Aqua Silencer: A Review of Design, Noise Attenuation, And Exhaust Emission Control in Internal Combustion Engines

MANISH PALSANIYA[1], DR. ROHIT MISRA[2], DR. VIKAS BANSAL[3], DR. DORAJ KAMAL JAMUWA[4]

[1, 2, 3, 4]Department of Mechanical Engineering, Engineering College, Ajmer, Bikaner Technical University

Abstract- The aqua silencer is an innovative exhaust management device designed to simultaneously reduce noise pollution and exhaust gas emissions from internal combustion (IC) engines. Unlike conventional metallic silencers, the aqua silencer routes exhaust gases through a water-filled chamber equipped with a perforated tube and activated carbon or lime water filter media. The turbulent interaction between exhaust gases and water causes sound wave absorption, while dissolved pollutants — primarily carbon monoxide (CO), hydrocarbons (HC), and particulate matter (PM) — are trapped in the liquid medium. This seminar paper synthesises fifteen peer-reviewed and technical studies on aqua silencer design configurations, noise attenuation performance, emission reduction efficiency, filter media comparisons, and emerging hybrid variants. Results across studies consistently demonstrate that aqua silencers reduce exhaust noise by 10–18 dB(A) and lower CO emissions by 25–45% relative to conventional silencers. The paper further examines challenges including backpressure increase, water management, and corrosion resistance, and concludes with recommendations for standardisation and broader vehicular adoption.

Keywords: aqua silencer, exhaust emission control, noise reduction, IC engine, activated carbon filter, perforated tube, lime water, backpressure, vehicle pollution.

I. INTRODUCTION

Internal combustion engines are the predominant power source for automobiles, two-wheelers, agricultural machinery, and power generators worldwide. While delivering mechanical energy, IC engines simultaneously generate two major environmental problems: exhaust noise and harmful gaseous emissions. Exhaust noise, typically in the range of 90–110 dB(A) at the tailpipe, contributes significantly to urban noise pollution, while emissions of carbon monoxide (CO), unburned hydrocarbons (HC), nitrogen oxides (NOx), and particulate matter (PM) are primary drivers of urban air quality degradation and associated health impacts (Rajput, 2012).

Conventional metallic silencers attenuate exhaust noise primarily through reactive and dissipative mechanisms — expansion chambers, resonator tubes, and fibrous absorptive materials. However, they offer no emission-reduction function, and their sound attenuation capacity is bounded by engine speed and exhaust temperature constraints. Furthermore, aged metallic silencers corrode and lose attenuation performance (Mane et al., 2014).

The aqua silencer, first introduced as a low-cost retrofit device for small engines, addresses both problems simultaneously. By passing exhaust gases through water, it leverages the high acoustic impedance of liquid media to attenuate sound and the solubility and chemical reactivity of water to absorb and neutralise pollutants. Variants employing lime water or activated carbon filters show further improvement in CO and HC removal (Jadhav et al., 2020; Srinivasan et al., 2020).

This paper draws on fifteen studies to provide a comprehensive review of aqua silencer technology, covering working principles, design parameters, noise and emission performance, filter media, backpressure effects, materials, and future development directions.

II. WORKING PRINCIPLE AND DESIGN OVERVIEW

2.1 Fundamental Operating Mechanism

The aqua silencer replaces the conventional muffler at the exhaust outlet of an IC engine. Its core components are: (i) a cylindrical outer casing filled with water, (ii) a perforated inner tube through which exhaust gases are introduced below the water surface, (iii) a filter medium (activated charcoal, lime water, or steel mesh), and (iv) an outlet at the top through which treated gases escape (Subhash et al., 2015).

When hot exhaust gases enter the perforated inner tube, they emerge as numerous fine bubbles through the perforations. This bubble formation dramatically increases the gas-water interface area, promoting heat transfer, solubility-driven absorption of polar pollutants (CO, SO2, NOx), and acoustic energy dissipation. The turbulence of bubble formation also physically disrupts sound wave propagation, contributing to broadband noise attenuation (Thiyagarajan et al., 2016).

2.2 Design Parameters

Key design variables include the diameter and spacing of perforations in the inner tube, the depth of water submergence of the perforated section, the choice and depth of the filter layer above or around the water column, and the inlet and outlet diameters relative to the engine's exhaust pipe. Patil et al. (2017) systematically varied perforation diameter (2–6 mm) and hole pitch (5–15 mm) and found that smaller, more closely spaced perforations produced finer bubbles and improved both noise reduction and emission absorption, at the cost of increased backpressure. An optimal perforation diameter of 3–4 mm was identified for two-wheeler applications.

Singh et al. (2016) demonstrated that submergence depth of the perforated tube is the single most influential geometric variable on noise attenuation: increasing submergence from 50 mm to 150 mm increased sound attenuation by 6.2 dB(A), attributed to the greater hydrostatic resistance encountered by each exhaust bubble.

III. NOISE ATTENUATION PERFORMANCE

3.1 Comparative Studies with Conventional Silencers

Kumar et al. (2018) conducted a comparative test of a conventional silencer, a standard aqua silencer (water only), and an aqua silencer with activated carbon on a 100cc four-stroke gasoline engine at 1000–5000 rpm. The conventional silencer reduced exhaust noise from a baseline of 108 dB(A) (no silencer) to 94 dB(A) — a reduction of 14 dB(A). The standard aqua silencer achieved 88 dB(A) (reduction: 20 dB(A)), and the activated carbon variant achieved 85 dB(A) (reduction: 23 dB(A)). At higher engine speeds (4000–5000 rpm), the advantage of the aqua silencer over the conventional silencer narrowed to approximately 4–6 dB(A), reflecting the increased exhaust gas velocity and reduced residence time in the water chamber.

Ramesh et al. (2018) investigated sound attenuation across frequency bands using a 650cc diesel engine. The aqua silencer showed superior performance at low and mid frequencies (250–2000 Hz) — dominant in diesel exhaust noise — with attenuation exceeding the conventional silencer by 8–12 dB in this range. Above 4000 Hz, performance was broadly comparable. This frequency-selective benefit aligns with the acoustic mechanism: bubble-induced turbulence primarily disrupts long-wavelength (low-frequency) pressure pulses.

3.2 Effect of Filter Media on Noise

Prasad et al. (2021) compared five filter configurations — plain water, activated carbon layer (25 mm), charcoal layer (25 mm), lime water, and steel mesh + activated carbon — and measured sound pressure level at 0.5 m from the tailpipe. The plain water variant gave a mean reduction of 10.4 dB(A), charcoal gave 13.1 dB(A), activated carbon gave 14.8 dB(A), lime water gave 12.2 dB(A), and the composite steel mesh + activated carbon configuration gave 16.3 dB(A). The additional acoustic benefit of solid filter media is attributable to further sound scattering as bubbles pass through the granular material layer.

IV. EXHAUST EMISSION REDUCTION

4.1 Carbon Monoxide (CO) Reduction

CO, a product of incomplete combustion, is highly soluble in water at low temperatures and also reacts with oxidising agents present in lime water. Thiyagarajan et al. (2016) tested an aqua silencer on a petrol engine and measured CO at the tailpipe using a five-gas analyser. CO with the conventional silencer measured 3.8% vol.; with the plain water aqua silencer it fell to 2.6% vol. (reduction: 31.6%); and with lime water addition, it fell further to 2.1% vol. (reduction: 44.7%). The lime water reacts with CO_2 to form calcium carbonate, and its alkaline properties also facilitate CO absorption and oxidation.

Jadhav et al. (2020) similarly documented CO reduction of 38–42% with a lime water aqua silencer variant fitted to a two-stroke motorcycle engine, which characteristically produces higher CO due to its less-complete combustion cycle. These results indicate the lime water aqua silencer is particularly suited to two-stroke and older carburetted engines with high CO baselines.

4.2 Hydrocarbon (HC) Reduction
Unburned hydrocarbons arise from incomplete combustion, quenching of the flame at cylinder walls, and crevice regions. Srinivasan et al. (2020) demonstrated that activated carbon filtration in the aqua silencer reduces HC emissions by 28–36%, leveraging the large specific surface area of activated carbon (800–1200 m^2/g) to adsorb volatile organic compounds from the exhaust stream. Bhatt et al. (2019) confirmed this in a four-cylinder diesel vehicle, achieving HC reductions of 30% with a carbon-loaded aqua silencer.

4.3 NOx and Particulate Matter
Prasad et al. (2021) noted that NOx removal in aqua silencers is limited relative to CO and HC, because NO — the dominant NOx species in exhaust — has low water solubility. The dissolution and hydrolysis of NO_2 does occur, resulting in modest NOx reductions of 8–15%. Patel et al. (2022) proposed adding urea solution to the water chamber to create an ammonia-based selective catalytic reduction (SCR) analogue at low cost, achieving NOx reductions up to 34% in laboratory testing. Particulate matter (PM) capture is significant: water serves as an effective wet scrubber, with Ramesh et al. (2018) reporting $PM_{2.5}$ reduction of 48% compared with conventional silencer output.

V. FILTER MEDIA: COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS

The choice of filter medium critically governs performance. Table 1 below summarises the findings across reviewed studies:
Activated carbon consistently outperforms other single-medium options due to its high adsorption capacity and dual function of emission absorption and noise scattering. Charcoal is a lower-cost alternative with comparable, though somewhat inferior, adsorptive performance. Lime water provides the best CO reduction but requires periodic replenishment as calcium hydroxide is consumed. Composite configurations (e.g., steel mesh + activated carbon + lime water) provide the broadest emission and noise reduction but add design complexity and maintenance requirements (Prasad et al., 2021; Tiwari et al., 2023).

Tiwari et al. (2023) explored bio-based filter media including biochar derived from agricultural residues (rice husk, sugarcane bagasse) as sustainable alternatives to commercial activated carbon. Rice husk biochar activated at 700°C achieved surface areas of 650 m^2/g and exhibited CO reduction of 33% and HC reduction of 27% — approaching, though not equalling, commercial activated carbon performance — at approximately one-fifth the material cost.

VI. BACKPRESSURE AND ENGINE PERFORMANCE

6.1 Backpressure Characteristics
A fundamental concern with aqua silencers is the increase in exhaust backpressure relative to conventional silencers. Backpressure, the resistance to exhaust gas outflow, reduces engine volumetric efficiency and thus power output and fuel economy when excessive. Mane et al. (2014) measured exhaust backpressure across engine speeds from 1000 to 4000 rpm for conventional and aqua silencer configurations. At 1000 rpm, the aqua silencer generated 3.2 kPa backpressure versus 1.8 kPa for the conventional unit — an increase of 1.4 kPa. At 4000 rpm, the difference widened to 5.8 kPa versus 2.9 kPa.

Patil et al. (2017) quantified the engine power penalty at 0.8–2.4% across the operating speed range, which they characterised as acceptable given the pollution reduction benefits. The power penalty can be minimised by careful optimisation of perforation geometry and water depth, particularly avoiding excessive submergence of the perforated tube. Singh et al. (2016) demonstrated that keeping water depth at 100–120 mm limits backpressure increase to below 2 kPa at all normal operating speeds for small single-cylinder engines.

6.2 Fuel Economy Impact

Kumar et al. (2018) measured brake specific fuel consumption (BSFC) with conventional and aqua silencers on a 100cc engine and found a BSFC increase of 3.1–4.2% with the aqua silencer across all load conditions. While this represents a minor efficiency penalty, the authors noted it is comparable to the BSFC impact of catalytic converters fitted to many vehicles. For low-speed applications — agricultural engines, standby generators — where constant-speed operation is common, the backpressure penalty is less significant than in high-revving motorcycle applications.

VII. MATERIALS AND CORROSION CONSIDERATIONS

The material selection for the aqua silencer casing and inner tube is critical due to continuous exposure to hot exhaust gases, condensate, and dissolved acidic species (carbonic acid, sulfurous acid). Bhatt et al. (2019) evaluated stainless steel (SS316), mild steel with epoxy coating, and high-density polyethylene (HDPE) casings across a 500-hour durability test. Stainless steel showed negligible corrosion; mild steel coating degraded after ~200 hours with localised pitting; HDPE showed no corrosion but exhibited minor deformation at exhaust inlet temperatures above 120°C.

Ashby and Jones (2012) note that the low-temperature steam and condensate environment downstream of the exhaust manifold (50–150°C depending on vehicle speed and silencer placement) is generally manageable with grade 304 or 316 stainless steel. For cost reduction in two-wheeler applications, aluminium alloy with anodic coating or hot-dip galvanised steel offer acceptable 3–5 year service life (Subhash et al., 2015).

Patel et al. (2022) introduced a modular plastic-and-stainless composite design in which the outer casing is injection-moulded HDPE (weight saving ~40% versus all-steel) while the inner perforated tube and filter housing are SS304, resolving the thermal limitation of HDPE at the critical high-temperature inlet zone.

VIII. WATER MANAGEMENT AND MAINTENANCE

Operational management of the water chamber presents a practical challenge. Dissolved pollutants gradually accumulate in the water, reducing absorption efficiency, and water level decreases due to evaporation driven by exhaust heat and carry-over as water vapour in the exhaust stream. Ramesh et al. (2018) measured water loss at 80–120 mL per hour of engine operation in a four-stroke diesel application. They recommended a minimum chamber volume of 2.5 litres to sustain performance for a standard 8-hour workday without refilling.

Jadhav et al. (2020) characterised the saturation behaviour of lime water: initial $Ca(OH)2$ concentration of 2 g/L maintained optimal CO reduction efficiency for approximately 6–8 hours of continuous operation before reduction efficiency fell by more than 10%. Automated dosing systems or replaceable lime cartridges are proposed solutions for commercial vehicles. Tiwari et al. (2023) proposed a self-regenerating system in which solar-driven evaporation concentrates dissolved lime, precipitating CaCO3 which is periodically removed, restoring alkalinity — a concept validated at laboratory scale with 85% effectiveness.

IX. HYBRID AND ADVANCED VARIANTS

9.1 Aqua Silencer with Catalytic Converter

Patel et al. (2022) proposed and experimentally validated an integrated system combining a miniature three-way catalytic converter upstream with an aqua silencer downstream. The catalytic converter handles NOx and reduces CO and HC in the gas phase, while the aqua silencer provides additional pollutant scrubbing and noise attenuation. The combined system achieved CO reduction of 68%, HC reduction of 71%, NOx reduction of 54%, and noise reduction

© APR 2026 | IRE Journals | Volume 9 Issue 10 | ISSN: 2456-8880
DOI: https://doi.org/10.64388/IREV9I10-1716931

of 21 dB(A) — substantially exceeding the performance of either device alone.

9.2 Aqua Silencer for Diesel Generators

Bhatt et al. (2019) adapted the aqua silencer concept for stationary diesel generators (5–15 kVA), a significant source of noise and emission in urban India. Key design modifications included a larger water chamber (8–15 L), a secondary activated carbon bed for PM filtration, and an overflow safety valve. Noise at 1 m was reduced from 92 dB(A) to 73 dB(A), bringing the generator within the CPCB permissible limit of 75 dB(A) for residential areas. CO and PM reductions were 35% and 52% respectively.

9.3 Nano-material Enhanced Aqua Silencers

Tiwari et al. (2023) investigated the incorporation of nano-zinc oxide (nZnO) coated activated carbon as the filter medium. The photocatalytic properties of nZnO enable oxidation of CO to CO2 and HC to CO2 and water, providing a chemical destruction pathway in addition to physical adsorption. Laboratory tests showed CO reduction of 55% and HC reduction of 48% — improvements of approximately 20 percentage points over uncoated activated carbon — at nano-ZnO loadings of 5 wt%. While material cost and long-term catalyst stability remain challenges, nano-enhanced media represent a promising direction for next-generation aqua silencers.

X. SYNTHESIS AND DISCUSSION

10.1 Summary of Performance across Studies

Across the fifteen studies reviewed, the aqua silencer consistently demonstrates noise reduction of 10–23 dB(A) and CO reduction of 25–55%, with the upper range achieved by activated carbon or lime water variants. HC reductions of 25–48% are achievable with activated carbon or nano-enhanced media. NOx and PM reductions are more variable: NOx is modestly reduced (8–15%) by plain water variants but up to 34% with urea addition; PM removal is effective (40–52%) through wet scrubbing. These results are summarised across sources including Mane et al. (2014), Kumar et al. (2018), Jadhav et al. (2020), Srinivasan et al. (2020), Prasad et al. (2021), and Patel et al. (2022).

10.2 Limitations and Challenges

Despite its promise, the aqua silencer faces several practical challenges. Backpressure increase (1–6 kPa) imposes a 1–4% engine performance penalty. Water evaporation and pollutant saturation require regular maintenance. Corrosion management adds material cost. At high engine speeds, the benefit over conventional silencers narrows. These limitations restrict current commercial adoption primarily to stationary or low-speed applications. Standardised test protocols for aqua silencer performance evaluation are absent, hindering comparative assessment and regulatory recognition (Patil et al., 2017; Bhatt et al., 2019).

10.3 Environmental and Regulatory Context

India's Central Pollution Control Board (CPCB) mandates a noise limit of 80 dB(A) for passenger vehicles and 75 dB(A) for residential generator sets. Bharat Stage VI (BS-VI) emission norms require CO limits of 1.0 g/km for petrol cars and 0.5 g/km for diesel cars. For older pre-BS-VI vehicles — a large segment of India's fleet — the aqua silencer offers a low-cost retrofittable solution to approach these limits without engine modification (Patel et al., 2022). International parallels exist in Euro 6 compliant exhaust aftertreatment, though aqua silencer technology has not yet been formally incorporated into any national vehicle standard.

XI. CONCLUSION

This review synthesises fifteen studies on aqua silencer technology and yields the following principal conclusions:

First, the aqua silencer effectively reduces exhaust noise by 10–23 dB(A) — superior to conventional silencers, particularly at low and mid frequencies — through the acoustic impedance and turbulence of the water-bubble interface. Second, CO emissions are reduced by 25–45% with water-based filtration and up to 55% with activated carbon or lime water augmentation. HC reductions of 25–48% are achievable with appropriate filter media. Third, backpressure increase is manageable (1–6 kPa) with optimised perforation geometry and controlled water depth, with an acceptable engine performance penalty of 1–4%. Fourth, filter media selection is the primary design lever: activated carbon provides optimal adsorption capacity, lime water excels at CO reduction, and nano-material coatings represent the frontier of enhanced performance. Fifth, water management — including level maintenance and periodic replacement — is the primary operational challenge for commercialization.

Recommended actions: (i) develop standardised test protocols for aqua silencer performance under Indian and international driving cycles; (ii) promote aqua silencers as low-cost BS-VI compliance aids for pre-BS-VI two-wheelers and three-wheelers; (iii) accelerate development of self-regenerating lime water and nano-material filter systems for maintenance reduction; (iv) evaluate integration of aqua silencer technology with catalytic converters for comprehensive exhaust management; and (v) mandate CPCB certification for generator-class aqua silencers given demonstrated performance within permissible noise limits.

REFERENCES

[1] Ashby, M.F. and Jones, D.R.H. (2012). Engineering Materials 1: An Introduction to Properties, Applications and Design. 4th edn. Butterworth-Heinemann, Oxford.

[2] Bhatt, R., Joshi, P. and Mehta, D. (2019). Noise and emission control in diesel generators using aqua silencer with activated carbon bed. International Journal of Mechanical Engineering and Technology, 10(3), pp. 112–121.

[3] Jadhav, S., Kulkarni, A. and Patil, V. (2020). Aqua silencer with lime water for reduction of CO and HC emissions in two-stroke motorcycle engines. Journal of Environmental Science and Engineering, 62(2), pp. 45–54.

[4] Kumar, V., Sharma, R. and Gupta, A. (2018). Comparative study of aqua silencer and conventional silencer on noise and emission parameters. International Journal of Automotive Engineering, 9(1), pp. 23–31.

[5] Mane, S.A., Gosavi, S.S. and Dhumane, N.R. (2014). Design and development of aqua silencer for reduction of automobile exhaust noise and emission. IOSR Journal of Mechanical and Civil Engineering, 11(4), pp. 18–24.

[6] Patil, R.S., Kadam, S.V. and Mhatre, P.P. (2017). Performance analysis and optimisation of aqua silencer perforation geometry for a four-stroke petrol engine. International Research Journal of Engineering and Technology, 4(9), pp. 887–894.

[7] Patel, N., Mehta, A. and Shah, R. (2022). Modern developments in exhaust noise and emission reduction: Integrated aqua silencer and three-way catalyst system. Environmental Science and Pollution Research, 29(18), pp. 27341–27355.

[8] Prasad, B., Sahoo, N. and Kumar, D. (2021). Review on aqua silencer with different filter media: Performance comparison and design guidelines. Materials Today: Proceedings, 45(Part 6), pp. 5312–5319.

[9] Rajput, R.K. (2012). A Textbook of Internal Combustion Engines. Laxmi Publications, New Delhi.

[10] Ramesh, K., Arulraj, M. and Selvakumar, N. (2018). Sound attenuation characteristics and frequency spectrum analysis of aqua silencer for diesel engine applications. Noise Control Engineering Journal, 66(4), pp. 301–312.

[11] Singh, A., Verma, R. and Srivastava, S. (2016). Effect of water submergence depth on exhaust noise attenuation and emission control in aqua silencer. Applied Acoustics, 113, pp. 74–82.

[12] Srinivasan, R., Murugan, M. and Dharmaraja, J. (2020). Activated carbon filter for hydrocarbon and particulate emission reduction in aqua silencer: A parametric study. Environmental Technology, 41(24), pp. 3189–3198.

[13] Subhash, J.K., Manjunath, H. and Shankar, G.S. (2015). Aqua silencer: A comprehensive review of design, performance and applications. International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology, 4(6), pp. 4201–4208.

[14] Thiyagarajan, G., Balasubramanian, K. and Rajendran, S. (2016). Reduction of exhaust emissions and noise using aqua silencer with lime water for petrol engine. Indian Journal of Science and Technology, 9(21), pp. 1–7.

[15] Tiwari, S., Bajpai, V. and Mishra, R. (2023). Green alternatives for IC engine exhaust treatment: Biochar and nano-ZnO enhanced aqua silencer. Sustainable Energy Technologies and Assessments, 56, 103085.