© MAR 2026 | IRE Journals | Volume 9 Issue 9 | ISSN: 2456-8880
DOI: https://doi.org/10.64388/IREV9I9-1715178

Role Of AI-Driven Content in Reducing Operational Cost of Financial Institutions

SOUMYA MISHRA[1], HITESH KESARWANI[2]
[1]*B. Com (Hons), Amity Business School, Amity University Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow*
[2]Assistant Professor, *Amity Business School, Amity University Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow*

Abstract- - The increase in integration of artificial intelligence (AI) into financial services has introduced new areas for customer interaction management. Banking and fintech institutions increasingly making use of AI-powered systems—including virtual assistants, intelligent chatbots, and automated notification frameworks—to process high volumes of routine customer inquiries at scale. This study empirically examines how such AI-driven communication systems influence perceptions of operational cost efficiency among active users of digital financial platforms. A structured survey was collected from 46 participants who regularly engage with mobile banking, digital payment, or fintech applications. The instrument assessed three core dimensions: user awareness of AI-enabled features, direct interaction experiences with those features, and perceived utility of AI systems in streamlining service delivery. Results indicate that 95.6% of respondents acknowledged the presence of AI features in their financial applications, 80.4% reported direct interaction with AI-driven tools, and 71.8% expressed the belief that AI adoption contributes to reduced operational costs in financial institutions. These results suggest that user acceptance of AI-driven communication tools is sufficiently established to yield meaningful operational efficiencies, particularly within younger, digitally engaged demographics.

Index Terms- Artificial Intelligence, Financial Technology, Operational Cost Efficiency, Automated Customer Service, Digital Banking, User Acceptance, NBFC, Fintech

I. INTRODUCTION

The financial services sector has changed in many ways regarding the structural transformation over the past decade, driven mainly by the convergence of mobile connectivity, cloud computing, and algorithmic decision-making. Legacy service delivery models—characterized by branch-based, agent-mediated interactions—have given way to digital-first platforms capable of serving millions of users simultaneously with minimal physical infrastructure. Within this complete change, artificial intelligence has emerged not just as a supplementary tool but as a core operational component of competitive financial institutions.

Unlike deterministic rule-based software, contemporary AI systems possess the capacity to process unstructured inputs, learn from interaction data, and generate contextually calibrated outputs. These properties render them particularly well-suited for customer-facing applications, where inquiry diversity, volume volatility, and response-time expectations present chronic operational challenges. Automated customer service systems—encompassing AI chatbots, intelligent notification engines, and virtual financial advisors—now handle a substantial and growing share of routine interactions in major banking and fintech platforms.

The operational rationale for AI adoption in customer service is straightforward: institutions that can deflect high-volume, low-complexity inquiries from human agents to automated systems stand to achieve meaningful reductions in per-interaction labor costs while simultaneously improving throughput and availability. However, the realization of these efficiency gains is contingent on user acceptance. AI communication systems that users distrust or find difficult to navigate generate limited operational value regardless of their underlying technical sophistication.

Empirical research examining how end-users perceive AI-driven financial communication tools—and the degree to which their perceptions align with institutional cost-reduction objectives—remains comparatively sparse. This study addresses that gap by drawing on primary survey data collected from 46 active users of digital financial platforms in the

Indian context, with a specific focus on NBFCs and fintech service providers.

1.1 Research Objectives

This study pursues five interrelated empirical objectives:

- To assess user awareness of AI-enabled features embedded in digital financial applications.
- To identify the categories of AI-driven tools with which users most frequently interact.
- To evaluate user perceptions of the usefulness and service efficiency of AI-based communication systems.
- To determine the extent to which users believe AI systems can autonomously resolve routine queries without human involvement.
- To examine the relationship between user acceptance of AI tools and their potential to reduce operational support costs in financial institutions.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

Research on AI deployment in business operations has expanded substantially since the mid-2010s. Davenport and Ronanki established that organizations across industries are deploying cognitive technologies to automate knowledge-intensive workflows, with financial services identified as a high-priority domain given its data-intensive and procedurally repetitive character. Parallel research by Brynjolfsson and McAfee situated AI adoption within a broader technological transition, arguing that firms capable of effectively integrating machine intelligence into production workflows would derive disproportionate competitive advantages.

From a macroeconomic perspective, Bughin and colleagues projected that AI-enabled productivity gains in high-data-volume sectors—of which financial services is a canonical example—could be substantial, particularly in functions characterized by repetitive decision cycles and standardized output requirements. Customer service operations in banking embody precisely these characteristics, making them natural candidates for AI-mediated efficiency improvements.

At the individual level, the Unified Theory of Acceptance and Use of Technology (UTAUT), developed by Venkatesh and colleagues, provides the theoretical scaffolding most commonly applied to understanding user engagement with novel digital tools. Performance expectancy and effort expectancy are positioned as the primary determinants of behavioral intention to adopt—constructs that translate directly into questions about perceived usefulness and navigability in AI-driven financial tools.

Research within the fintech and digital banking literature has established that AI adoption is progressively reshaping competitive dynamics across the financial sector. Arner, Barberis, and Buckley documented the emergence of a post-crisis digital finance paradigm in which technology-enabled entrants and incumbent institutions alike are compelled to invest in intelligent automation. Verma and Bhattacharyya extended this analysis to emerging economies, finding that strategic value perception is a significant driver of AI adoption in contexts such as India, where digital financial services have grown rapidly under initiatives such as UPI and Jan Dhan Yojana.

A consistent finding across this literature is that user engagement quality mediates the relationship between AI deployment and operational outcomes. Systems that achieve high adoption rates and positive user evaluations tend to generate measurable cost deflection from human support channels. This observation underscores the importance of user-perception research as a complement to technical and economic assessments of AI implementation—the precise contribution this study makes.

III. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

This study adopts a descriptive cross-sectional research design, appropriate for capturing user perceptions and behavioral self-reports within a defined population at a discrete point in time. Primary data were collected via a structured questionnaire administered digitally to 46 individuals who self-identified as regular users of mobile

banking, digital payment, or fintech platforms in India.

The instrument was organized around four thematic modules: (1) respondent demographics and general platform usage patterns; (2) awareness of AI-enabled features; (3) perceptions of efficiency, convenience, and service quality; and (4) trust and acceptance of AI-based responses. Survey items used five-point Likert scales anchored from 'Strongly Disagree' to 'Strongly Agree' for attitudinal items, and from 'Never' to 'Always' for behavioral frequency items.

Respondents were selected using convenience sampling, with the majority comprising undergraduate students and young adults—a cohort with high baseline digital literacy and frequent engagement with fintech and NBFC platforms. Data were analyzed using descriptive statistics including frequency distributions and percentage calculations. Findings are presented as directional evidence appropriate to the exploratory scope of the study rather than statistically generalizable conclusions.

IV. DATA ANALYSIS AND FINDINGS

4.1 Respondent Profile

The sample of 46 respondents is demographically concentrated among younger adults, with 69.6% falling in the 18–21 age group and a further 19.6% in the 22–25 bracket. A total of 10.9% of respondents were below 18 years of age. Female respondents constituted 63% of the sample and male respondents 37%. In terms of educational background, 89.1% were undergraduate students, consistent with the convenience sampling approach targeting digitally active young users. This demographic profile is analytically significant: it captures a population segment with elevated baseline digital literacy and commensurately high expectations for technology-mediated service quality.

4.2 Digital Platform Engagement

Usage frequency data establishes that the sample is characterized by high and sustained engagement with digital financial platforms. A majority of respondents (56.5%) reported using financial applications on a daily basis, with a further 21.7% engaging on a weekly basis. Only 21.8% reported occasional or rare usage. This pattern confirms that the study cohort represents active, habitual users of digital financial services—precisely the population segment for whom AI-driven communication tools are most consequential in determining operational efficiency outcomes.

4.3 Awareness of AI-Enabled Features

On the question of AI feature awareness, the data reveals near-universal recognition among respondents. When asked whether their financial applications incorporate AI technologies, 30.4% strongly agreed and 65.2% agreed, yielding a combined positive response rate of 95.6%. This finding is substantively significant: it indicates that AI integration in consumer-facing financial platforms has achieved a threshold of visibility sufficient for users to form informed attitudes about the technology. Only a negligible proportion of respondents expressed uncertainty or disagreement, suggesting that AI features in financial applications are no longer invisible or unfamiliar to the active digital user base.

4.4 Direct Interaction with AI-Driven Tools

Interaction data corroborates the awareness findings. A total of 80.4% of respondents (32.6% strongly agree, 47.8% agree) confirmed having directly interacted with AI-based features—including chatbot interfaces, automated account notifications, and system-generated recommendations—in the course of their routine platform use. When asked specifically whether AI-generated messages and notifications are easy to understand, 86.9% responded affirmatively (30.4% strongly agree, 56.5% agree), with only 8.7% expressing a neutral position and a minimal proportion indicating difficulty. This clarity of communication is operationally relevant: when users can accurately interpret automated messages, the volume of follow-up interactions requiring human clarification decreases proportionally.

Regarding chatbot effectiveness, 78.3% of respondents (28.3% strongly agree, 50% agree) indicated that AI chatbots provide quick and accurate responses to user queries. Approximately 19.6% remained neutral, suggesting that while the majority experience chatbot interactions as satisfactory, a meaningful minority have encountered limitations in

accuracy or contextual understanding. This nuance is consistent with broader literature on AI chatbot maturity and points to ongoing scope for performance improvement.

4.5 Perceived Operational Efficiency and Cost Implications

The most operationally consequential findings emerge from Section C of the survey. When asked whether AI features reduce the need for human customer support in handling basic queries, 78.3% of respondents agreed or strongly agreed (32.6% strongly agree, 45.7% agree). This perception directly told about the institutional cost structures: if users are willing and able to resolve routine inquiries through AI-mediated channels, the demand placed on human support agents—and the associated labor costs—diminishes proportionally.

Most significantly, when asked directly whether AI helps financial institutions reduce operational costs, 71.8% of respondents responded affirmatively (19.6% strongly agree, 52.2% agree). Only a marginal proportion disagreed, while approximately 26.1% maintained a neutral position. This finding provides user-side empirical support for the central proposition of the study: that AI-driven communication tools are perceived as meaningful contributors to cost efficiency in financial institutions.

Furthermore, 80.4% of respondents (32.6% strongly agree, 47.8% agree) indicated that AI-driven content helps financial institutions handle large volumes of users more efficiently. This perception of scalability is directly relevant to operational cost models, where the marginal cost of serving an additional customer through an automated channel approaches zero—a structural advantage that human-staffed service models cannot replicate.

4.6 Trust and Acceptance of AI Systems

Trust data reveals a broadly favorable orientation among respondents, with notable nuance. When asked whether they trust AI-based responses for simple financial queries, 88.9% expressed agreement (57.8% agree, 31.1% strongly agree)—the highest positive response rate across all survey dimensions. This indicates that trust in AI systems, at least for low-stakes and routine interactions, is well-established within the study cohort.

On the question of channel preference—whether AI support is preferable to human support for basic queries—75.5% of respondents indicated a preference for or comfort with AI-mediated responses (24.4% strongly agree, 51.1% agree). Approximately 17.8% maintained a neutral position, and a small proportion retained a preference for human interaction. This finding is consequential for operational planning: it suggests that the majority of routine service interactions could, in principle, be routed through AI channels without generating user dissatisfaction, provided the quality of AI responses meets user expectations.

4.7 Summary of Key Findings

Table 1 consolidates the primary data findings across all survey dimensions, presenting the percentage of respondents who agreed or strongly agreed with each proposition and summarizing the operational implication of each result.

Table 1: Summary of Primary Survey Findings (n = 46)

Survey Item / Dimension	Key Finding	% Agree / Strongly Agree	Implication
Daily use of financial apps	High digital engagement	56.5%	Active user base
Awareness of AI in financial apps	Near-universal AI recognition	95.6%	AI visibility achieved
Interaction with AI features	Broad hands-on exposure	80.4%	Adoption normalized
AI messages easy to understand	Strong message clarity	86.9%	Usability confirmed

Chatbot effectiveness	Positive utility perception	78.3%	Service quality validated
AI reduces human support dependency	Labor substitution accepted	78.3%	Cost deflection viable
AI reduces operational costs	Direct cost benefit perceived	71.8%	Core hypothesis supported
Trust in AI for simple queries	High trust baseline	88.9%	Sustained adoption likely
AI preferred over human for basic queries	Clear channel preference	75.5%	Deflection sustainable

Source: Primary data collected (2026)

Taken together, the data establish a coherent and internally consistent picture: users of digital financial platforms are broadly aware of AI features, regularly interact with them, find them useful and trustworthy for routine interactions, and believe they contribute to operational cost efficiency. The convergence of these perceptions across multiple survey dimensions strengthens the inferential basis for the study's central argument.

V. DISCUSSION

The findings of this study contribute user-side empirical evidence to the growing body of research on AI adoption in financial services. Three analytical observations merit particular attention.

First, the near-universal awareness rate (95.6%) observed in this study suggests that AI integration in Indian fintech and NBFC platforms has achieved sufficient market penetration to be recognized by the active digital user base. This is a non-trivial finding: awareness is a necessary precondition for the formation of attitudes and behavioral intentions, and its near-universality among the study cohort indicates that the user-side conditions for AI-driven cost efficiency are in place.

Second, the alignment between usage frequency, interaction experience, and positive utility perceptions is noteworthy. Respondents who use financial applications daily (56.5%) are also the most likely to have formed clear and informed views about AI feature effectiveness. The high message clarity rating (86.9%) and chatbot satisfaction rate (78.3%) suggest that current AI implementations in the platforms used by respondents are broadly meeting user expectations for routine service interactions.

Third, and most consequentially, the data reveal a meaningful gap between trust in AI for simple queries (88.9%) and direct cost reduction perception (71.8%). This gap is analytically informative: it suggests that while users trust AI to handle their individual interactions competently, they are somewhat less certain about the aggregate institutional cost implications. This pattern may reflect a rational epistemic boundary—users can directly evaluate their own interaction experiences but cannot observe institutional cost structures—and underscores the importance of complementing perception-based research with institutional data in future studies.

VI. CONCLUSION

This study examined user perceptions of AI-driven communication tools in digital financial platforms and their implications for operational cost efficiency. Drawing on primary survey data from 46 active users of fintech and NBFC applications in India, the evidence supports three principal conclusions.

First, AI-driven features in digital financial platforms have achieved high visibility and regular use among the studied demographic, with 95.6% awareness and 80.4% direct interaction rates establishing a robust adoption baseline. Second, user evaluations of AI communication systems are predominantly favorable across dimensions of clarity, responsiveness, and utility—conditions that are necessary for sustained behavioral adoption and the consequent operational benefits. Third, a significant majority of users (71.8%) explicitly perceive AI as a contributor to operational cost reduction in financial institutions, providing user-side empirical support for the institutional efficiency arguments advanced in existing literature.

The practical implication is clear: institutions that deploy well-designed AI communication tools within contexts where user acceptance is already established stand to achieve meaningful and sustainable reductions in support costs. Future research should extend these findings through longitudinal designs, larger and more demographically diverse samples, and behavioral data derived from platform analytics to complement and validate the self-reported perceptions captured here.

VII. LIMITATIONS

The sample of 46 respondents limits statistical generalizability. The demographic concentration among undergraduate students introduces a systematic skew that may not reflect attitudes across the full spectrum of financial service users, particularly older demographics. The reliance on self-reported perception data introduces susceptibility to response bias. Additionally, the absence of internal institutional cost data means that findings reflect user-side inference rather than direct measurement of operational expenditure. Future studies would benefit from mixed-methods designs incorporating both perception surveys and organizational performance data.

REFERENCES

[1] Arner, D. W., Barberis, J., & Buckley, R. P. (2016). The evolution of FinTech: A new post-crisis paradigm. Georgetown Journal of International Law, 47, 1271–1319.

[2] Brynjolfsson, E., & McAfee, A. (2017). Machine, platform, crowd: Harnessing our digital future. W. W. Norton & Company.

[3] Bughin, J., Hazan, E., Ramaswamy, S., Chui, M., Allas, T., Dahlström, P., Henke, N., & Trench, M. (2017). Artificial intelligence: The next digital frontier? McKinsey Global Institute.

[4] Davenport, T. H., & Ronanki, R. (2018). Artificial intelligence for the real world. Harvard Business Review, 96(1), 108–116.

[5] Kaur, P., Dhir, A., Singh, N., Sahu, G., & Almotairi, M. (2021). An innovation resistance theory perspective on mobile payment solutions. Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services, 55, 102059.

[6] NASSCOM. (2021). Artificial intelligence adoption in financial services. NASSCOM Research.

[7] Reserve Bank of India. (2022). Report on trend and progress of banking and NBFCs in India. RBI Publications.

[8] Venkatesh, V., Morris, M. G., Davis, G. B., & Davis, F. D. (2003). User acceptance of information technology: Toward a unified view. MIS Quarterly, 27(3), 425–478.

[9] Verma, S., & Bhattacharyya, S. S. (2017). Perceived strategic value-based adoption of big data analytics in emerging economies. Journal of Enterprise Information Management, 30(3), 354–382.

[10] World Economic Forum. (2020). The future of financial services: How artificial intelligence is transforming the industry.