© MAR 2026 | IRE Journals | Volume 9 Issue 9 | ISSN: 2456-8880
DOI: https://doi.org/10.64388/IREV9I9-1714910

Leadership Assessment & Strategy for Competitive Advantage: An Analysis of Infosys

VIRAJ VIDWANS
Edgewood University

Abstract – Leadership effectiveness remains a critical determinant of sustained competitive advantage, particularly in large, globally distributed service organizations operating in dynamic and technology-driven environments. This paper presents an applied leadership assessment of Infosys Limited, a leading multinational IT services and consulting firm, through the lens of four established leadership theories: Trait, Behavioural, Contingency, and Transformational leadership. Using a qualitative, secondary-data-driven case approach supported by publicly available organizational documents, leadership literature, and practitioner insights, the study evaluates how leadership practices at Infosys have evolved from a founder-led, values-driven model to a professionally managed, AI-enabled enterprise. The analysis identifies key leadership strengths, including ethical foundations, structured leadership development, and strategic vision, while also highlighting gaps related to mid-level managerial consistency, strategy translation, and situational adaptability across diverse work contexts. To bridge these gaps, the paper introduces a practical leadership assessment framework comprising a short survey instrument, a managerial behaviour audit, a situational leadership fit diagnostic, and qualitative interviews. When mapped to publicly available indicators and secondary sources, the framework suggests that while Infosys demonstrates a strong leadership architecture at the senior level, greater standardization of everyday leadership routines and clearer alignment between leadership style and operational context are required to enhance organizational effectiveness. The study concludes with a 12-month improvement roadmap and a 3–5 year leadership strategy aimed at strengthening managerial capability, improving strategy execution, and sustaining competitive advantage. The paper contributes to applied management and HR practice by offering a replicable leadership assessment approach that can be adapted by HR leaders and practitioners in large service-based organizations.

I. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This paper presents a comprehensive assessment of Infosys, a global leader in IT services and consulting, through the lens of four classical leadership frameworks: Trait, Behavioural, Contingency, and Transformational leadership theories. The objective is to evaluate how well these theories explain leadership practices at Infosys, identify strengths and gaps, and propose both short-term and long-term improvements for sustained organizational competitiveness.

Infosys's evolution from a founder-led company emphasizing values and ethical clarity to a professionally managed, AI-driven global enterprise makes it an ideal case for leadership analysis. Key documents such as the Integrated Annual Report 2024–25, open-access case studies, and leadership development publications offer insights into the organization's business environment, leadership architecture, and talent philosophy. (Day & Barney, 2012)

The study also introduces a leadership assessment tool built using a mixed-methods approach that includes a short survey, manager behaviour audit, situational leadership fit, and brief interviews. Findings from applying this framework to Infosys suggest a strong leadership foundation supported by structured leadership development programs but also highlight the need for greater consistency in mid-level managerial routines, clearer strategy communication, and better alignment of leadership style to work context. These insights culminate in a 12-month continual improvement plan and a 3–5 year strategy designed to help Infosys maintain its competitive advantage in a rapidly changing digital and AI-driven business landscape.

© MAR 2026 | IRE Journals | Volume 9 Issue 9 | ISSN: 2456-8880
DOI: https://doi.org/10.64388/IREV9I9-1714910

1. Detailed Description of the Organization

1.1 Nature of the Business

Infosys Limited is a multinational corporation offering end-to-end technology consulting, software development, cloud transformation, AI-led modernization, and managed services to global enterprises. The company has progressively transitioned from traditional IT outsourcing toward a more integrated, innovation-led model with offerings such as Infosys Cobalt (cloud services) and Infosys Topaz (AI and analytics). These platforms position Infosys as a partner for enterprise-wide modernization rather than merely a technology vendor. (Day & Barney, 2012)

The company's value proposition aligns with global demand for accelerated digital transformation, data-driven decision-making, automation, and scalable cloud environments. Public sources also highlight Infosys's increasing investment in responsible AI, employee upskilling, and thought leadership to support its next-generation service model. (ICMR, 2006)

1.2 Size and Location Footprint

Infosys operates in 50+ countries, supported by delivery centers, innovation hubs, client proximity offices, and large campuses in India, including the well-known Bengaluru headquarters. The organizational footprint reflects a globally distributed delivery model, combining offshore, nearshore, and onsite capabilities to serve clients efficiently. The Integrated Annual Report outlines its global presence and diversification across industry verticals such as financial services, retail, telecom, manufacturing, healthcare, and energy. (Sinha & Ajgaonkar, 2020)

1.3 Leadership Structure

Infosys employs a professional leadership model, overseen by a Board of Directors and executed by a CEO/MD and an Executive Leadership Team. Leadership development is formalized through the Infosys Leadership Institute (ILI), established to groom high-potential talent and maintain leadership continuity. ILI follows a structured development model grounded in the 3E Framework - Education, Exposure, and Experience and integrates transformational, transactional, and instrumental leadership dimensions. It also runs advanced programs like Leaders Teach, strategic leadership interventions, and partnerships with global universities. (Balasubramaniam, Mishra, & Tewari, 2024)

1.4 Challenges and Opportunities

Challenges

Pricing pressures in traditional IT outsourcing due to intense competition

High talent churn and the necessity for continuous reskilling in cloud, cybersecurity, and AI-related domains

Complexity of large-scale transformation projects, demanding agile leadership

Rapidly evolving client expectations, with a shift toward outcome-based contracts

Opportunities

Growing demand for AI-enabled, cloud-first enterprise modernization, an area where Infosys is investing heavily (ICMR, 2006)

Expansion of intellectual property-led accelerators, supported by APIs, automation, and analytics frameworks

Rising global brand recognition, reflected in improved brand valuation and strengthened client partnerships. (Barney, 2010)

Leadership emphasis on responsible AI and employee-centric transformation.

II. APPLICATION OF LEADERSHIP THEORIES TO INFOSYS

2.1 Trait Leadership Theory

Trait theory focuses on inherent qualities that differentiate leaders. Analysts and scholars commonly cite Infosys's founders as exemplars of leadership traits such as integrity, humility, clarity of communication, long-term thinking, and ethical commitment. These characteristics shaped Infosys's early organizational culture and continue to influence expectations of senior leaders. For instance, Narayana Murthy's reputation for transparency and fairness is often referenced in leadership studies discussing Infosys. Trait theory helps explain the company's deliberate approach to selecting leaders with credibility and a value-driven leadership style -

an approach that remains relevant as the organization navigates AI-era transitions.

2.2 Behavioural Leadership Theory

Behavioural theory emphasizes what leaders do, rather than who they are. In the Infosys context, open-access case studies highlight behaviours such as coaching underperformers, fostering continuous learning, prioritizing process discipline, and building predictability into execution. At the same time, some studies point to challenges around inconsistent managerial routines, especially across large delivery units where differences in feedback quality, recognition practices, and one-on-one interactions become more visible. These insights underscore the need to strengthen and standardize everyday leadership behaviours to enhance employee engagement and performance. (Smith, 2026)

2.3 Contingency Leadership Theory

Contingency theory argues that leadership effectiveness depends on matching leadership style to situational needs. Infosys's diverse work contexts incident-response teams, innovation labs, and steady-state delivery groups require different leadership behaviours. High-urgency environments benefit from directive clarity, while ambiguous, innovation-centered settings demand coaching, collaboration, and tolerance for experimentation. Public leadership documentation and case work indicate that Infosys encourages adaptability through structured development programs, yet opportunities exist to operationalize situational leadership through formal playbooks and role rotations. (Infosys Leadership Institute, n.d.)

2.4 Transformational Leadership Theory

Transformational leadership involves articulating a compelling vision, motivating teams to exceed expectations, stimulating innovation, and providing individualized support. Infosys has a long history of transformational shifts from early founder-led transitions to significant leadership restructurings, cultural realignments, and strategic investments such as its innovation fund and transition toward an AI-powered service model. Scholars studying Infosys frequently highlight how transformational leadership has been institutionalized, making it central to navigating technological waves and organizational evolution. (Infosys, Leadership in the Age of AI, 2018)

2.5 Summary of Most Applicable Theory

While all four theories illuminate aspects of leadership at Infosys, Transformational leadership best captures its current strategic direction especially the need to inspire, communicate a future-oriented vision, and guide employees through disruptive technological shifts. Behavioural and Contingency theories offer important complementary insights, particularly for strengthening middle management consistency and aligning leadership style with project context. Trait theory remains foundational for selection and succession at senior levels.

III. LEADERSHIP ASSESSMENT TOOL

3.1 Rationale and Design Approach

The assessment tool developed for this project uses a mixed-methods framework combining quantitative and qualitative data. This design ensures a holistic evaluation of leadership effectiveness and aligns with research on transformational change at Infosys. The tool integrates a 15-item leadership survey, a manager behaviour audit, a situational leadership fit analysis, and brief semi-structured interviews. These elements reflect proven leadership constructs and align with publicly available descriptions of Infosys's leadership development systems. (Sonkar, 2025)

3.2 Components of the Tool

A) Leadership Survey (15 items)

Covers four areas:

Trait indicators (credibility, fairness, clarity)

Behavioural routines (feedback cadence, recognition, 1:1 interactions, follow-through)

Transformational elements (vision clarity, inspiration, idea encouragement, individualized support)

Contingency alignment (adapting style to urgency/ambiguity, clarity of context-specific expectations)

B) Manager Behaviour Audit

Assesses managerial consistency through measurable indicators such as:

Number and quality of 1:1s

Frequency of recognition
Documented feedback actions
Evidence of workload planning and career discussions
C) Situational Fit Diagnostic
Classifies teamwork contexts as Incident, Innovation, or BAU, then evaluates whether leadership style matches situational needs.
D) Semi-Structured Interviews
Collects qualitative insights through 10–12 short interviews focusing on:
Examples of effective leadership
Behaviours employees want more or less of

3.3 Application and Conclusions
The tool was applied to Infosys using publicly available leadership indicators and secondary data. Findings show a strong foundation in values-based leadership and structured development pathways. (Singh & Kataria, 2021). The main improvement areas relate to:
Achieving greater consistency in mid-level leadership routines
Translating enterprise strategy into team-level goals and narratives
Strengthening alignment between leadership style and work context
These insights directly inform the improvement plan in Section 5

IV. RESULTS OF THE ASSESSMENT

4.1 Focus Areas
The assessment prioritized three domains:
Consistency of managerial behaviors, especially feedback and recognition
Change leadership, including communication of vision and purpose during AI-driven transformation
Situational adaptability, evaluating whether leadership style matches distinct operational contexts (Yadav & Sushil, 2025)

4.2 Strengths Identified
A longstanding emphasis on ethical leadership and credibility, anchored in founder values
Robust leadership development infrastructure, including ILI programs
Strong operational rigor within Infosys's global delivery model

4.3 Areas for Improvement
Need for standardized managerial routines to enhance employee experience across units
Requirement for clearer translation of enterprise strategy into team-level OKRs
Greater need for situational leadership agility across incident, innovation, and BAU environments

V. PLAN FOR CONTINUAL IMPROVEMENT (12 MONTHS)

5.1 Strengthening Managerial Consistency (Behavioural Focus)
Implement Manager Standard Work guidelines covering 1:1s, feedback, recognition, and career check-ins
Deploy simple templates and tracking tools
Target: ≥85% adherence and measurable improvement in feedback-related survey items

5.2 Enhancing Vision Communication (Transformational Focus)
Introduce team-level OKRs aligned with enterprise strategy
Conduct monthly strategy narrative sessions to reinforce purpose and clarity
Target: vision clarity scores improve; OKR adoption >90%

5.3 Building Situational Leadership Agility (Contingency Focus)
Deliver short workshops on situational leadership
Create three situational playbooks: Incident, Innovation, BAU
Introduce short-term role rotations to build adaptability
Target: ≥75% style-context alignment and improved operational metrics

5.4 Ongoing Assessment
Quarterly leadership pulse surveys
Monthly behaviour audits
Semi-annual 360° feedback cycles
Leadership dashboard integrating people, performance, and client metrics

VI. LONG-TERM STRATEGY FOR COMPETITIVE ADVANTAGE (3–5 YEARS)

A. Strengthening Talent and Culture

Expand AI and cloud learning academies
Use internal talent marketplaces to enhance mobility
Reinforce coaching and mentorship as cultural norms

B. Scaling Productized Services and Outcome Models

Invest in accelerators, reusable IP, and AI-enabled orchestration frameworks
Scale outcome-based commercial models centered on efficiency gains and time-to-value

C. Driving Operational Learning and Innovation (Infosys Live Enterprise Application Management Platform, 2020)

Institutionalize learning loops using post-incident reviews and quarterly playbook updates
Adopt the Live Enterprise model to enhance adaptability and data-driven responsiveness (Infosys: Building a brand for the future, 2025)

D. Deepening Client Partnerships

Strengthen executive sponsorship for top accounts
Leverage Customer Advisory Councils to shape co-innovation roadmaps

E. Embedding Responsible AI Leadership

Prioritize ethical AI governance, transparency, and responsible scaling
Integrate responsible AI performance metrics into leadership evaluations
Success Indicators (Infosys, 2025)
Improved profitability and revenue per employee
Enhanced critical-skill retention
Faster incident-response and delivery cycle times
Higher client satisfaction (CSAT/NPS)
Leadership effectiveness score ≥80

REFERENCES

[1] (n.d.). Retrieved from Infosys Leadership Institute: https://www.infosys.com/leadership-institute.html

[2] (n.d.). Retrieved from Steering with a vision: https://www.infosys.com/content/dam/infosys-web/en/sustainability/documents/stories/business/ili.html

[3] (2025). Retrieved from Infosys: Building a brand for the future: https://brandfinance.com/insights/infosys-2025

[4] Balasubramaniam, C. S., Mishra, P. K., & Tewari, S. (2024). Managing Change in Infosys: Institutionalizing transformational leadership.

[5] Barney, M. (2010). Leadership at Infosys.

[6] Day, D. V., & Barney, M. F. (2012). Personalizing Global Leader Development at Infosys.

[7] ICMR. (2006). Infosys' Global Delivery Model.

[8] Infosys. (2018). Leadership in the Age of AI. Retrieved from https://www.infosys.com/age-of-ai/Documents/age-of-ai-infosys-research-report.pdf

[9] Infosys. (2025). Integrated Annual Report 2024-25. Retrieved from Infosys Investors' Reports: https://www.infosys.com/investors/reports-filings/annual-report/annual/documents/infosys-ar-25.pdf

[10] (2020). Infosys Live Enterprise Application Management Platform.

[11] Singh, P., & Kataria, D. P. (2021). Leadership in Infosys Technologies - A Case Study Report.

[12] Sinha, E., & Ajgaonkar, M. (2020). The Infosys Saga: An Indian IT Giant Faces a Leadership Crisis.

[13] Smith, G. F. (2026). Infosys: Leveraging the Global Delivery Model (2004) Case Study Solution.

[14] Sonkar, S. (2025). Strategic Leadership Development in IT: A Case Study of Infosys Ltd.

[15] Yadav, R., & Sushil, D. S. (2025). Workplace culture and talent sustainability: Case evidence from Infosys, TCS and Wipro.