© APR 2026 | IRE Journals | Volume 9 Issue 10 | ISSN: 2456-8880
DOI: https://doi.org/10.64388/IREV9I10-1716003

Green Initiatives in Automobile Technology: A Comprehensive Research Paper on Sustainable Automotive Innovation

ANAND SHARMA[1], DR. VIKAS BANSAL[2], DR. ROHIT MISHRA[3], DR. D. K. JAMUWA[4]
[1, 2, 3, 4]*Associate Professor, Govt Engineering College of Ajmer Rajasthan*

Abstract- The global automotive sector stands at the epicentre of a historic environmental transformation. Responsible for over 20% of worldwide greenhouse gas emissions, the industry faces mounting regulatory, societal, and technological pressure to decarbonise across the entire vehicle lifecycle. This paper provides a comprehensive, evidence-based review of the principal green initiatives reshaping automobile technology as of 2025–2026. Drawing on peer-reviewed literature, market intelligence reports, corporate sustainability disclosures, and policy analyses from the OECD, IEA, NewClimate Institute, and leading automotive OEMs, we examine six interconnected dimensions: (1) the electrification paradigm and evolution of battery technology toward solid-state and sodium-ion chemistries; (2) hydrogen fuel cell vehicles and the emerging green hydrogen economy; (3) life cycle assessment methodologies applied to battery electric vehicles; (4) circular economy strategies and battery second-life programmes; (5) sustainable manufacturing processes, digital twins, and green factory initiatives; and (6) global policy frameworks including the EU's Euro 7, CO_2 fleet standards for 2035, the US Inflation Reduction Act, and Asia-Pacific hydrogen roadmaps. The paper identifies critical research gaps, notably the need for standardised LCA frameworks, credible OEM decarbonisation targets, and infrastructure parity for hydrogen mobility. Our findings affirm that while substantial progress has been achieved, the pathway to a truly green automotive ecosystem requires coordinated action across technology developers, manufacturers, policymakers, and consumers.

Index Terms- Battery Electric Vehicles (BEV), Hydrogen Fuel Cell Vehicles (HFCV), Solid-State Batteries, Circular Economy, Life Cycle Assessment, Sustainable Automotive Manufacturing, Euro 7, Inflation Reduction Act, Net-Zero Emissions.

I. INTRODUCTION

The transportation sector accounts for approximately 23% of all global carbon dioxide emissions, making it one of the most significant contributors to climate change [13]. Within this sector, road vehicles — passenger cars, commercial vans, and heavy-duty trucks — bear the greatest share of the environmental burden. As nations commit to the Paris Agreement's target of limiting global temperature rise to 1.5°C above pre-industrial levels, the decarbonisation of personal and commercial mobility has become not merely aspirational but legally binding in many jurisdictions.

The automotive industry, a global economic behemoth with an annual turnover exceeding USD 3 trillion [21], is responding to this imperative through an unprecedented convergence of electrification, digitalisation, and sustainability engineering. The transition is structural, not cosmetic: it spans raw material extraction, vehicle design, manufacturing energy systems, product use, battery second-life applications, and end-of-life recycling. Companies that invest early in clear sustainability strategies, digital integration, and collaborative networks are increasingly recognised as possessing durable competitive advantages [1].

For over a century, internal combustion engines (ICEs) powered by fossil fuels have dominated personal and commercial transportation. This legacy has come at a staggering environmental cost. The transport sector currently accounts for approximately 24% of direct CO_2 emissions from fuel combustion, with road vehicles— cars, trucks, and buses — responsible for nearly three-quarters of that figure. Beyond carbon dioxide, conventional vehicles emit nitrogen oxides, particulate matter, and hydrocarbons, contributing to urban smog, respiratory diseases, and climate change at an unprecedented scale.

In response to mounting scientific evidence and growing public awareness, governments, manufacturers, and consumers are driving a fundamental transformation in how vehicles are conceived, designed, and powered. Green initiatives in automobile technology represent a comprehensive shift toward sustainable mobility — one that minimizes ecological harm while maintaining the convenience and economic benefits that modern transportation provides.

These initiatives span multiple interconnected domains. At the forefront is electrification: battery electric vehicles (BEVs), plug-in hybrids (PHEVs), and fuel cell electric vehicles (FCEVs) are rapidly displacing traditional gasoline and diesel powertrains. Complementing this shift are advancements in alternative fuels, including biofuels, synthetic e-fuels, and hydrogen, which offer pathways to decarbonize existing vehicle fleets. Simultaneously, lightweight materials such as carbon fiber, aluminum, and advanced high-strength steels reduce energy consumption across all vehicle types, while aerodynamic designs and low-rolling- resistance tires further enhance efficiency.

Beyond the vehicles themselves, green initiatives extend to manufacturing processes. Automakers are increasingly adopting renewable energy in assembly plants, implementing water recycling systems, using sustainable and recycled materials in interiors, and designing for end-of-life recyclability. The concept of the circular economy — where vehicle components are reused, remanufactured, or recycled — is gaining traction as a holistic approach to reducing the automotive industry's material footprint.

Regulatory frameworks have been instrumental in accelerating these changes. Stringent emissions standards (Euro 7, China 6, and EPA regulations), zero-emission vehicle mandates, and internal combustion engine phase-out announcements (with targets as early as 2035 in the EU and several U.S. states) have created clear market signals. Yet challenges remain substantial: battery production's environmental impact, charging infrastructure gaps, critical mineral supply chains, and the need for affordable green mobility solutions for all income groups. This paper reviews the state of green initiatives in automobile technology as of early 2026, synthesising current evidence across six thematic dimensions.

II. ELECTRIFICATION AND ADVANCED BATTERY TECHNOLOGY

2.1 The Rise of Battery Electric Vehicles

Battery Electric Vehicles (BEVs) have emerged as the dominant paradigm in sustainable automotive propulsion. By 2023, the global BEV stock had surpassed 28.2 million vehicles, with BEVs accounting for over 60% of total electric vehicle sales worldwide [27]. GlobalData projects that BEVs will account for 18% of the total light vehicle market share by 2025, rising to 28% — approximately 28.3 million units — by 2028 [4]. The rapid evolution of EV technology since the launch of the Tesla Roadster in 2008 and the Nissan Leaf in 2010 has democratised electric mobility, with vehicles such as the Tesla Model 3, Ford Mustang Mach-E, and Volkswagen ID series making electric driving accessible and commercially viable at scale [3].

Central to the EV revolution is regenerative braking technology, which captures kinetic energy that would otherwise be lost as heat during deceleration and uses it to recharge the vehicle's battery, significantly improving energy efficiency. This technology, combined with increasingly renewable electricity grids, means the carbon footprint of electric cars is lower across all life phases compared to internal combustion engine (ICE) vehicles [3].

The modern BEV era arguably began with the Nissan Leaf and Tesla Roadster in the late 2000s, but it was Tesla's Model S (2012) that proved electric cars could deliver superior performance, long range, and consumer appeal. Since then, the market has exploded. Global BEV sales surpassed 10 million units in 2023, capturing over 11% of the total automotive market. Leading manufacturers — Volkswagen, Ford, Hyundai, GM, and a wave of Chinese companies like BYD and Nio — have committed tens of billions of dollars to electrification, with many announcing plans to sell only BEVs by the early 2030s.

Several converging factors explain this rapid rise. First, dramatic improvements in battery technology have alleviated range anxiety. Lithium-ion energy densities have roughly tripled since 2010, while costs per kilowatt- hour have fallen by nearly 90% — from over $1,200 in 2010 to below $130 in 2024. This has enabled affordable BEVs with real-world ranges of 250–400 miles on a single charge, matching or exceeding many gasoline vehicles. Second, charging infrastructure has expanded exponentially. Fast chargers capable of adding 200 miles of range in 15–20 minutes are now common along highways in Europe, China, and North America, while home and workplace charging offers daily convenience.

Third, regulatory pressure has been decisive. The European Union's mandate to end sales of new CO_2-emitting cars by 2035, China's New Energy Vehicle quotas, and U.S. incentives under the Inflation Reduction Act have created predictable demand. Simultaneously, a growing number of cities — including London, Paris, and Shanghai — are restricting or taxing high-emission vehicles, further tipping the scales toward BEVs. Consumer perceptions have also evolved. Early adopters were motivated primarily by environmental concerns, but today's buyers increasingly cite lower operating costs, smoother and quieter driving experiences, instant torque, and reduced maintenance. Major automakers now offer BEVs in every segment— from compact hatchbacks to luxury sedans, SUVs, and pickup trucks — eliminating the need for compromise.

Despite these hurdles, the trajectory is unmistakable. Falling battery prices, continuous innovation in solid-state batteries and ultra-fast charging, and the sheer scale of manufacturing investment suggest that BEVs will dominate new vehicle sales by the 2030s. The rise of battery electric vehicles is not a passing trend — it is the central pillar of the automotive industry's green transformation.

2.2 Solid-State Batteries: The Next Frontier

While conventional lithium-ion batteries have driven the initial wave of EV adoption, the industry is converging on solid-state battery (SSB) technology as the transformative solution for the next generation of electric vehicles. SSBs utilise solid electrolytes instead of the liquid solutions found in conventional cells, eliminating the risk of thermal runaway and electrolyte leakage — the two principal safety hazards associated with current battery chemistries [15]. Beyond safety, SSBs promise significantly higher energy densities, enabling longer driving ranges, faster charging times, and extended cycle life.

The fundamental distinction lies in the electrolyte. Conventional lithium-ion batteries use a liquid or gel electrolyte to shuttle ions between the anode and cathode. This liquid is flammable, degrades over time, and limits how densely energy can be packed. Solid-state batteries replace this liquid with a solid electrolyte — typically ceramics, sulfides, or advanced polymers. This seemingly simple substitution unlocks a cascade of advantages. First, safety improves dramatically: solid electrolytes are non-flammable, inherently stable, and can withstand significant physical damage without catching fire. Second, energy density can increase substantially — solid-state batteries could achieve 400–500 Wh/kg or more, nearly double the best current lithium-ion cells. For drivers, this translates to ranges of 500–800 miles on a single charge. Third, charging speeds could rival refuelling, with laboratory prototypes demonstrating 80% charge in under 15 minutes.

In 2024, solid-state batteries moved closer to commercial reality with major prototype developments and manufacturing investments from Samsung SDI, Toyota, NIO, Honda, QuantumScape, and BASQUEVOLT, among others [20]. A government-led Chinese battery alliance — comprising CATL, BYD, SAIC, and Geely— was established to accelerate SSB development nationally [20]. Toyota, which holds over 1,000 patents in the field, plans to launch its first solid-state EV by 2027–2028, claiming a 10-minute charge to 80% and a 745-mile range. BMW and Ford have invested in Colorado-based Solid Power; Volkswagen backs QuantumScape. The global solid-state battery market is projected to reach USD 9 billion by 2035 [19], with industry consensus suggesting true all-solid-state batteries will enter premium vehicles around 2030 and mass-market adoption following by 2035.

2.3 Sodium-Ion Batteries

Alongside solid-state chemistries, sodium-ion batteries have emerged as a promising alternative to lithium- ion technology, particularly for cost-sensitive urban transport applications. By using abundant sodium rather than scarce and geopolitically sensitive lithium and cobalt, sodium-ion batteries offer lower production costs and superior performance in cold temperatures [15]. CATL — the world's largest battery manufacturer — announced its second-generation sodium-ion battery technology in 2025, alongside a dedicated sodium-ion brand launch [20]. The broader advanced battery market — encompassing solid-state, sodium-ion, and flow battery technologies — is projected to grow from USD 318 billion in 2023 to USD 838.5 billion by the end of 2029 [15].

2.4 Manufacturing Capacity and Supply Chain

Global EV battery manufacturing capacity has doubled since 2022, reaching over 200 GWh in 2024, with nearly 700 GWh of additional capacity under construction [20]. However, a significant overcapacity has emerged. According to S&P Global Mobility, 2025 global battery production capacity reached 3,930 GWh against demand of just 1,161 GWh — a supply glut of 3.4 times actual needs. This surplus has triggered a brutal industry shakeout, evidenced by the bankruptcy of Northvolt — once Europe's flagship battery champion backed by Volkswagen.

Chinese manufacturers controlled nearly 70% of the global power battery market in 2025. CATL alone captured 39.2% of global production with 464.7 GWh, while BYD followed with 16.4% (194.8 GWh). Of the top ten global battery suppliers, six are Chinese enterprises. China dominates midstream processing: graphite refining (87% global share), cobalt processing (77%), and copper refining (47%) — creating a strategic choke point. Western policymakers are urgently seeking to diversify, with the EU's Critical Raw Materials Act establishing binding domestic extraction and refining targets and the US Inflation Reduction Act incentivising domestic battery supply chains. As of 2025, established recycling facilities globally have capacity of approximately 1.6 million tons per year, expected to surpass 3 million tons with planned facilities — an essential pillar of supply chain security.

III. HYDROGEN FUEL CELL VEHICLES

3.1 Technology Overview

Hydrogen Fuel Cell Vehicles (HFCVs) represent a complementary pathway to battery electrification, particularly for applications where battery weight and charging time present operational constraints. Fuel cells generate electricity through an electrochemical reaction between hydrogen and oxygen, emitting only water vapour from the tailpipe [16]. In terms of operating efficiency, quietness, and zero local emissions, hydrogen- powered vehicles offer compelling advantages over diesel engines, especially in long-haul trucking and heavy commercial transport [16].

A comprehensive international assessment by Soleimani et al. (2024) analysed the full technology stack necessary for HFCV operation, including fuel cell types, hydrogen storage methods, refuelling logistics, and battery hybridisation strategies [13]. South Korea leads global HFCV adoption with 19,270 registered vehicles, followed by the United States with 12,283, with passenger cars constituting 82% of the fleet, followed by buses (9.2%) and trucks (8.7%) [13]. The hydrogen fuel cell vehicle market was valued at over USD 2.5 billion in 2025 and is projected to reach USD 133.93 billion by 2035, representing a compound annual growth rate of 48.9% [18].

This growth trajectory is driven principally by heavy-duty transport applications, where FCEVs enjoy advantages in range, payload, and refuelling speed relative to battery alternatives [11]. However, the past two years have revealed significant challenges in the passenger car segment. Annual HFCV passenger car sales declined by approximately two-thirds — from 15,000 units in 2022 to only 5,000 by 2024 — due to expensive and unreliable hydrogen refuelling infrastructure, continued BEV progress, and limited model availability [11].

3.2 Policy and Investment Landscape

National governments have made substantial commitments to hydrogen mobility. Germany's National Hydrogen Strategy (2020) was followed by the Hydrogen Acceleration Act in May 2024, with public funding commitments including USD 7.6

billion for hydrogen technology development and USD 2.2 billion for international partnerships [18]. China's Development and Reform Commission mandated the production of 5,000 hydrogen fuel cell vehicles for port, bus, and intercity logistics applications by the end of 2025 [18].

In February 2025, Toyota Motor Corporation introduced an innovative next-generation fuel cell module, while Honda revealed its next-generation Fuel Cell Module and Fuel Cell Power Generator at Japan's H2 & FC Exhibition, with mass production of both anticipated from 2026–2027 [18]. Toyota and Isuzu are jointly developing a next-generation fuel cell route bus, with production scheduled to begin in fiscal year 2026 at J- Bus's Utsunomiya plant. Hyundai Motor Group launched its dedicated hydrogen brand HTWO in Japan, showcasing the next-generation NEXO SUV with an impressive 720 km range and five-minute refuelling capability. These developments signal that the technological maturity required for commercial scaling is within reach, contingent on parallel investment in green hydrogen production and distribution infrastructure.

IV. LIFE CYCLE ASSESSMENT OF ELECTRIC VEHICLES

4.1 Methodology and Scope

Life Cycle Assessment (LCA) is a systematic, science-based methodology that quantifies environmental impacts associated with all stages of a product's existence — from raw material extraction, manufacturing, transportation, and use, through to end-of-life disposal or recycling [26]. For electric vehicles, LCA is indispensable in addressing a fundamental critique: that EVs merely displace emissions from tailpipe to electricity grid and battery factory.

A systematic review of EV LCA studies spanning 2018–2025 by Kurkin et al. (2024) mapped methodological approaches across the Ecoinvent, GREET, GaBi, and regional inventory frameworks [23]. The review found that the most prevalent functional unit is vehicle lifetime travel distance (e.g., 150,000 km), normalising all lifecycle impacts to a single kilometre of travel [30]. However, this unit fails to account for vehicle occupancy — a significant limitation when comparing fleet and shared mobility scenarios [30].

4.2 Key Findings: BEVs vs. ICE Vehicles

The consensus finding across the reviewed LCA literature is clear: battery electric vehicles emit substantially fewer greenhouse gases over their entire life cycle than equivalent petrol and diesel cars, a conclusion affirmed by the European Environment Agency [22]. Critically, this advantage is context-dependent: BEVs deliver the largest lifecycle GHG reductions on low-carbon electricity grids with improved battery production processes and optimised end-of-life management [23, 28]. As the carbon intensity of electricity grids decreases through renewable energy integration, the lifecycle climate impact of BEVs improves commensurately — the use-phase proportion of total lifecycle emissions declines while production and end- of-life phases become relatively more important [25].

The manufacturing stage represents the most significant environmental hotspot for BEVs compared to ICE vehicles, primarily due to battery cell production. This has prompted manufacturers to prioritise renewable energy in production facilities and develop energy-efficient manufacturing processes for battery materials [25]. BMW's commitment to using sustainable materials and reducing environmental impact by 2030 exemplifies this approach, while Tesla's long-lifespan battery design minimises end-of-life impacts [27]. Mining and refining critical minerals accounts for approximately 40–60% of an EV's total manufacturing emissions, underscoring the importance of recycled content and responsible sourcing.

4.3 Regulatory Integration

LCA has been embedded within regulatory frameworks governing automotive sustainability. The EU's Carbon Border Adjustment Mechanism (CBAM) and forthcoming sustainability reporting standards require detailed environmental data across the supply chain [26]. The EU Battery Regulation (2023/1542) mandates manufacturers to accelerate battery sustainability and promote resource recycling across the lifecycle [27]. These regulatory developments are transforming LCA from a voluntary tool into a compliance requirement, driving investment in data quality, transparency, and standardisation across the automotive supply chain.

Notwithstanding this progress, the LCA literature reveals significant methodological inconsistencies. Uncertainty treatment remains uneven: while sensitivity and scenario analyses are common, probabilistic approaches such as Monte Carlo simulation are infrequently employed, limiting the statistical robustness of comparative assessments [23]. There is an urgent need for standardised reporting of LCA versions, regionalisation assumptions, and system boundaries to enable meaningful cross-study comparison and regulatory application.

V. CIRCULAR ECONOMY STRATEGIES IN THE AUTOMOTIVE SECTOR

5.1 Regulatory Context

The European Union's 2023 Battery Regulation represents the most comprehensive legislative instrument yet enacted for circular economy principles in automotive energy systems. The regulation integrates circular economy principles from the European Green Deal, addressing all battery lifecycle phases including raw material mining, product design, production, reuse, and recycling [21]. It establishes strict recycling and reuse targets, requires battery passports by specific dates, and mandates adherence to sustainability and human rights standards in raw material mining practices by 2025 [24]. The EU Battery Regulation sets progressively higher recycling targets, reaching up to 95% for cobalt, copper, and nickel from 2031 onward. Secondary raw materials from recycling can reduce dependence on global markets while also lowering CO_2 emissions.

5.2 OEM Circular Economy Strategies

A content analysis of the world's ten largest EV manufacturers conducted by Lisboa and Khaled (2025) examined circular economy and lifecycle strategies disclosed in corporate sustainability reports from 2022–2024 [21]. The analysis found that automakers are pursuing circular economy goals through three interconnected strategies: battery second-life applications (repurposing retired EV batteries for stationary energy storage), enhanced recycling programmes for lithium, nickel, and cobalt recovery, and eco-design principles that minimise resource consumption throughout the vehicle lifecycle [21].

Renault's 2023–2024 Integrated Sustainability Report exemplifies industry leadership in this domain, detailing commitments to carbon neutrality at electricity sites and innovative use of the Scenic E-Tech electric vehicle as a showcase for merging electrification with sustainable design [21]. Volvo Cars has announced plans to achieve complete climate neutrality and circular business operations by 2040, while Volkswagen has committed to global carbon-neutral manufacturing by 2050 [8].

Battery recycling is no longer an afterthought but an essential pillar of supply chain security. As of 2025, established recycling facilities globally have a capacity of approximately 1.6 million tons per year, expected to surpass 3 million tons with planned facilities. In the EU alone, approximately 150,000 EV batteries are expected to reach end-of-life in 2025, rising to more than one million by 2030. Recycling one kilogram of lithium batteries can reduce carbon emissions by 2.7 to 4.6 kg of CO_2 equivalent. China, as the largest EV market, is expected to occupy about 70% of the global battery recycling market.

5.3 Recycled and Bio-Based Materials

The circular economy imperative extends beyond battery systems to vehicle materials more broadly. Many contemporary manufacturers now incorporate recycled plastics, plant-based foams, and natural fibre composites into seats, dashboards, and structural components [3]. BMW's latest models feature natural fibre composites, while Ford has entered partnerships with the Initiative for Responsible Mining Assurance and the Responsible Business Alliance to ensure ethical and sustainable sourcing throughout its supply chain [8]. These innovations reduce manufacturing waste, lower the carbon footprint of vehicle production, and support systemic resource efficiency.

VI. SUSTAINABLE MANUFACTURING AND DIGITAL TRANSFORMATION

6.1 Green Factory Initiatives

The decarbonisation imperative extends throughout automotive manufacturing operations. Major OEMs have invested substantially in renewable energy infrastructure to power production facilities. Volkswagen's Chattanooga assembly plant utilises solar energy, while Toyota employs nature-based, closed-loop wastewater treatment systems that have achieved up to 98% reduction in facility wastewater [3]. Across the European automotive industry, water consumption per vehicle produced has declined markedly over the past decade.

BMW is deploying reality capture technologies — including NavVis wearable laser scanning systems — across the majority of its global factories to create digital representations that enable more precise and sustainable production planning [4]. Volkswagen has similarly adopted digital factory solutions to capture point cloud data, enabling site evaluations that incorporate previously undetectable improvement opportunities [4]. GlobalData research indicates that Volkswagen is positioned to become one of the largest global BEV manufacturers by 2028 by production volume, with billions of euros allocated to supporting necessary factory upgrades [4].

6.2 Artificial Intelligence, IoT, and Digital Twins

Digital transformation is playing a pivotal role in automotive sustainability. Technologies including Artificial Intelligence (AI), Internet of Things (IoT) sensors, and Digital Twins contribute to predictive maintenance, optimised energy usage, and minimised waste across manufacturing operations [8]. AI-powered fuel efficiency systems and smart navigation reduce traffic-related emissions, while blockchain and digital twin technologies improve supply chain transparency [10].

Standardised data ecosystems, such as the Catena-X initiative, create the foundation for interoperable product carbon footprint (PCF) data that is comparable and audit-proof across company boundaries [1]. For automotive manufacturers, this digitally enabled transparency is not merely an operational tool but a competitive differentiator and regulatory compliance mechanism. Companies that invest early in clear sustainability strategies and digital integration are gaining lasting advantages in compliance efficiency, supply chain resilience, and market positioning [1].

6.2 Corporate Decarbonisation Targets

The 2025 Corporate Climate Responsibility Monitor (CCRM), published by the NewClimate Institute, assessed the climate strategies of Ford, General Motors, Stellantis, Toyota, and Volkswagen — finding that most assessed companies have failed to set credible decarbonisation targets aligned with 1.5°C-compatible pathways [9]. The automotive sector accounts for over 20% of global greenhouse gas emissions, with significant reduction potential through a timely phase-out of ICE vehicles [9]. Critical transitions identified by the CCRM as largely absent from company strategies include reducing battery production emissions and improving EV efficiency targets. Ford and General Motors have made initial commitments to near-zero steel and aluminium procurement, but other critical transitions remain inadequately addressed [9]. The Science Based Targets initiative (SBTi) published a second draft of its Automotive Net-Zero Standard in February 2026, signalling the hardening of expectations for sector-level climate ambition.

VII. GLOBAL POLICY FRAMEWORKS DRIVING GREEN AUTOMOTIVE INNOVATION

7.1 European Union

The European Union has enacted the most comprehensive regulatory framework globally for automotive decarbonisation. Key instruments include: the CSRD/ESRS sustainability reporting standards; the EU Battery Regulation with its battery passport requirement; CO_2 fleet standards mandating zero-emission new car and light commercial vehicle sales from 2035; the Euro 7 emissions norm; and the Carbon Border Adjustment Mechanism (CBAM) targeting emissions-intensive material inputs [1]. Collectively, these regulations constitute a systematic transformation of the conditions under which automotive manufacturers operate in the EU's single market.

The OECD's 2023 analysis of green and digital transitions in the automotive ecosystem documented emerging trends at geographical and technological levels, finding a growing role for young, digital-intensive companies in reshaping the sector's microstructure and recommending effective public policies focused on innovation, competition, and the growth of dynamic firms [5].

7.2 United States

In the United States, the Inflation Reduction Act (IRA) constitutes the primary driver of green automotive transition, providing substantial tax credits for domestic EV manufacturing, battery production, and critical mineral sourcing [1]. Since the IRA's passage in August 2022, the US has attracted USD 129 billion in EV- related investments across 229 projects. Domestic manufacturing capacity has grown from just 7.5 GWh in 2023 to 69 GWh by the end of 2025, with projections reaching 164 GWh by 2027 and 1,083 GWh annually by 2028 — enough to power 12.1 million EVs per year. The EPA Multi-Pollutant Standards for vehicles complement the IRA's supply-side incentives by establishing tightening emissions limits for new vehicles. Policy uncertainty following the Trump administration's shift away from clean energy incentives has, however, clouded some investment decisions.

7.3 Asia-Pacific

China has decisively moved from EV adopter to global pacesetter. By 2025, new energy vehicles (NEVs) had captured a 51% market share of new vehicles, with BEVs leading 2025 deliveries at 57.72%. Beijing's 2026 EV subsidy programme provides replacement buyers a 12% subsidy capped at 20,000 yuan (US$2,868), and the NEV market is projected to reach US$418 billion in 2026. NEV market share is forecast to reach 55–65% by 2026 and 65–75% by 2030. China's 14th Five-Year Plan (2021–2025) set targets for accelerating the digital economy and driving industrial transformation, with automotive electrification and hydrogen mobility as central pillars [2].

Japan pursues a distinct technological pathway, placing strategic emphasis on hydrogen fuel cell vehicles alongside continued investment in hybrids and BEVs. Toyota and Isuzu are jointly developing a next- generation fuel cell route bus, with production scheduled to begin in fiscal year 2026. South Korea's three major battery manufacturers — LG Energy Solution, Samsung SDI, and SK On — are all planning to release LFP battery products within 2026 to challenge China's pricing advantage. In the Gulf region, the UAE's national EV policy targets 50% adoption by 2050, while Saudi Arabia's Vision 2030 programme aims to produce 400,000 domestically manufactured vehicles by end of decade, backed by joint ventures and heavy R&D investment [7].

Southeast Asian nations are aggressively positioning themselves as EV manufacturing and adoption hubs. Thailand's EV 3.5 policy extends incentives through 2027, requiring automakers benefiting from import duty waivers to commence local BEV assembly by 2026 or 2027. January 2026 saw Thai BEV registrations surge 240.9% year-on-year to 42,193 units. Indonesia has launched a US$5.9 billion integrated EV battery ecosystem factory expected to commence production by mid-2026. The Asia-Pacific electric vehicle battery market, valued at US$40.97 billion in 2025, is expected to reach US$105.52 billion by 2032 at a 14.47% CAGR. India represents the region's most significant laggard: the PLI scheme for Advanced Chemistry Cells has seen only 1.4 GWh (2.8% of the 50 GWh target) commissioned as of late 2025, with near 100% dependence on imported lithium-ion cells remaining.

VIII. RESEARCH GAPS AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS

This review identifies several critical gaps in current research and practice that warrant prioritised attention from the scientific and industrial communities:

8.1 Standardised LCA Methodologies

The absence of universal standards for EV lifecycle assessment — encompassing consistent functional units, system boundary definitions, and uncertainty quantification methods — impedes meaningful cross-study comparison and regulatory application. Current LCA studies for batteries produce widely varying results due to inconsistent system boundaries, allocation methods, and regional electricity grid assumptions. Some studies show EVs have 50% lower lifetime emissions than gasoline vehicles; others show parity when coal- heavy grids are assumed. Future research should prioritise developing internationally harmonised LCA frameworks specifically calibrated for battery electric and hydrogen fuel cell vehicles.

8.2 Credible OEM Decarbonisation Targets

The 2025 CCRM assessment found that most major automakers have not set credible, 1.5°C-aligned decarbonisation targets. Research is needed to develop robust transition pathways for automotive value chains, including supply chain decarbonisation of steel, aluminium, and battery materials. The SBTi's second draft Automotive Net-Zero Standard (February 2026) is beginning to close this gap, but independent verification mechanisms and interim milestones remain underdeveloped.

8.3 Hydrogen Infrastructure Economics

The fundamental constraint on HFCV adoption — hydrogen refuelling infrastructure — remains under-researched from an economic optimisation perspective. Future studies should model optimal infrastructure deployment strategies that minimise total system cost while maximising geographic coverage, factoring in the interdependencies between green hydrogen production, liquefaction, transport, and retail distribution logistics.

8.4 Battery End-of-Life Management at Scale

While second-life and recycling strategies are well-documented at the firm level, system-level analyses of battery end-of-life management logistics, economics, and environmental impacts across full automotive markets are scarce. Retired EV batteries retain 70–80% of their original capacity, sufficient for stationary energy storage, yet uncertainty in state-of-health (SOH) prediction, module-to-module variability, and safety concerns limit adoption. Research gaps include rapid, non-destructive SOH estimation algorithms, standardised safety certifications for second-life systems, and business models that account for uncertain residual value. Without these, most retired batteries will be recycled prematurely rather than repurposed.

8.5 Advanced Battery Science

Key unsolved scientific problems include: solid-electrolyte interfacial instability — where solid electrolytes react chemically with lithium metal anodes, forming resistive interphases that degrade performance; lithium- metal anode cycling control, with non-uniform plating leading to void formation and dendrite growth under practical current densities; dry electrode manufacturing at automotive scale to eliminate toxic N-methyl-2- pyrrolidone (NMP) solvents; and critical mineral substitution, particularly developing cobalt-free cathodes and reducing dependence on Chinese graphite refining through silicon or alternative anode materials.

8.6 Future Research Directions

The next decade of research will likely focus on three overarching directions. First, post-lithium batteries: sodium-ion batteries have already entered low-cost EVs but have lower energy density; research into hard carbon anodes and polyanionic cathodes could push sodium-ion to 200 Wh/kg. Magnesium, zinc, and aluminum batteries offer multivalent charge carriers that theoretically double or triple energy density. Second, AI-accelerated battery discovery: machine learning models trained on ab initio calculations can screen millions of candidate electrolyte and electrode compositions in hours. The research gap is generating sufficiently large, high-quality datasets of battery-relevant properties. Third, integrated circular supply chains: future battery systems will be designed from the outset for disassembly and remanufacturing — a concept known as design- for-circularity — enabled by digital battery passports that track material provenance and state-of-health throughout the entire life cycle.

8.7 Equity and Access in the Green Transition

The distributional implications of the automotive green transition — including the affordability of EVs for lower- income households, the employment impact of ICE phase-outs in automotive regions, and the environmental justice dimensions of battery mineral supply chains — remain under-explored in the engineering and technology literature. A truly sustainable automotive transition must address not only emissions and resource efficiency, but also ensure that the benefits of cleaner mobility are accessible across income levels and geographies, and that the communities bearing the social and environmental costs of mineral extraction are not further disadvantaged.

CONCLUSION

The green transformation of the automotive industry represents one of the defining industrial transitions of the twenty-first century. This paper has reviewed evidence across six interconnected dimensions — battery electrification, hydrogen fuel cells, lifecycle assessment, circular economy strategies, sustainable manufacturing, and policy frameworks — to provide a comprehensive picture of the current state and future trajectory of sustainable automobile technology.

The evidence is clear that the direction of travel is irreversible: regulatory mandates in the EU, US, and Asia- Pacific; falling battery costs and improving energy densities; growing consumer demand for sustainable mobility; and deepening OEM commitments to net-zero manufacturing collectively constitute a structural shift rather than a cyclical trend. The solid-state battery market, projected to reach USD 9 billion by 2035, the hydrogen fuel cell vehicle market targeting USD 133.93 billion by 2035, and the broader advanced battery market growing toward USD 838.5 billion by 2029 collectively attest to the scale of investment flowing into green automotive technologies.

However, the review also reveals significant challenges and inconsistencies. Most major automakers have yet to establish credible 1.5°C-aligned decarbonisation targets. Hydrogen refuelling infrastructure remains sparse and expensive. LCA methodologies lack the standardisation required for regulatory application. Battery end-of-life management is inadequate relative to the scale of the approaching wave of retired EV batteries. Geopolitical concentration — China controlling 87% of graphite refining, 77% of cobalt processing, and 85% of lithium carbonate processing — risks trading oil dependency for battery mineral dependency.

The central conclusion of this paper is that the green transition is not a project with an end date but a continuous process that will determine the future viability of the most important industry in the world. Success requires not merely technological innovation but coordinated institutional action: standardised data frameworks, ambitious and credible corporate climate strategies, supportive and stable regulatory environments, and deliberate attention to the equity and justice dimensions of the transition. Those organisations — manufacturers, policymakers, researchers, and investors — that invest now in transparent sustainability strategies, digital integration, and collaborative ecosystems will shape the automobiles, cities, and climate of tomorrow.

REFERENCES

[1] Springer, M. (2025). Green Automotive: Sustainability and Digital Transformation in Automotive. SEEBURGER Blog, November2025. https://blog.seeburger.com/green-automotive-the-automotive-industry-at-a-turning-point/
[2] Dent, C. et al. (2024). Digital and Green Transitions and Automotive Industry Reconfiguration: Evidence from Japan and China. The Journal of Development Studies, Taylor & Francis Online. https://doi.org/10.1080/00472336.2024.2384704
[3] Unsustainable Magazine (2025). Automotive Sustainability Innovations of the 21st Century. August 2025. https://www.unsustainablemagazine.com/automotive-innovations-21st-century/
[4] NavVis (2024). Green Factories: The Tech Enabling the Automotive Industry to Reduce Emissions. September 2024. https://www.navvis.com/blog/green-factories-the-tech-enabling-the-automotive-industry-to-reduce-emissions
[5] Dechezleprêtre, A. et al. (2023). How the Green and Digital Transitions Are Reshaping the Automotive Ecosystem. OECD Science, Technology and Industry Policy Papers, No. 144. https://doi.org/10.1787/f1874cab-en
[6] Number Analytics (2025). Sustainable Rides: Integrating Green Technology in Modern Vehicles. https://www.numberanalytics.com/blog/sustainable-green-technology-vehicles
[7] Morgan Lewis (2025). International Trends in the Automotive Industry. October 2025. https://www.morganlewis.com/pubs/2025/10/international-trends-in-the-automotive-industry

[8] iPoint Systems (2025). Sustainability in the Automotive Industry. November 2025. https://go.ipoint-systems.com/blog/sustainability-automotive-industry

[9] NewClimate Institute (2025). Corporate Climate Responsibility Monitor 2025: Automotive Sector. https://newclimate.org/resources/publications/corporate-climate-responsibility-monitor-2025-automotive-sector

[10] IMI Global (2025). Green Trends That Drive the Auto Industry. August 2025. https://www.global-imi.com/blog/green- trends-drive-auto-industry

[11] IDTechEx (2025). Fuel Cell Electric Vehicles 2025–2045: Markets, Technologies, Forecasts. February 2025.

[12] ScienceDirect (2025). Hydrogen Fuel Cell Vehicles: Overview and Current Status. International Journal of Hydrogen Energy. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2025.004562

[13] Soleimani, A., Hosseini Dolatabadi, S., Heidari, M. et al. (2024). Progress in Hydrogen Fuel Cell Vehicles and Up-and- Coming Technologies for Eco-Friendly Transportation: An International Assessment. Multiscale and Multidisciplinary Modeling, Experiments and Design, 7, 3153–3172. https://doi.org/10.1007/s41939-024-00482-8

[14] IDTechEx (2023). Fuel Cell Electric Vehicles 2024–2044: Markets, Technologies, and Forecasts.

[15] BCC Research (2025). 2024 Market Research Review: Fuel Cells and Batteries. July 2025.

[16] Mukerjee, S. (2025). Solid-State Batteries and Hydrogen Fuel Cells to Power a Cleaner Future. Northeastern University NUCRET/LEAP Research Commentary. January 2025.

[17] Mester, G. & Pisarov, J. (2025). Hydrogen Fuel Cell Vehicles. Interdisciplinary Description of Complex Systems, 23(2), 105–109.

[18] Research Nester (2025). Hydrogen Fuel Cell Vehicle Market Size, Share, Growth & Trends Analysis 2035. September 2025.

[19] IDTechEx (2025). Solid-State and Polymer Batteries 2025–2035: Technology, Forecasts, Players. February 2025.

[20] IEA (2025). Electric Vehicle Batteries. Global EV Outlook 2025. International Energy Agency. https://www.iea.org/reports/global-ev-outlook-2025/electric-vehicle-batteries

[21] Lisboa, G.d.S. & Khaled, N.N.D. (2025). Analysis of the Life Cycle and Circular Economy Strategies for Batteries Adopted by the Main Electric Vehicle Manufacturers. Sustainability, 17(8), 3428. https://doi.org/10.3390/su17083428

[22] European Environment Agency (2018). Electric Vehicles from Life Cycle and Circular Economy Perspectives. TERM 2018 Report.

[23] Preprints.org (2025). A Systematic Review of Life Cycle Assessment of Electric Vehicles Studies. Energies, 18(22), 5867. https://doi.org/10.3390/en18225867

[24] ResearchGate (2025). Analysis of the Life Cycle and Circular Economy Strategies for Batteries. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/390757502

[25] PMC / NCBI (2022). Life Cycle Assessment of Battery Electric Vehicles: Implications of Future Electricity Mix and Different Battery End-of-Life Management. https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC9171403/

[26] Tunley Environmental (2025). Life Cycle Assessment of Electric Vehicles. May 2025.

[27] ScienceDirect (2025). Lifecycle Eco-Design Potential of Battery Electric Vehicles. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2025.004239

[28] Preprints.org (2025). A Systematic Review of Life Cycle Assessment of Electric Vehicles Studies [Preprint v1]. https://www.preprints.org/manuscript/202510.0356/v1

[29] Kurkin, A.; Kryukov, E.; Masleeva, O.; Petukhov, Y.; Gusev, D. (2024). Comparative Life Cycle Assessment of Electric and Internal Combustion Engine Vehicles. Energies, 17, 2747.

[30] Ankathi, S.K.; Bouchard, J.; He, X. (2024). Beyond Tailpipe Emissions: Life Cycle Assessment Unravels Battery's Carbon Footprint in Electric Vehicles. World Electric Vehicle Journal, 15, 245.