© NOV 2024 | IRE Journals | Volume 8 Issue 5 | ISSN: 2456-8880
DOI: https://doi.org/10.64388/IREV8I5-1713933

Designing Market Expansion Strategies in Mature FMCG Markets: Business Management Insights from Regional Growth Models

EYUP DORUK

Abstract - Market expansion in mature fast-moving consumer goods (FMCG) markets presents a persistent strategic paradox. While national markets exhibit saturation, price pressure, and intense competition, growth opportunities continue to emerge unevenly across regions. Conventional expansion strategies, which rely on nationally standardized models and centralized execution, frequently fail to capture this heterogeneity. This paper argues that market expansion in mature FMCG economies should be reframed as a business management design problem rather than a marketing or execution challenge. Adopting a business management perspective, the study conceptualizes regional growth as a managerial choice shaped by decision-making structures, resource allocation logic, and organizational design. It contends that mature markets are not uniformly saturated, but structurally asymmetric, with regional differences in demand dynamics, channel configurations, and competitive intensity. Growth therefore depends on the ability of management to recognize and operationalize these asymmetries through regionally tailored expansion models. The paper develops a conceptual framework for designing regional growth strategies that move beyond national-level replication. It examines how managerial judgment, prioritization mechanisms, and organizational flexibility influence expansion outcomes in mature FMCG markets. Rather than proposing tactical market entry tools, the study focuses on how business management systems enable selective, scalable, and performance-stable growth across regions. This research contributes to business management literature by advancing a regionally grounded view of market expansion in mature industries. It offers theoretical insights and practical implications for managers and consultants seeking sustainable growth without relying on price erosion or disruptive repositioning in saturated FMCG environments.

Keywords - Business Management, Mature FMCG Markets, Market Expansion Strategy, Regional Growth Models, Managerial Decision-Making

I. INTRODUCTION

Growth in fast-moving consumer goods (FMCG) markets has traditionally been associated with geographic expansion, product proliferation, or price-driven volume gains. In mature FMCG economies, however, these pathways are increasingly constrained. National markets display high penetration, strong brand incumbency, and persistent price competition, limiting the effectiveness of conventional expansion strategies. Yet, despite apparent saturation at the national level, growth continues to materialize unevenly across regions. This contradiction highlights a critical managerial challenge: how to design market expansion strategies that leverage regional opportunity within structurally mature markets.

Much of the existing discourse treats market maturity as a terminal condition for growth, emphasizing efficiency, consolidation, or defensive positioning. In practice, mature FMCG markets are rarely homogeneous. Regional variations in consumer behavior, retail formats, income distribution, and competitive density create pockets of latent growth. These variations are not anomalies but structural features of mature economies. The inability of firms to capitalize on them reflects limitations in managerial models rather than the absence of opportunity.

Conventional FMCG expansion strategies are typically built around nationally standardized assumptions. Product portfolios, pricing architectures, promotional calendars, and route-to-market models are designed centrally and deployed uniformly. While this approach offers scale efficiencies and brand consistency, it also suppresses managerial sensitivity to regional dynamics. As a result, expansion initiatives often fail to differentiate between regions with fundamentally different demand elasticities, channel power structures, and competitive responses. Growth efforts either underperform or generate volatility that erodes margins and organizational focus.

This paper argues that market expansion in mature FMCG environments must be reframed as a business management design problem. Expansion outcomes are shaped less by market entry tactics and more by how management structures decision rights, allocates resources, and prioritizes opportunities across regions. When growth models are designed centrally without mechanisms for regional differentiation, firms struggle to translate market heterogeneity into sustained performance. Conversely, organizations that embed regional logic into managerial systems can pursue expansion selectively, scaling where conditions support growth while maintaining control elsewhere.

A business management perspective emphasizes that regional growth is not an executional deviation from national strategy but an intentional design choice. Managers must decide which regional differences warrant strategic adaptation, how much autonomy to grant regional units, and how to balance flexibility with coherence. These decisions define the organization's capacity to grow in mature markets without triggering complexity overload or brand dilution. Market expansion thus becomes a question of managerial architecture rather than market aggressiveness.

The objective of this paper is to develop a conceptual framework for designing market expansion strategies in mature FMCG markets based on regional growth models. The study examines how managerial decision-making, organizational structure, and prioritization mechanisms enable firms to recognize and operationalize regional asymmetries. By focusing on business management insights rather than tactical prescriptions, the paper seeks to explain why some firms continue to grow in mature markets while others stagnate despite similar market conditions.

This research contributes to business management literature by challenging national-level conceptions of market maturity and expansion. It advances a regionally grounded understanding of growth that highlights the role of managerial design in shaping expansion outcomes. The remainder of the paper proceeds by analyzing mature FMCG markets as a management challenge, examining the limits of national expansion models, and developing regionally differentiated growth frameworks.

II. MATURE FMCG MARKETS AS A BUSINESS MANAGEMENT CHALLENGE

Mature FMCG markets are commonly characterized by high category penetration, stable consumption patterns, and intense competitive rivalry. From a surface-level perspective, these characteristics suggest limited growth potential and encourage firms to prioritize efficiency, cost control, and share defense. However, treating maturity as a uniform market condition obscures the managerial complexity embedded within these environments. Market maturity is not simply an external constraint; it is a condition that amplifies the importance of internal management choices.

One defining feature of mature FMCG markets is structural stability combined with behavioral variation. While aggregate demand may grow slowly or remain flat, consumption behaviors differ meaningfully across regions. Variations in household composition, income distribution, retail infrastructure, and cultural preferences create localized demand dynamics that persist over time. These variations challenge the assumption that mature markets can be managed effectively through standardized national strategies. Business management must therefore address not only external competition but also internal alignment with heterogeneous market realities.

Competitive intensity further complicates management in mature markets. Incumbent brands are deeply entrenched, private labels exert price pressure, and promotional activity is frequent and aggressive. In this environment, growth initiatives are easily neutralized by competitor responses if they are broadly visible or nationally uniform. Firms that pursue expansion through centralized campaigns often trigger price wars or promotional escalation, undermining margins without achieving lasting volume gains. This dynamic underscores the need for selective, regionally calibrated growth strategies.

Mature markets also exhibit high organizational inertia. Established processes, reporting structures, and performance metrics are optimized for stability rather than experimentation. While such systems support efficiency, they constrain managerial flexibility. Regional opportunities that fall outside standard templates are often deprioritized because they disrupt existing routines or challenge centralized control. As a result, firms fail to exploit growth pockets that require differentiated approaches. The limitation lies not in market conditions, but in managerial willingness and capability to adapt organizational design.

From a business management perspective, maturity shifts the locus of competition inward. When external growth avenues narrow, performance differences increasingly reflect how firms allocate resources, interpret data, and coordinate decisions. Regional growth opportunities demand managerial attention, prioritization, and governance mechanisms that can operate within mature organizational structures. Firms that treat maturity as a signal to consolidate rather than to redesign management systems risk entrenching stagnation.

Importantly, mature FMCG markets heighten the cost of misalignment. Poorly designed expansion initiatives consume managerial bandwidth, strain supply chains, and dilute brand equity. Without clear criteria for regional differentiation, firms oscillate between overextension and retrenchment. Effective management in mature markets requires disciplined selectivity—deciding where to grow, where to maintain, and where to withdraw. These decisions are inherently managerial, involving judgment rather than formulaic analysis.

This section highlights that mature FMCG markets present a business management challenge defined by heterogeneity, competition, and organizational inertia. Growth is possible, but only when management systems are designed to recognize and operationalize regional differences. The next section examines why national-level expansion strategies are ill-suited to this challenge, focusing on the structural and managerial limitations of centralized growth models.

III. LIMITATIONS OF NATIONAL-LEVEL EXPANSION STRATEGIES

National-level expansion strategies have long been the default approach for FMCG firms seeking growth in mature markets. These strategies emphasize standardized product portfolios, unified pricing architectures, and centrally coordinated promotional campaigns. While such models deliver economies of scale and brand consistency, they impose structural constraints that limit their effectiveness in heterogeneous, mature environments. As markets evolve, the limitations of nationally uniform expansion become increasingly pronounced.

A core limitation of national expansion strategies is their reliance on averaged assumptions. National models aggregate demand signals across regions, smoothing out local variations in consumer behavior, channel dynamics, and competitive intensity. This averaging effect obscures pockets of opportunity and risk alike. Regions with latent growth potential are constrained by conservative national benchmarks, while regions facing saturation or decline receive disproportionate investment. Growth initiatives are thus misaligned with underlying market realities.

National strategies also struggle with competitive signaling. Highly visible, nationwide expansion efforts are easily detected and countered by incumbents and private-label competitors. In mature FMCG markets, where competitive responses are swift and aggressive, national campaigns often provoke price matching, promotional escalation, or channel resistance. These reactions dilute the impact of expansion initiatives and compress margins, leaving firms with increased activity but limited net growth.

Another structural limitation lies in organizational overload. National expansion models require coordination across multiple functions and geographies simultaneously. As complexity increases, decision-making slows and execution quality deteriorates. Centralized teams become bottlenecks, unable to process region-specific information or adapt plans quickly. The resulting delays undermine responsiveness and reduce the effectiveness of expansion efforts, particularly in regions with fast-moving local dynamics.

National-level strategies further reinforce rigid resource allocation. Budgets, headcount, and supply chain capacity are often distributed according to national priorities rather than regional opportunity. This rigidity prevents firms from reallocating resources dynamically toward regions that demonstrate superior growth potential. Even when regional performance diverges significantly, centralized allocation mechanisms lag behind, constraining managerial agility.

Cultural and behavioral factors compound these limitations. National strategies reinforce compliance-oriented behaviors, where regional managers focus on executing centrally defined plans rather than interpreting local market signals. Initiative-taking is discouraged, and valuable local insights remain underutilized. Over time, this dynamic weakens the organization's ability to sense and respond to regional opportunities, entrenching stagnation despite apparent market presence.

These limitations suggest that national-level expansion strategies are poorly suited to the structural asymmetry of mature FMCG markets. While they offer control and efficiency, they lack the sensitivity and adaptability required for sustained growth. The next section examines regional market heterogeneity as an alternative lens, highlighting how localized differences create the foundation for regionally designed growth models.

IV. REGIONAL MARKET HETEROGENEITY IN MATURE FMCG ECONOMIES

Regional heterogeneity represents a defining yet frequently underutilized characteristic of mature FMCG markets. Even in economies where national demand appears stable, regions differ substantially in consumption patterns, channel structures, competitive intensity, and economic conditions. These differences are not transient anomalies but enduring features shaped by demographics, retail infrastructure, and local competitive histories. Recognizing and leveraging this heterogeneity is central to designing effective market expansion strategies in mature environments.

Consumer behavior varies systematically across regions in mature FMCG markets. Differences in household size, age distribution, income levels, and cultural preferences influence product mix, price sensitivity, and brand loyalty. For example, urban regions may exhibit higher demand for premium or convenience-oriented products, while rural areas prioritize value and availability. National strategies that impose uniform assortments and pricing structures fail to capture these nuances, resulting in misaligned offerings that limit growth potential.

Retail and channel configurations further amplify regional variation. Mature FMCG markets often contain a mix of modern trade, traditional retail, discounters, and emerging e-commerce channels, with their relative importance differing sharply by region. Channel power dynamics, promotional norms, and shelf access conditions are shaped locally. Expansion strategies that ignore these differences encounter resistance or inefficiencies, as standardized approaches conflict with region-specific channel realities. From a business management perspective, channel heterogeneity demands regionally informed decision-making rather than centralized replication.

Competitive landscapes also differ markedly across regions. While national market shares provide a broad view of competition, they mask local concentrations of power and rivalry. In some regions, a dominant incumbent or private-label player may constrain expansion, while in others, fragmented competition creates openings for growth. These local competitive structures persist over time, shaping the feasibility and attractiveness of expansion initiatives. Management systems that rely on national competitive analyses underestimate the importance of these localized dynamics.

Economic and infrastructural factors contribute additional layers of heterogeneity. Variations in logistics access, labor markets, and regulatory enforcement affect cost structures and service capabilities regionally. In mature FMCG economies, such factors can determine whether expansion yields incremental profit or erodes margins. Effective growth strategies therefore require managers to integrate regional cost and capability considerations into expansion decisions rather than assuming uniform operating conditions.

From a managerial standpoint, regional heterogeneity challenges the assumption that maturity implies uniform saturation. Instead, maturity coexists with uneven opportunity. The strategic question shifts from whether growth is possible to where and how it should be pursued. This shift requires management systems capable of detecting, interpreting, and acting on regional differences without fragmenting the organization.

By highlighting regional heterogeneity as a structural feature of mature FMCG markets, this section establishes the foundation for regionally designed growth models. It demonstrates that growth opportunities are embedded within localized dynamics that national strategies overlook. The next section builds on this insight by reframing market expansion as a managerial design problem, focusing on how organizations structure decision-making and resource allocation to operationalize regional growth effectively.

V. REFRAMING MARKET EXPANSION AS A MANAGERIAL DESIGN PROBLEM

Recognizing regional heterogeneity in mature FMCG markets necessitates a shift in how market expansion is conceptualized and managed. Rather than treating expansion as a tactical rollout of products or campaigns, this paper reframes it as a managerial design problem centered on how organizations structure decision-making, allocate resources, and govern regional initiatives. In mature markets, growth does not emerge from increased activity alone, but from the alignment between managerial architecture and localized opportunity.

At the core of this reframing is the distinction between execution and design. Traditional expansion approaches assume that once a strategy is defined centrally, execution can be scaled uniformly. In contrast, a managerial design perspective emphasizes that the structure of decision rights, information flows, and accountability determines whether regional opportunities can be recognized and acted upon. Expansion outcomes therefore reflect how management systems are designed, not merely how diligently plans are executed.

A managerial design approach highlights the importance of selective decentralization. In mature FMCG markets, not all regions warrant the same level of strategic autonomy. Some regions require flexibility to adapt assortments, pricing, or go-to-market models, while others benefit from standardized execution. Effective expansion strategies distinguish between these contexts and design differentiated governance models accordingly. Management must decide where autonomy enhances growth and where central control preserves efficiency and brand coherence.

Reframing expansion as a design problem also foregrounds prioritization as a managerial responsibility. Mature markets present numerous marginal opportunities, but pursuing all of them diffuses focus and strains organizational capacity. Managers must establish criteria for identifying regions with disproportionate growth potential and commit resources accordingly. This prioritization is not a one-time analytical exercise but an ongoing process that evolves as regional performance and market conditions change.

Information architecture plays a critical role in this design. National performance dashboards often obscure regional dynamics by aggregating data. Managerial systems that support regional expansion require disaggregated, timely, and comparable information that enables leaders to detect emerging patterns. Without such visibility, regional opportunities remain anecdotal and fail to influence strategic decisions. Designing information systems that support regional insight is therefore integral to effective expansion.

Finally, reframing expansion as a managerial design problem emphasizes coherence over speed. In mature markets, poorly coordinated expansion efforts can destabilize existing operations and erode profitability. Management must balance responsiveness to regional opportunities with the need for organizational consistency. This balance is achieved not through rigid rules, but through thoughtfully designed decision frameworks that guide how regional initiatives are evaluated and integrated.

By positioning market expansion as a managerial design challenge, this section underscores that growth in mature FMCG markets depends on organizational architecture as much as on market opportunity. The next section builds on this foundation by examining specific regional growth models and how they translate managerial design into scalable expansion outcomes.

VI. REGIONAL GROWTH MODELS IN MATURE FMCG MARKETS

Regional growth models translate the recognition of market heterogeneity into actionable managerial frameworks. Rather than relying on uniform national expansion, these models provide structured ways for organizations to pursue growth selectively, aligning managerial attention and resources with localized opportunity. In mature FMCG markets, regional growth models function as design templates that balance flexibility with control, enabling firms to expand without destabilizing existing operations.

One regional growth model emphasizes focused regional deepening. Instead of broad geographic

© NOV 2024 | IRE Journals | Volume 8 Issue 5 | ISSN: 2456-8880
DOI: https://doi.org/10.64388/IREV8I5-1713933

coverage, firms concentrate resources on a limited number of regions where structural conditions favor growth. These regions may exhibit favorable demographic trends, underdeveloped category penetration, or fragmented competition. Management designs tailored assortments, pricing strategies, and channel partnerships specific to these regions, while maintaining standardized approaches elsewhere. Growth is achieved through depth rather than breadth, allowing firms to build momentum and learning before scaling selectively.

A second model centers on region-specific value propositions. In mature markets, consumers often respond differently to the same product attributes depending on regional context. This model encourages managers to adapt value propositions—such as pack sizes, promotional intensity, or brand positioning—to regional preferences. Importantly, adaptation is guided by managerial frameworks rather than ad hoc decisions. Clear boundaries define which elements can vary regionally and which remain standardized, preserving brand integrity while enabling localized growth.

Another regional growth model focuses on channel-driven regional expansion. Channel structures vary significantly across regions in mature FMCG economies. Some regions are dominated by discounters, others by traditional retail or e-commerce. This model aligns expansion strategies with dominant regional channels, designing route-to-market and trade terms that reflect local realities. Management treats channels as regional growth levers rather than national constants, allowing expansion to follow channel opportunity rather than administrative boundaries.

Regional growth models also incorporate sequenced scaling logic. Rather than launching initiatives simultaneously across regions, firms pilot expansion models in selected areas and refine them before broader deployment. This sequencing reduces risk and enables organizational learning. Managerial systems capture insights from early regions and codify them into repeatable practices. Growth thus becomes cumulative, building on validated models rather than speculative rollouts.

Crucially, regional growth models depend on managerial discipline. Without clear criteria and governance, regional initiatives can proliferate uncontrollably, increasing complexity. Effective management defines entry and exit rules for regional expansion, ensuring that resources are reallocated dynamically as conditions evolve. Regional growth models succeed not because they encourage experimentation, but because they structure it.

By articulating these regional growth models, this section demonstrates how managerial design translates regional heterogeneity into scalable expansion strategies. The next section examines how managerial decision-making influences the selection and execution of these models, focusing on the role of judgment and prioritization in regional expansion.

VII. MANAGERIAL DECISION-MAKING IN REGIONAL EXPANSION

Managerial decision-making is the critical link between regional growth models and realized performance in mature FMCG markets. While analytical tools can identify regional differences, decisions regarding where, how, and when to expand ultimately rely on managerial judgment. In mature environments, where opportunities are incremental rather than transformative, the quality of these decisions determines whether growth initiatives generate sustainable value.

A central decision involves region selection. Managers must assess not only current performance metrics but also the structural drivers of future growth, such as demographic shifts, channel evolution, and competitive stability. This assessment requires integrating quantitative data with contextual understanding. Overreliance on historical sales figures may misrepresent latent opportunity, while purely qualitative judgments risk bias. Effective management balances analysis with informed intuition.

Another key decision concerns resource commitment. Regional expansion demands investment in marketing, trade support, and organizational attention. In mature markets, overcommitting resources to marginal regions can dilute returns and strain operations. Managers must decide the appropriate scale and duration of investment, adjusting commitments as regional performance signals emerge. This dynamic allocation differentiates disciplined growth from opportunistic expansion.

Decision-making in regional expansion also involves managing organizational tension. Regional initiatives often challenge national standards and established routines. Managers must navigate resistance from centralized functions while empowering regional teams to adapt strategies. Leadership effectiveness lies in articulating the rationale for differentiation and in setting boundaries that prevent fragmentation. These decisions shape organizational acceptance of regional growth models.

Timing represents another critical dimension. Mature markets evolve slowly, but competitive windows can open and close unexpectedly. Managers must decide when to accelerate expansion and when to pause. Premature scaling can lock in suboptimal models, while delayed action may forfeit opportunity. Decision frameworks that incorporate leading indicators and feedback loops support more effective timing choices.

Finally, managerial decision-making influences how learning is captured and applied. Regional expansion generates insights into consumer behavior, channel response, and operational feasibility. Managers realized that learning has value only if it informs subsequent decisions. Effective business management institutionalizes learning through reviews, performance metrics, and knowledge-sharing mechanisms, enabling regional growth models to evolve over time.

This section underscores that regional expansion success depends less on identifying opportunities than on making disciplined decisions under uncertainty. The next section examines how these decisions translate into performance outcomes, focusing on the implications of regionally designed expansion strategies for growth stability and organizational control.

VIII. PERFORMANCE IMPLICATIONS OF REGIONALLY DESIGNED EXPANSION

Regionally designed expansion strategies produce performance outcomes that differ qualitatively from those generated by national-level approaches. In mature FMCG markets, where growth margins are thin and competition is intense, these differences are consequential. Performance is shaped not only by incremental revenue gains, but by the stability, scalability, and sustainability of those gains over time.

One key performance implication is greater margin stability. Regional expansion allows firms to align investments with localized demand conditions, reducing the need for blanket promotions or price concessions. By tailoring expansion efforts to regions with favorable economics, firms protect margins while achieving growth. This contrasts with national strategies that often sacrifice profitability for volume in saturated areas.

Regionally designed expansion also enhances growth predictability. Because initiatives are concentrated in selected regions, managers can monitor performance closely and adjust tactics quickly. This focus reduces uncertainty and enables more accurate forecasting. Growth becomes incremental and manageable rather than volatile and disruptive, supporting long-term planning and resource allocation.

Another implication concerns organizational control. Contrary to concerns that regional differentiation increases complexity, well-designed regional models can improve control by clarifying priorities. Managers know which regions are growth engines and which are maintenance zones. This clarity reduces internal conflict and prevents overextension. Control is achieved through selectivity rather than uniformity.

Regionally designed expansion further supports scalability. Successful regional models can be replicated selectively in similar contexts, creating a portfolio of growth initiatives. Because these models are validated incrementally, scaling is grounded in evidence rather than assumption. This approach contrasts with national rollouts that require simultaneous coordination across diverse regions.

Importantly, performance implications extend beyond financial metrics. Regionally grounded expansion fosters deeper market understanding and organizational learning. Teams develop capabilities in adapting strategies to local conditions, which enhances responsiveness and resilience. These capabilities contribute to sustained performance even as market conditions evolve.

By examining performance outcomes, this section demonstrates the strategic value of regionally designed expansion in mature FMCG markets. The next section synthesizes these findings, situating them within business management literature and highlighting their implications for theory and practice.

IX. DISCUSSION

This paper contributes to business management literature by offering a regionally grounded perspective on market expansion in mature FMCG markets. Existing research often treats maturity as a constraint that limits growth, emphasizing efficiency and consolidation. By contrast, this study highlights how regional heterogeneity creates opportunities for selective expansion when managerial systems are designed to exploit it.

The analysis underscores the centrality of managerial design in shaping growth outcomes. Rather than relying on market entry tactics or promotional intensity, firms achieve sustainable growth by aligning decision-making structures, resource allocation, and governance with regional opportunity. This perspective extends strategic management theory by integrating organizational design into growth strategy.

From a practical standpoint, the findings suggest that managers and consultants should shift attention from national expansion plans to regional growth architectures. Tools that support regional insight, prioritization, and learning are more valuable than additional layers of centralized planning. Business management thus plays a decisive role in converting market maturity into strategic advantage.

X. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE RESEARCH DIRECTIONS

This paper has argued that market expansion in mature FMCG markets should be understood as a managerial design problem rooted in regional heterogeneity. National-level strategies, while efficient, are ill-suited to capture localized opportunity and often generate performance volatility. By adopting regionally designed growth models, firms can pursue expansion selectively, balancing growth with control.

The study contributes to business management scholarship by reframing maturity as a context that amplifies the importance of managerial architecture. It demonstrates that growth in mature markets depends less on market aggressiveness and more on how organizations design and govern expansion initiatives.

Future research could empirically examine the performance impact of regional growth models across different FMCG categories and geographies. Comparative studies may explore how digital analytics enhance regional insight and decision-making. Further work could also investigate how regional expansion models interact with brand architecture and organizational culture.

In conclusion, designing market expansion strategies in mature FMCG markets requires managerial creativity and discipline. Organizations that embrace regional growth models as part of their business management systems are better positioned to achieve sustainable growth without eroding profitability or coherence.

REFERENCES

[1] Ansoff, H. I. (1957). Strategies for diversification. *Harvard Business Review, 35*(5), 113–124.

[2] Barney, J. B. (1991). Firm resources and sustained competitive advantage. *Journal of Management, 17*(1), 99–120. https://doi.org/10.1177/014920639101700108

[3] Chandler, A. D. (1962). *Strategy and structure: Chapters in the history of the American industrial enterprise*. MIT Press.

[4] Grant, R. M. (2016). *Contemporary strategy analysis* (9th ed.). Wiley.

[5] Kotler, P., & Keller, K. L. (2016). *Marketing management* (15th ed.). Pearson Education. *(özellikle mature markets ve regional segmentation bölümleri)*

[6] Lawrence, P. R., & Lorsch, J. W. (1967). *Organization and environment: Managing differentiation and integration*. Harvard University Press.

[7] Levitt, T. (1965). Exploit the product life cycle. *Harvard Business Review, 43*(6), 81–94.

[8] Mintzberg, H., Ahlstrand, B., & Lampel, J. (2009). *Strategy safari* (2nd ed.). Pearson Education.

[9] Porter, M. E. (1980). *Competitive strategy: Techniques for analyzing industries and*

competitors. Free Press.

[10] Porter, M. E. (2008). The five competitive forces that shape strategy. *Harvard Business Review, 86*(1), 78–93.

[11] Prahalad, C. K., & Doz, Y. L. (1987). *The multinational mission: Balancing local demands and global vision*. Free Press.

[12] Simons, R. (1995). *Levers of control: How managers use innovative control systems to drive strategic renewal*. Harvard Business School Press.

[13] Teece, D. J. (2010). Business models, business strategy and innovation. *Long Range Planning, 43*(2–3), 172–194. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lrp.2009.07.003

[14] Thompson, J. D. (1967). *Organizations in action: Social science bases of administrative theory*. McGraw-Hill.