

# Social Investment Programmes and National Development in Nigeria (2015–2020)

JIM ASONNAM (PH.D)

*Department of Political Sciences, Faculty of Social Sciences, Ignatius Ajuru University of Education, Port Harcourt-Nigeria*

**Abstract-** *Public policies and development programmes remain essential instruments for promoting socio-economic growth and national development. In recent years, the Nigerian government introduced several social protection initiatives aimed at reducing poverty and improving the welfare of vulnerable citizens. Among these initiatives is the National Social Investment Programme (NSIP), launched in 2016 by the Federal Government of Nigeria. This study examines the relationship between social investment programmes and national development in Nigeria between 2015 and 2020. Specifically, the study evaluates the design, implementation, achievements, and challenges of the Social Investment Programme (SIP). The study adopts the theory of public policy implementation as articulated by Van Meter and Van Horn as its analytical framework. Methodologically, the research is descriptive in nature and relies on secondary data obtained from academic literature, government documents, and policy reports. The data were analyzed using content analysis. Findings reveal that SIP has contributed to poverty reduction, human capital development, youth empowerment, and economic stimulation through programmes such as N-Power, Conditional Cash Transfers, the Home-Grown School Feeding Programme, and the Government Enterprise and Empowerment Programme. However, the study also identifies several implementation challenges including inadequate funding, poor transparency, weak institutional coordination, and political interference. The paper concludes that while SIP has made measurable contributions to national development, its long-term sustainability depends on institutional strengthening, improved monitoring mechanisms, and sustainable funding strategies.*

**Keywords:** *Social Investment Programme, Public Policy, National Development, Poverty Reduction, Nigeria*

## I. INTRODUCTION

Development remains one of the central aspirations of every nation. Governments across the world formulate policies and programmes designed to improve the socio-economic well-being of citizens. In developing

countries particularly, development policies often focus on poverty reduction, employment creation, and improvement in human capital development. Nigeria, as Africa's most populous nation, has implemented numerous development policies aimed at addressing socio-economic challenges.

National development refers to the overall socio-economic, political, and institutional advancement of a nation. According to Lawal and Oluwatoyin (2011), national development encompasses improvements in economic growth, social welfare, political stability, and human development. In the context of Nigeria, development has been pursued through a variety of policies and programmes implemented by successive governments.

Public policies are the instruments through which governments attempt to solve societal problems. Dye (1978) defines public policy as whatever government chooses to do or not to do. Similarly, Ikelegbe (1996) explains that public policy consists of deliberate actions taken by government to address public problems.

Nigeria's development trajectory has been shaped by several national development plans and socio-economic policies. Since independence in 1960, successive governments have implemented development programmes aimed at improving living standards and reducing poverty. These programmes include the National Economic Empowerment and Development Strategy (NEEDS), Structural Adjustment Programme (SAP), National Poverty Eradication Programme (NAPEP), and the Subsidy Reinvestment and Empowerment Programme (SURE-P).

Despite these initiatives, Nigeria continues to face persistent challenges of poverty, unemployment, and inequality. According to the World Bank, Nigeria

remains one of the countries with the highest number of people living below the poverty line. This situation has prompted the government to adopt additional policy interventions aimed at addressing poverty and social inequality.

In response to these challenges, the Federal Government of Nigeria introduced the Social Investment Programme (SIP) in 2016. The programme was designed as a comprehensive social protection policy aimed at improving the welfare of vulnerable populations. The programme focuses on areas such as youth employment, poverty alleviation, financial inclusion, and child nutrition.

This study therefore examines the role of the Social Investment Programme in promoting national development in Nigeria between 2015 and 2020.

## II. CONCEPTUAL CLARIFICATIONS

### Public Policy

Public policy represents the set of decisions and actions taken by governments to address societal issues. Jenkins (1978) defines public policy as a series of interrelated decisions made by political actors concerning the selection of goals and the means of achieving them.

Public policies are typically designed to solve specific social problems. Chandler and Plano (1988) argue that public policy involves the strategic allocation of resources by government to address public concerns.

In democratic societies, public policy is expected to reflect the interests of citizens and promote social welfare. According to Nnadozie (2016), public policies are designed to advance the general welfare of society by addressing issues such as poverty, unemployment, and inequality.

### Development and National Development

The concept of development has generated significant scholarly debate because of its multidimensional nature. Development is often associated with improvements in economic growth, social welfare, and human capabilities.

Rodney (1969) defines development as a process through which individuals acquire greater freedom, creativity, and material well-being. Similarly, Todaro describes development as a multidimensional process involving economic, social, and institutional transformations.

National development refers to the comprehensive improvement of a country's economic, political, and social structures. Lawal and Oluwatoyin (2011) describe national development as the collective advancement of a nation in terms of economic prosperity, political stability, and social well-being.

## III. SOCIAL INVESTMENT PROGRAMME

Social investment refers to government policies designed to enhance the welfare and productivity of citizens through investments in human capital. Wells (2012) explains that social investment policies focus on empowering individuals by improving their education, health, and economic opportunities.

In Nigeria, the Social Investment Programme (SIP) was introduced by the Federal Government in 2016 as part of its strategy to address poverty and unemployment. The programme is coordinated by the National Social Investment Office under the Office of the Vice President.

The programme consists of four major components:

### N-Power Programme

The N-Power programme is designed to provide employment opportunities and skills development for young Nigerians. The programme engages graduates and non-graduates in various sectors including education, agriculture, health, and technology.

### National Home-Grown School Feeding Programme

This programme provides free meals to primary school pupils across Nigeria. The objective is to improve school enrolment, reduce malnutrition, and stimulate local agricultural production.

### Conditional Cash Transfer Programme

The Conditional Cash Transfer programme provides financial assistance to poor and vulnerable

households. Beneficiaries receive monthly stipends to support their basic needs.

Government Enterprise and Empowerment Programme (GEEP)

GEEP provides interest-free loans to small business owners, traders, farmers, and entrepreneurs to support economic activities.

#### IV. THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

This study adopts the Theory of Public Policy Implementation developed by Van Meter and Van Horn. The theory focuses on the factors that influence the successful implementation of government policies. According to the theory, policy implementation is influenced by six major variables:

1. Policy objectives and standards
2. Availability of resources
3. Inter-organizational communication
4. Characteristics of implementing agencies
5. Economic and political environment
6. Disposition of policy implementers

These variables provide a useful framework for evaluating the effectiveness of the Social Investment Programme in Nigeria.

#### V. CONTRIBUTIONS OF SOCIAL INVESTMENT PROGRAMME TO NATIONAL DEVELOPMENT

The Social Investment Programme has contributed to national development in several ways.

##### Poverty Reduction

One of the major objectives of SIP is to reduce poverty among vulnerable populations. The Conditional Cash Transfer programme provides financial assistance to poor households, helping them meet basic needs.

##### Human Capital Development

The School Feeding Programme improves the nutritional status of children and enhances their ability to learn effectively.

##### Youth Empowerment

Through the N-Power programme, thousands of young Nigerians have acquired skills and employment opportunities.

##### Economic Stimulation

The Government Enterprise and Empowerment Programme supports small businesses and promotes financial inclusion.

#### VI. CHALLENGES OF SIP IMPLEMENTATION

Despite its achievements, the implementation of SIP faces several challenges.

Funding Constraints; Limited funding has affected the scale and sustainability of the programme.

Lack of Transparency; There have been concerns about transparency in the disbursement of funds.

Political Interference; Political influence sometimes affect the selection of beneficiaries

Weak Information Systems; Poor information management limits public awareness and monitoring of the programme.

#### VII. CONCLUSION

The Social Investment Programme represents a significant policy initiative aimed at addressing poverty and inequality in Nigeria. The programme has contributed to youth empowerment, economic inclusion, and human capital development.

However, the success of the programme depends on effective implementation, transparency, and sustainable funding mechanisms.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Abdulsalami, I. (1998). Public policy: Concepts, approaches and processes. Sam Bookman.

- [2] Agbakoba, J. C. A. (2003). Philosophical issues in development. *Fourth Dimension*.
- [3] Attai, A. M., Orokpo, O. F., & Ojonemi, P. S. (2012). Public policies and national development in Nigeria. *Journal of Management and Corporate Governance*, 4(1), 32–44.
- [4] Cecchini, S. (2017). Reducing poverty amidst inequality. Economic Commission for Latin America.
- [5] Chandler, R., & Plano, J. (1988). *The public administration dictionary*. ABC-CLIO.
- [6] De la Briere, B., & Rawlings, L. (2006). Examining CCT programmes. World Bank.
- [7] Dye, T. R. (1978). *Understanding public policy*. Prentice Hall.
- [8] Egonmwan, J. A. (1991). *Public policy analysis*. Resyin Publishers.
- [9] Henry, N. (2004). *Public administration and public affairs*. Prentice Hall.
- [10] Hill, M., & Hupe, P. (2002). *Implementing public policy*. Sage.
- [11] Idike, A. (2014). Local government and national development in Nigeria. *European Scientific Journal*, 10(25).
- [12] Ikelegbe, A. (1996). *Public policy making and analysis*. Uri Publishing.
- [13] Jannah, C. (2018). World Bank report on poverty in Nigeria.
- [14] Jenkins, W. I. (1978). *Policy analysis: A political perspective*. Martin Robertson.
- [15] Lamidi, K., & Igbokwe, P. (2021). Social investment programmes in Nigeria. *African Journal of Social Work*, 11(1).
- [16] Lawal, T., & Oluwatoyin, A. (2011). National development in Nigeria. *Journal of Public Administration*, 3(9).
- [17] Moti, U. G. (2012). Development plans and sustainable development in Nigeria.
- [18] National Social Investment Office. (2017). National home-grown school feeding programme report.
- [19] National Social Investment Office. (2019). National social investment programme report.
- [20] Ndubisi, F. (2017). Accountability issues in Nigeria's SIP. *ThisDay Newspaper*.
- [21] Nnadozie, U. O. (2016). Public policy and national development.
- [22] Okeke, M. I. (2001). *Public policy analysis in Nigeria*. Bismark Publications.
- [23] Okeke, R. C. (2015). *Sustainable development in Africa*.
- [24] Onah, F. O. (2006). *Managing public programmes and projects*.
- [25] Onah, R. C., & Olise, C. N. (2019). Social investment and poverty reduction. *IOSR Journal of Humanities*.
- [26] Peng, I. (2011). Social investment policies in developed countries.
- [27] Rawlings, L., & Rubio, G. (2003). Evaluating CCT programmes. World Bank.
- [28] Solihin, D. (2012). Policy implementation and poverty alleviation.
- [29] Taiwo, J., & Agwu, M. (2016). Poverty alleviation programmes in Nigeria.
- [30] Wells, P. (2012). Social investment policy and welfare reform.