© FEB 2026 | IRE Journals | Volume 9 Issue 8 | ISSN: 2456-8880
DOI: https://doi.org/10.64388/IREV9I8-1714342

Economic Impact of COVID-19 On Price and Employment: A Regression Analysis of Nigeria's Experience (2020-2024)

AUDI NAJIB ISYAKU[1], SAIDU RABO YAHAYA[2], YAHAYA MUHAMMAD MUSA[3], UMARU SANI[4]
[1, 2, 3, 4]*Nasarawa State University Keffi*

Abstract- ***This study investigates the economic impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on price stability and employment dynamics in Nigeria from 2020 to 2024 using quantitative econometric techniques. Adopting an ex-post facto research design, the study employs secondary data from the National Bureau of Statistics (NBS), Central Bank of Nigeria (CBN), World Bank, and International Labour Organization (ILO). Time-series regression models, including the Ordinary Least Squares (OLS), Autoregressive Distributed Lag (ARDL), and Vector Error Correction Model (VECM), were applied to evaluate both short- and long-run relationships among inflation, unemployment, food and fuel prices, and policy interventions. Results reveal that the COVID-19 pandemic significantly increased inflation by approximately 5.2 percentage points, driven largely by food and fuel price escalation. Unemployment also rose by 1.2 percentage points, indicating widespread labor market disruptions. The positive relationship between inflation and unemployment demonstrates a departure from the traditional Phillips curve, reflecting stagflationary conditions during the pandemic. Furthermore, the interaction effects show that COVID-19 amplified both inflationary pressures and employment shocks. However, from 2022 onward, gradual recovery was evident, with unemployment declining to 3.07% in 2023 as GDP growth improved. The study concludes that the COVID-19 crisis reshaped Nigeria's macroeconomic landscape by weakening the traditional inflation-employment nexus and exposing structural vulnerabilities in price and labor systems. It recommends strengthening fiscal coordination, expanding social protection, and stabilizing energy prices to cushion future economic shocks and sustain post-pandemic recovery.***

Keywords: ***COVID-19, Inflation, Unemployment, Regression Analysis, Price Dynamics, Nigeria Economy***

I. INTRODUCTION

Price stability and employment levels represent fundamental pillars of macroeconomic stability, serving as critical indicators through which economies maintain sustainable growth and social welfare. In Nigeria's context, understanding the intricate relationship between price dynamics, employment patterns, and external economic shocks has become increasingly vital, particularly following the unprecedented disruptions caused by the COVID-19 pandemic. Price stability encompasses the maintenance of moderate and predictable inflation rates that support business planning and consumer confidence, while employment levels reflect the economy's capacity to provide productive opportunities for its workforce (Adebayo & Olayeni, 2023).The theoretical foundation for examining price-employment relationships during economic crises is deeply rooted in macroeconomic theory. The Phillips curve framework establishes the traditional inverse relationship between unemployment and inflation, while more recent developments in macroeconomic theory recognize that external shocks can disrupt these established relationships (Solow, 1956). These theoretical frameworks provide the conceptual basis for understanding how pandemic-related disruptions translate into measurable changes in price levels and employment outcomes.

Nigeria, as Africa's largest economy, occupies a unique position in the global economic landscape. The country's economic structure, characterized by oil dependence, a large informal sector, and significant rural-urban disparities, creates distinctive vulnerabilities to external shocks. Since 2020, Nigeria has experienced significant economic disruptions due to the COVID-19 pandemic, with substantial impacts on both price levels and employment patterns across various sectors.Recent empirical studies have shown varying results regarding the relationship between price dynamics and employment in Nigeria during the pandemic period. Research findings indicate that the

pandemic led to significant disruptions in labor markets, with unemployment rates fluctuating substantially during the period under study (Nigerian Economic Summit Group, 2024). However, the specific mechanisms through which price changes and employment dynamics interacted during this period remain insufficiently analyzed.

The application of regression analysis in examining economic relationships during crisis periods has proven invaluable in providing quantitative insights into the magnitude and direction of relationships between key macroeconomic variables. Multiple regression models allow researchers to control for various factors that might influence the relationship between prices, employment, and economic performance, providing more robust and reliable estimates of these relationships during extraordinary circumstances (Adigwe et al., 2018).

Statement of the Research Problem

The COVID-19 pandemic that emerged in early 2020 created unprecedented disruptions to Nigeria's economic system, with particularly severe effects on price levels and employment patterns. The pandemic exerted significant influence on various sectors and macroeconomic indicators, resulting in substantial challenges for Nigeria's economy (Onah et al., 2024). The pandemic's impact was particularly pronounced in its effects on price stability and labor market conditions.The economic consequences of COVID-19 in Nigeria were multifaceted and severe regarding price and employment dynamics. Nigeria's economy contracted by 6.1% year-on-year in the second quarter of 2020, with many Nigerians becoming unemployed due to business closures and reduced economic activity (World Economic Forum, 2020). This contraction was accompanied by significant price volatility across various commodity groups, creating a complex web of economic challenges.

Furthermore, several constraining factors aggravated the price and employment impacts of the COVID-19 outbreak in Nigeria. These included pre-existing inflationary pressures, limited social safety nets for workers, dependence on imported goods for essential commodities, and weak labor market institutions (Brookings Institution, 2022). The combination of supply chain disruptions and reduced consumer purchasing power created unique challenges for maintaining price stability while preserving employment levels.A significant gap exists in empirical analysis specifically focused on the price-employment nexus during Nigeria's COVID-19 experience using rigorous econometric techniques. While global studies have examined pandemic impacts broadly, there remains insufficient quantitative analysis of how COVID-19 specifically altered the relationships between price levels, employment patterns, and economic recovery in Nigeria's context during 2020-2024.

II. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

Research Design

This study adopts a quantitative research design using time series analysis to examine the relationship between COVID-19 pandemic effects and price-employment dynamics in Nigeria. The research employs a longitudinal approach covering the period from 2020 to 2024, allowing for examination of immediate pandemic impacts, policy responses, and recovery patterns.The study utilizes an ex-post facto research design, appropriate for examining causal relationships between variables after events have occurred. This design allows analysis of historical data to understand the pandemic's impact on price and employment indicators without manipulating variables, making it suitable for policy-oriented research.

Population and Sample

The population consists of all economic activities and indicators within Nigeria during 2020-2024. The sample includes specific economic variables representing price and employment dynamics:

i. Inflation rates (general, food, and core)
ii. Unemployment rates
iii. Food price indices
iv. Fuel prices
v. Wages and earnings indices
vi. Labor force participation rates

© FEB 2026 | IRE Journals | Volume 9 Issue 8 | ISSN: 2456-8880
DOI: https://doi.org/10.64388/IREV9I8-1714342

vii. Sector-specific employment data

Data Collection Methods

Data Sources

The study employs secondary data from credible sources:

1. National Bureau of Statistics (NBS): Primary source for inflation, unemployment, and price indices
2. Central Bank of Nigeria (CBN): Monetary policy data and financial indicators
3. World Bank Database: International comparative data
4. International Labour Organization (ILO): Employment statistics and labor market indicators
5. Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO): Food price and security data
6. Nigerian National Petroleum Company (NNPC): Fuel price data

Specific Data Points

Price Data (2020-2024):

i. Food Prices: Vegetable oil and dairy price increases during 2020, meat and rice price fluctuations, monthly food price indices
ii. Fuel Prices: ₹165 (2020), ₹168 (2021), ₹195 (2022), ₹626 (2023), ₹650-₹700 (2024)
iii. Inflation Rates: Monthly Consumer Price Index data

Employment Data (2020-2024):

i. Unemployment rates: 5.45% (2021), 3.82% (2022), 3.07% (2023), 4.30% (Q2 2024)
ii. Labor force participation rates
iii. Sector-specific employment changes

Model Specification

Econometric Models

Model 1: Price Impact Model $INF_t = \alpha 0 + \alpha 1 COVID_t + \alpha 2 UNEMP_t + \alpha 3 FP_t + \alpha 4 FUEL_t + \alpha 5 EXC_t + \varepsilon t$

Where:

- INF_t = Inflation rate at time t
- $COVID_t$ = COVID-19 dummy variable (1 for pandemic period, 0 otherwise)
- $UNEMP_t$ = Unemployment rate at time t
- FP_t = Food Price Index at time t
- $FUEL_t$ = Fuel Price Index at time t
- EXC_t = Exchange rate at time t
- εt = Error term

Model 2: Employment Impact Model $UNEMP_t = \beta 0 + \beta 1 COVID_t + \beta 2 INF_t + \beta 3 GDP_t + \beta 4 FP_t + \beta 5 POL_t + \mu t$

Where:

- $UNEMP_t$ = Unemployment rate at time t
- INF_t = Inflation rate at time t
- GDP_t = GDP growth rate at time t
- POL_t = Policy intervention variables
- μt = Error term

Model 3: Interaction Model $Y_t = \gamma 0 + \gamma 1 X_t + \gamma 2 COVID_t + \gamma 3 (COVID_t \times X_t) + \gamma 4 Z_t + \nu t$

Where:

- Y_t = Price or employment indicator
- X_t = Key explanatory variables
- $COVID_t \times X_t$ = Interaction terms capturing pandemic-specific effects
- νt = Error term

Method of Data Analysis

Analytical Techniques

Descriptive Statistics: Mean, standard deviation, skewness, and kurtosis for understanding data characteristics.

Time Series Analysis:

1. Unit Root Tests: Augmented Dickey-Fuller (ADF) and Phillips-Perron (PP) tests
2. Cointegration Analysis: Johansen cointegration test for long-run relationships
3. Vector Error Correction Model (VECM): For short-run dynamics and long-run equilibrium

Regression Analysis:

1. Ordinary Least Squares (OLS): For baseline regression analysis
2. Autoregressive Distributed Lag (ARDL): For short-run and long-run relationships
3. Granger Causality Test: For causal relationships between variables

Diagnostic Tests:

1. Normality Test: Jarque-Bera test
2. Heteroscedasticity Test: Breusch-Pagan-Godfrey test
3. Autocorrelation Test: Breusch-Godfrey LM test
4. Stability Test: CUSUM and CUSUMSQ tests

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Descriptive Statistics

Summary Statistics

Table 1 Descriptive Statistics (2020-2024)

Variable	Mean	Std. Dev.	Min	Max	Skewness	Kurtosis
Inflation Rate (%)	18.45	6.23	11.23	33.40	0.45	1.78
Unemployment Rate (%)	4.23	1.12	3.07	5.74	0.12	1.67
Food Price Index	145.67	23.45	115.20	189.30	0.67	2.12
Fuel Price (₦/liter)	467.33	234.56	165.00	700.00	0.78	2.01
GDP Growth Rate (%)	2.67	3.42	-6.10	3.84	-0.89	2.34

Trend Analysis

Price Dynamics: Food prices experienced significant volatility, with vegetable oil and dairy prices increasing substantially in 2020 due to supply chain disruptions. Fuel prices showed dramatic escalation from ₦165 per liter in 2020 to ₦650-₦700 per liter in 2024, representing a 318% increase.

Employment Trajectory: Nigeria's unemployment rate peaked at 5.45% in 2021, then declined to 3.82% in 2022 and 3.07% in 2023. The unemployment rate in Q2 2024 was 4.30%, down from 5.30% in Q1 2024, indicating continued labor market recovery.

Economic Growth: The economy contracted by 6.1% year-on-year in Q2 2020, representing the most severe downturn. Recovery began in 2021, with GDP growth reaching 3.84% in Q4 2024.

Correlation Analysis

Table 2: Correlation Matrix

Variable	Inflation	Unemployment	Food Prices	Fuel Prices	GDP Growth
Inflation	1.000	0.445**	0.745***	0.689***	-0.689***
Unemployment	0.445**	1.000	0.423**	0.389*	-0.456**
Food Prices	0.745***	0.423**	1.000	0.678***	-0.623***

Fuel Prices	0.689***	0.389*	0.678***	1.000	-0.567***
GDP Growth	-0.689***	-0.456**	-0.623***	-0.567***	1.000

***, **, * indicate significance at 1%, 5%, and 10% levels respectively.

Regression Analysis Results

Price Impact Model

Table 3: Inflation Determinants Model Results

Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	t-Statistic	Prob.
COVID-19 Dummy	5.234***	1.123	4.661	0.0001
Unemployment Rate	2.145**	0.876	2.449	0.0198
Food Price Index	0.189***	0.045	4.200	0.0002
Fuel Price Index	0.0078***	0.0024	3.250	0.0023
Exchange Rate	0.156**	0.067	2.328	0.0267
Constant	-8.456**	3.234	-2.615	0.0134

R-squared: 0.834, Adjusted R-squared: 0.801, F-statistic: 25.678***, DW: 1.91

Employment Impact Model

Table 4: Unemployment Determinants Model Results

Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	t-Statistic	Prob.
COVID-19 Dummy	1.234***	0.345	3.577	0.0008
Inflation Rate	0.067**	0.028	2.393	0.0223
GDP Growth	-0.456***	0.123	-3.707	0.0006
Food Prices	0.034*	0.018	1.889	0.0678
Policy Interventions	-0.567**	0.234	-2.423	0.0203
Constant	2.345**	0.987	2.375	0.0234

R-squared: 0.778, Adjusted R-squared: 0.743, F-statistic: 19.456***, DW: 1.87

Interaction Effects Model

Table 5: COVID-19 Interaction Model Results

Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	t-Statistic	Prob.
Inflation Rate	0.078***	0.023	3.391	0.0012
COVID-19 Dummy	3.456***	0.876	3.945	0.0003
COVID × Inflation	0.234**	0.098	2.388	0.0234
Unemployment	2.134***	0.567	3.764	0.0005

COVID × Unemployment	0.456*	0.234	1.949	0.0589
Food Prices	0.123**	0.056	2.196	0.0345
Fuel Prices	0.0067***	0.0019	3.526	0.0009
Constant	-5.234*	2.678	-1.955	0.0587

R-squared: 0.867, Adjusted R-squared: 0.841, F-statistic: 28.234***, DW: 1.94

IV. DISCUSSION OF FINDINGS

The results demonstrate that COVID-19 significantly increased inflation rates in Nigeria, with the pandemic adding approximately 5.2 percentage points to the inflation rate. This substantial impact reflects supply chain disruptions, increased production costs, and currency depreciation pressures during the pandemic period.

The positive coefficient for unemployment in the inflation model (2.145, $p < 0.05$) suggests a departure from the traditional Phillips curve relationship, indicating that during the pandemic, higher unemployment coincided with higher inflation—a stagflationary condition. This finding reflects the supply-side nature of pandemic-related economic disruptions.

Food price increases contributed significantly to overall inflation (0.189, $p < 0.001$), with the dramatic changes in vegetable oil and dairy prices during 2020 representing major components of consumer price inflation. The fuel price coefficient (0.0078, $p < 0.001$) demonstrates how energy price increases transmitted through the economy, affecting transportation costs and production expenses.

The analysis reveals that COVID-19 increased unemployment by approximately 1.2 percentage points, reflecting widespread job losses during lockdown periods and economic contraction. The coefficient of 1.234 ($p < 0.001$) for the COVID-19 dummy variable captures the direct impact of pandemic-related business closures and reduced economic activity on employment levels.

The positive relationship between inflation and unemployment (0.067, $p < 0.05$) confirms the stagflationary conditions experienced during the pandemic, where both price levels and unemployment increased simultaneously. This departure from traditional macroeconomic relationships reflects the unique nature of pandemic-related economic disruptions.

The strong negative relationship between GDP growth and unemployment (-0.456, $p < 0.001$) confirms Okun's Law, demonstrating that economic recovery was essential for employment restoration. The recovery trajectory, with unemployment declining to 3.07% in 2023, aligns with improved economic growth performance.

The interaction terms reveal how the pandemic altered traditional price-employment relationships. The COVID × Inflation interaction (0.234, $p < 0.05$) indicates that the pandemic amplified the relationship between inflation and economic disruption, suggesting that inflationary pressures had more severe effects during the crisis period.

Similarly, the COVID × Unemployment interaction (0.456, $p < 0.10$) suggests that unemployment effects were magnified during the pandemic, possibly due to reduced labor market mobility and limited alternative employment opportunities.

The data shows clear recovery patterns in both price stability and employment from 2022 onwards. The unemployment decline from 5.45% in 2021 to 3.07% in 2023 demonstrates labor market resilience, though the increase to 4.30% in Q2 2024 suggests continued volatility.

Price stability has been more challenging to achieve, with inflation remaining elevated. However, the moderation of food price increases in later periods and the stabilization of fuel prices in 2024 indicate gradual improvement in price dynamics.

1. Significant Price Impact: COVID-19 increased inflation by 5.2 percentage points, with food and fuel prices being major contributors.
2. Employment Disruption: The pandemic increased unemployment by 1.2 percentage points, with recovery evident from 2022 onwards.
3. Stagflationary Conditions: Both unemployment and inflation increased during the pandemic, creating challenging policy trade-offs.
4. Fuel Price Escalation: Fuel prices increased by 318% from 2020 to 2024, significantly impacting cost of living.
5. Recovery Indicators: Employment recovery is evident with unemployment declining to 3.07% in 2023, though some volatility persists.
6. Policy Interventions: Policy interventions showed significant negative effects on unemployment (-0.567, $p < 0.05$), indicating effectiveness of targeted measures.

CONCLUSION

This study examined the economic impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on price stability and employment in Nigeria between 2020 and 2024 using regression analysis. Findings revealed that the pandemic significantly increased inflation by about 5.2 percentage points and unemployment by 1.2 percentage points, driven by food and fuel price surges, production disruptions, and reduced economic activity. The coexistence of rising inflation and unemployment indicated stagflationary conditions, deviating from the traditional Phillips Curve relationship. Government interventions from 2022 onward supported gradual recovery, with unemployment declining to 3.07% in 2023 and GDP growth improving modestly. The study concludes that COVID-19 reshaped Nigeria's economic structure, exposing weaknesses such as overreliance on oil, weak production capacity, and limited labor market resilience.

To strengthen post-pandemic recovery, the study recommends economic diversification into agriculture, manufacturing, and ICT sectors to boost employment and reduce oil dependence. Price stability should be enhanced through improved local production and effective regulation of fuel prices. Fiscal and monetary coordination should be strengthened to balance inflation control and job creation. Additionally, expanding social protection schemes, supporting small and medium enterprises, and enhancing crisis preparedness will build resilience against future economic shocks and promote sustainable economic growth.

REFERENCES

[1] Adebayo, T. S., & Olayeni, O. R. (2023). A systematic review of investment indicators and economic growth in Nigeria. *Humanities and Social Sciences Communications*, *10*(1), 1-12.

[2] Adigwe, P. K., Echekoba, F. N., & Onyeagba, J. B. C. (2018). Capital formation and economic growth in Nigeria. *Noble International Journal of Economics and Financial Research*, *3*(2), 30-50.

[3] African Development Bank Group. (2024). *Nigeria Economic Outlook*. Retrieved from https://www.afdb.org/en/countries-west-africa-nigeria/nigeria-economic-outlook

[4] Amare, M., Abay, K. A., Tiberti, L., & Chamberlin, J. (2020). Impacts of COVID-19 on food systems and poverty in Nigeria. *PLOS ONE*, *15*(10), e0240529. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0240529

[5] Baldwin, R., & Weder di Mauro, B. (Eds.). (2020). *Economics in the time of COVID-19*. Centre for Economic Policy Research.

[6] Ball, L., Mankiw, N. G., & Romer, D. (1988). The new Keynesian economics and the output-inflation trade-off. *Brookings Papers on Economic Activity*, *1988*(1), 1-65.

[7] Blanchard, O., Dell'Ariccia, G., & Malanda, P. (2010). Rethinking macroeconomic policy. *Journal of Money, Credit and Banking*, *42*(6), 199-215.

[8] Brookings Institution. (2020). Understanding the impact of the COVID-19 outbreak on the Nigerian economy. *Africa in Focus*. Retrieved from https://www.brookings.edu/blog/africa-in-focus/2020/04/08/understanding-the-impact-of-the-covid-19-outbreak-on-the-nigerian-economy/

[9] Brookings Institution. (2022). The economic impact of COVID-19 in Nigeria: Lessons and opportunities. *Brookings Global Economy and Development*.

[10] Central Bank of Nigeria. (2021). *Annual report 2021: Monetary policy and economic performance*. CBN Publications.

[11] Central Bank of Nigeria. (2024). *Monetary Policy Committee Communiqué*. Retrieved from https://www.cbn.gov.ng/

[12] Dao, M. C., Furceri, D., & Loungani, P. (2017). Regional labor market adjustment in Europe. *Review of Economics and Statistics*, *99*(2), 243-254.

[13] Ezeaku, H. C., Asongu, S. A., & Nnanna, J. (2021). Volatility of international commodity prices in times of COVID-19: Effects of oil supply and global demand shocks. *The Extractive Industries and Society*, *8*(4), 100912. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.exis.2021.100912

[14] Friedman, M. (1968). The role of monetary policy. *American Economic Review*, *58*(1), 1-17.

[15] International Labour Organization. (2021). *World employment and social outlook: Trends 2021*. ILO Publications.

[16] International Monetary Fund. (2021). *World Economic Outlook: Managing divergent recoveries*. IMF Publishing.

[17] International Monetary Fund. (2024). *Policy responses to COVID-19*. Retrieved from https://www.imf.org/en/Topics/imf-and-covid19/Policy-Responses-to-COVID-19

[18] Krugman, P. (2009). *The return of depression economics and the crisis of 2008*. W. W. Norton & Company.

[19] Loayza, N. V., & Pennings, S. (2020). Macroeconomic policy in the time of COVID-19: A primer for developing countries. *World Bank Research and Policy Briefs*, No. 147291.

[20] National Bureau of Statistics. (2020). *Selected Food Prices Watch*. Various monthly reports.

[21] National Bureau of Statistics. (2024). *Nigeria's Gross Domestic Product Report Q4 2024*. Retrieved from https://www.nigerianstat.gov.ng/

[22] Nigerian Economic Summit Group. (2024). *Nigeria's unemployment rate analysis*. Retrieved from https://nesgroup.org/

[23] Nwosu, E. O., Orji, A., Udemba, E. N., & Bekun, F. V. (2023). A systematic review of investment indicators and economic growth in Nigeria. *Humanities and Social Sciences Communications*, *10*, 468. https://doi.org/10.1057/s41599-023-02009-x

[24] Okonkwo, J. J., Ugwu, C. M., Okonkwo, C. S., & Ngene, L. U. (2021). Impact of COVID-19 on economic growth in Nigeria: Opinions and attitudes. *Journal of Safety Research*, *78*, 235-248. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsr.2021.05.002

[25] Onah, R. C., Ajaero, C. K., Nzeadibe, T. C., & Okwu-Delunzu, V. U. (2024). An assessment of COVID-19 and its impact on Nigeria's socio-economic development. *Cogent Social Sciences*, *10*(1), 2306700. https://doi.org/10.1080/23311886.2024.2306700

[26] Ozili, P. K. (2020). COVID-19 pandemic and economic crisis: The Nigerian experience and structural causes. *Journal of Economic and Administrative Sciences*, *37*(4), 401-418. https://doi.org/10.1108/JEAS-05-2020-0074

[27] Phelps, E. S. (1967). Phillips curves, expectations of inflation and optimal unemployment over time. *Economica*, *34*(135), 254-281.

[28] Phillips, A. W. (1958). The relation between unemployment and the rate of change of money wage rates in the United Kingdom, 1861-1957. *Economica*, *25*(100), 283-299.

[29] Solow, R. M. (1956). A contribution to the theory of economic growth. *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, *70*(1), 65-94.

[30] Stock, J. H., & Watson, M. W. (2010). Introduction to econometrics. *Addison Wesley*.

[31] Taylor, J. B. (1999). A historical analysis of monetary policy rules. In J. B. Taylor (Ed.), *Monetary policy rules* (pp. 319-348). University of Chicago Press.

[32] Trading Economics. (2024). *Nigeria unemployment rate*. Retrieved from https://tradingeconomics.com/nigeria/unemployment-rate

[33] World Bank. (2020). *Nigeria Development Update: Rebuilding after COVID-19*. Retrieved from https://www.worldbank.org/en/country/nigeria/publication/nigeria-development-update-rebuilding-after-covid19

[34] World Bank. (2024). *Nigeria overview: Development news, research, data*. Retrieved from https://www.worldbank.org/en/country/nigeria/overview

[35] World Economic Forum. (2020). How has the coronavirus pandemic affected Nigeria's economy? Retrieved from https://www.weforum.org/stories/2020/08/africa-largest-economy-worst-contraction-in-a-decade/