Private Label Strategy as a Business Management Capability: Managerial Frameworks for Sustainable Growth

EYUP DORUK

Abstract - Private label strategies have become a central growth mechanism in fast-moving consumer goods (FMCG) markets, traditionally framed as product- or price-driven initiatives. While these approaches emphasize cost advantages and rapid market penetration, they frequently overlook the managerial complexity required to sustain private label success over time. This paper argues that private label strategy should be reconceptualized not as a tactical product decision, but as a core business management capability that shapes long-term organizational performance. Adopting a business management and consultancy-oriented perspective, the study reframes private label strategy as a system of managerial decisions involving governance, cross-functional coordination, and strategic control. Sustainable growth through private labels depends not solely on sourcing efficiency or pricing power, but on the organization's ability to integrate sales, operations, R&D, and financial management within a coherent managerial framework. The paper contends that many private label initiatives underperform because they are managed as isolated commercial projects rather than as enterprise-level capabilities.The study develops conceptual managerial frameworks that explain how private label strategies can be governed, coordinated, and scaled to support sustainable growth. It highlights the role of decision rights, organizational alignment, and managerial judgment in balancing volume growth with margin quality, brand perception, and operational resilience. By shifting the analytical focus from products to management systems, the paper clarifies why some organizations achieve durable value from private labels while others experience volatility and strategic drift. This research contributes to business management literature by positioning private label strategy as a managerial capability rather than a functional tactic. It offers theoretical insights and practical implications for managers and consultants seeking to design private label systems that support long-term growth, organizational coherence, and enterprise value creation.

Keywords - Business Management, Private Label Strategy, Managerial Capabilities, Sustainable Growth, FMCG Organizations

I. INTRODUCTION

Private label strategies have emerged as a powerful growth engine across FMCG markets, reshaping competitive dynamics between manufacturers, retailers, and brand owners. Once positioned primarily as low-cost alternatives to national brands, private labels have evolved into sophisticated offerings spanning multiple price tiers, quality levels, and consumer segments. This evolution has elevated private labels from peripheral product lines to central components of organizational growth strategies. Yet despite their strategic prominence, private label initiatives are still frequently managed through narrow, product-centric lenses that underestimate their managerial complexity.

In many organizations, private label success is attributed to advantages in sourcing, pricing, or retailer relationships. While these factors are important, they offer an incomplete explanation for performance variation across firms. Organizations with similar cost structures and market access often experience markedly different outcomes in private label programs. This divergence suggests that private label performance cannot be explained by product economics alone. Instead, it reflects differences in how private label strategies are governed, coordinated, and embedded within broader business management systems.

From a business management perspective, private label strategy introduces a distinct set of managerial challenges. Unlike national brands, private labels require organizations to balance dual logics: value-driven pricing expectations and sustained quality, consistency, and reliability. These tensions place pressure on decision-making across sales, operations, R&D, and finance. When managed in isolation, private label initiatives tend to generate short-term volume growth at the expense of margin stability, operational discipline, or brand credibility. Sustainable outcomes require integration at the

managerial level rather than optimization within individual functions.
The growing scale and strategic importance of private labels further amplify these challenges. As private label portfolios expand, they increase organizational complexity by adding product variants, customer-specific requirements, and customized supply chains. Without deliberate managerial frameworks, this complexity can overwhelm coordination capacity, leading to inefficiencies and strategic drift. Business management must therefore treat private label strategy as an enterprise-wide concern that shapes organizational structure, governance, and performance systems.

This paper argues that private label strategy should be reconceptualized as a business management capability rather than a tactical commercial initiative. A capability-based view emphasizes repeatable managerial processes, decision rights, and coordination mechanisms that enable organizations to design, scale, and sustain private label programs over time. From this perspective, private label success depends less on individual deals or products and more on the organization's ability to align functions around coherent strategic objectives.

The purpose of this study is to develop managerial frameworks that explain how private label strategies can support sustainable growth when embedded within effective business management systems. Rather than prescribing operational best practices, the paper focuses on higher-level managerial design choices that shape long-term outcomes. It examines how governance structures, cross-functional integration, and managerial judgment influence the performance and scalability of private label initiatives.

This research makes three primary contributions. First, it challenges product-centric interpretations of private label strategy by highlighting their managerial limitations. Second, it positions private label strategy as a core business management capability that integrates multiple organizational functions. Third, it provides conceptual frameworks that help managers and consultants design private label systems oriented toward sustainable growth rather than short-term volume expansion.

The remainder of the paper proceeds as follows. The next section traces the evolution of private label strategies in FMCG markets, identifying the assumptions underlying traditional approaches. Subsequent sections analyze the limitations of product-centric management models, reframe private label strategy as a managerial capability, and develop governance and integration frameworks for sustainable growth. The paper concludes by discussing implications for business management theory and outlining directions for future research.

II. EVOLUTION OF PRIVATE LABEL STRATEGIES IN FMCG MARKETS

Private label strategies have undergone a significant transformation over the past several decades, reflecting broader shifts in FMCG market structures and competitive priorities. Initially introduced as basic, low-cost alternatives to national brands, private labels were designed to compete primarily on price. Retailers and manufacturers viewed them as tools for attracting price-sensitive consumers and improving bargaining power vis-à-vis branded suppliers. In this early phase, private labels were narrowly positioned and managed with minimal strategic ambition.

As FMCG markets matured, private labels began to expand beyond entry-level offerings. Retailers recognized the opportunity to differentiate assortments, improve margins, and strengthen customer loyalty through more sophisticated private label portfolios. This shift led to the introduction of tiered private label strategies, encompassing economy, standard, and premium segments. While these developments increased the strategic relevance of private labels, management approaches often remained product-centric, focusing on assortment expansion rather than organizational integration.

A critical evolution occurred as private labels moved from retailer-controlled initiatives to more collaborative manufacturer–retailer arrangements. Manufacturers increasingly assumed responsibility for product development, quality assurance, and innovation on behalf of retail partners. This transition blurred traditional boundaries between branded manufacturing and private label production. Business management complexity increased accordingly, as organizations were required to manage customized requirements, parallel supply chains, and differentiated service expectations across clients.

Despite these structural changes, many organizations continued to manage private labels as extensions of sales or procurement functions. Decision-making authority remained fragmented, and performance evaluation focused on volume and cost efficiency. Such approaches underestimated the strategic implications of private label expansion, particularly its impact on organizational capabilities, risk exposure, and long-term growth trajectories. The evolution of private labels thus outpaced the evolution of management systems designed to support them.

More recently, private labels have become central to competitive positioning in FMCG markets. Retailers use private labels to shape category dynamics, control shelf space, and influence consumer perceptions of value and quality. Manufacturers engaged in private label production face strategic trade-offs related to capacity allocation, brand cannibalization, and dependency on key customers. These dynamics highlight that private label strategies are no longer peripheral activities but core elements of enterprise strategy requiring deliberate business management attention.

This historical evolution demonstrates that private label strategies have shifted from tactical pricing tools to complex strategic initiatives. However, management practices have often failed to adapt at the same pace. Understanding this gap between strategic importance and managerial treatment is essential for explaining why private label outcomes vary widely across organizations. The next section examines the limitations of product-centric private label management models and why they constrain sustainable growth despite favorable market conditions.

III. LIMITATIONS OF PRODUCT-CENTRIC PRIVATE LABEL MANAGEMENT

Despite the strategic evolution of private label initiatives in FMCG markets, management practices have often remained anchored in product-centric logics. In these models, private labels are treated primarily as collections of products differentiated by price, specifications, and packaging rather than as manifestations of broader organizational capabilities. While product-centric management can deliver rapid assortment expansion and short-term volume gains, it introduces structural limitations that constrain sustainable growth.

One fundamental limitation of product-centric private label management lies in its narrow performance orientation. Success is frequently evaluated through unit sales, cost savings, or short-term margin contributions at the product level. These indicators provide limited insight into how private label initiatives affect enterprise-wide outcomes such as operational complexity, capacity utilization, or long-term customer dependence. Business management systems that emphasize product-level metrics risk overlooking systemic costs that accumulate as private label portfolios expand.

Product-centric approaches also reinforce functional silos. Private label decisions are often driven by sales or procurement teams focused on securing contracts and meeting retailer demands. Operations, R&D, and finance are brought into the process reactively, adjusting to specifications and timelines defined elsewhere. This sequential coordination creates misalignment, as functions optimize within their domains without a shared understanding of strategic priorities. Over time, this pattern weakens organizational coherence and increases execution risk.

Another limitation concerns risk management. Product-centric private label strategies tend to underappreciate the strategic risks associated with customer concentration, margin volatility, and brand dilution. Because decisions are framed around individual products or contracts, cumulative exposure remains insufficiently examined. Business management lacks visibility into how private label commitments shape dependency on specific retailers or constrain future strategic options. This fragmented risk assessment undermines the organization's ability to pursue balanced and resilient growth.

Product-centric management further constrains learning and capability development. Each private label initiative is treated as a discrete commercial transaction rather than as an opportunity to refine managerial processes. Lessons learned from coordination challenges, quality issues, or cost overruns often remain localized, limiting organizational learning. Without mechanisms to capture and institutionalize these insights, private label programs fail to evolve into repeatable, scalable capabilities.

The limitations of product-centric management are particularly evident as private label portfolios grow in scale and complexity. Customization requirements, overlapping product lines, and differentiated service levels increase coordination demands across the organization. When management systems are not designed to integrate these demands, complexity outpaces managerial capacity. The result is operational strain, declining margins, and strategic drift despite apparent sales success.

Importantly, these limitations do not imply that product-level analysis is unnecessary. Product economics remain a critical input to decision-making. However, when product considerations dominate managerial attention, they crowd out system-level thinking. Business management must therefore complement product analysis with frameworks that address governance, integration, and long-term capability development.

By highlighting the limitations of product-centric private label management, this section underscores the need for a broader perspective. Sustainable private label success depends not on optimizing individual products, but on designing managerial systems that coordinate decisions, manage risk, and enable learning across the enterprise. The next section builds on this critique by reframing private label strategy as a business management capability, laying the foundation for more durable and scalable growth.

IV. REFRAMING PRIVATE LABEL STRATEGY AS A BUSINESS MANAGEMENT CAPABILITY

Overcoming the limitations of product-centric private label management requires a fundamental reframing of how private label strategy is conceptualized within the organization. Rather than viewing private labels as collections of products or contracts, this paper positions private label strategy as a *business management capability*—a repeatable, system-level capacity that enables organizations to design, govern, and scale private label programs in support of sustainable growth. This reframing shifts managerial attention from transactional outcomes to the underlying processes that produce long-term performance.

In business management theory, capabilities are understood as integrated sets of routines, decision rules, and coordination mechanisms that allow organizations to perform complex activities reliably over time. Applying this lens to private label strategy highlights that success depends less on individual commercial wins and more on the organization's ability to align decisions across functions. Private label capability encompasses how opportunities are evaluated, how risks are managed, and how trade-offs between volume, margin, and complexity are resolved. It is this managerial infrastructure—not the product itself—that differentiates durable private label performers from volatile ones.

Reframing private label as a managerial capability also clarifies the role of strategic intent. Product-centric approaches often respond opportunistically to retailer requests, allowing external demand to dictate internal priorities. In contrast, a capability-based approach anchors private label decisions in enterprise-level objectives. Business management defines clear criteria for which private label opportunities to pursue, how they fit within capacity and profitability constraints, and how they contribute to long-term strategic positioning. This intentionality reduces reactive decision-making and supports coherent growth paths.

Decision rights are central to this reframing. When private label strategy is treated as a capability, authority over key decisions—such as portfolio composition, capacity allocation, and investment levels—is explicitly defined. Rather than being dispersed across sales or procurement functions, decision rights are aligned with enterprise value considerations. This alignment ensures that private label initiatives are evaluated consistently and that local incentives do not override system-level priorities.

Another implication of the capability perspective is its emphasis on learning and standardization. Successful private label organizations develop standardized processes for onboarding new programs, managing specifications, and coordinating cross-functional execution. These processes do not eliminate customization but provide a stable foundation for managing it. Business management thus transforms private label experience into organizational knowledge, improving performance predictability and reducing execution risk over time.

Reframing private label strategy as a business management capability also elevates its strategic visibility. Capabilities require sustained investment and executive oversight to mature. By recognizing private label as a core capability, organizations legitimize cross-functional coordination efforts and allocate resources to governance, analytics, and capability development. This elevation contrasts sharply with product-centric models, where private label initiatives compete for attention with short-term sales priorities.

This section establishes private label strategy as an enterprise-level managerial capability that shapes long-term growth outcomes. It provides the conceptual foundation for examining how such a capability can be governed effectively. The next section builds on this reframing by analyzing managerial frameworks for private label governance, focusing on how decision rights, accountability, and coordination mechanisms can be designed to support sustainable performance.

V. MANAGERIAL FRAMEWORKS FOR PRIVATE LABEL GOVERNANCE

Once private label strategy is understood as a business management capability, the question shifts from *what products to launch* to *how the capability is governed*. Governance is central to sustainable private label performance because it determines how decisions are made, who holds authority, and how trade-offs are resolved across functions. In FMCG organizations, weak or fragmented governance is a primary reason private label initiatives generate short-term gains but struggle to deliver durable growth.

Effective private label governance begins with the clear allocation of decision rights. Product-centric models often concentrate authority within sales or procurement functions, driven by retailer demands and commercial urgency. In contrast, a capability-based governance framework distributes decision rights according to enterprise-level value considerations. Decisions regarding portfolio scope, customer concentration, capacity commitments, and investment levels are elevated to managerial forums that represent sales, operations, R&D, and finance collectively. This structure ensures that private label growth is evaluated through a multi-dimensional lens rather than a single functional priority.

Governance frameworks also establish criteria for decision-making. Sustainable private label growth requires explicit principles that guide managerial judgment, such as minimum margin thresholds, capacity utilization limits, risk diversification targets, and quality standards. By codifying these criteria, business management reduces ambiguity and limits opportunistic behavior. Managers gain clarity on which private label opportunities align with long-term objectives and which introduce disproportionate risk, even if they appear commercially attractive in the short term.

Another critical element of governance is accountability. In many organizations, private label outcomes are diffused across functions, making it difficult to assign responsibility for performance. Capability-oriented governance frameworks address this issue by defining shared accountability for enterprise outcomes rather than isolated functional results. Governance bodies monitor not only sales performance but also margin stability, operational reliability, and strategic fit. This shared accountability reinforces integrative behavior and discourages siloed optimization.

Private label governance must also manage external dependencies, particularly the relationship between manufacturers and retail partners. Retailers often exert significant influence over specifications, pricing, and timelines, creating pressure to accommodate demands that may undermine internal coherence. Governance frameworks provide escalation mechanisms that allow managers to evaluate retailer requests against enterprise criteria. This protects the organization from incremental commitments that accumulate into strategic dependency or operational fragility.

Importantly, governance should not be equated with bureaucracy. Effective frameworks balance discipline with responsiveness. Decision forums are designed to enable timely resolution of trade-offs rather than delay action. By establishing predictable processes and clear authority, governance reduces the need for ad hoc negotiation and crisis management. Business management thus uses governance to enable, rather than constrain, sustainable private label growth.

This section demonstrates that governance is the backbone of private label capability. Without structured decision rights, criteria, and accountability, private label initiatives remain vulnerable to fragmentation and short-termism. The next section examines how governance frameworks must be complemented by cross-functional integration mechanisms to translate strategic intent into coordinated execution across the organization.

VI. CROSS-FUNCTIONAL INTEGRATION IN PRIVATE LABEL MANAGEMENT

Private label capability cannot be sustained through governance alone; it depends on the organization's ability to integrate execution across functions. Private label programs inherently cut across sales, operations, R&D, quality assurance, and finance. Each function brings distinct objectives and constraints, making coordination a central managerial challenge. Cross-functional integration transforms private label strategy from a negotiated compromise into a coherent management system.

Sales functions initiate private label opportunities by engaging retail partners and identifying market demand. However, without integration, sales-driven commitments can impose volatility on production schedules, supply chains, and cost structures. Cross-functional integration requires that sales planning be embedded within broader operational and financial contexts. Shared planning processes and integrated forecasts enable functions to align expectations before commitments are made, reducing downstream disruptions.

Operations and R&D play a critical role in translating private label specifications into reliable execution. Integration mechanisms ensure that technical feasibility, quality standards, and capacity constraints inform commercial decisions early in the process. This upstream coordination prevents late-stage redesigns and cost overruns, improving execution consistency. Business management systems that institutionalize early cross-functional input enhance both speed and reliability.

Financial integration provides the economic lens through which private label decisions are evaluated. Cost-to-serve analysis, profitability modeling, and working capital assessments enable managers to understand the true value implications of private label programs. When finance is integrated into decision-making forums, private label growth is assessed not only by revenue potential but by its contribution to sustainable profitability and cash flow stability.

Effective integration also relies on shared metrics and information systems. Disparate data sets and inconsistent assumptions undermine coordination. Integrated dashboards and common analytical frameworks allow managers to develop a shared understanding of performance and risk. However, integration extends beyond information sharing; it requires forums where data is interpreted collectively and translated into coordinated action.

Cross-functional integration is reinforced through managerial routines. Regular portfolio reviews, joint planning cycles, and post-implementation evaluations embed coordination into daily management practice. These routines transform private label execution into a learning process, enabling organizations to refine their capability over time. Business management thus shifts from episodic coordination to continuous integration.

By aligning governance with cross-functional integration, organizations create the operational foundation for sustainable private label growth. Integration ensures that strategic intent is translated into consistent execution, balancing commercial opportunity with operational discipline. The next section builds on this foundation by examining how private label strategy, when managed as a capability, contributes to sustainable growth rather than short-term volume expansion.

VII. PRIVATE LABEL STRATEGY AND SUSTAINABLE GROWTH

Managing private label strategy as a business management capability fundamentally alters how growth is pursued and evaluated. Sustainable growth, in this context, is not defined by short-term volume expansion or rapid portfolio proliferation, but by the organization's ability to scale private label programs without eroding margins, operational stability, or strategic flexibility. A capability-based approach enables firms to distinguish between growth that strengthens enterprise value and growth that merely increases exposure and complexity.

One of the central contributions of private label capability to sustainable growth lies in disciplined opportunity selection. Capability-oriented organizations do not treat all private label requests as equally attractive. Instead, they assess opportunities based on their alignment with capacity strategy, profitability thresholds, quality standards, and customer diversification goals. This selectivity reduces overextension and protects the organization from becoming locked into low-margin, high-complexity arrangements that undermine long-term performance.

Sustainable growth also depends on scalability. Product-centric private label strategies often struggle as volume increases, because coordination costs rise faster than revenues. In contrast, organizations that invest in managerial frameworks—standardized onboarding processes, integrated planning routines, and clear governance—can absorb growth more efficiently. Scalability becomes a function of managerial design rather than operational heroics. Business management thus enables growth that is repeatable and predictable rather than episodic and fragile.

Another critical dimension of sustainable growth is resilience. Private label programs expose organizations to demand volatility, retailer bargaining power, and input cost fluctuations. When managed tactically, these risks accumulate unnoticed. Capability-based management makes risk explicit and manageable by embedding monitoring and adjustment mechanisms into routine decision-making. Portfolio diversification, contractual discipline, and capacity buffers are treated as strategic tools rather than defensive reactions. This orientation allows private label growth to continue even under adverse conditions.

Sustainable growth further requires alignment between private label strategy and broader enterprise positioning. Private label initiatives can complement or conflict with branded portfolios, innovation priorities, and market identity. Capability-oriented management evaluates these interactions deliberately, ensuring that private label expansion reinforces rather than dilutes strategic coherence. Business management thus uses private label capability to support long-term positioning rather than pursue isolated commercial gains.

By integrating opportunity selection, scalability, resilience, and strategic coherence, private label strategy becomes a driver of sustainable growth. This perspective contrasts sharply with volume-driven models that prioritize immediate expansion at the expense of system health. The capability-based approach demonstrates that private label success is not a matter of executing more deals, but of designing management systems that support durable value creation.

VIII. DISCUSSION

This paper advances business management scholarship by reframing private label strategy as a managerial capability rather than a product-level or pricing tactic. Existing literature has extensively examined private labels from marketing, procurement, and retailer power perspectives, yet has devoted limited attention to the managerial systems that govern their performance over time. By focusing on governance, integration, and capability development, this study fills an important gap in the understanding of private label management.

A key theoretical implication concerns the role of managerial design in explaining performance heterogeneity. Organizations operating in similar FMCG markets with comparable cost structures often experience divergent private label outcomes. This analysis suggests that these differences stem less from market conditions than from variations in managerial capability. Business management systems—how decisions are made, coordinated, and evaluated—emerge as critical determinants of sustainable growth.

The discussion also highlights the importance of moving beyond functional explanations of private label success. Product quality, pricing, and retailer relationships remain important, but they are mediated by managerial frameworks that shape execution consistency and strategic discipline. By elevating private label strategy to the level of enterprise management, the paper aligns with broader shifts in management theory that emphasize integration and system-level performance.

From a practical standpoint, the findings offer clear implications for managers and consultants. Organizations seeking to expand private label programs should invest less in incremental product optimization and more in managerial infrastructure. Governance forums, integrated planning processes, and capability development initiatives provide more durable returns than ad hoc commercial wins. Business management thus plays a central role in converting private label opportunity into sustainable enterprise value.

Overall, the discussion positions private label strategy as a lens through which broader issues of coordination, governance, and sustainable growth can be examined. It reinforces the argument that private label success is not accidental but the result of deliberate managerial design.

IX. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE RESEARCH DIRECTIONS

This paper examined private label strategy through a business management lens, arguing that sustainable growth depends on treating private label not as a tactical product initiative but as a core managerial capability. While private labels have become central to FMCG growth strategies, many organizations continue to manage them in ways that prioritize short-term volume over long-term value. This study demonstrates that such approaches impose structural limits on scalability, resilience, and strategic coherence.

By reframing private label strategy as a business management capability, the paper contributes to management theory by linking governance, cross-functional integration, and decision-making quality to long-term growth outcomes. It highlights how managerial frameworks shape the effectiveness and sustainability of private label programs, extending existing research that has focused primarily on market and product factors.

Future research could empirically investigate how different governance and integration models affect private label performance across markets and organizational forms. Longitudinal studies may explore how private label capabilities evolve over time and interact with branded strategies. Additional research could also examine how digital planning tools and analytics support or constrain private label managerial capabilities.

In conclusion, private label strategy represents more than a commercial opportunity; it is a test of organizational management capability. FMCG organizations that design intelligent managerial frameworks for private labels are better positioned to achieve sustainable growth, balance risk and reward, and create enduring enterprise value.

REFERENCES

[1] Barney, J. B. (1991). Firm resources and sustained competitive advantage. *Journal of Management, 17*(1), 99–120. https://doi.org/10.1177/014920639101700108
[2] Bingham, C. B., Eisenhardt, K. M., & Furr, N. R. (2007). What makes a process a capability? Heuristics, strategy, and effective capture of opportunities. *Strategic Entrepreneurship Journal, 1*(1–2), 27–47. https://doi.org/10.1002/sej.1
[3] Burt, S. (2000). The strategic role of retail brands in British grocery retailing. *European Journal of Marketing, 34*(8), 875–890. https://doi.org/10.1108/03090560010331493
[4] Chandler, A. D. (1962). *Strategy and structure: Chapters in the history of the American industrial enterprise*. MIT Press.
[5] Christopher, M. (2016). *Logistics & supply chain management* (5th ed.). Pearson Education.
[6] Daft, R. L. (2021). *Organization theory and design* (13th ed.). Cengage Learning.
[7] Davis, G. F., & Cobb, J. A. (2010). Resource dependence theory: Past and future. *Research in the Sociology of Organizations, 28*, 21–42. https://doi.org/10.1108/S0733-558X(2010)0000028006
[8] Donaldson, L. (2001). *The contingency theory of organizations*. Sage Publications.
[9] Galbraith, J. R. (2014). *Designing organizations: Strategy, structure, and process at the business unit and enterprise levels* (3rd ed.). Jossey-Bass.
[10] Grant, R. M. (2016). *Contemporary strategy analysis* (9th ed.). Wiley.
[11] Kumar, N., & Steenkamp, J.-B. E. M. (2007). *Private label strategy: How to meet the store brand challenge*. Harvard Business School Press.
[12] Lawrence, P. R., & Lorsch, J. W. (1967). *Organization and environment: Managing*

DOI: https://doi.org/10.64388/IREV9I3-1713938

differentiation and integration. Harvard University Press.

[13] March, J. G., & Simon, H. A. (1958). *Organizations*. Wiley. Mintzberg, H. (2009). *Managing*. Berrett-Koehler Publishers.

[14] Porter, M. E. (1985). *Competitive advantage: Creating and sustaining superior performance*. Free Press.

[15] Simons, R. (1995). *Levers of control: How managers use innovative control systems to drive strategic renewal*. Harvard Business School Press.

[16] Teece, D. J. (2007). Explicating dynamic capabilities: The nature and microfoundations of (sustainable) enterprise performance. *Strategic Management Journal, 28*(13), 1319–1350. https://doi.org/10.1002/smj.640

[17] Thompson, J. D. (1967). *Organizations in action: Social science bases of administrative theory*. McGraw-Hill.

[18] Willems, K., Smolders, A., Brengman, M., Luyten, K., & Schöning, J. (2017). The path to purchase is paved with digital opportunities: An inventory of shopper-oriented retail technologies. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change, 124*, 228–242. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2016.10.066