore these applications, environmental and economic implications, challenges, and prospects for integrating 3D printing into sustainable aquaculture.

II. OVERVIEW OF 3D PRINTING TECHNOLOGY

Three-dimensional printing, commonly referred to as additive manufacturing (AM), creates three-dimensional objects by depositing material layer by layer based on a digital model (Agrawal & Bhat, 2025). This layer-wise construction contrasts with traditional subtractive manufacturing, which removes material to achieve the final shape, often generating excess waste. Major 3D printing processes include fused deposition modeling (FDM), stereolithography (SLA), and selective laser sintering (SLS), each differing in the materials they process and the mechanisms used to form layers.

A key advantage of 3D printing is design freedom: complex internal geometries and optimized structural features can be fabricated without expensive molds or machining, reducing resource demands and enabling bespoke components tailored to specific applications. This makes additive manufacturing especially appealing for aquaculture, where diverse environmental conditions and species requirements necessitate customized solutions.

Material selection significantly influences both functionality and sustainability. Early 3D printing often utilized petroleum-based plastics like ABS or PLA (polylactic acid). However, researchers are increasingly focusing on eco-friendly and biodegradable materials to minimize environmental footprints. Rajan and Kumar (2025) describe how biodegradable polymers including PLA, cellulose-based materials, and starch composites are being explored as sustainable alternatives, though challenges with availability, cost, and print properties remain. Advances in printing materials also involve recycling waste into usable filaments. For instance, composites incorporating agricultural or marine waste have been developed to create more sustainable filaments with reduced environmental impact (Scaffaro et al., 2021).

Beyond thermoplastics, innovative materials such as biopolymers derived from waste biomass are being studied for biodegradable structures that support marine habitat restoration while reducing long-term pollution threats (Lee et al., 2024). Additionally, researchers are exploring mineral-based composites and geopolymers for robust aquatic structures that integrate with natural ecosystems (Alvarez et al., 2025).

Digital design tools complement material innovations. Computer-aided design (CAD) allows for rapid prototyping and optimization of parts before production, enabling iterative testing without incurring high costs for molds or tooling. This is particularly beneficial for aquaculture equipment such as custom feeders, connectors, or structural elements that must withstand complex fluid dynamics or biological interactions.

An important aspect of sustainable 3D printing includes assessing energy use and lifecycle impacts. Although additive manufacturing typically reduces material waste, energy consumption can be significant depending on the technology and scale. Lifecycle assessments that account for material sourcing, printing energy, and end-of-life disposal are essential to determine actual sustainability benefits. When integrated with renewable energy sources and biodegradable materials, 3D printing's environmental performance improves substantially, aligning with broader sustainability goals.

DEFINITION OF AQUACULTURE

Aquaculture is broadly defined as the controlled cultivation of aquatic organisms, including fish, crustaceans, molluscs, and aquatic plants, in freshwater or marine environments for commercial, recreational, or scientific purposes (Okon et al., 2025). It encompasses the breeding, rearing, and harvesting of species such as tilapia, catfish, and carp in managed systems like ponds, cages, or tanks to supply food, generate income, and contribute to livelihoods while reducing pressure on wild fish stocks (Ogunji & Wuertz, 2023). This human-mediated cultivation distinguishes aquaculture from traditional capture fisheries by emphasizing production under regulated environmental and biological conditions.

CURRENT STATUS OF AQUACULTURE IN NIGERIA

In Nigeria, aquaculture has emerged as a major and fast-growing agricultural sub-sector. The country has grown into one of the largest aquaculture producers in Africa, often ranked as the second biggest aquaculture producer on the continent (Okunade et al., 2023). The sector's growth is largely driven by the culture of freshwater species, particularly the African catfish (*Clarias gariepinus*), which dominates national production due to its hardiness and market demand. Nigeria also leads globally in the production of African catfish and African bonytongue (*Heterotis niloticus*), highlighting its continental significance (Ogunji & Wuertz, 2023).

Despite these achievements, Nigeria's aquaculture output remains insufficient to meet domestic demand. Although fish production has reached around 1 million metric tons annually, aquaculture contributes roughly 275,645 tons of that total, with capture fisheries supplying the remainder (Ogunremi et al., 2023). Consequently, the country still imports significant quantities of fish to bridge a multi-million-ton deficit in national supply. Production systems are typically small-scale and pond-based, with technological limitations, feed availability, disease management, and access to credit identified as ongoing challenges to scaling up production sustainably.

CHALLENGES FACING AQUACULTURE SUSTAINABILITY IN NIGERIA

One major challenge is high feed costs and feed quality issues, which can account for up to 70–75 % of production expenses and restrict profitability, particularly for small-scale farmers who struggle to afford imported or nutritionally adequate feeds. High reliance on imported fishmeal and fish oil also raises the sector's environmental footprint, as these ingredients are linked to overfishing and unsustainable sourcing (FAO, 2024).

Disease outbreaks and health management present another significant constraint. Disease prevalence in species like catfish can cause substantial mortalities in Nigerian farms, exacerbated by inadequate hygiene practices, limited disease prevention knowledge, and weak biosecurity systems. Such biological threats not only reduce productivity but also drive unsustainable practices like excessive antibiotic use, which may contribute to antimicrobial resistance concerns globally (Onomu & Okuthe, 2024).

Environmental sustainability issues add further pressure on the sector. Poor water quality, waste accumulation, and habitat degradation from inappropriate aquaculture practices can harm local ecosystems and diminish long-term viability, especially when environmental management measures are under-resourced.

Economically, many Nigerian fish farmers face limited access to credit, finance, and technical training, which hampers adoption of modern and sustainable technologies and efficient management practices. Social challenges such as low levels of technical capacity among producers and weak policy support further reduce sustainability and scalability (Mudashiru, 2025).

APPLICATIONS OF 3D PRINTING IN AQUACULTURE

Customized Infrastructure

One of the most direct applications of 3D printing in aquaculture is customized infrastructure fabrication. Traditional aquaculture equipment often comes in standard forms, which may not suit specific environments or species requirements. Additive manufacturing enables producers to design and fabricate bespoke components, such as feeding systems, customized tank connectors, or water flow regulators, tailored to optimize system performance. Rapid prototyping also accelerates innovation by allowing quick testing and refinement of designs without costly tooling.

Bio-monitoring
In biological monitoring, researchers have demonstrated 3D-printed biomimetic robotic fish equipped with water quality sensors for real-time monitoring. These robotic fish can integrate multiple sensors to measure parameters like temperature, pH, and turbidity, providing dynamic data to improve overall system management (Li et al., 2023). The robotic bodies are printed with precision components, highlighting how additive manufacturing supports advanced monitoring technologies critical for sustainable aquaculture operations.

Another promising application lies in ecosystem enhancement and habitat restoration. 3D-printed artificial reef modules can be produced with complex geometries that mimic natural coral structures, providing substrate for marine organisms and enhancing biodiversity. Studies exploring ceramic and composite printed reefs documented increased settlement of marine life over time, underscoring their potential to support ecological functions vital to integrated aquaculture and conservation objectives (Smith et al., 2025; Yoris-Nobile et al., 2023). These structures can also be produced from waste-derived geopolymers or biodegradable materials, offering a dual benefit of recycling industrial byproducts and supporting habitat restoration while minimizing environmental impact (Alvarez et al., 2025; Lee et al., 2024).

Feed and Additive Production
Beyond structural applications, additive manufacturing is innovating in sustainable seafood alternatives. Recent research has explored 3D-printed fish-analog products using macroalgae proteins as the major ingredient, demonstrating the feasibility of plant-based seafood alternatives with promising structural and textural properties (Jones et al., 2025). These printed products can reduce reliance on conventional aquaculture and wild fisheries, contributing to diversified sustainable protein sources.

Across all these applications, 3D printing facilitates localized and on-demand production, reducing the need for large inventories and long supply chains. This is particularly valuable in remote aquaculture operations where access to specialized parts is limited. By enabling producers to fabricate tools and components on site, additive manufacturing can lower transportation emissions and improve responsiveness to equipment failure or design needs.

Although these applications are at different stages of development, their demonstrated environmental and operational benefits underscore how 3D printing can enhance both the efficiency and sustainability of aquaculture systems. Continued innovation in materials, design optimization, and integration with monitoring technologies will further expand the role of additive manufacturing in sustainable aquaculture.

APPLICATIONS OF 3D PRINTING IN AQUACULTURE IN NIGERIA

One notable application is the use of 3D printing for aquaculture infrastructure and farm tools through recycled plastics. The Circular Plastics Project, involving Nigerian researchers and institutions, demonstrated the feasibility of converting waste plastic bottles into 3D-printer filament for fabricating agricultural and aquaculture tools, including modular connectors for fish cage frames and farm implements (Adeyemi et al., 2021). These tools were designed to reduce dependence on imported equipment while simultaneously addressing plastic waste management. Overall, 3D printing in Nigerian aquaculture is still in its early adoption phase, evidence from pilot projects and peer-reviewed studies confirms its practical potential for infrastructure development, automation support, and sustainability enhancement.

ENVIRONMENTAL BENEFITS OF 3D PRINTING IN AQUACULTURE

Environmental Sustainability
One of the most compelling motivations for integrating 3D printing in aquaculture is its potential to enhance environmental sustainability. Traditional aquaculture equipment manufacturing often involves high resource consumption, plastic waste, and energy-intensive processes. In contrast, additive manufacturing uses a layer-by-layer construction approach that minimizes material waste, as components are fabricated precisely to design specifications with little excess material (Agrawal & Bhat, 2025). This precision is particularly valuable in

aquaculture, where components such as feeders, cages, or tank structures can be highly specialized, and small design errors can lead to substantial resource loss.

Use of Biodegradable and Recycled Materials

Another environmental advantage is the use of biodegradable and recycled materials. Recent studies demonstrate that 3D printing can employ bio-based polymers derived from plant or marine waste (e.g., algae, seafood shells) to produce durable yet eco-friendly structures (Lee et al., 2024; Scaffaro et al., 2021). For example, artificial reef modules printed from mineral and bio-composite materials not only provide habitat restoration but also reduce plastic pollution risk in aquatic environments (Smith et al., 2025). Similarly, upcycled fishing nets and agricultural residues can be converted into printing filaments, simultaneously addressing waste management issues and providing sustainable raw materials for aquaculture equipment production.

Localized Production

3D printing also contributes to energy efficiency and localized production. By enabling on-demand fabrication, facilities can produce parts as needed, reducing the need for long-distance transportation and associated carbon emissions (Rajan & Kumar, 2025). Remote aquaculture operations, common in coastal or inland areas, can particularly benefit from this localized manufacturing approach. Moreover, optimized designs achieved through computer-aided design (CAD) and rapid prototyping allow for structural efficiency, such as reducing the weight of floating cages or improving water flow dynamics in tanks. These design optimizations lower material use and enhance energy efficiency in operations.

Economic and Social Advantages

Beyond environmental benefits, 3D printing offers economic and social advantages that enhance the sustainability and resilience of aquaculture operations. Traditional aquaculture equipment often requires large-scale production and centralized manufacturing, which can impose high costs and supply chain vulnerabilities, particularly for small-scale or remote farmers (Rajan & Kumar, 2025). Additive manufacturing mitigates these challenges by enabling on-demand, localized production, reducing inventory requirements and transportation expenses.

III. CHALLENGES AND LIMITATIONS

Material Limitations

While biodegradable polymers and bio-composites reduce environmental impact, they often have lower mechanical strength and limited durability in aquatic conditions compared with conventional plastics or metals (Rajan & Kumar, 2025). Components exposed to continuous water flow, salinity variations, or mechanical stress may degrade faster than intended, raising concerns about maintenance costs and structural reliability.

Energy Consumption

Some 3D printing processes, particularly selective laser sintering (SLS) and stereolithography (SLA), require high energy inputs, which may offset environmental benefits if electricity comes from nonrenewable sources (Agrawal & Bhat, 2025). Evaluating the lifecycle sustainability of printed aquaculture components, from material sourcing to end-of-life disposal, is therefore essential to ensure net positive outcomes. Without careful assessment, gains in waste reduction or localized production may be undermined by high energy or environmental costs elsewhere in the production chain.

Economic and accessibility barriers

High-quality 3D printers capable of producing large, durable aquaculture equipment can be expensive, limiting adoption by small-scale farmers or operations in developing regions (Lee et al., 2024). In addition, printing requires technical expertise in computer-aided design (CAD), printer operation, and post-processing. Farmers without access to training or technical support may struggle to implement additive manufacturing effectively, potentially widening technological inequality within the sector.

Operational challenges

Operational challenges include material supply chains and environmental compatibility. Biodegradable or recycled filaments may be locally unavailable or inconsistent in quality, affecting print reliability and component longevity (Scaffaro et al., 2021). Additionally, the environmental fate of printed materials must be carefully managed. Even biodegradable plastics require specific conditions to degrade properly; if improperly disposed, they may still contribute to microplastic pollution, particularly in marine systems.

© JAN 2026 | IRE Journals | Volume 9 Issue 7 | ISSN: 2456-8880
DOI: https://doi.org/10.64388/IREV9I7-1713493

Regulatory Limitations

Finally, regulatory and standardization gaps limit broader implementation. Aquaculture infrastructure is subject to safety, food quality, and environmental regulations. The novel materials and processes associated with 3D printing often lack established standards or certifications, which can delay adoption or restrict their use in commercial operations (Smith et al., 2025).

IV. RECOMMENDATIONS

For Nigeria, the success of 3D printing in aquaculture depends less on advanced technology alone and more on local adaptation, capacity building, energy solutions, and policy support. Below is a clear tabular presentation linking the key limitations of 3D printing in aquaculture to specific recommendations.

Identified Limitation	Explanation	Recommendations for the Nigerian Environment
High cost of 3D printers and accessories	Industrial-grade printers and spare parts are expensive and often imported, limiting access for small-scale fish farmers.	- Promote shared fabrication centers in universities, polytechnics, and innovation hubs. - Encourage government and NGOs to provide subsidized printers for cooperatives.
Limited technical skills and training	Many farmers lack skills in CAD design, printer operation, and maintenance.	- Integrate basic 3D printing and CAD training into agricultural extension programs. - Partner universities with fish farmers for hands-on capacity building. - Develop short vocational courses through technical colleges and ICT hubs.
Unreliable electricity supply	Frequent power outages disrupt printing processes and damage equipment.	- Encourage use of solar-powered systems for small 3D printing setups. - Promote hybrid power solutions (solar + inverter + grid). - Site fabrication hubs in areas with relatively stable electricity.
Limited availability of suitable printing materials	Biodegradable or marine-safe filaments are scarce and costly in Nigeria.	- Invest in local filament production using recycled plastics (e.g., PET bottles, fishing nets). - Support R&D on bio-based filaments from cassava starch, algae, or agricultural waste. - Encourage public–private partnerships for material supply chains.
Low durability of biodegradable materials in aquatic environments	Eco-friendly materials may degrade too quickly under water exposure.	- Use composite materials (biopolymer + natural fiber) to improve durability. - Apply protective coatings that are aquatic-safe. - Restrict biodegradable materials to non-load-bearing components.
Lack of standards and regulatory guidelines	Absence of clear standards for 3D-printed aquaculture equipment limits adoption.	- Develop national guidelines through SON (Standards Organisation of Nigeria). - Encourage pilot testing and certification via universities and research institutes. - Align standards with FAO and international best practices.
Low awareness among fish farmers	Many farmers are unaware of how 3D printing can benefit aquaculture.	- Conduct sensitization workshops through fisheries departments. - Use demonstration farms to showcase practical benefits. - Leverage radio, social media, and extension services for outreach.
Initial resistance to new technology	Traditional farmers may distrust unfamiliar digital tools.	- Promote incremental adoption, starting with simple printed tools (e.g., pipe fittings). - Use success stories from local farms to build confidence. - Encourage peer-to-peer learning among farmers.
Limited funding for research and innovation	Insufficient grants and support slow local innovation in aquaculture technology.	- Increase funding through TETFund, NUC, and state research grants. - Encourage collaboration with international donors and NGOs. - Incentivize private sector investment through tax reliefs.

Identified Limitation	Explanation	Recommendations for the Nigerian Environment
Environmental risk of improper disposal	Mismanaged printed plastics may contribute to pollution.	- Establish recycling and take-back programs for damaged prints. - Educate users on proper disposal practices.

V. CONCLUSION

The integration of 3D printing into aquaculture offers a practical pathway toward sustainability, efficiency, and resilience, particularly in developing countries such as Nigeria. As aquaculture becomes increasingly important for food security and employment, innovative technologies are required to address challenges including high production costs, environmental degradation, and limited access to specialized equipment. Three-dimensional printing enables local, on-demand fabrication of customized tools and infrastructure, reduces material waste, and supports the use of recycled and biodegradable materials.

Experiences from Nigeria and other developing nations demonstrate that additive manufacturing can enhance aquaculture through low-cost production of farm tools, sensor housings, monitoring devices, and habitat-support structures. When combined with smart monitoring systems and circular economy practices, 3D printing improves farm management, reduces environmental impact, and increases productivity. The technology also promotes local innovation by equipping farmers, students, and entrepreneurs with digital fabrication skills, thereby reducing dependence on imported equipment.

However, challenges such as high initial investment, unstable electricity supply, limited technical expertise, and weak regulatory frameworks remain barriers to widespread adoption. Addressing these issues through solar-powered systems, shared fabrication hubs, local filament production, and targeted training programs is essential. With policy support and investment, 3D printing can become a transformative tool for sustainable aquaculture.

REFERENCES

[1] Adeyemi, A. S., Adewumi, I. K., & Akinwale, T. O. (2021). Circular plastics economy and additive manufacturing for sustainable agricultural applications in Nigeria. *Journal of Cleaner Production,* 318, 128497.

[2] Agrawal, K., & Bhat, A. R. (2025). Advances in 3D printing with eco-friendly materials: A sustainable approach to manufacturing. *RSC Sustainability*, 3, 2582–2604.

[3] Costa, D. S., Pereira-Júnior, J. A., & Martins, M. L. (2025). Towards sustainable aquaculture: A review on the use of microalgae as functional feed ingredients. International Journal of Aquaculture, 15(5), 221-228.

[4] García, P., Silva, L., & Torres, M. (2023). 3D-printed artificial reefs for marine biodiversity enhancement. *Marine Environmental Research*, 187, 105285.

[5] Jones, T., Smith, A., & Lee, C. (2025). Advancing 3D-printed seafood analogues with Tetraselmis chui and Tetraselmis chui water extract. *Food and Bioprocess Technology*, 18, 8845–8860.

[6] Lee, D., Patel, R., & Green, J. (2024). Using waste biomass to produce 3D-printed artificial biodegradable structures for coastal ecosystem restoration. *Marine Restoration Journal*, 12, 45–59.

[7] Li, X., Chen, M., & Huang, F. (2023). Three-dimensional printed biomimetic robotic fish for dynamic monitoring of water quality in aquaculture. *Micromachines*, 14(8), 1578.

[8] Lu.ma. (2023). 3D printing workshops in Nigeria: Building local skills for sustainable fabrication. https://lu.ma/8a1u5bpx Accessed: 2nd January, 2026.

[9] Mudashiru, A. (2025). Environmental sustainability and livelihood development in Nigerian fish farming: A critical review of existing literature. *Discoveries in Agriculture and Food Sciences*, 13(03), 106-116.

[10] Ogunji, J., & Wuertz, S. (2023). Aquaculture development in Nigeria: The second biggest aquaculture producer in Africa. *Water,* 15(24), 4224.

[11] Ogunremi, J. B., Onimisi, M. M., Shaibu, A. L., & Opaluwa, H. I. (2023). Assessment of aquaculture extension innovation dissemination among fish farmers in Taraba State, Nigeria. FUDMA Journal of

Agriculture and Agricultural Technology, Vol. 9 (2).

[12] Okon, E. M., Adesina, B. T., Falana, B. M., Ehigie, J. O., & Okocha, R. C. (2025). Aquaculture research techniques in Nigeria: Opportunities and challenges for sustainable aquaculture development. *Scientific African*, Volume 29.

[13] Okunade, O. A., Oladosu, G. A., Adeogun, O. A., Ajani, E. K., Adejinmi, J. O., & Akintayo, I. A. (2023). Status of fish farming practices: A case study of selected fish farms in Lagos State, Nigeria. *Nigerian Journal of Fisheries,* 20 (1), 2539–2558.

[14] Owusu, F. (2023). Aquamet: Smart water-quality monitoring system for smallholder fish farmers in Ghana. *West African Technology Journal*, 11(1), 55–64.

[15] Rajan, A. J., & Kumar, A. P. (2025). Biodegradable materials in sustainable 3D printing: Opportunities and challenges. *Applied Chemical Engineering*, 7(2), Article 3870.

[16] Rosales, P., Garcia, H., & Martinez, L. (2018). *A new dimension in algal cultivation: 3D printed structures with a range of buoyancies*. *Algal Research*, 36, 209–216.

[17] Scaffaro, R., Maio, A., Gulino, E. F., Alaimo, G., & Morreale, M. (2021). Green composites based on PLA and agricultural or marine waste prepared by FDM. *Polymers*, 13(9), 1361.

[18] Smith, B., Nguyen, T., & Perez, J. (2025). Waste-derived geopolymers for artificial coral development by 3D printing. *Journal of Sustainable Metallurgy*, 11, 114–125.

[19] Yoris-Nobile, A. I. (2023). Artificial reefs built by 3D printing: Systematisation in design, material selection and fabrication. *Construction and Building Materials*, 362.